

Actionable intelligence to live a Free & Inspired Life



The Solari Report

July 11, 2023

**2nd Quarter 2023 Wrap Up:
News Trends & Stories Part I
with
Dr. Joseph P. Farrell**



Catherine Austin Fitts: Ladies and gentlemen, welcome to *2nd Quarter 2023 Wrap Up*. We are doing *News Trends & Stories Part I* and *Part II* with Dr. Joseph P. Farrell. Joseph, it's great to be talking to you.

Dr. Joseph P. Farrell: Hi, Catherine. Thanks for having me back!

Fitts: We spend plenty of time preparing for these *Wrap Ups*, and organize all the headlines. I keep thinking, "I have to find out what Joseph thinks about this one."

A couple of things before we start. Our theme this quarter is, 'The People Who Feed Us,' and it's about the Dutch farmers and fishermen. It follows what we did for pharma food for the *Annual Wrap Up*, looking at the effort to control the food supply. It's about the lies that are being told. Many, many people are interested in what is happening to the farmers and the fisherman in the Netherlands. We thought we would tell the story so that they can see from the big picture down to the little picture.

We have great interviews with two fishermen and two interviews with great farmers. So, you will be able to see what is happening on the ground and what it means. It's extraordinary. It's like all the other 'beatdowns' being done to small businesses around the world. You can feel the centralization.

We have prepared the headlines. I'm going to do screen sharing and walk everybody through the *News Trends & Stories* section. If you go to the *Wrap Up* presentation, which you can get to from the commentary, there you will see the *Wrap Up* presentation. We build it as we prepare the *Wrap Up*. Then when it's final, we roll it up into a pdf and into a hard copy. You will see the *News Trends & Stories* section. Can you see that, Joseph?

We start with **Trends We Track**, then the **Top Stories & Videos**. So, it's the top 20 stories. That is an aggregation or analysis from picking out the most important headlines of the quarter and the year that we put in the *News Trends & Stories* table, which is a TablePress. It's very fun to look at and manipulate it. It's from putting in the most important headlines, and then analyzing them as the trends emerge and the top stories emerge. So, this is *Part I* that you and I will be working on today with the top 20 stories. I would like to also take a look

at **Bizarro World**.

For those who don't know, **Bizarro World** is when we take the weirdest stories and the weirdest things going on, and we move them out of *News Trends & Stories* and put them in **Bizarro World**. It's like a radioactive container; they are so distracting that you miss seeing the real stories. I think some of it is even designed that way to be distracting.

In *Part I*, we look at the macro picture. In *Part II*, we are going to drill down and go through the **Building Wealth** pillars and look at what these all mean to you: **Your Free and Inspired Life, Navigation Tools, Risk Management, Living Equity, Financial Equity**, and then **Turtle Forth**, where among other things, we talk about what inspires us. Hopefully, we will end on an inspired note.

Before we dive into the **Top Stories** for *Part I*, Joseph, do you have any comments or thoughts?

Farrell: No, let's do this. There is so much to talk about.

Fitts: I know! Each time I apologize for how long it is, and my subscribers keep coming back and saying, "No, that's okay. Go as long as you want."

It's important that we cover these stories. I'm going to switch to the **Top Stories & Videos**. I am in horse country (Tennessee), so Justin Woods picked out some race horses for this graphic.

Here are the top 20 stories, and we will go through them one by one. If you are listening to or reading this, I suggest you go to the web presentation and follow us. Let's dive in:

The Fight for Financial Transaction Freedom.

This amounts to many different things: the censorship of the online payment systems, the push for digital ID and CBDC, and the institution of the FedNow. There are many different ways that the centralizers in the central banks are trying to assert control.

At the top of our story is a chart from a new poll from the Cato Institute. It came out in the *2nd Quarter*. 16% of Americans support a CBDC, 34% oppose it, and 49% don't know. Not surprisingly, the Fed has announced that it is not looking at doing a retail CBDC; it's planning on doing a wholesale. I'm not sure what that means.

As part of that poll, a remarkable number of Americans (three out of four) say that CBDC privacy concerns outweigh the benefits of financial inclusion and reduction of financial crimes, both of which are complete 'ya-ya' anyway.

Some of the stories we picked to highlight are about the WHO Pandemic Treaty. Many people will say, "What does the World Health Organization have to do with financial transaction freedoms?" It has to do with rolling out a vaccine passport or digital ID that is part in parcel of doing that. It's very scary because Europe is rolling ahead in the ECB (European Central Bank) and it looks as though they are going to do a retail CBDC.

I continue to believe that the BIS (Bank of International Settlements) is at the heart of the matter and has many questions to answer about where the missing \$21 trillion went and what their involvement was in that.

I don't know if you saw this, but I wanted to bring this up. The Nigeria Central Bank governor was suspended and arrested. I can't tell whether he was arrested because he tried to pull in all the cash or if he was arrested because his launch of the CBDC failed completely and they wanted a new person there.

Farrell: I think it's a bit of both; I don't think that you can separate the two.

I have absolutely no evidence for what I'm about to say, but with this push for central bank digital currency, I cannot help but get the impression that the hidden system of finance is being rolled out into the public, but the part of it that still stays hidden is based on analog. I can't get this out of my head for some reason.

In other words, they are going to push all of us – or attempt to push all of us – into a digital currency world while they retain the actual physical media of

exchange, bearer bonds, and use that sort of thing as a way they trade amongst themselves.

Fitts: Their system is going to be real, and ours will only be a simulation.

Farrell: Yes, and they know what sharks they all are. I can't get your idea out of my head that there is no cyber system that is secure. These people aren't going to trust their wealth to a system that is not secure. That is the bottom line.

Fitts: The BIS announced they have a system that now operates with quantum computers and can protect itself from any encryption and so on. But here is the thing: In theory, if you come out with a level of encryption with what is said to be quantum computing, and everybody else has non-quantum that can be hacked, that is another way to suck everything in centrally and control it.

Farrell: Yes, and let me be very clear about the quantum computing. I recall about three or four years ago, there was a Chinese claim of being able to hack a quantum system. That is quite a 'tall order' because, first of all, you have to have a quantum system. I'm not convinced that anybody does yet.

Fitts: That is the question: Does anybody really have a quantum system?

Farrell: I think there are many claims out there. Another claim – which we will be talking about later – is about fusion. As to the claim of quantum computing systems, I'm not so sure. Google has made various announcements, and we found out that those announcements have been premature.

So, the BIS making the claim, and they can make the claim, but I have to take it with a 'grain of salt' because there are so many claims out there financially that I think they are putting out numerous narratives because they are desperate. That is what I think.

Fitts: I'm going to bring up what is called the 'Quantum Financial System'. Several years ago, an ally from Australia who I really respect said, "I'm involved with this quantum financial system, and you should look at it."

I watched a video, and there was a very attractive woman named Kim who

essentially said that she was the sole fiduciary for the new financial system on the planet, and it was going to be 'this and that'. I watched it, and it was ludicrous.

I emailed him, and said, "Can I see the basic financial disclosure documents?" These are documents that you would want for any financial system or institution. It's standard practice. In any first world country, there are certain basic disclosures that one can do due diligence on in any kind of financial product or service or institution. They came back and said, "That's all secret."

I went back to my contact and said, "Are you out of your mind? This is just another adult fairytale."

I had somebody bring it up to me recently. They said, "I've seen it."

Farrell: People have to ask themselves, "How can you have a secret financial fiduciary for the entire globe?" This is like investing your money with a fiduciary agent who doesn't want to disclose who they are and what they are doing. It makes no sense.

Fitts: I've seen plenty. There is an explosion of interest in going back and understanding the real legal history of both the financial system and the United States, and then try to use that information to opt out of taxes, to opt out of various control systems, and opt out of other things.

It's incredible because whenever I get into conversations with people who have done an enormous amount of research and know a great deal more than I do about these topics, I say to them, "I never spend any time on this because my dealings with the people who run the planet is they don't respect any laws."

They will use the laws to settle fights among each other, but when it comes to us, it doesn't matter what code we pull out of the 1800's; they are not going to respect it. They will just make up something and steal your things.

I tend not to spend time on that. If anybody has any legitimate information on the quantum financial system, you can share it, but everything I've seen is only another fairy tale.

Farrell: Yes, and you might want to enlarge your screen because, while we are having this discussion, people need to see it. The way I see this is that all the talk about quantum financial systems and global fiduciary agents who have to remain secret or their documents have to remain secret, this is nonsense, and it's all going back to this two-tiered financial system.

We have a public one that they want us to use, and then they have the real one, which is only for themselves. I'm sorry, it's like a two-tiered justice system, and it 'ain't' going to work.

Fitts: Here's the thing: Every time you see somebody defrauded, it's because they were led to believe that they can participate in the insider system, and then they get sucked in. Then, they get defrauded. That is how Madoff worked.

I know there is a special system for the insiders, and now you have access, but then!

Let me mention a couple of the other key headlines: We see prototypes of CBDCs rolled out around the world. I think that what many people now understand is if you have made promises-enormous amounts of retirement or social safety-net promises that you can't keep, including that you've stolen \$21 trillion or more dollars (and God knows how much they've stolen elsewhere because that \$21 trillion is just what we know of in the US) and you're not going to keep your promises-the way to deal with that politically is total financial repression. You don't let people down easy; you just put them into a digital concentration camp.

I have an ally who wrote a book called, *The Great Taking*, and he describes what happened in the last reset during the time of the Spanish Flu. He said they set up a system where some banks were preferred banks and other banks were on the outside. They threw the economy into a huge deflation, so an abundance of defaults on mortgages and loans, and they made sure that the preferred banks had liquidity and could turtle through and were then able to amass enormous amounts of assets because everybody was defaulting 'right and left'. It engineered a consolidation of assets and businesses, but also a consolidation of the banking system.

If you look at what they did with small businesses during the pandemic and what they are trying to do with this banking crisis, it seems remarkably similar.

I don't know if you've seen the graph. We will get to it later in the presentation. The Fed tightening, and the increase in interest rates is the fastest it's ever been done in decades. So, you inject large amounts of money, give it to your pals for the vulture capitalism during the pandemic, and then you 'slam on the brakes tight'. That is designed to default many people.

Farrell: I think so.

Fitts: One of the headlines we put here is about the people in Tennessee getting a law passed and getting the Governor to sign it. It says, "No municipality or subdivision of the state can institute anything related to the UNSDG that is in violation of the Federal or State Constitution.

Don't you just love it?

Farrell: I do! I like the pushback. I'm waiting to see how they are going to enforce the pushback. The last time that we talked about this issue we were discussing state compacts where the states that are passing these sorts of laws start entering into regional compact arrangements where they enter into some sort of agreement about how they are going to enforce it. I think that is coming 'down the pike'. Absolutely.

Fitts: I'm going to share the screen again. You've seen this in our network. This is our latest cartoon, "I like what you've done with the place," and the sign says 'Use cash'.

Everywhere we turn, we see more and more people using cash.

Farrell: It's interesting. The other thing that is happening in the wake of the Silicon Valley Bank fiasco is that there were many articles about the whales (can directly influence the price of crypto or stock) pulling out their cash and moving their money. The other thing that is happening as a consequence is that many people are getting out of the big banks and going more local and regional.

Fitts: Going into March, the big bank deposits were dropping, but the regional and small banks were steady; they weren't losing deposits. It was the 'big guys' who were losing. So, this looks like an attack of the 'big guys' trying to suck away the regional and small banks.

This brings us to our next story:

The New York Fed Syndicate Tries to Engineer a Steal of the U.S. Banking System.

As you know, *Zero Hedge* came out with a great story. The media kept pounding and pounding the problem, implying that the problem was in the smaller or regional banks. The stocks 'got hammered' on both big and small banks, but particularly the smaller banks that were publicly traded. So, *Zero Hedge* said the small bank insiders were buying stock like 'crazy' because they know they are not in trouble. If someone is going to give them a chance to take a 30% discount on their stock, they are going to buy. I thought that said it all.

We put up a list of the owners of the New York Fed. This is from a Freedom of Information Request in 2018. At that point, Citibank had 42.8% of the stock, JPMorgan Chase had 29.5%, and the rest scale down from there.

What you saw with the raid on Silicon Valley Bank was that the Fed was set up to provide liquidities and to stop things like bank runs. It looked like JPMorgan Chase was engineering a bank run and trying to steal all the deposits they could.

Farrell: It looked to me that way, too.

Fitts: Let's look at some of the stories. One of them is how much JPMorgan Chase's deposits have declined prior to when this started.

One of the games that was happening was you had short sellers' hedge funds in New York in the big financial centers that were shorting the stock at the same time. According to the American Bankers Association, there were campaigns being engineered on social media that were not based on fact trying to trigger a run, and there is JPMorgan Chase staying up around the clock so that they can

suck the deposits over.

Instead of the New York Fed and the Fed stopping a run, they were engineering the run. It's unbelievable.

During the financial crisis, the SEC shut down the short selling. This time around, the SEC said, "No way. We're not stopping the short selling."

Long story short, I think that just like they were trying to roll up small businesses with the pandemic, they are trying to roll up the small banks.

If you want to do a CBDC and go to real financial repression (with or without a CBDC because we don't know how much financial repression they can do through the FedNow system), and you want to go to total financial transaction control, there are two things that you want to do: Consolidate the banking system into a few big banks, and 'pull in the guns'.

I think the reason Powell is going into wholesale CBDC is that he knows he has no chance. He said that he needs legislation. With 16% of Americans supporting a retail CBDC, I think that the chances of getting legislation are very small.

Farrell: They are getting smaller with all of the state's pushback as well. That is the other thing. It's not going to happen. They will try the centralization thing. They may try a number other things, and I agree with you; I don't see it happening.

Fitts: If you look at the states and who is running their bank accounts and who is running their pension funds, it is all the big New York Fed people. The Bank of North Dakota is the depository for North Dakota, so North Dakota is in good shape. But if I was a state, I would want to create a state bank and to make sure that none of the New York banks can shut down my transactions.

Farrell: I think this is why there are so many states starting bullion depositories. I went 'ballistic' when I saw that Texas wanted to start its own digital currency.

In other words, it looks like you have a certain segment of the financial

population that is thinking, “Okay, this is where the central banks are going to go. We need to beat them at their own game,” or it’s a ploy by the central banks themselves to sneak it in through state banks and through state central bank digital currency.

I don’t think it’s going to work. The reason is that the Texas bill looked as though they are avoiding the issue of convertibility altogether. It is very cleverly disguised, but they are not even talking about it.

You know me, I want the piece of paper that says, “I’ve got x ounces of bullion on deposit in the bullion depository worth x amount of dollars,” so I can take that certificate of deposit and spend it like money because that is what it is; it is money.

Fitts: The other thing is you have Goldback Certificates that look like dollar bills, but they can be digitized. I can melt down a Krugerrand, but I can’t melt down one of those.

Farrell: This is my problem.

Fitts: Another thing I wanted to bring up is we’ve been watching the ‘dance’ around crypto, and of course, we saw crypto go down tremendously. We saw some rather interesting developments at the end of the *2nd Quarter*. BlackRock files for bitcoin ETF (Exchange-Traded Fund), Schwab and Fidelity and Citadel organize an exchange that won’t serve as custodian but will serve as making a market and transferring. I think the Deutsche Bank made a similar announcement.

We have the big discount securities firms and big asset managers now coming in to make it possible to buy bitcoin or cryptos with a click of a mouse on your discount brokerage account. So, if BlackRock launches a bitcoin ETF, it means that I can go to my Fidelity or Schwab account, and I can click a radio dot and buy the bitcoin ETF, and money will go into the ETF. Its price is based on the value of bitcoin. That means that \$7 trillion of retirement accounts and all sorts of retail money can easily buy bitcoin without worrying about the custodian issues and the security issues or the ‘Wild West’ nature of the exchanges.

That gives them the ability to pump bitcoin to the ‘moon’. So, the question is: What is that about? They did a pump and dump, and now we are ready to do the next pump.

Farrell: I think the possibility is that they are trying to rig those cryptocurrencies, which is what it appears to me they are trying to do. They are trying to engineer a situation where they crash the private cryptocurrencies and say they are not reliable because they are open to this kind of rampant market manipulation and speculation. “Trust us with our central bank digital currency.”

Fitts: But you would need another pump and dump.

Farrell: Exactly. That is what they are getting ready to do. At least, that is what it looks like to me.

Fitts: That absolutely could be it. The other thing is that it also serves to try to make digital currency more fashionable and popular.

I called the last pump and dump a ‘vaccine marketing plan’. Everybody was making so much money on their crypto. It was almost like, “Life is good,” and they don’t notice what is really happening. It was very tragic.

John Titus made a couple of great videos. We did one on financial rebellion called, *Stopping the Steal*. He did another great one on best evidence called, *Deep Diving the Fed’s Killer Whale Problem*. Tell me if you think that I’m ‘all wet’ on this, but I get the feeling they started on the steal and too many people saw the game and they have backed off.

Farrell: I think that there is a lot of merit to that; I think that you are on to something.

Fitts: They are not worried about what anybody thinks of Hunter Biden or the Bidens, but why would they be so nervous about doing to small banks what they did to small businesses during the pandemic? Is it because they are afraid of the state legislators? Is it because they are afraid of the bankers associations? What is causing them to be careful?

Farrell: Let's go back to the Silicon Valley Bank scenario where you had what looks like an engineered takedown of the specific bank by JPMorgan. As far as I can tell, what happened is that in response to the whales leaving the bank, you had a number of small depositors around the country not even concerned with Silicon Valley per se – or even doing any business with it – taking their money and putting it into smaller banks or credit unions and savings & loans and so on.

In other words, if fear is there, I think their fear is that they didn't expect that reaction to Silicon Valley. Nevertheless, the reaction was there. They don't have it entirely worked out about what is going to happen with those small depositors.

I think the small depositors are in the mood that they might start taking their money out of the banking system altogether and storing it in their mattresses.

Fitts: That is happening, although some of it is they are moving it to their brokerage account and putting it in Treasuries.

That is interesting. My perception is that they have paused. The distrust of the bankers – certainly the New York Fed bankers – is at an all-time high.

The other thing is that if you look at Yellen's testimony in and around what they did with SVB, I think both political and business leadership were absolutely appalled. She said, "If you're our friend, we will save you. If you're not, too bad." She was saying that the system was completely arbitrary.

We do have big problems in the commercial real estate market, so it will be interesting to see how that rolls through.

Let's go to the next story:

WHO Do You Think You Are? The UN One Health and WHO Global Lab Network and Infrastructure Is a Tool of Tyranny to Assert Place-Based Reengineering and Economic Control.

Let me do the screenshare again and show you a chart. These are the top 20 contributors as of 2018. It's the US, Bill & Melinda Gates, and the UK. It's the

Anglo-American Alliance that is dominant. They've had more and more private funding. It's shocking that a big part of the funding for the WHO are the 'private guys'.

James Roguski and Meryl Nass have been closely following what they are doing with the treaty (Pandemic Preparedness Treaty). Essentially, if I understand it correctly, they are coming up with an infrastructure where they are going to try to get every country – once they are on board with both the amendments and the treaties – to create an office in their national government that will affect legislation or other infrastructure that will dovetail with whatever the WHO is doing. That will give you the ability to lock down 192 countries at high speed.

They are basically putting in a repression system that can serve the central bankers in a way that protects the central bankers.

The problem with the bank's deal is that it's clear the bankers are doing it. If they can hide behind healthcare, it's not as clear. I don't know if you've ever looked at One Health, but it's the most frightening thing I've ever seen. It puts the trees, the plants, and the animals all on parity with humans, and it is total resource control. Of course, humans are just another resource. If you haven't looked into One Health, you'll want to do it. Every time you think you understand the madness of the globalists, you can't imagine.

We've tried very hard to get people to write to both their state and federal legislators. One of the few things that Trump did that was really worthy was exit the WHO. If we can get the next Administration to exit the WHO, I think it's necessary.

Farrell: It's a trap because I think the WHO is the replacement front for this top-down centralization move to convert everything into an asset. I say that because I did a blog recently where I talked about something that I ran across decades ago – in the 1980's. It was a videotape that one of these Christian ministries put out on a gentleman – an accountant in Colorado – who had received an invitation by mistake to attend the World Wilderness Congress in Colorado. He didn't have enough money to cover the fee to go to the congress, so he volunteered his services if they would allow him to come. They allowed him to do that.

He was supposed to show up to the Denver airport and pick up all these people. He said that he was totally astonished when he started picking up people like Baron de Rothschild and Maurice Strong and all these financial luminaries.

Then they went to the congress where, supposedly they were talking about the environment. This man actually reproduced a portion of Baron de Rothschild's speech on a videotape where Rothschild is saying they are going to try to roll out a global currency – I 'kid you not' – based on the wilderness land of the world as its collateral.

In other words, take all of the resources and 'throw in' human beings. Everything has to be collateralized. That gives the bankers control over all those resources and what you can and cannot do.

The WHO is acting as the new front gimmick for these people in their quest to collateralize everything and turn us all into serfs. It is totally 'nuts'.

Fitts: When was that conference? Do you remember?

Farrell: No, I don't remember. It was the 1980's as far as I can remember. I think it was probably the early 1980's; it would have been in the first Reagan Administration.

Fitts: Here is the very interesting thing that Roguski said – and if you don't know his Substack, I recommend it to everybody who is listening or reading this if you want to follow what is happening with the WHO. He described how this infrastructure is going to work nationally so that it's not the WHO saying it. Legally your state officer will say, "It's the national office that did this." It's clever the way they are engineering it.

Here is what is even more clever: You and I have talked about how important it is to optimize and reengineer places and do space-based engineering. They are instituting a global laboratory system. They can come in and say in these labs, "We discovered COVID-24 in your sewer system. That means that we have to lock down your neighborhood."

It gives them the ability to intercede in any neighborhood and do radical, political, and economic engineering in any given neighborhood on any of these pretexts.

So, not only can they lock down the planet or a country, but they can move in and lock down a neighborhood based on the invisible enemy, “Oh, we found such-and-such.” It’s spectral evidence: “How are you going to prove that my ghost wasn’t in your bedroom on Saturday night and made you kill your wife?”

Farrell: This is the game I think has been handling many of the so-called UFO disclosures; it’s the invisible enemy again.

I believe the fact they are rolling all of this out at the same time is very telling because I tend to view all of this UFO disclosure reports on the same level and for the same reasons that they are trying to do all of this with the WHO, and that they were talking about all of this with the World Wilderness Congress back in the 1980’s. It’s the same game.

They are clever about the WHO because I think that the COVID plan/ ‘scamdemic’ was a beta test. They were very satisfied with the results.

Fitts: I couldn’t agree more; it was very successful. Unfortunately, they are taking all of the lessons learned, and rolling them up. The next ‘whack’ is coming – whatever it will be.

Another link I put into the WHO is Dr. David Bell’s letter to talk about how bad the treaty is. He was an insider; he was at the senior management of WHO and now has come out. He is an Australian who is living in Texas. If you haven’t gotten to know him, he is another great source for the ‘shenanigans’ going on at the WHO – he and Roguski and Nass.

The Financial Coup d’Etat: Why the Epstein Story Is Bigger than You Think.

The Epstein story will not go away. I don’t know if you’ve been following it.

Farrell: Yes and no. I’m aware there is plenty going on there. ‘Off the top of

my head', I will tell you what part of my suspicion is. I have no basis in fact for this; this is a wild Joseph P. Farrell 'off the end of the twig' high-octane speculation. There are two things that I can't make sense of. Number one is the insistence of this 'misadministration' to continue this ridiculous war in Ukraine. Number two is Jeffrey Epstein.

I strongly suspect there is a relationship between the two stories. The reason is that I strongly suspect that Ukraine has been functioning as a 'honeypot' for these people for their bio-warfare programs, for their human trafficking programs, and for their money programs.

We had a story come out recently about a Ukrainian man who was arrested by the Ukrainian authorities for human trafficking and selling an 11-month-old girl to get her body parts.

Where there is that kind of activity, I suspect that is the 'tip of the iceberg'. So, I suspect there is some relationship going on between Epstein's network and what is going on in Ukraine. You have the Biden family 'up to their earlobes' with all of that mess. I think that much of that has to do with something about the Epstein network. That is only my guess.

Fitts: JPMorgan Chase is embroiled in several actions, one being the attorney general in the Virgin Islands bringing a case. They settled one right after the judge indicated there was systemic behavior by JPMorgan Chase supporting child trafficking. In other words, they knew, they went forward with it, they preserved the account, and so the implication was that they threw out numerous allegations but they kept four. One of them was the institutional participation with human trafficking, at which point, JPMorgan paid well over \$200 million to settle and shut it down.

I think part of the problem was the disclosure that came out in that court could have impacted some of these other cases.

I believe that Epstein was not the core part of laundering the \$21 trillion stolen from the US government, but was part of that. I'm going to show you a chart that we put up for this story, but let me back up first.

If the US government has almost \$33 trillion of outstanding debt, the first and most important thing to understand about that debt is we don't need to have \$33 trillion of debt; the Treasury could have just issued the currency. We could just have \$33 trillion of outstanding currency and no debt.

So, problem one is we owe the bankers \$33 trillion that we didn't need to owe them, so it's a fake debt trap. Number two is that of the \$33 trillion, there is \$21 trillion missing. Almost all of the \$21 trillion went missing through the Department of Defense.

The biggest disappearance was \$6.5 trillion in 2015 when Janet Yellen was Chairman of the Fed and is now Secretary of the Treasury, and is saying that the banks that are going to be helped are her pals. "If they feel like bailing you out, they will." It's friends of Janet.

Think of this: I want to point out that this is a \$21 plus \$33 trillion problem because if they would have run this honestly, they could have just issued \$32 trillion of currency; they didn't need \$32 trillion of debt. Number two, they could have not stolen the \$21 trillion of the proceeds. So, \$33 trillion plus \$21 trillion is \$54 trillion, and there is an indication that there is much more money that went missing since 2015 when the \$6.5 trillion went missing, but we don't know what those numbers are because the US government took the books dark.

Harvard went dark, and then the US government went dark. What a coincidence.

Farrell: What a coincidence!

Fitts: The whole system is completely absurd.

Farrell: Totally absurd!

Fitts: It says that it cost us \$54 trillion; we are paying \$54 trillion since the foundation of the Federal Reserve for the privilege of being stuck with the Fed.

Farrell: I know.

Fitts: So what do we need them for?

Farrell: We will discuss it in *Part II* when we talk about the submersible. The whole thing is so ‘nuts’. On top of the missing money, let’s add another little feedback loop into this chain of missing money, and that is Ukraine. There is absolutely no oversight of all that money we are handing to Zelensky to do what? Lose a war?

You can’t tell me that all of that money is going for weapons and so on .

Fitts: Ukraine is a kickback system. They are sending money to everybody around the globe to buy them into their empire.

Let me just show you something: This is a graph from the St. Louis Federal Reserve, and it shows you – as they’ve taken up interest rates – what is happening to the interest rate payments on the Federal debt. As you can see, it looks like a rocket taking off; the Fed pulling up interest rates.

So, we now have the interest on the debt greater than total defense spending. There are three pots to the budget: One is the military expense, another is the interest on the debt, and the rest is everything else. The reality is: Where are you going to cut? To keep the game going, you have to pay the interest, and you have to pay the military. But you don’t necessarily need everything else to keep the ‘game’ going. That is where CBDC and financial repression and financial tyranny come in.

That is why, when it happens, my assertion is: Oh, it’s time to assert a \$21 trillion common right of offset against the New York Fed bank and the Fed banks. You, gentlemen, owe us \$21 trillion – you and the BIS.

Farrell: You have been saying this for as long as I can remember that we’ve been doing these quarterly *Wrap Ups*, and I’ll tell you what my suspicion is of what will happen. I’ve been watching the states with these bullion depositories and constitutional money resolutions and so on, and more recently, the idea that Montana came out with having interstate compacts between some of these states. I think that eventually, you are going to see as part of these arrangements (and I think this is really what is driving this idea of state compacts) that they are

going to start talking about that very thing.

I will even go so far as to say that I would be very surprised if privately, they are not already talking about your idea.

Fitts: Carolyn Betts and I wrote up our taxation article and we described the use of common law right of offset, and how I had used it against one of the big banks that had extinguished my credit card debt, and described different ideas of what state legislatures could do to use that as well as escrows. The Federal government is massively breaking the law on financial management, and I think any state legislature that wants to start an escrow and says, “Okay, everybody pay their taxes in the escrow and we will pay money to the government for the interest on the debt for military, but we are not going to fund illegal expenditure, and we are going to demand that the financial laws be respected.”

Farrell: All I can tell you is to send a copy of that report to each of the governors and lieutenant governors and legislative leaders in the several states.

Fitts: Here is what I will do: Any subscriber who is willing to send it, we will make it available.

Farrell: My point here is that if the discussion is not already happening, and I strongly suspect that it is, then it needs to be driven; this is ridiculous.

Fitts: You need to send it to your attorney general and your state attorney general, your state governor, your lieutenant governor, and the legislative leaders who have the ‘chutzpah’ to do something like this. I couldn’t agree more.

I do think that the Epstein story is not going to go away, and I’ll tell you another reason: One of the heroes this quarter was Peter Flaherty. He stood up at the Buffett meeting and pointed out that the capital gains on Buffett’s Berkshire Hathaway was going to fund Bill Gates, who was doing all these crazy things on LGBT, transgender, and other things, and that is where your money was going. Buffett had him arrested. Peter was on the schedule to speak, and he was pulled down fast and thrown out.

Another headline I want to point out in this was, *The US Virgin Islands can’t find*

Google co-founder Larry Page to serve subpoena over JP Morgan's links to Jeffrey Epstein. So, we have billionaires hiding from the subpoena process because they don't want to be 'nailed' for their association with Epstein.

Farrell: I can believe it. It's not going to go away. If these threads are there, then there are others. That is the key.

Fitts: Another thing that I want to point out is that I put in a couple of exhibits. We've seen real responsible analysis proving that once the injections started, the rise in all-cause mortality is real; there is a definite rise in all-cause mortality. We've seen the Skidmore survey that confirms there is serious vaccine injury, disability, and death. So, there have been a couple of things that really confirms there is a real problem.

I would like to point out that the person or the institution that ran that program was the department of Defense, which is the same institution that is missing \$20 trillion. So every year since I started talking about the missing money – for over 20 years – I have said that if we let them get away with this, then they have to cancel our retirement.

The only way that you can balance the books is to lower life expectancy, and that is one of the things that I've said. So, are we surprised that the DOD is in a leadership position instituting something that is lowering life expectancy?

Farrell: I'm not; this is a 'gooberment'. It is not a government anymore because it's not functioning for the people it presumes to govern. Even the Soviet Union had a small sliver of a shred of concern for the people it was governing. This one doesn't.

Since we are on the 'quackcines' and the mortality rates, I want to mention the story that you sent me about how it is now shown that many of the so-called injections were nothing but placebos. This confirms our hypothesis that you and I were talking about when all of this was going down a couple of years ago. Both of us said very explicitly that we thought there were different ingredients in many of these so-called 'injections' and the batch numbers themselves were being used to track the reactions to the batches, including placebos.

Fitts: We know several centers like Walgreens, where they were giving injections, announced they discovered that all of their vials were saline. So, we've been getting various headlines about this along the way. There was a study in Denmark that was published, which shows there was a certain percentage that were placebos.

One of the things I learned from Jon Rappoport is that leadership believes in making things simple and rolling them into one phenomenon when it is very complex.

If you look at what made people sick during COVID-19, I think it was many different things: I think there was chemical warfare, I think there was low-grade biological warfare, and all sorts of things; it was not one simple thing. That is number one.

Number two, if you look at what was in the injections, there is no way that it was one injection. Yes, you had different companies, but I also think it makes sense when you look at the variability that has been reported of what was in the Pfizer. I told you that I went to sleep one night, and had a dream that a Pfizer truck was backing up to the sewer and sucking up sewer water and putting it into vials.

Farrell: I can believe it. There were all those reports from Japan that were testing the different vaccines, and they were coming out with different ingredients.

Fitts: Stainless steel was one of them.

I had one of the best researchers on vaccines in the world show me a picture of the stainless steel particles she found in the vials, and they were like little sharks with jagged mouths. They were tiny nanoparticles of stainless steel. Why would you inject stainless steel into somebody's body?

Farrell: It's one more indication that this was a huge human experiment beta test. It was Dr. Mengele (Angel of Death) on steroids; they were testing different things.

Fitts: One doctor told me that when somebody comes into the emergency room, they can go into a database. If the person is out cold, they can look up and see when they were vaccinated and what batch number.

Whatever they were doing, there have been massive lessons learned on this. They have learned a great deal.

Next story:

The U.S. Presidential Election Primaries: Real Issues Matter.

Farrell: I've been trying to avoid talking about the Presidential selection.

Fitts: Normally, I'm not interested in the Presidential races because I see them as theatre. I do think that when you have a good election, you have a serious conversation. It's a time for people to have a serious conversation about policy. But here is what I find very interesting: Bobby Kennedy announced his run for Presidency, and there are two reasons he announced. One is that if you look at what he is trying to do as the Chairman of Children's Health Defense, he has a better chance of getting his story out and persuading more people if he is running for President. It's a brilliant strategy; it's working; it's right. That is number one.

Number two, he thinks he can win. If you look at his analytics and analysis, I think he's right. So, he believes he can win. His attitude is that if you look at where we are going as a country, it is safer to run for President than to just sit by and go with the flow. I agree with that.

Here is what is interesting: Kennedy jumped in, and Kennedy has been working for years. He has been censored by the corporate media for years and years.

Farrell: 'Big-time', yes.

Fitts: So, he is almost completely censored by the old media, but he has built thousands of pathways in the new media. If you look at all of his new media pathways aggregated up, he has a much bigger market share than the old media.

So Kennedy jumps in, and he is having all these long detailed conversations about real policies on an aggregated group of new media that is 'blowing by' old media. Suddenly, the world discovers, "Oh, people want to talk about real policies. They want to talk about what is really going on."

Whether they have been injured financially or they are worried about inflation, they are ready to talk seriously. They are sick of spin games, and they want to talk about the 'real deal'.

Farrell: Let's look at Kennedy from another perspective; let's look at Kennedy compared to all those non-entities that you have up there under the Republican banner: If you look at what Kennedy is saying and what he is talking about, I can identify three things, and those three things are all very important to the vast majority of people in this country: The first is the 1st Amendment, free speech; the second is the 2nd Amendment, the right to own arms, which Kennedy has come out in favor of.

Fitts: Right, and against red flag laws.

Farrell: For a Democrat to say that, that is huge; he has broken with the radical party machinery.

Fitts: If you look at how he did it, it's very clever. He said, "I sympathize with anybody who has lost family members to guns. My family has been touched twice now by serious gun violence. I lost my uncle and my father to gun violence." He was in California with his dad when that happened.

The last video I saw Kennedy talking about the 2nd Amendment, in the middle of the program, they cut to an ad of some person saying, "I was a father in Sandy Hook..." It was really cheap.

I think they are going to have trouble out-besting him on the 2nd Amendment.

Farrell: They are going to have huge problems with it.

The third thing he talks about is the border. He is hitting on all the talking points of someone like a DeSantis, for example, and taking them away from the

Republicans. Here is where the Republicans will be hurt. DeSantis is hurt because of his association with the Bush family. I'm absolutely convinced.

Trump – what can I say? Trump lost me with the 'quackcines' and the lockdowns, and the threat to use the military to distribute the 'quackcines'. Unless he clarifies that and backs away from that position, which he has not, he does not get my vote.

Fitts: I'm going to be a 'bad guy' now: How can somebody who has engineered a mass atrocity that killed Americans get your vote?

Farrell: So, Kennedy is entering this at just the right time. Plus, of course, for those of our generation, there is no other word for it than that 'Camelot Kennedy mystique' and the perception that people in our generation had something cut short and before its time.

This candidacy is interesting in that, whether he is successful in winning the Presidency or even his party nomination, is beside the point. In a certain sense, his candidacy is closing that long arc of history that began with the assassination of his uncle.

Let's be honest about this: That cabal that waged that coup d'état in 1963 has been in charge of things ever since, and they have made a complete mess of it. They need to be tossed out.

Fitts: But you have this enormous infrastructure all 'swimming' in secret money. So, here is my theory of what is going on. Tell me what you think of this: Kennedy jumps in. If you look at the polls and his favorable ratings, he is getting major views and is doing well in the polls when he is having a completely serious conversation. He won't say negative things about other candidates. He said, "This is not personal; I am going to discuss policies."

He goes into very long, detailed factual discussions on policies. He is so well-schooled on this because he has been doing it for so long. He is very honest about his history and any faults or mistakes he has made. What I see on the Republican side are two candidates jumping in –the Governor of North Dakota and then Chris Christie –and both of them are people who I think looked at

Kennedy and said, “Wait a minute. There is now room for us because people want to talk about real policies.”

I think Kennedy has opened up the field for a couple of people who normally would never have tried.

Farrell: I agree. There is something else that you mentioned about Kennedy and his popularity, particularly in the alternative media, and his ability to reach people with policy discussions, and that is his voice. His voice is not easy to listen to, and yet people are listening. I think, that more than almost anything else, tells me that the electorate is far ahead of the legacy political parties. We have the legacy media, and now we have the legacy political parties. They are just about as obsolete because people do want the policy discussion, and people like Kennedy – whether you agree with him or you don’t agree with him, and I happen to agree with him on a lot of things –for good or ill, has changed the equation.

I am so grateful that you’ve pointed this out. It means that these fake town halls and these fake debates are no longer going to ‘wash’. Politicians will have to be, not only able to face questions from real people with real questions, but to do it on national media with questions that are not censored. No more Chris Wallace moderated debates or anything like that. It is going to be direct questions from the people or from a host.

Kennedy has changed the political landscape like nobody else has done in a long time. Maybe his uncle had been able to do this.

Fitts: I want to bring up something: Celia Farber, who I have great respect for, put out a note on her Substack pointing to a town hall meeting Kennedy did recently on *News Nation* with Elizabeth Vargas. Because of that, I really respect Celia’s opinion.

I watched it, and it was fascinating because Kennedy was talking real policies and real facts and real issues. They started with Ukraine. Kennedy is adamant that he would move us out of Ukraine as soon as possible. One of the things that Kennedy pointed out is that he had repeatedly abrogated our agreements, including the Minsk Agreement. We had promised that we wouldn’t move

NATO anywhere near Ukraine, let alone into Ukraine.

Kennedy went through all the different agreements that we had broken and how every diplomat had warned, “If you do this, the Russians will attack. They have no choice.” They have said that repeatedly, so you can see that we are trying to force them into attacking.

Kennedy went through that complete thing, and then he said that Biden had said that one of our stated goals was ‘regime change in Russia’ because they are trying to get rid of Putin. He said that the neocons would be more forceful because they are trying to implode Russia. They imploded it once before, and they want to implode it again because the implosion didn’t stick.

He points out that 320,000 Ukrainian young men have been slaughtered and killed in this process. He said, “How is it that the moral thing to do is for us to kill 320,000 young Ukrainian soldiers to get regime change in Russia? How is that a moral thing to do?”

With Vargas, I felt like I was watching a scene from, *They Live*, and Vargas was one of the ‘creepy’ people. It was clear she had no concept that those 320,000 people were real. It was like she was talking about a video game and those were just fake soldiers. That is 320,000 mothers who have lost their sons, 320,000 fathers, 320,000 sisters and brothers and children; you are talking about genocide.

Whether it was the genocide with the injections or the genocide of the Ukrainian people, it was genocide.

Farrell: The United States has taken over the role of Perfidious Albion (British Empire). There is a school of thought that Great Britain basically engineered World War I to get rid of Germany. The catch phrase is, “We are going to fight for democracy to the last drop of French blood.” This is the attitude of the United States regarding Ukraine.

I believe it’s tragic, not only in terms of the human cost, but there are those hidden and historical and cultural costs that those deaths represent. Ukraine is an old, old Christian culture. Its identity as a Christian culture – and for that

matter, Russia's identity as a Christian culture – goes back almost more than 1,000 years. That is five times older than this so-called 'Christian' country of the United States.

The cost here is in human lives, not to mention the Russian lives lost and the civilian lives lost. This is a pointless war, and people in this country do not get that. I even heard a major American talk show host, Sean Hannity, refer to the Soviet system today in reference to Russia. He has not a clue as to the nature of the modern Russian state. Is it authoritarian? Yes. Is it like American democracy? No. Is it Soviet and communist? No. That is the end of discussion. It is something that we don't recognize; it's not on our radar.

The American media has been spinning this ridiculous idea that the Russian military has been incompetent and so on. No. What people don't get is that Vladimir Putin, whether you like him or you don't like him, is a practicing Orthodox Christian believer. That means that whether you like him or not, he does not want to slaughter his own fellow Orthodox Christians.

You have to get it out of your head that Russia is a modern Western secular state; it isn't. That is why communism failed there. That is why it is working in this country – because we are a failed secular state. Russia is not. You had better get that through your heads, and Russia will fight because its national existential cultural existence is at stake, and the same thing is true for Ukraine.

We are 'playing with fire' that we have no idea of.

Fitts: One thing we covered in *Money & Markets* recently is that Biden has announced that he wants to talk with Iran. At play is \$7 billion of Iranian frozen funds. I said to John Titus when we were discussing this, "They just need more kickbacks." If they let that money through, they will get, 'God only knows', how many kickbacks. They need a big round that is not going through Ukraine now.

Farrell: I have a sneaking suspicion, as many people do, that Prigozhin and Putin played the West for a large amount of money.

Fitts: We will get to that. We need to talk about what that was about, so we'll talk about that later.

Let me just finish talking about what happened in this town hall meeting. They went to the topic of vaccines, and Kennedy was fantastic. He is an encyclopedia; he has an encyclopedia knowledge of the whole issue. Name a vaccine, and he has read all the science and has litigated many cases and written many books.

Farrell: He has not only litigated cases, but he has won them.

Fitts: Right, and they can't touch him; they got 'slaughtered' on it. It was amazing to watch.

Then some person came out who was a CEO of a social media company from Chicago, and attacked Kennedy for having agreed to speak at Moms for Liberty that are against LGBT rights. I don't know the details. Apparently when Kennedy found out that some scheduler who booked him cancelled, he very forcefully said, "I am for human rights of all respects, including LGBTQ rights."

So the person, who was clearly a spinmeister and kind of a 'creepy guy', came on after the debates and started talking about how, "Kennedy can't make it because he can't spin right, and he is making things too complicated. He doesn't know how to deliver the line, and he can't go far this way." This person is clearly from ten years ago. To him, politics is a game; it's not about the real world. He's a trance player; he is an official narrative player.

When you saw him and Vargas discussing this vis-à-vis Kennedy, you realized that these people are deeply mind-controlled, deeply bought, and they don't see this change coming.

Farrell: No, they don't.

Fitts: I watched two reporters from *Time* who did a profile on Kennedy. It was very interesting to watch them because basically, their goal was to destroy Kennedy, but they knew they couldn't just go after him in the normal way because he had too much credibility. So, they were looking for a sneaky way to do it.

The first thing they did was talked about how old he is. “He is still living in his father and uncle’s world, and he takes you back. He talks about the past. He’s old.”

Up against Biden, it’s like, “What?” But they tried to do this, “He’s old, and he’s living in the past, and he just doesn’t understand the way the world has evolved.” They are squirming around, trying to find a way to sabotage it, and that was it. It was because he is ‘old’ and lives in a nostalgic world, and he doesn’t understand the future.

It is interesting that at the town hall, one of the things Kennedy said – which I thought was very good – was, “You have to understand the danger that is presented to us by AI. We cannot afford to fight with the Russians and the Chinese. We need to be sitting down with them and figuring out how we can take control and regulate AI and these technologies. Otherwise, we are all going to end up dead. That is much more important. You have to understand the real danger.’

This tells me he does understand the future much better than our friends at *Time Magazine*. It was a very interesting thing to watch because the old media is struggling to find a way to ‘kill’ him.

Farrell: The old media is struggling because they are captured by narrative. They don’t realize the importance, and I think it’s part of the Kennedy legacy – certainly JFK – that it’s important to talk with your so-called enemies and to build as many bridges with them as possible.

That is not going to be done by most of the current candidates, with the exception of Kennedy and maybe one or two others on the Republican side, but most of the rest of them are all narratives.

He is absolutely right. We need to get out of Ukraine. We are playing with fire for all the reasons I mentioned earlier. We need to sit down and talk with China.

Kennedy is the only one who makes sense with China. You know as well as I do that with the Chinese, it is as much a Han dynasty that is in charge as it is the Communist Party. Secondly, China’s biggest problem is demographic. A

considerable portion of the Chinese population – about a third of it – are Christian. So, we have this idea of China that is simply not true.

This is the other thing that we need to talk with them about. I liked the way that Xi handled Blinken ('Blanken Blinken Blunken', as I like to call him). "You sit down there, and I'll sit up here."

It's a nice Chinese way of saying, "Okay, are you here to talk, or are you here to make points back home?" He wasn't going to play the 'make points back home' game, to his credit.

We need reassess the whole gamut of our foreign policy. That is what I'm hearing from Kennedy. He is absolutely right.

Fitts: What I think the average American is most concerned with, and probably the average European as well, is that they are getting killed by inflation; they are getting absolutely killed by inflation.

On the economic issues, Kennedy is very, very strong. One of the stories that he tells – and I've heard him tell it several times, which he told it at the town hall – is having a friend who was a fisherman and then was disabled and living on disability. He was getting \$258 a month for food stamps. With inflation, that wasn't enough to live on. \$9 a day is not enough to eat on, even though it was something.

Then in March, he received a letter saying, "We are cutting your food stamps down to \$25," which is like \$0.80 a day. So, Kennedy is comparing that to how much money we are sending to Ukraine, and the fact that if we cut that money, we could afford to pay all these people. Apparently, we did it to 30 million people.

So, I think that on inflation, the question is: Who are the candidates that can connect the dots between the inflation that is killing most people in the Western world and what has been going on with Ukraine or with the pandemic or any of these other things? Can they connect the dots?

Farrell: The important point here, and I think this is the other reason

Kennedy bears watching because his overall foreign policy approach is simply that we cannot afford this empire any longer. We have too much going on that needs attention in this country. That's the overall message that I'm hearing.

Fitts: Here is my conundrum on this election: Normally, I don't talk as much about the election as this. We have many super-smart, great subscribers who think Trump is our only hope. I look at Trump, and Trump is a man who allowed fires from US air space in California in 2017; he allowed all of those engineered riots in the lockdown, and put \$10 billion into and promoted Operation Warp Speed, which genocided at least a couple of hundred thousand Americans that we know of, if not more, and if you include disability, it's more like 3-4 million people. So, we are talking about somebody who has actively engaged in and justified and bragged about mass atrocity.

Of course, Trump also presided over FASAB-56. He did get us out of the WHO, and he did get us out of the Paris Agreement, for which I appreciated him. But if you look at the report cards that you and I did every quarter when he was President, we kept having to give him F's. You had him down to a G or an H on some things.

So, I don't understand the appeal.

Farrell: I think that part of the appeal is, quite frankly, just selective memory. Trump did do a number of good things right 'out of the box' that I think captured people's imagination. He addressed the human trafficking issue.

Fitts: Yes, and he supported the police.

Farrell: He supported the police, and he nominated some decent Supreme Court Justices. We are seeing the effect of those nominations now with the Affirmative Action decision and putting abortion back to the states and so on. So, I think that from the standpoint of the average person in the electorate, those are the things that they were waiting for some President to do, and they forget about the massive fumbles that he made during the whole COVID plan/ 'scamdemic'. Add to that the riots and so on.

I was willing to overlook the fumbles – even with the riots and so on – but the

COVID plan/ 'scamdemic' and Operation Warp Speed and giving the podium to the likes of Anthony Fauci, of all people, and presiding over this fiasco, which has turned out to be a genocide, and then bragging about it, and threatening to have the US military distribute these 'quackcines', he absolutely lost me. If he is not willing to admit that he made a mistake with the way that he handled it, I continue to be lost. That's all I can say about it.

I think that you are dealing with people who have a 'rosy-eyed' picture of him, and it's not going to change.

There is this weird Trump messianism that has always been there.

Fitts: To me, it's like voting for Stalin. How do you come back from that?

Farrell: Exactly. I reluctantly supported him the first time around, but I'm not going to do it.

Fitts: I was not reluctant; I was for anybody but Hillary Clinton.

Farrell: That was my take, too; anybody but her. She's just bad news. But there is no way that I can do it again. I'm sorry. You know me and the Bush family; anybody with any connections to that group has lost me.

Fitts: One thing we see is many of the candidates really 'sucking up' to Israel, including Kennedy. It's going to be very interesting to see. DeSantis went to and signed a bill in Israel. It was a Florida bill in Israel. It's unbelievable. We are going to see some very interesting Israeli politics in this.

Farrell: Yes.

Fitts: I don't normally spend this much time on the election, so let's go to **Story #6**, which will be quicker. I have to take credit for this one:

Tucker Carlson Puts a Fork Into Legacy Media.

I was doing an interview with *Shelbyville Now* with Dave Thomas, who I really like. He said, "What do you think got Tucker Carlson fired? Do you think it was

the Veritas speech or this show or that?”

I said, “No, I think it was part of the Dominion deal (Dominion Voting Systems settlement with Fox). That is what it looks like to me, but that is just a wild guess.”

Sure enough, a week later, it came out that it was the Dominion deal.

I’m going to screenshare again. If you look at this chart, it shows you the viewers at Fox, MSNBC, CNN, and Newsmax. They are the top cable news shows. You can see that Donald Trump leaving the Administration had Fox down anyway, but when Carlson got fired, look at that nosedive. Fox joined everybody in the basement after that.

CNN was so desperate that they did a town hall meeting with Trump, and Trump ‘slaughtered’ them. I have to give him credit; he ‘slaughtered’ them. The poor woman from CNN didn’t have a chance.

Here is another chart that shows the networks. Can you imagine being the shareholders or the owners of Fox and taking that nosedive?

Farrell: They are probably in the same ‘boat’ as the Budweiser shareholders.

Fitts: You are seeing numbers on Carlson’s Twitter show, which is probably a placeholder between here and wherever he goes, and presumably he is going to start something of his own, but he was getting 80 million to 100 million views.

If you look at the numbers here, it tells a story.

Farrell: Would you take this graph to a shareholder meeting? Of course not!

Fitts: No, but Tucker Carlson can get more views on one Twitter show than all the cable news combined by a multiple of ten and twenty. It’s unbelievable.

The other thing is that you see different stories that completely make fools of the legacy media. I don’t know if you’ve seen this latest one from OMG Media, which is James O’Keefe posts on Project Veritas. He did an interview with a

BlackRock recruiter who was bragging about how much they love war and how much money they make on the war and how great the war in Ukraine is for them.

When you see stories like that, you realize that the legacy media is just a joke.

So, I put the video of me up in the links saying that to David Thomas, and everybody said, “How did you know?”

I said, “That’s what it looked like it was.”

Fox News has slapped Carlson with a Cease & Desist notice, which he seems to be completely ignoring.

Here is what is amazing to me: My one gripe with all of this is that you have Kennedy and Carlson all doing things with Musk, and at the same time, the FDA is approving human trials for Musk’s Neuralink. He wants to put a chip in the back of our heads and hook us up to his satellites.

Farrell: As far as I’m concerned, there is a reason they are promoting Elon Musk. I don’t view him as one of our friends.

Fitts: No, of course not! Anybody who wants to put a chip in the back of your head and hook you up to his satellites is not your friend. I don’t know why anybody thinks that he is fashionable.

Farrell: I don’t either. As far as Carlson and Bobby Kennedy Jr. go, I think that it is a marriage of convenience more than anything else. In other words, he has the only platform out there for them to go to.

I say to watch Glenn Beck. I think he is going to make a play to become the platform for some of these people like Kennedy and Tucker Carlson and so on. I just get that feeling; it’s not based on anything; it’s just a hunch.

Fitts: Let’s turn to the next story.

G7 Energy Policies Would Be Funny if They Were Not So Funny.

The energy conversation has gotten so ridiculous. I'm very excited because we have a new energy expert we've discovered who we are going to do a series of interviews with. He can bring total rationality to this issue, and climate change, and he speaks English, not 'pretzel talk'.

I feel like for the first time, I am beginning to understand what the issues are. So, I put up this graph from Statista to show the 'important issues facing your country', and this is a European Union survey. Of course, rising prices/inflation/cost of living is number one. They are sitting right next to the Ukraine War, but cost of living and inflation is number one. So, that doesn't surprise me.

I live in the Netherlands. You cannot imagine the 'whack' in energy costs this winter. You are talking about destroying huge numbers of businesses. But the good news in the energy space is that the Net-Zero Alliance (objective of net-zero emissions) is falling apart. Mark Carney's Net-Zero Alliance of, "We want \$150 trillion to spend on our friends," is falling apart.

So, Lloyd's of London and Vanguard left. Vanguard gave an interview in *The Financial Times* that ESG (Environmental, Social, and Corporate Governance) is not working. Then – are you ready for this – at a conference, Larry Fink from BlackRock announced that they are not going to use ESG because it's been weaponized. Really, Larry? Who do you think weaponized it?

Farrell: Exactly.

Fitts: There is plenty happening, and the question that you and I have always had is: How much breakthrough energy is coming through?

We see this announcement of a nuclear reactor in Wyoming by Gates and Buffett, and the Japanese are talking fusion. Tell us what is going on.

Farrell: Let me concentrate on the Japanese story because I am to the point of ignoring fusion stories. I am interested in fusion as an energy source, but every story about hot fusion breakthroughs is along the lines of, "Oh, we achieved a reaction and sustained it for 30 seconds or a minute." We've talked about this

before, which is still a long way from a sustained reaction to make hot fusion work; it's a long, long way.

Because of this, and notice that we are not even talking about cold fusion yet, my suspicion has always been that this hot fusion story, which has been around since the 1950's, is a gigantic money-laundering operation.

Fitts: Really?

Farrell: Yes. If you are getting all this money for hot fusion research, we should have something to show for it after 50 years.

Fitts: It's like cancer research.

Farrell: Yes, it is like cancer research. This is my problem with it. I think it's a money-laundering operation. Where is the breakthrough?

Those who know about my new book coming out this September will understand what I'm about to say. As for the rest of you, just wait for the new book. The problem I see with hot fusion research is that you are dealing with plasma. Plasmas are not cooperative; they just don't respond to our theory. This is the problem with the hot fusion research.

Let's also admit something else: The very first designs for hot fusion reactors – the magnetic containment idea of hot fusion – are from the 1950's. According to theory, we have numerous tweaks and so on, but it still hasn't worked.

What interests me more is in Japan they have also, through its Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry (METI), invested an enormous amount of money in cold fusion. That is what the Japanese don't talk very much about.

When Japan is talking about fusion start-ups, how much of it is really going for hot fusion? That is my big question with Japan because they have had some interesting cold fusion breakthroughs.

When Pons and Fleischmann came out with the cold fusion announcement, the Japanese were on it like 'flies on honey'. They never quit. This is the important

thing that people have to understand; the Japanese never quit in spite of all the worldwide bad press that Pons and Fleischmann got.

Fitts: Don't you think that a lot of the breakthrough energy technology is being used in secret? They're not announcing that they have it; they're just using it.

Farrell: Absolutely I do. When was the last time we heard about Andrea Rossi, the Italian who has his cold fusion experiment and has been running it for years? It's been a while. I used to cover announcements from him on a regular basis, but then all of a sudden, they stopped. So, I have to wonder.

The other problem with hot fusion is the containment itself. What happens if it breaks down? If you think that Chernobyl was bad, wait until a hot fusion plasma and the containment suddenly breaks down. That is bad; that is just plain bad.

I am just very skeptical of the whole hot fusion narrative, and they are going to keep playing with it and making these announcements. It's like you said in the last *Wrap Up*: They seem to come out with these hot fusion announcements every time the market is in trouble.

Fitts: Right. Every time the dollar is falling, you need to prove that you can increase productivity, and it's going to come through breakthrough energy.

I've been working with an energy expert to understand what is going on, and he agrees with me that the number one cause of environmental damage and energy waste on this planet is what he calls 'the racket', which is basically the war machine and organized crime.

Why shut down the farmers if you are going to do Ukraine? That is why I am always comparing Lockheed F-35's to a cow. Do you really think that a cow is the problem?

The other thing he said is that after the war machine and organized crime, which are the 'rackets', the number two cause of damage is industrial farming. If you just convert to regenerative farming, you can solve the problems very, very

quickly.

Farrell: You solve many problems by doing that. The problems you solve are the nutrition problem and the mineral depletion in the soil and the food. Big Agra, particularly in this country, has really gutted our health. The processed foods and everything else are a problem.

I wish that we had the days of the farmers market. I've talked about this on these *Wrap Ups* before. My mom used to take me grocery shopping, and we had a farmers market in Sioux Falls. All of the produce was laid out on tables, and you bought the fresh produce directly from the farmer.

Fitts: I was informed by somebody I know who follows organic food very closely saying that apparently, in many of the big stores, they now have on the organic fruits and vegetables a film that Gates has developed to wrap them and keep them from decaying. It's frightening; it's really, really frightening.

Farrell: Any time Gates is involved, I don't want anything to do with it.

Fitts: It means that you have to buy from somebody you know. If there is any way that you can buy from a local farmer, that is what you need to do.

Farrell: You've been advocating that people need to start setting up their local food networks, and I am becoming more and more convinced that is an absolute necessity.

Fitts: If you look at the mRNA vaccines they are putting into your meat, it is very scary. This brings us to **Story #8:**

The War on Food Is Over the Top.

Farrell: One of the articles that you have under **Story #8** has to do with the vaccine business. It's called, *Eat Your Vaccines: mRNA Gene Therapy Is Coming to the Food Supply*. As far as I'm concerned, this is the old GMO substantial equivalence argument, version 2.0.

We have to go back and revisit that whole thing that was done under Dan

Quayle and G.H.W. Bush. It was Dan Quayle that was put in charge of it. We have to revisit the ‘substantial equivalence’ doctrine and get rid of it because the doctrine is saying, “If it looks like corn or wheat, then we can put anything we want into it, and it will still be treated as corn or wheat under our law, except for our patent law.”

No, we need to revisit all of that and say, “No more of this.”

Speaking of Ukraine, I am convinced that GMO and all that is another part of the agenda with Ukraine. Why? Because Monsanto (or ‘Monstersanto’), as you know, had special privileges in Ukraine. Ukraine was prepared to introduce GMOs into the bread basket of Europe. What government was opposing it? Russia.

So, there is a GMO angle to this war on food, and I think quite definitely there is a GMO angle on the war in Ukraine as well.

Fitts: The US wants to get GMOs into Europe, but Europe has been fighting GMOs.

Farrell: I know they have, and I hope they continue to keep fighting them. They are just bad.

Fitts: We put up a pdf for *Pharma Food*, but we don’t have the full pdf up yet. If you haven’t read it, I strongly recommend it. Now that we have it in the graphical form with all the graphs, in that publishing form, it’s much easier to read.

If you think GMOs are bad, you should see what they are doing with processed food. It’s fake meat and fake food.

The thing to know about it is if you think the industrial agriculture is energy wasteful, this is so much more energy wasteful. You can’t imagine what they could be thinking.

Mind Control? What Mind Control? We Are Struggling to Understand the Invisible, including Neurological Weaponry.

Ilana Rachel Daniels did two wonderful pieces on ‘Mind Manipulation: Who Is in Control?’ This is the area where if you asked what the number one obstacle was between us and a free world, this is it. That is why I detest Musk and his Neuralink. He is looking to hook everybody up to the satellite and put a chip in your brain. What is it that you don’t know about this?

If you look at the announcements of what they think they can do to influence or read your mind and what is called ‘neurological weaponry’, it is extraordinary. That is why when people complain about their children being persuaded to transgender, I keep telling them, “Why are you giving them a smartphone?” You are giving the equivalent of SPECTRE from *James Bond* with 24/7 access to your child. What do you think they are going to do?

Farrell: Right, and why are you sending them to a public school where they are pushing all of this?

I want to mention a book that I had referenced you in an email that I think people need to read. It’s called, *One Idea to Rule Them All* by Michelle Stiles.

Fitts: I ordered that.

Farrell: I’m reading it now. It’s a book on the soft techniques of mind manipulation and the propaganda techniques and the historical roots of them.

The interesting thing about the book is that it is one of those books written with the very wide margins.

Fitts: So we can make notes.

Farrell: You can make notes, and what I’ve done in my marginalia was to reduce the concept that she is talking about to a principle – and aphorism or a rule, “If you want to manipulate and get this effect, do this.” It’s that sort of thing. It’s a book that lends itself to that kind of analysis, but it’s a very good book in that she is talking about soft techniques of mind manipulation and how they have suffused – particularly the American media – and how narrative is always the thing they are about. It’s not news; it’s narrative. She talks about why

that has been so.

Going back to the idea of mind manipulation and the whole transgender thing, this is the 'proof in the pudding' that you are dealing with a media that is totally captured. This goes way beyond the idea of a transgender person having human rights. This is actual advocacy and pushing this on other people and pushing it on children and so on. This is something else other than the LGBTQ issue. This is an actual transhumanist attempt to create the new alchemical man.

Dr. de Hart and I were warning about this back in our transhumanism book. This is what they are up to.

Fitts: If you look at the surgeries they are doing, this is really child sacrifice.

Farrell: It's mutilation, and I don't know how else to put it. It's mutilation of an indigenous part of our identity. Sex and gender are not the same thing. I go back to the point when this country started to go 'off the rails' was when it changed the government forms from 'sex' to 'gender'. I knew back then that this was all agnostic agenda and that we would end up here decades ago. This is a theological point.

Fitts: There was an amazing interview of Kennedy with one of the Biden officials who explained to him that there were two sexes and the number of genders was infinite. You should have heard it. It was an interesting conversation.

The amazing thing is that no matter what question he asked, the woman couldn't come up with an answer; she just went around in circles; it was nonstop 'pretzel talk', and it was frightening. This woman was so off.

Farrell: They are sick. It is an irrationality that is a kind of mental disorder; it has gotten to that point. This is the problem with infinite genders. Infinite genders means that you can never arrive at your perfectly just and progressive society. That, incidentally, is the name of the game; this is what empowers them.

Fitts: It's a giant distraction.

Farrell: Of course it is.

Fitts: I think I told you that I have a wonderful ally who has an Asian family. All of her Asian family is moving into the Silicon Valley. I said, “How did they deal with all of this Bizarro World stuff?”

She said, “They just totally ignore it. It has nothing to do with building family wealth, building a successful career, getting a great education, and they know that it is complete distraction.” That is essentially for tricking the gweilos (slang term for Westerners), and they pay it no mind.

Farrell: Ignoring it was the strategy that used to be adopted. Ignore it up to the point that they try to force it on you, and then you have to say, “No.”

Fitts: Here is the thing: If you allow your child to have access to media that mind-controls them, if you have an Alexa in your home, if you have a smartphone, what do you think is going to happen?

Farrell: People have gotten all over me for not having a smartphone. I don’t want one because I don’t want the constant surveillance and botheration. During the last storm here, I wasn’t able to make any phone calls for an emergency, and I wouldn’t have been able to even if I had a smartphone because the cell towers blew down.

I don’t need it. This is the problem; we have been convinced that we need all these things, and we don’t. Who needs to have their children mutilate their sex before they’ve even entered puberty and before they even know what it is that they are mutilating? There is no other word for it; it is demonic and diabolical.

Fitts: Let’s move to the next story:

10.False Entrapment: The Targeting of Doctors and Scientists (and Journalists, Patriots, and Everyone Else).

We watched some incredible targeting’s in the last quarter. One was Dr. Meryl Nass. She decided that she was going to fight the Maine Medical Board that tried to pull her license for something that wasn’t against the rules. She was

prescribing hydroxychloroquine off-label, which is fine.

She advertised the Maine Medical Board hearings, and they were getting 200,000 viewers from all over the globe. I don't think the Maine Medical Board will ever recover.

Then Dr. Sucharit Bhakdi went on trial for hate speech in Germany, and he was found not guilty.

If you look at the extraordinary effort that was made by Dr. Bhakdi and his allies to be prepared, and they were lucky they got a good judge, there was no case. The judge just said that they were not guilty.

If you look at all of the false entrapments that are being organized and prepared, it is extraordinary. I lived through 11 years of that. If you count the taxes, it was 15 years dealing with that. It was dealing with criminals when you have to be perfect when trying to prove how perfect you are.

They are planning on trying enormous amounts of targeting, and they are very dangerous and very serious.

I calculated it, and it took me 36,000 hours to prove they were lying. That was 36,000 hours of free work. That is a large amount of free work.

Farrell: This is what happens when you have a criminal, corrupt system. All that I can say is that I pray for its complete collapse and breakdown soon. We can't keep going on like this.

Fitts: For the next story, I'm going to screenshare again and show you a new chart. Are you ready for this?:

The Great Poisoning Rolls On.

In 2021, the per capita health expenditure had the US leading the way with \$12,318 per person.

Germany was \$7,383. I'm used to Switzerland being number two, but they didn't include Switzerland. I think they would probably be in around Germany. The last time I looked, they were at \$6,000, and Sweden was \$6,262.

What you need to know is that the German and Swedish systems are far superior to ours.

Farrell: I don't doubt that for a moment.

Fitts: I would much rather get sick in Italy than in the United States. Poland, too.

I hate to say this: There are many different factors going into this, but this is part of the Great Poisoning.

Let's talk about a couple of the stories. One of the most frightening stories during this period was what happened to Dr. Skidmore. I don't know if you are familiar with what happened with his survey.

Farrell: No, I'm not.

Fitts: Do you remember what his survey was? At the end of 2021, he was on a Doctors for Covid Ethics call. When there is a big difference between the government statistics and statistics from reliable sources, the way economists deal with that is they do a random survey that is chosen by a professional firm to be represented in the general population, and then they see what they find. He said, "I'm going to do a survey of 3,000 Americans on what their experience was with COVID and the COVID injection."

First, he did a trial one with the *Solari* list. Then he did the survey with a professional survey firm. It was fascinating because one of his questions was, "How many people do you know who were harmed or killed by the COVID-19 injection?"

Farrell: I remember that.

Fitts: People would say, "One," or, "Two," or, "Three," or more people.

Then he would say, “Pick the person you know the best and describe in one sentence what happened?”

Then it combines all of these one-sentence responses into an appendix, and you see thousands and thousands of deaths, fast-acting cancer, myocarditis, Bell’s palsy, and it goes on and on. It’s frightening; it’s just frightening.

So, he spent a year redoing his write-up of the survey and got it published in a well-known journal. Within 48 hours to a week, it became the most views of anything they had published in their entire history.

One of the reasons was that Jordan Peterson tweeted it out. Then it was taken down and retracted. If you look at the reasons for doing it, it was entirely bogus; it was just so trumped up. It’s not to be believed.

Here is what is interesting: He is at Michigan State University, and this caused a huge ‘brouhaha’ with people complaining to the university. So, the university had to look into it. Do you know what they did? They didn’t say a word, but they canceled their vaccine mandate for students. Do you know why? I believe it is because their counsel told them, “Now that you have been forced to read this and look into this, you can’t say that you didn’t know. So, it can be proven in a court of law that you knew that this was not safe and effective and that it was going to kill children. You are legally liable, so you had better shut it down.”

This tells you that facts matter.

If you look at all the additions with the injections, and the 3D printed fish hitting the market, and the mRNA meat hitting the market, and DOD funding and Bill Gates releasing millions of GMO mosquitos. You look at this, and say, “Thank God for God’s grace here!”

Farrell: And they want to blot out the sun. What could go wrong?

Fitts: Life without vitamin D. Oh joy!

Farrell: Exactly! These people are insane.

Fitts: Here is the reality: The only logical hypothesis that I can come up with is that they are intentionally trying to lower life expectancy. Can you come up with another logical reason?

Farrell: No, I can't. It makes sense from the standpoint of the financial aspect that you outlined earlier.

The only other thing that I can think of is that there is a hard core somewhere in these global elites that honestly worships Satan and hates humanity. That's the only other explanation.

Fitts: I think that's true.

There was a fantastic presentation from the Doctors for Covid Ethics by a Swiss professor showing the extraordinary fall in successful pregnancies and pregnancy as a result of the injections in Switzerland. It was frightening.

I think that one of the big questions is about sterilization.

I didn't mention false entrapment – and this is not false entrapment but even more frightening – but as soon as Dr. Bhakdi was found not guilty in his trial, the next step was, “Okay, now we are going to publish our book on mRNA technology and how dangerous it is, and really lead the campaign to stop mRNA technology.”

Within three weeks, Dr. Arne Burkhardt, who was the pathologist that had ‘proven beyond any shadow of a doubt’ how dangerous mRNA technology was, was found dead in the lake near his house. They found him floating. We still don't understand the facts of what happened, but to lose Dr. Burkhardt at that point, was devastating.

Farrell: Going years back with the plan/ ‘scamdemic’, and the ‘quackcines’, and particularly the mRNA injections, if you recall, there were a number of deaths, not only of holistic doctors, but of pathologists and people in biology and bio-weapons and so on for a number of years prior to the plan/ ‘scamdemic’. I wonder, now that you mentioned this doctor's death, that some

of those things might have been related to the plans to try out this human experimentation.

Fitts: Absolutely. Next is your favorite story:

12. The Ukraine Bluff and the Neocon Nightmare – Russia Encirclement and the Odds for WWII.

The question is: How far will they take it?

Farrell: Or the question could be: What was all that about?

Fitts: How far are they going to take this? What these people are saying is they want regime change, but they want implosion.

Farrell: That is the way that I am reading it. Let's look at it this way: We had the bombing assassination of Alexander Dugin. Then we had two drones attacking the Kremlin. Then we had drone attacks on Western Moscow, where the fashionable live, and it was even rumored that Putin has a domicile in that part of Moscow. So, we had that. Then we had the Yevgeny Prigozhin (founder of the Wagner Group-private military contractor) coup, which does not look like a coup at all – if it's genuine. It seems more like a mutiny.

Fitts: I'm going to stop you there. Do you want to talk about that now, or do you want to come back to that.

Why don't you go through your timeline, and then we will come back to that because I want to touch on that.

Farrell: I don't have a timeline per se. What I'm getting at is an article that appeared by Professor Sergey Karaganov in *Russia Today* (RT). I did a 'News & Views' on this, and he was talking about escalation steps and he could count about two dozen steps to nuclear escalation.

I thought this was a very interesting article given the context and timing in which it occurred, and then, of course, the so-called 'coup' happening shortly after that. First of all, nothing appears in *Russia Today* without the Russian

government knowing about it. In other words, that was a message, and that was the way I read it. It was not so much a message to the Russian people, but a message to the West.

I think the message was this, “If we are willing to consider the nuclear option – and there are all these other steps that exist before that – we are now officially considering the whole gamut of responses, and the nuclear escalation is the last one in this process.”

In the article, he says specifically, “We might want to consider putting the civilian populations of those countries that we might nuke on alert to move out of the target area.” He actually says this.

What I thought was, “What Russia is telling us there is that if the nuclear option is on the table, then so are all the others. All the others include assassination, infrastructure attacks, cyber-attacks, asymmetrical warfare, and the ‘whole nine yards’.” In other words, all of the covert operations responses are now on the table.

If you are willing to attack the Kremlin with drones and so on, or if you are willing to bomb Alexander Dugin, we are going to do the same for you.

I think that it was their way of putting George Soros and company on notice. If Israel can grab Adolf Eichmann and bring him to Israel to stand trial for crimes against Israelis, then Russia can grab George Soros and bring him to Russia to stand trial for what he has done to the Russians.

Fitts: I would have happily turned Netanyahu over to Russia if they wanted him.

Farrell: I would happily turn over the whole lot of them: Take Klaus Schwab and Bill Gates and Agustín Carstens; take them all. Good luck with you!

Fitts: Larry Fink, to Moscow you go!

Farrell: Yes, everybody. Take them all! Good luck! And make sure to send us the videos.

I think that this is what is going on. With Prigozhin, I'm dumbfounded that this whole thing has gotten the hype that it has in the Western media. Number one, I don't view it as a coup. If it was anything, it was a clever way to get Prigozhin from the Donbas (in eastern Ukraine-parts of which are occupied by Russia) into Byelorussia (Republic of Belarus). Why? Because first of all, the Byelorussian border is about 90 miles north of Kiev. Number two, the Russian government made it clear that whatever soldiers want to go with Prigozhin, go ahead and go with him.

In other words, this is a nice way to get Wagner into Byelorussia.

Fitts: But are they still financing him?

Farrell: It could be, but I don't know. I think that another way to look at that is the Russian government has also told many Wagner soldiers that you can sign contracts directly now with the Ministry of Defense.

This whole thing looks like nothing other than a narrative way to get Wagner into Byelorussia and have Byelorussia act as the cut-out for the support to the Wagner Group. The other thing, and this is very crucial, is to remember that Russia put tactical nuclear weapons into Byelorussia. So, I suspect the real play here is, and this is pure speculation because we are far away from events to be able to interpret them properly: With the tactical nukes in Byelorussia, I think that putting Prigozhin in the Wagner Group is a way for the Russians to keep tacit control of those weapons and yet create a circumstance where they can say these weapons got into the hands of the wrong people. In other words, it's plausible deniability; it's giving a private entity control of nuclear weapons.

I think this is a Russian response to BlackRock being involved in Ukraine. This is what I suspect is happening.

Is there a danger of nuclear escalation here? Absolutely there is, but I think the danger and escalation are now coming from the fact that, because the West has been willing to use nongovernmental agencies (cut-outs, color revolutions, and so on – thank you, George Soros), the Russians are starting to play the same game. I've been warning about this for years.

I suspect that will continue. I strongly suspect that the Russians may be involved with the north slope of Alaska losing their internet connections.

Fitts: We've seen Soros turn over his operation to his 37-year-old son, skipping his other son who is in his 50's and is quite intelligent and sensible. He is much more intelligent and sensible, and he has the good sense to disagree with his father. Apparently, that is why he was skipped over to somebody who is very much speaking a language of a hitman; the 37-year-old sounds like a 'nut'.

Farrell: He's a 'nut'! He's a carbon copy of his father; he likes to play God.

, I view these Russian moves – particularly the piece by Professor Sergey Karaganov – as Russia throwing down the gauntlet saying, “You want to play this way and you want to fight the dirty wars? We are willing to fight the dirty wars.”

I'm saying that because those drone attacks in Russia against the Kremlin and the later ones against those apartment complexes in Moscow could not have taken place, in my opinion, without human intelligence assets on the ground.

In other words, the Ukrainians or the British or somebody had actual spies on the ground that made those attacks possible. This is Russia's response in saying, “If you are going to play that way, so are we.”

Don't be at all surprised, if suddenly, you see stories about this or that Western leader being taken out by a drone attack. Don't be at all surprised.

Fitts: Somebody sent me a description of a NATO strategy from long ago in the 1980's about using tactical nukes in Northern Germany to protect against a Russian invasion. We've seen clear rumors that Germany is ready to send in military to Ukraine.

I don't know if that is true or not, but there seems to be plenty of indications or allegations that it may be true.

Here is the question: Is Germany prepared to take a tactical nuke explosion in its territory?

Farrell: No, and Germany doesn't have much of a military left-thank you Angela Merkel-to send into Ukraine.

I read a story recently where the Germans are planning to send a couple thousand – up to 4,000 German troops – into Lithuania. The motivation for that is unclear to me.

The problem I have with any German military response is that, number one, Angela Merkel inherited a decent military from Kohl and Schröder, and she basically allowed Ursula von der Leyen to 'gut' it, which she did. So, there is not much of a military left.

Olaf Scholz' government, as far as I can tell, is not popular and it is not very strong. So, I don't know what the Germans are thinking other than they are so 'under the thumb' of Washington, DC that they are contemplating all of this nonsense. Given the insanity of Western governments, I would not be surprised if the Germans did something stupid like sending in troops into Ukraine.

On the other hand, I can't fathom anybody being that stupid; it's a hard situation to read.

Fitts: Let me connect the dots between the war and financial repression. The neocons believe the Carl von Clausewitz (Prussian general) strategy (psychological and political aspects of waging war) that Russia's demographics are working against them, and if they just continue to apply pressure, ultimately, they can get Russia to implode. They can get Putin thrown out and get Russia to implode.

It's a long game, and requires having massively more resources than the other faction. So, you have to outspend the other faction 50 to 1 or 100 to 1 for that strategy to work.

If we were financially where we were 50 years ago, we could 'play that game'. The question is: How do you play that game now? I don't know how you play

that game without basically taking one-third of the budget that goes for domestic spending and radically reduce it with complete financial repression and control?

Farrell: Here is the other problem: I fully grant that the neocons are that stupid to attempt to do something like this, and, yes, this is their game plan for Russia. It always has been and it always will be. We don't have to go any further back than Sir Halford Mackinder (Heartland theory) to know that.

I have no doubt that the insanity that is gripping Washington, DC is that bizarre and that drastic. I go back to what I've warned people before.

There is an old Russian proverb, "You can dance with the bear, but the bear is going to be the one that tells you when the dance is over." This is the problem here.

We, in the West, have a history of underestimating or, to use the Bush expression, 'misunderestimating' Russia. We've been doing it for a very long time. Just think of Napoleon Bonaparte or the Ottoman Turks for that matter. Think of the Mongols. You name it; everybody has been misunderstanding the Russians.

Here is my problem with that strategy, and I'm not doubting that this is in the mind of idiots like Lindsey Graham. You talk about neocons; this man hasn't a clue, and thinks that it is a good investment – all this money that we have put into Ukraine – to kill Russians.

Here is my problem: You cannot tell me for one minute that India, Japan, Indonesia, the Philippines, and anybody else with an interest in that region of the world will allow the West to 'sack' Russia. I think that the geopolitics here is we are no longer living in the unipolar world; it is becoming multipolar again, and the last time we saw multipolar geopolitics was prior to World War I.

Fitts: What is phenomenal to me is that these people have absolutely no intention of accepting what you are saying.

Farrell: I know they don't have any intention of accepting that, but that is my

point.

We are in a balance of power politics situation again, and the modern neocon doesn't realize that.

Fitts: So, if you are a modern neocon and you want to continue this strategy, who do you want for President?

Farrell: If you want to continue the strategy, definitely Biden. Failing Biden, you want Gavin Newsome. You could tolerate a DeSantis; you could tolerate an Asa Hutchinson. Virtually all of the Republican field you could tolerate. You would not tolerate a Robert Kennedy and you could not tolerate a Donald Trump.

Fitts: You think that Trump wouldn't 'play ball' with them on Ukraine?

Farrell: I don't think that he would play ball with them; no I don't. The only way that he might play ball with them is if he cuts a deal with them for support in Ukraine if they support him in cleaning out the Justice Department. That would be a Trump deal and something that he would pull.

I don't see the balance of power politics that you see emerging in Asia going along with an attempt to implode Russia. About the only ones who could tilt that balance would be the Chinese. I don't see the Japanese allowing that to happen. This is balance of power politics.

Fitts: I'm going to turn to one of your favorite topics with this next story:

13. Weird Weather, Weird Fires.

Have you experienced any weird weather?

Farrell: Weird weather! You know very good and well that I have experienced some very weird weather!

I'll tell you something: Your listeners and readers may not know – and my listeners certainly do – that we had a storm here recently. It left me without

power for three days.

Fitts: And where you are in the summer, that is hot; it is hideous.

Farrell: It is truly hideous. Fortunately, my little house is well-insulated. If it had not been, it would have been much worse.

Here is what happened: I had a premonition that day that the storms I was watching were going to be very bad. I knew the moment I saw the radar.

The storm moved from the western end of the state across the state in a straight west to east line and into Arkansas. I thought, “Okay, this storm was straight line wind in excess in some places of 120 miles an hour.”

Our local mayor said that it was a ‘wall of wind as wide as the entire county north to south’.

Fitts: It was a wall of tornados; it was one huge wall of tornados.

Farrell: The equivalent is it was more like a hurricane because it was that kind of straight-line wind. They blew the sirens 20 minutes early, and they kept the sirens blowing for 20 minutes solid.

Fitts: The municipal officials did a good job if you had time to get to the shelter.

Farrell: Absolutely. As a result of the storm, I think there was one fatality. But the storm lasted for a while. Shiloh (dog) and I were in the storm shelter for an hour and a half, and it was one constant roar of wind. It was so bad that where you used to stay in the motel-in that little commercial area-there was a big sign that was held up on four metal poles. Here in the storm shelter, I could hear the steel breaking and collapsing from that sign going down; I could hear that.

Anybody who has ever heard bulkheads in an ocean liner – the strange, eerie moan – that is what it was like.

In addition to that, I am a Midwestern Great Plains boy. I have never in my life

seen or heard an electrical storm like this. It was ‘off the charts’. That, plus my dog’s weird behavior all day, told me that the ionization in the atmosphere at the time, was ‘off the charts’.

This metropolitan area has about 750,000 people, and almost a third of them were without power after this storm.

The unusual thing that happened was at the end of the street that I’m on, there are some big trees that are 40-50 years old, which are quite chunky. They were literally pulled up by the roots from the wind. Somehow they managed to wrap themselves around the power lines as they fell; it was just a mess. And that happened all over the city.

Fast forward to later on. I had rescheduled my vidchat to be on the next day. So, what happened? We got another storm – almost a mini-version of the same thing – starting in the same place with a wall of wind. It was about 50 miles north of here moving straight west to east, straight along the state border. It ‘smacked’ into another city north of here.

On top of all of this, I got the distinct impression that there was very little press coverage of what happened here. In fact, my sister and my cousin didn’t even know that we had been in a storm. I had to tell them, “Oh, by the way, I’m okay.”

They asked, “What went on?”

It was like it didn’t happen. That to me was the most interesting part of this.

Fitts: Do you remember the panhandle fires?

Farrell: I sure do.

Fitts: It’s the same thing; there were these extraordinary panhandle fires, and there was not a ‘peep’ of it in the news.

Farrell: That was a telltale sign that this was not entirely natural. As a result, I had some people emailing me after they found out about the storm. Apparently,

at one point prior to the storm breaking out, there were three very strong low-pressure cells in the country, and they were at the vertices of an almost perfect isosceles triangle. It was just uncanny where these low pressures were located. And, of course, all of the energy was being funneled into the Midwestern part of the continent. I thought, “There we go.”

I believe this was a bit of storm engineering. There is no way that this was entirely natural.

Fitts: I had a similar problem flying from Amsterdam to Philadelphia. In fact, it was quite a mess. My plane was seven hours late, and when we got there, we couldn’t get to the farm because the roads were closed and electricity was down.

Interestingly, there were reports on TV, although I don’t watch TV, of families who spent three or four days trying to get on their flight out of the airport. So, it was quite a mess. If you look at what this was doing to the economy of a country, it is unbelievable.

If you just look at *Solari’s* budget at the cost of sicknesses that can incapacitate people or these kinds of weather problems or various kinds of shadow work, we are dealing with an off-the-chart sabotage. It’s half of our budget.

Farrell: The amount of work that I lost alone, with three days of not being able to work, and people don’t tend to think of this as a cost of business, but it really is.

Fitts: It’s a huge cost of business.

Farrell: Then multiply it by 250,000 people. That is quite significant. Add to that, property damage and everything else.

I will have to cut down a big tree in my front yard because I had a limb fall within about six inches of the front left corner of my house. I had to have that cut away and taken down. That was a week after having another storm broke a limb, and I had to replace my windshield for that one. On top of that, there was another limb that was broken on the tree that was being held up by the limb exactly beneath it. So, I had to pay people to cut it down.

Try to find people willing to do this because, of course, the whole city has tree services that are overloaded. This went on and on and on.

There was nothing about this storm that struck me as being ‘normal’. There is a word for this kind of storm; it’s called the ‘derecho’. That is Spanish for ‘straight’. But it’s a rare thing. This was a rare once-in-the-decades event type of thing for where I live. From the sounds of it, this whole weather pattern has moved up to the area of the country you’re in.

I’ve been fighting this weather pattern for the last two months. It seems that every two weeks, we get some sort of storm system moving in on the weekend. It’s as regular as clockwork.

Fitts: They don’t want anybody talking to each other.

Farrell: Exactly.

Fitts: When our site went down in 2017, we had to put in a new site because it was so bad after I had published two things. The question was: What happened?

There was a huge study on pension funds saying that pension funds have a deep state problem. On the cover of the study, there was a farmer and a cow at the top half, and there is a hole in a bucket, and the milk is going down into all these state funnels.

The other was the first one in the *Future Science* series, which we had just launched it. Ulrike Granogger did a fabulous presentation on neutrinos, including a discussion of the Antarctica facility doing neutrinos.

If you understand the power of neutrinos and what they can do, you realize, from a communications standpoint, we could be broadcasting to other planets. So, it is very powerful when you understand the power of neutrinos. We recently used this as the ‘*Blast from the Past*’.

So my question was, which event was it? Was it one or both? I was very interested to see with the Steven Greer (founded the Center of the Study of

Extraterrestrial Intelligence) disclosure press conference, a whistleblower from Raytheon alleging that the neutrino facility in Antarctica (IceCube) was used to induce earthquakes in Christchurch, New Zealand

Farrell: Oh, it wouldn't surprise me.

Fitts: It wouldn't surprise me either. I'm highly confident that numerous earthquakes and the Indonesian tsunami were induced. Whether it was the neutrino plant in Antarctica, I have no idea, but we are talking about that kind of weaponry in existence.

One of the reasons I enjoyed the press conference that Steven Greer did – and I loved the one he did in 2001, but I liked this one, too – is it introduces you into a world that is the Breakaway Civilization. Whether the allegations are true or not is almost not that important. You need to understand that this level of weaponry exists; these spaceships are existent.

\$21 trillion buys you plenty of hardware. That's a great deal of underground bases, many spaceships, and a lot of neutrino facilities in Antarctica. This is huge.

You know what I said: The pandemic is when the Breakaway Civilization breaks back in. This is a huge infrastructure, and you cannot discuss the news without facing the fact that weather warfare is real.

Farrell: People need to take away from this discussion two things. First, this hidden economy or 'hidden system of finance', as I like to call it, is actually a hidden economy. It has been going on since World War II, and has never let up.

Fitts: It just keeps growing.

Farrell: The reason is that they needed 'gobs' of money to be able to emulate UFO technology. Much of what we are talking about is where the missing money went missing. It can buy you a good deal of things. That is takeaway number one.

Takeaway number two is that once you have attained the capability to engineer

weather or to manipulate it – and notice that I said ‘manipulate’, not ‘control’ because I don’t think they are entirely there yet –the more people try to do it, the more it is likely to mess up.

You have a number of countries on the planet right now that have weather manipulation programs and are actively engaged in this. So, the other takeaway is a statement that Elana Freeland made to me during an interview that we had, and that is there is no more such thing as ‘purely natural weather’; there is not.

Storms are going to be a mixture of human interference and natural systems. What this means is that the climate change narrative that ‘man is responsible for the terrible climate change disasters that we see happening’ is true, but not in the way that the public advocates of climate change mean it; it is not the result of ‘cow farts’ or farmers or Greta Thunberg and what she is claiming or John ‘ketchup’ Kerry. It’s not the result of any of that; it is the result of the use and application of these weather manipulation technologies. That is what it is.

Fitts: Right, but it is also the extraordinary environmental damage and waste that comes from all of this industrial agriculture and waste of various kinds.

Farrell: I would agree with that, but the principle culprit, as far as I’m concerned, are these weather manipulation technologies and technologies like CERN with local magnetic fields several times stronger than that of the planet. It’s these absurd technologies. I don’t care what anybody says about how advanced scientifically we are. We really don’t know what we are playing around with.

I have a book coming out this September, and I hope it’s going to ‘drill’ that point home to people ‘bigtime’. We really don’t know what we are playing around with.

Fitts: Speaking of which, I’m going to share the screen again for this next story:

14.The Space-Based Economy Keeps Growing; So Do the UFO Stories.

At the top, we have one of my favorite cartoons we ever did. It’s a UFO that

says 'Lockheed Martian' on it. On one side of the capitol in DC it says, "We convene this hearing to investigate the loss of four trillion dollars the Department of Defense has somehow misplaced. Mr. Secretary, please pass out the DOD's ledgers..."

The DOD testifying person says, "Mr. Chairman, wouldn't you know it, but it appears a UFO has abducted our accountant and all of his files..."

The UFO stories are back, as we discussed earlier, and they keep coming. Of course, Greer did a two-day webinar with a public press conference at the National Press Club. I thought there were some rather interesting things in it. Greer has been at this a long time.

There is no doubt that the number of UFO stories this year have been 'off the charts'. Tell us what you think this is.

Farrell: I think it's narrative preparation.

Fitts: So, they're going to do a false flag with UFO's?

Farrell: I think they are creating the conditions where that remains on the table as an option for them. I don't think that the number of UFO reports has changed. I do think what has changed is the 'propatainment' /media/ government willingness to talk about them.

Fitts: How much of it is that they have lost so much market share that they need something entertaining?

Farrell: That, too. The other thing I make 'no bones' about is that both you and I were participants in two Secret Space Program conferences in 2014 and 2015. You will notice that the response was almost immediate in those two conferences. First, there was the To the Stars Academy of Arts and Science Inc. (San Diego based company now known as To The Stars) business. After that 'fizzled', we had the direct approach through the media with Luis Elizondo and all of this so-called 'government disclosure'.

I view the current thing as a response to those Secret Space Program

conferences. Those conferences demonstrated: Number one, the ufology community was no longer going to be able to get away with telling the same old stories of abduction and so on, but we raised the bar in those conferences. We raised the bar of documentation and argumentation. That was simply not being served by the ufology conference community at the time.

The other thing that happened as a result of those conferences was that you had a completely new conference culture with a whole new roster of people who were being invited to speak in that context. Rest assured, the standard UFO conferences never or seldom would have invited the likes of you or me or Walter Bosley or Dr. John Brandenburg.

Fitts: Right, and we connected all the dots to what the central banks were doing, what the Treasury was doing, and what international agreements were doing. There was serious dot-connecting between the politics and money in the United States and globally and these phenomena.

Farrell: You will notice what is happening now with the government back in the ‘driver’s seat’ of controlling the narrative that those dots are no longer being connected; they are officially willing to talk about certain things now, but they are not really connecting any dots in any major way. They are showing a number of films, and are saying, “We have a UFO problem.”

The other part of this that bothers me is the narrative preparation angle. This is another way to be able to talk about invisible enemies. I would not put it past them to do AI generated computer graphic false flag events. There is any number of ways they can play this.

My problem with it – and I’ve said this so many times before – is that I wouldn’t put it past these people to say that they are going to have an alien event that will say, “All current religions on the planet are wrong,” and we are already seeing this now. You have this listed in your outline in the ‘**War on God**’ section.

Fitts: Have you seen this thing with Schwab saying, “We’re going to use AI to conform all the people.”

Farrell: Right. “We are going to use AI to correct religious texts.”

Imagine Thomas Jefferson and his Bible and his pair of scissors. This is what they are going to do, and they will make it a crime for traditionalists like me to use the actual text and the masculine words and the feminine words; they will make that a crime. They are going to try to persecute people for doing this. ‘Mark my words’.

The AI and the alien part of it, I think they are ‘ginning this up’ for a big, huge push. It will be a religious push in nature.

Here is the problem: I’ve been warning about this and hinting about this for years. I hinted at it at both of the Secret Space Program conferences. These people are prepping a narrative in my opinion. Some of them (not all of them) actually believe there are things or people or aliens out there, but most of them don’t.

The real problem is going to be when they invoke Heaven; don’t be surprised if it shows up. I have a feeling that we are in for some very interesting times.

Fitts: Do you remember Rappoport’s story about Phillip? Did you ever hear that?

Farrell: Oh, yes!

Fitts: For those of you who don’t know the story, a group of people in Canada got together and said, “We wonder if we could invent an intelligence.” So, they decided to invent an intelligence called ‘Phillip’. They discussed what Phillip was like and who he was.

Suddenly, a spirit showed up, and they went into this huge discussion. Had the spirit showed up and decided to be Phillip, or had they created Phillip? They didn’t know. That is the last of the story, but be careful, our minds are powerful. I keep warning people, be careful what you wish for.

Farrell Wait for the book to come out in September.

Fitts: I'll buy it as soon as I can get it.

Farrell: I am going to send you a copy of the last book that just came out, *Giza Deathstar Revisited*.

Fitts: It's out? You didn't tell me!

Farrell: It is out, yes.

Fitts: You must tell me.

Farrell: I was deliberately saving a copy of that, and the next one. There is somewhat of a sequel to it. The sequel is very important; it is the book that I've been wanting to write for 30 years. I've been sitting on this one because this is so 'out there' that I wanted somebody else to put out the basic idea before I chimed in with my patristic Orthodox Christian 'two cents.'

There is a huge 'monkey wrench' that has been thrown – not by me, but by somebody else – into the works. I'm throwing in yet another 'monkey wrench' into the works. It's a long time coming.

I'll tell you about it later so that you will know what to look for.

Fitts: Let's go to **Story #15**. I will share my screen again:

15.AI Adoption Skyrockets. Uh-oh – It Lies and Kills.

This graph is 'Share of Artificial Intelligence (AI) startup deals by quarter from 2019-2022'. You can see that the money is rolling in, particularly in the United States and Asia. Europe and other regions are very much behind. It's a bit like what I had suggested when I did the book review of *AI Superpowers*. It is basically that you have a small number of countries who have consolidated the leadership and control of this technology – or certainly leadership. The danger is that it is out of control.

One of the stories that came up this year in the *2nd Quarter* was the fact that this can kill. I'm not only thinking of the Air Force's simulation, but the story of the

lawyers who filed numerous lawsuit cases, and then had to throw themselves to the mercy of the court when it turned out that the cases were bogus. They had used AI to find, get, and describe them, and it turned out that the AI just made it up.

It turns out that AI lies and AI may kill. That is why I agree with what Kennedy said: We can't afford to be fighting with everybody; we need to figure out how we can tame this stuff.

Farrell: I am in full agreement there. I go back to one of the few things that Elon Musk has said in recent years that I think is worth paying attention to, and that was his warning about creating an AI and it opens some sort of gate for an entity to come in.

Fitts: I totally agree.

Farrell: You will agree more once the new book is out.

Fitts: Good!

Farrell: There is something lurking here that people need to be aware of.

Fitts: I think this is absolutely being encouraged and developed because artificial intelligence wants a way to affect change in material reality. They want a doorway into material reality, and this is how they are going to get it.

Farrell: Yes indeed.

Fitts: I think that it is exceptionally dangerous.

Remember, you can also use divine entities. They can crowd it out. That is why the spiritual warfare nature of what we are involved in is so very important; you want to invite the divine.

Farrell: There are demons, and then there are angels. In the lore of theology, they are more or less the same species, but their characters and intentions are different.

Fitts: If you were going to use digital systems or any of these high-tech systems, you need to think about what intelligence is and what interdimensional intelligences are moving through your systems.

Farrell: I have been warning about this for years.

Fitts: Yes, that is true.

Farrell: The name 'Lucifer' means 'the light bearer'. What is light? It is electromagnetism. In other words, you are playing around with the god of electromagnetism. That is quite potent if you stop and think about it.

Then to ascribe personal agency to it, and on top of that, to ascribe evil intention to that personal agency, now you are beginning to grasp the seriousness of the situation that we are in. Trust me, there is more to come.

Fitts: I'm looking forward to it. We are going to have to do another discussion as soon as this book is out.

Farrell: I imagine we will be doing that.

Fitts: Let me go to the next story:

16. The S&P Returns to Bull Territory.

Technically, the S&P has returned to bull market territory, but what is extraordinary is that most of the increase in the S&P is coming from five tech stocks. It's almost like the only thing that is making money is engineering the smart grid to institute complete control.

Home construction has suddenly picked up, and 15% of that is coming from areas other than the five tech companies.

One of the things we are seeing is tremendous tension between China and the United States, and the two financial markets are pulling apart. I think that will continue as long as the current people are in office. We see operations that can

no longer manage a global economy; it's too complex, and there is too much division.

Sequoia Capital, which is one of the largest private equity firms in the world, just split into three parts. They separated China and India out from the US and Europe. That is a good description of how fractious the politics have become.

Of course the Anglo-American Alliance's 'claim to fame' was their liquid financial markets. Now with sanctions and these other developments, they are no longer liquid.

You are seeing a 'tear in the fabric'. For me as a financial professional, it's quite extraordinary to watch.

Farrell: As far as I know, they are preparing a new round of sanctions against Russia now. From what I understand, they are going to go after all the middle men with this new round of sanctions. That will only play into this fracturing of what has happened.

Fitts: It's what the Finnish President said, "There is no more room for the nonaligned.

This chart shows you the top tech stocks. The green line (tech stocks) versus the S&P, which is the yellow line. It shows you how extraordinary it is. A few stocks are making a fortune from central bank and government policy.

Let's turtle down to the next story:

17. Transhumanism Leaves the Closet Behind.

You wrote a warning about transhumanism ten to fifteen years ago, right?

Farrell: No, it was more like six or seven years ago. It may be a little older than that, but it's not ten or fifteen.

Fitts: When you wrote about it, many people weren't aware. Now it's out of control; out of the 'closet'.

Farrell: What we were trying to describe was the hidden occult basis of transhumanism. For those who know the latter, in much occult lore, if you look at the doctrine of mankind, the original creation of man – and this is going to sound oxymoronic, and it was one of the most curious things we ran into – is a masculine androgine. That is the oxymoronic part of it. Then you have a declension from that to mineral man, and then vegetable man, and then finally, animal man, which is us.

So, if you look at the transhumanist agenda, you will see an attempt to create chimeras with humanity – humanity and plants, humanity and machines, chips, and Silicon. That is your mineral man. Finally, this quest to destroy our sexuality and create this kind of transgendered creature that is neither male nor female, I think is ultimately what is really behind much of this transgenderism and the push to allow children to have their sexual organs mutilated surgically. It's really an esoteric occult agenda, as far as I'm concerned.

Fitts: I believe much of it is just prototyping. They are trying to do things and see how it works, and these children are being experimented on.

Farrell: “We can do it, so let's go ahead and do it and see what happens.” It's really diabolical.

Fitts: Let's skip down to the next story:

18.Environmental Stress and Geophysical Risks – Mysteries Grow.

If there is one area that I would love to know the truth about, it's this. I don't know if you saw this story about, *NASA Warns of 'Internet Apocalypse' that Leaves People Offline for Months.*

Farrell: I did see that. I can tell you that trying to live for only a few days without the internet drove me 'nuts'.

Fitts: Really?

Farrell: It drove me 'nuts' because that is how I work. If I'm not doing

something, I'm not producing. It was a lesson to me of how fragile this technological society really is.

Fitts: It is very fragile.

Farrell: It is extraordinarily fragile.

Fitts: Naomi Wolfe wrote a great piece about how the sky turned orange in New York. It was attributed to the fires in Canada, which I have a hard time believing because if you saw all of the reports, somebody didn't want somebody to see what was going on in the sky. That is what it seemed to me..

I think that given the level of the corruption, more and more people will start to realize, "Look, if you look at what is a problem for the environment, it's the war and organized crime first and foremost," which it is. They are not going to go along with, "This is caused by my using shampoo," or something.

Farrell: The idea that climate change is being brought about by spray deodorant or Freon in the air conditioner – right!

Fitts: My understanding is that HVAC systems are a problem, and they could be redone to be much less harmful to the environment.

Farrell: Yes, but my point is that none of those things are as harmful to the environment as creating weather weapons, ionospheric heaters, nuclear testing, and particle accelerators with field strengths several times that of the planet. No, that is the problem; it's this gargantuan technological monster. That is the problem.

Fitts: I'm with you. Next:

19. Glorious Pushback.

Here are some more charts from the CBDC study. When you go through the Cato Institute study, you realize the American people are far smarter than they let on. I encourage people to go through these charts.

The pushback is everywhere. BlackRock now has to start paying for home security for CEO Larry Fink.

Farrell: I can believe that. I think that is an interesting story in and of itself because I think, to a certain extent, that people are waking up to the fact that these people aren't going to stop until they are stopped. I don't know how else to put it. It goes in line with what I said about Russia and the nuclear escalation business. That means that all other options, including the covert operations options, are now on the table. The mafia wars, in other words, are about to get hot. That is my prediction.

Fitts: The Swiss all spend time in the military, and they are trained with firearms. There was a newsletter writer who insisted that the instructions to the Swiss people were that if somebody attacks Switzerland – wherever they are in the world – their job is to kill as many of those people as possible, targeting the leaders. I don't know if that is true or not; I've never gotten confirmation of it. But it wouldn't surprise me.

You attack a country, and suddenly, 'all bets are off'.

Farrell: Mr. 'Globaloney' has been targeting the people of this planet for a very long time. I think the only restraint on Mr. 'Globaloney' right now that has been protecting him is probably China. This country's political class has long been captured by those ideologies. The Chinese are playing along with it as long as it suits them, but the Russians are not.

I think the 'gloves are going to come off'. It's going to start being fair game. By 'fair game', I'm saying that I think, "If you can launch drones against Russia, we can launch drones against you, Mr. Soros." It's literally coming down to that.

Fitts: There are many different kinds of pushbacks. One of the pushbacks you see is tremendous pressure from the grassroots to use the cash and preserve the right to pay with cash. Norway has strengthened the right to pay cash. There is a referendum coming in Switzerland on the right to pay cash. People do not want to be cornered by financial transactions. This is one that they get, and they are acting on it.

I find that very, very encouraging. It is something that you can do. You don't have to go to a protest; you don't have to make noise, you just start using cash, and it's better for your family budget.

Farrell: I've noticed that since I've started making a point of using cash, particularly when I'm doing things like grocery shopping, I tend to watch my money much more carefully than even using a debit card. It's a discipline we used to have that we got out of, and I think we got out of it precisely because of the ease of use of a debit card and all those other things.

I like using cash. I'm in the market for a refrigerator, and I intend to pay cash for it when I buy it.

Fitts: I sent you a link to the new one that I got; a 100% mechanical fridge.

Farrell: I saw that.

Fitts: I have a stove from Amana, too. I have both a refrigerator and a stove from Amana, and I love them! They are so simple.

We've seen many politicians removed who had blown their political capital, one being Lori Lightfoot (former Mayor of Chicago), and another Jacinda Ardern (former New Zealand prime minister), who got a position at Harvard. What a surprise!

Farrell: Look at Fauci! He got a position at Georgetown. I would much rather turn these people out and have them cleaning toilets, but such is life.

One of the things about pushback that we mentioned before in connection with these bullion depositories is I will be convinced if people start insisting that the states opening up the bullion depositories will pass laws allowing a physical certificate of deposit to be used as a medium of exchange. That, to me, is the crucial key. Otherwise, it's just talk.

Fitts: If states have a sales tax on precious metals, that is why they have been thriving.

I am very encouraged by the realization by different local and state officials – both in Europe and in the United States – of, “Oh, it really is this bad. We know what to do. We are going to take action.”

Once you realize how bad it is, you reach a point where there is no price that will get you to go along if you are one of those people and if you are committed to freedom. It’s good to see because there is plenty of talent, and they are finally realizing, “If it really is this bad, we’ve got to act.”

Farrell: That is an interesting take because the other thing that I think we have to watch for, and I saw this story and wanted to mention it in this connection, is the governor of the prefecture of Okinawa in Japan went to China on a direct peace mission. I think that is very interesting because you had that period when there was a new government in Japan where the central government was making these overtures to China. Shinzo Abe somewhat reversed course. He set Japan on a course of a new kind of diplomacy in Asia and on rearmament.

What is interesting to me is that you would have a regional local governor making a direct overture to the Chinese government. I think that sort of thing is going to increase.

During the storm that happened here, the governor of my state was in France on business. In other words, forget about Washington, DC; forget about Tokyo; forget about Berlin and Brussels; go direct.

Fitts: We can build the multipolar world one municipality and one state at a time.

Farrell: Exactly.

Fitts: The next story is one that we talk about every quarter, but I changed the title and the twist this time:

20. God Ignores the War on God.

There is a war on God, and it is unbelievable. There is an article we linked to under this section, *My Eyes Are Open: Iran Was Right about that ‘Great Satan’ Thing.*

Farrell: I read that.

Fitts: That was quite clever.

Farrell: Particularly in America, we have to come to grips with our own history in this respect. The narrative in this country is that it was a Christian nation, or at least it was founded as a Christian Nation. No, it was founded as a Free Masonic nation. We have to ‘wrap our heads around’ that because for those men, God was a great abstraction. It was the ‘Great God’ in general, and that is the God that most Americans worship, even the ones calling themselves Christian. It’s ‘the God’ in general.

When you worship that God, you do not have a place in your national policy for a traditional culture like Islam or Africa or China or what have you. So, we have to get out of this masonic mentality because it has not done us any good. That mentality, in my opinion, caused the Civil War and absolutely destroyed all that was good – and there was plenty of good in the culture of the old South. It also set us on a course of, “You have to be like America, otherwise, you’re not very righteous or progressive.”

So, we have gone around the world trying to impose our vision of things on everybody else. Think of Woodrow Wilson and the end of World War I and this ‘nutty idea’ that we will allow everybody to determine for themselves, except the Austrians because they can’t join Germany. And we are setting things up for our own demise with this progressivism.

We have to come to terms with it. That article was definitely a step in the right direction. I am going to mention once again in that respect Morris Berman’s book. He is a classic liberal sociologist commentator. It’s a book called, *Why America Failed*. It was written about 12 years ago. Read it in the context of what is going on now. It is amazingly prescient, and it’s an easy book to read; he writes well.

He doesn’t ‘pull any punches’, but he is addressing the same topic of ‘the Great Satan’ because we’ve become the ‘Great Destroyer’ in the world.

Fitts: I don't know if you remember I had a very old piece called, *The Tapeworm*.

Farrell: Yes, I remember that very well.

Fitts: That was my nickname for Mr. Global. One of the things I said was that the Roman Empire, whatever you say about it, brought civilization. It brought roads, it brought water and sewer systems, and it brought infrastructure. We just destroy it. We went into Libya, and we destroyed the infrastructure; we destroyed civilization. It's horrible.

Farrell: Let's go a bit further along that road. Look at the Spanish Empire and the British Empire. The Spanish did many bad things, but they also put an end to human sacrifice in Mesoamerica; they put an absolute end to it. The British went into India and put an end to suttee. So, those empires did some bad things, but they also did some good things.

When you look at America, in terms of our culture, what are we doing? We are flying pride flags at American embassies in Islamic countries or in Russia. Imagine how that plays in those countries and in those cultures and in those civilizations. We are 'thumbing our nose' at them and everything they value culturally.

We go in and say, "If you don't adopt the American way, then we will bomb you into the stone age," to cite Curtis LeMay.

We are, in a certain sense, a culture that is out of control; we are out of control in our own country.

Fitts: It's a demonic country.

Farrell: It's a demonic culture. We are allowing people to claim that children have the right to sexually mutilate themselves before they are even of an age to know what that means. This is total insanity; it's demonic.

Fitts: Are you ready to go into **Bizarro World**?

Farrell: As if we're not there already! Go ahead.

Fitts: I used this picture every time. I found this on the internet, and I just love this. Basically, it's a form of horror show entertainment. The shriek-o-meter is trying to get you to go into **Bizarro World**.

Of course, we have:

1. Transhumanism.

2. Robotics

3. Distraction.

The White House named Kamala Harris 'AI Czar'. I can't imagine anything more frightening.

4. Destroying Constitutions and the Rule of Law.

They shut down all small business in San Francisco. It's frightening; it's unbelievable.

5. Civil War.

The story about the *Armed IRS and ATF Agents Hit Montana Gun Store with 'Soviet-Style Intimidation Raid'* so they can get all of their records. They want to identify who has what guns before they do whatever they are going to do. It's quite frightening.

6. Central Control by Every Means Necessary.

This headline is about the Pentagon's Secret Service trying to figure out what is going on by trawling social media.

I'll tell you one thing that is frightening is watching the stories of different ER rooms killing people. It's rather amazing.

7. Mind Control.

We've talked about this. This thing about the WEF (World Economic Forum) wanting to rewrite the Bible is unreal.

Farrell: Did you see that story? I did a blog on it. The way I'm reading what the WEF wants is they are not planning to stop with only rewriting the Bible; I think they intend to rewrite everything: The Quran, the Bible, and the Bhagavad Gita. You name it, and they are going to rewrite it.

The ultimate insanity for me was the story about a Lutheran Church service in Germany at some major Protestant conference they were having in Germany. There was a Lutheran Church service that was held by AI.

In other words, the minister conducting the service was an AI-generated 'something'. I thought, "Why stop there? Just have artificial intelligence people have AI, not only conducting the services, but conducting the responses of the people in the service, and we can get rid of having people attend services; we can just have AI conduct all of it for us."

It's stand-in avatar Christianity.

Fitts: I think that whoever is doing this is trying to get attention. I think it's shriek-o-meter.

Farrell: No, I think they are trying to show the superfluity, not only of traditional religion in any form – not just Christian – but also trying to show the superfluity of humans in that process.

In other words, you don't even need people anymore. Forget about it.

Fitts: It's a form of debasement.

Farrell: Yes, it's anti-hierarchical in the final analysis, and this is the other thing that comes out of the transhumanist movement. It's an absolute leveling of all things meritocracy and of all distinctions. Women are men, and men are women, dogs are cats, and cats are dogs.

Fitts: I have to point out that our movie for this coming week is mentioned

here under the next title.

8. Psychopathy.

The actor who played Jesus in *The Passion* is in this film, *Sound of Freedom*.

Farrell: Jim Caviezel.

Fitts: Isn't he wonderful! He is in that film about a person who used to work at the Department of Homeland Security, and went out on his own to stop child sex trafficking. They teamed up to make a movie, and it's supposed to be a real blockbuster.

We never recommend something if we haven't seen it, but it launched on the July 4th weekend, and we said, "This one is going to be great, and we are going to recommend it."

Farrell: I tend to agree with you. Caviezel is an interesting character. He is devoutly Catholic, and he is an interesting actor because he has managed to become a major actor with that encumbrance in modern Hollywood.

He is an interesting actor who is not afraid to address these issues, and I agree with you here. I have a feeling that this one on the child sex trafficking is going to be a blockbuster. The only thing I can say that gives me a bit of pause about it is that it looks like it is either a fictional treatment or it's a real story that they've dramatized into a movie.

What I really hope for is that somebody will do a major documentary on this whole trafficking issue and incorporate all the previous scandals – the Al Franken scandal and the Penn State business because I think that all these things are related. The Finders is another one.

Fitts: I had a subscriber who made a movie about the Finders, and it's the '*Blast from the Past*'. It's very good, and it shows you how the system worked.

Child trafficking isn't only about sex; it's for organs and slave labor. It is out of control. I remember when I did those significant articles. We did a lot of exposé

of this sex slave trafficking by US military contractors, and it was unbelievable because we got a cover story on *Insight Magazine* about DynCorp's sex slave trafficking. They were totally 'nailed'; they were found guilty.

I sent it to every one of the contract officers at all 24 agencies, and the very next week, they acquired a huge contract from the Navy and someone else. They just ignored this.

DynCorp was operating the PROMIS software systems and the IT enforcement systems at both the Department of Justice and SEC, and they ran the sorting systems at many of the post offices. It goes on and on. They were running contractors for child services for all sorts of municipalities and states.

It's so bad. But you are right; I am glad to see more and more coming out on this.

One of the things that came out, speaking of children- thank God for a judge in Texas who demanded that all the Pfizer documents be published. They have been steadily publishing them. 'God love' Naomi Wolfe because she organized 3,500 doctors, lawyers, nurses, and scientists to go through all the documents. She has been publishing what they found.

This link here, *What Happened to the 4,526 Babies and Toddlers, Aged 6 Months to 4 Years, in Pfizer mRNA Phase 3 Trials?* is from the Karen Kingston Report. You had all these children in the trials who didn't show up at the end. Their parents either pulled them out or they died. We don't know. It's frightening.

If you look at the facts of what it was doing to children, it was horrendous; it really is child sacrifice.

9. Legal Inversion.

Legal inversions are many; up is down and down is up. I certainly saw that for eleven years dealing with the Department of Justice. I don't know if you saw this, article on CJ Hopkins. He is now under criminal investigation by the Berlin prosecutors. His book is called, *The Rise of the New Normal Reich*, and they are pulling some sort of holocaust thing. It's beyond 'nuts'.

10. Food.

This is all about our food, and the effort to control the food supply is ‘off the charts’.

Bizarro World is thriving and alive. We placed in its little container so it can’t mess up the news.

Farrell: The way it’s going, **Bizarro World** is taking over the whole thing. Pretty soon, it’s going to be ‘Normal World’ and normal people will be the bizarre.

Fitts: No, I reject that. I think there are too many people in the world.

Farrell: There are many people waking up. I know what you are saying, but the problem is, at some point, we have to realize that ‘talk is cheap’. I think the thing that has most hurt America more than anything else is talk radio. We think that by talking, we are doing something.

Fitts: Really?

Farrell: We are not doing anything. We’ve lost our fight, so to speak. That is what we have to understand; we have to fight this. That doesn’t necessarily mean bullets and fisticuffs; it means that we have to be more active. But we also have to realize that it may come down to that.

Fitts: We have two great pieces: One is called, *Financial Transaction Freedom*. If you do a search on *Solari*, you can find it. It shows you the actions that you can take as an individual, as a small group, with your state and local legislators, and as an investor. It has different points of view and all the actions you can take.

We also have an article called, *I Want to Stop CBDCs. What Can I Do?* There is a tremendous amount that you can do. You just have to do what fits with you and gives you energy.

I think it is very important to review all the things that you can do, and then do

the things that you can do, and celebrate that you are doing them.

I keep telling everybody that if we can all just do what we can individually do, in combination, it is a revolution.

Farrell: And learn the power of ‘no’. I think the plan/ ‘scamdemic’ could have been much worse had it not been for many anti-vaxxers just saying, “No.”

Fitts: It is remarkable to see the difference. I have close colleagues who talked their entire family out of getting the vaccine, and then others who weren’t. The ones who were not able to – and I talked with one fabulous freedom fighter recently – and all of her time, other than what she has to do to generate an income and care for her family, was taking care of a mother with fast-acting cancer as a result of taking the vaccine. It’s a time thief; it’s stealing all of her time and energy.

Then I see the ones who were able to talk their family out of it, and they are doing fine; their families are fine. There are no problems there.

I want to mention one thing to you, and we will talk about it in *Part II*. I asked a wonderful ally of mine, “What do you think of the top stories in the *2nd Quarter*? From your point of view, what were the most important stories to you personally?”

They said, “I have two personal tragedies in my family. I have no idea what is going on in the world, and I don’t care.”

Their ability to look ahead and to risk manage, given what is going on in the world, has gone to almost zero because they are overwhelmed by personal tragedies in their family. That is what the vaccine injuries and vaccine deaths did to many people – and COVID and the vulture capitalism.

In *Part II*, we will talk about what this means to you. We are going to go through the **Building Wealth** pillars and look at the different areas of our lives at an individual level. We will do that later on.

Joseph, great *Part I*. Any closing thoughts?

Farrell: No, we will see you soon. We have plenty to talk about!

Fitts: Yes, we do.

Ladies and gentlemen, thank you for joining us on *Part I* of *News Trends & Stories*. Please check out the commentary, the commentary links, and the web presentation. You can go through the table with all the headlines, the lists of the *Top Stories* with graphs, and we have a *Top Videos* page and, of course, pages for the *Part II*, which we will go through soon.

Thank you for joining us for the *2nd Quarter 2023 Wrap Up*. Have a great week; Joseph and I will talk to you soon. I will say that whenever you go through Greer's material, you realize, "Oh, this would cost \$21 trillion."

One of the things that I appreciate about him is that he constantly brings up the black budget and the economic side of these things.

MODIFICATION

Transcripts are not always verbatim. Modifications are sometimes made to improve clarity, usefulness and readability, while staying true to the original intent.

Nothing on The Solari Report should be taken as individual investment advice. Anyone seeking investment advice for his or her personal financial situation is advised to seek out a qualified advisor or advisors and provide as much information as possible to the advisor in order that such advisor can take into account all relevant circumstances, objectives, and risks before rendering an opinion as to the appropriate investment strategy.