

Actionable intelligence to live a Free & Inspired Life



The Solari Report

April 4, 2023

**1st Quarter 2023 Wrap Up:
News Trends & Stories, Part I
with
Dr. Joseph P. Farrell**



Catherine Austin Fitts: Ladies and gentlemen, this is Catherine Austin Fitts welcoming you to *The Solari Report*. We are joined by my dear friend and colleague, Dr. Joseph Farrell. Joseph, it's so great to be with you. I have so much to talk about!

Dr. Joseph P. Farrell: Yes, we made it through another quarter.

Fitts: It's so good to be with you.

We are here to do the *1st Quarter Wrap Up –Part I* now, and then **Part II**. The theme for the *1st Quarter Wrap Up* is 'The People Who Feed Us'. It's about the fishermen and the farmers of the Netherlands. That is a case study on how they are building the control grid. Of course, that is our goal: To stop the control grid from coming together and augering in 1,000 years of slavery.

In **Part I**, we will talk about the **Top Stories**, and next week we will talk about **Building Wealth** – what it means in our daily lives, and what we should be concerned about in our daily lives. Then we will work on **Unanswered Questions**, and then **Heroes and Inspiration**.

Today we will discuss the **Top Stories**. We are recording this on March 26, 2023 for publication of **Part I** on April 4th and **Part II** will be on April 11th.

Before we dive in, Joseph, do you have any thoughts?

Farrell: About what is going on? Oh, boy, where do I begin? It's getting more and more difficult to keep up. I feel like if we make it to the next quarter, we have accomplished something. It's just an insane world.

There is so much going on financially and geopolitically. I know that you've been following many of the same stories that I have. We will discuss them when we get to them on your outline.

Fitts: I have to tell you that one of the most common quotes of the first quarter was you saying, "You have to save the culture."

I can't tell you how many times I've quoted you in the first quarter. Recently, we

had a whole group from **Solari** go to the Vermeer exhibit at the Rijksmuseum. There was a special showing of Vermeer, and before we went, Nina Heyn wrote a column called, *Pearls in Art*, and about Vermeer. Then everyone went.

I'm a great believer that before you go to an exhibition, you should read and study the history of the artist and the times, so for this exhibit, it was the Dutch Golden Age. We had a large group of young Dutch people who work with us. They had never been to the Rijksmuseum.

They had never really studied the Dutch Golden Age. So, I encouraged them to watch a couple of documentaries and read some materials on it. The Rijks has such a fabulous collection of Rembrandt. I kept quoting you, "We have to save the culture."

You save the culture by rejoicing in it and enjoying it and taking advantage of it and by learning it and studying it. So, we set up to do an interview afterwards of Nina. First, we were going to have her walk everybody through the exhibition, teaching them, and then do an interview afterwards. We had Ricardo Oskam, who is one of the people leading our '*Building Wealth*' effort that we are rolling out.

For weeks, I was talking to Ricardo about why this was important to him. I asked, "Why does art play a critical role in living a free and inspired life?"

Finally I said, "Look, Ricardo. You've got to read the review I did of Joseph's book on *Microcosm and Medium*, and you've got to listen to that interview so that you can understand the role of art."

He listened to it, and before I knew it, he put out a 'blast' to everybody, saying, "You must listen to this interview before you go to the Rijksmuseum."

I said to myself, "By George, I think he's got it!"

Farrell: There is so much in the Netherlands, particularly from that period in history. You know from Bruno (the organ), and from me being an organist, that two of the organs on Bruno are Dutch instruments from that period of Dutch history.

Fitts: One member of our team lives in Haarlem with one of those magnificent organs, and he has promised to arrange for you to play that organ if you will sail to Europe.

Farrell: If I were good enough, I would love to play that organ. That is one of the organs on Bruno. But that organ is also a tracker, and I would have to practice on a tracker for several months to get the strength for that; it's all mechanical. The key action from the keyboard to the pipe is all mechanical. They are much different to play than most organs because you must have very strong hands.

I have played trackers before, back when I was in graduate school, but I went home after practicing on the big tracker organ at Oral Roberts for a couple of months. I was there when Phelps was installing it. I even helped him voice some of the pipes. I went home that summer and visited my parents. I shook my dad's hand. My dad had a very, very powerful, strong grip, and I absolutely crushed his hand. He said, "My word! What happened?"

I said, "I'm sorry. I forgot that I've been practicing on a tracker."

Fitts: "Save the culture," is a big quote in the first quarter. Let's dive in.

I want to compliment our team. We have a great team that does the *News Trends & Stories* on both the website weekly, but also for the *Wrap Ups*. Brad Eddins is our editor, and he rolls it into the *Quarterly Wrap Ups*. Each quarter, I can't tell you what a big job it is to sort through all the headlines that we preserve and then decide, "What is important? What do people need to know?"

Farrell: I go through the same thing every weekend when I am trying to schedule blogs. My readership is like yours; they send me all sorts of articles and information that they have found on the internet, and it takes me literally all day to sort through the emails and decide which ones to talk about.

I do know what you are going through; it's a lot of work.

Fitts: We do it weekly for *Money & Markets*, but then we do it quarterly for our roll-up with you. Every time I think I know what is going on, we come into

this, and it really helps me ‘digest’ it.

This is a serious ‘digestion’ that our team has been working on now for about four or five weeks. Then I ‘chew’ through it with you, and I learn more. So let’s just dive in.

We have chosen **20 Top Stories**. Some require more discussion than others. I just want to say that the **Top Stories** are on our web presentation. You want to make sure that you are logged into *Solari* and click on the *Wrap Up* presentation. It’s at <http://ThePeopleWhoFeedUs.solari.com>, or you can link to it from the *Wrap Up* commentary. Feel free to follow along with us there because I think that makes it much easier.

I’ll show you on a screenshare what it looks like. I’ll click over as we go through each story.

The people’s war for financial transaction freedom.

Finally, the control grid is ‘coming out of the closet’, and people are beginning to realize what is going on. We thought that a printing press would be appropriate as our featured image.

Essentially, as the control grid has come out of the closet, and people are beginning to realize that digital systems allow surveillance and transaction control, this is going to be used more and more to tell us what we can and cannot do. Ultimately, this will result in a financial system where it’s the end of currency, and they will have complete control. They will say, “Do what we say, or your money will be shut off.”

For anybody who has read the Bible, it is essentially the Mark of the Beast.

What happened is about a year ago, we started to promote *Cash Friday*, and then *Cash Every Day*. What is now happening is more and more people are pushing back by using cash. We get emails every day, both at *Solari* and at our show that we do on CHDTV called *Financial Rebellion*. The stories are unbelievable. This is real trench warfare, and I have to say that people are making enormous progress.

Farrell: I think so, too. In fact, I think that somewhere in your outline, you have the state pushback of this country by the states on these bullion depositories and so on, but I think that you are going to see more and more of that happening.

As you know, over the last few *Solari Reports* since you've started the 'use cash' business, I've been watching as I go out for things like groceries or gas to see how much people are using cash. I'm seeing, not only more and more people using it, but I'm seeing more and more people going back to writing checks rather than using their debit cards.

Something that absolutely 'tickles me pink' are cashiers who know how to count back.

Fitts: Isn't that wonderful!

Farrell: Yes. If they do that to me, if they take a couple of extra seconds to count my change back like they used to do, I always make it a point to thank them. I think that is important for people to do; get people trained to start using cash again. That is part of the process.

Fitts: The stories that we get are quite wonderful. We had one member of our subscribers who tried to pay cash with the local government, and they refused. They said, "You have to pay electronically."

She held up the note, and it says, "This note is legal tender for all debts public and private." She said, "You've got to take it."

It took fifteen minutes of convincing them, but finally a supervisor came in and realized that they have to take the cash.

I wanted to point out several of the articles and other things that we picked out on this because this is where the real war is occurring. There are two wars: There is the war between the centralizers and the people who don't want to be centralized. So, it's the people against the centralizers. But then all the centralizers are fighting about who gets what position. That is a very interesting

one to watch. I bring that up because one of the videos that we are showing is the head of the Central Bank of New Zealand after the cyclone, saying, “Thank God we had cash. This is why cash is so important. Otherwise we would have had no financial transactions.”

Farrell: I don’t know if you’ve been following Tom Luongo on what is going on right now between European central banks and the Federal Reserve, but you do know that in this country, we have this pushback now from the states against central bank digital currency. He is of the opinion – and I think maybe there is something to his idea – that the Federal Reserve and the European central banks are in some sort of war over central bank digital currencies, and the Fed is trying to ward this off.

I don’t know how true that is as far as the Fed goes, but the trend in this country right now, as far as I can tell, is that more and more states are jumping onto the bullion depositories and passing legal tender laws.

Wyoming just passed a law that makes any payment of state taxes in specie – in silver or gold bullion – legal tender. That is huge; it’s so huge! Imagine being able to pay your property tax or your income tax by walking in and ‘plopping down’ some silver or some gold.

Fitts: Right, and if a state wants to build a gold or silver reserve in its rainy-day funds or its pension funds, it is great for that state. And it is protected by the Constitution, so the Feds can’t outlaw it at all. We will get to the states in **Story #2** because they are intimately linked.

Apparently, New Zealand didn’t get the memo, or they are quite upset about the cyclone.

Farrell: There is something else going on here, which I think is unravelling this narrative faster than they can ‘cobble’ it together, and that is the fact that the COVID narrative is unravelling. As a result of that, the insurance companies are looking at these wild adverse reaction statistics. It’s throwing their actuarial tables off.

My suspicion is it is that which is also playing some sort of role in driving this

move towards cash. Had they been able to implement an all-digital system before this began to happen, they could have covered everything up. So, I think this is being driven by a multitude of factors right now, and I think insurance is one of them.

Fitts: I want to point out that I did an article called, *I Want to Stop CBDCs. What Can I Do?* It is a long list of items because everybody needs to be on this. I say ‘CBDCs’, but it’s really that we are trying to stop complete control of financial transactions, so it’s not only CBDC.

I agree with you; I think that there is real tension between Europe and the United States because, for one, the US is cannibalizing the euro market share, but also because there is no doubt that the Europeans are moving much faster to do complete control digital IDs and CBDCs. The Fed is slow-walking it, and the question is: Why? Why is the Fed slow-walking it?

If I were the Fed, I would not want to do CBDCs because you could end up with the BIS (Bank for International Settlements) in control. The BIS has sovereign immunity and the Fed doesn’t. So, I would be very concerned about that.

The other thing is that I would never do a CBDC if I were one of the ‘evil-doers’ if I didn’t have the guns brought in first. And we see every effort to chip away at the guns. Certain political interests have many real estate developers who would love to bring in those guns.

You notice that Hillary Clinton in the first quarter said, “It’s time to ban cash to fight climate change.”

Farrell: I saw that.

Fitts: Actually, cash uses much less energy.

Farrell: It uses much less energy than a digital currency. The digital currency has a carbon footprint bigger than a Panzer division. It’s so ‘nuts’!

Fitts: We have also been writing template letters and putting them on the

website. One is a letter that you can send to your bank about CBDCs. It is shocking how many bankers do not know about this and do not understand what is coming. This could put them all completely out of business.

The Fed is accelerating its institution of FedNow, which is a new payment wire system they say will go 24/7. So, it is an instant transfer.

My theory is that it will give them plenty of the controls that CBDC would but without touching the banks. So, they are going to do everything they can to get this control before they scare the banks because there are still too many banks. What we have seen in the last two weeks in the banking crisis is, in part, an effort to get rid of many of those small banks.

Farrell: I think so, too. This is something that we need to address when we get to your second item with the state pushback. I am even more on board now than I've ever been with the idea that states need to step up and create their own banks; they need North Dakota banks.

Fitts: So, let's move to **Story #2:**

The U.S. states move to build sovereignty: Banks, bullion depositories, nullification, legal tender, and more.

Of course, the Bank of North Dakota is leading the way.

I saw an interesting chart from Statista. By a very significant amount, guess which state has the most honey producers?

Farrell: Probably North Dakota.

Fitts: Yes, it's North Dakota.

Farrell: I'm not a bit surprised.

Fitts: They have the most honey producers by a huge amount. South Dakota is number two. I wonder if that is not connected to the fact that they have a state bank.

Farrell: During the Depression, the North Dakota State Bank softened the blow of the Depression on North Dakota farmers. It has functioned well in times of severe stress. I am noticing that your state, Tennessee, and Mississippi, have passed bullion and specie legal tender bills. We know that several states are considering bullion depositories, and Tennessee recently passed a law where they had to invest in actual silver and gold holdings. Several states are doing this.

Texas passed the bullion depository a few years ago.

Fitts: They have it running; it is operating.

Farrell: Yes. Here is what people need to understand about this, in my opinion, before they jump on this bandwagon-this could turn out to be just another ploy of Mr. Global to get everybody on board with the latest thing: The thing I think that people have to be aware of is if they are going start a state bullion depository, are you going to get a certificate of deposit that you can exchange or use as currency and then take that currency – that bearer note – to the depository and convert it back to bullion or specie? The convertibility issue is the seller here. It's huge; you have to have that convertibility.

The other thing that people have to remember is something that I remember, and you probably do, too, and that is the spending silver certificates. When I was young we had those.

Fitts: I remember those.

Farrell: It said right on the note, "This is a silver certificate payable to the bearer on demand in silver at..." This is what they need to do.

In other words, don't just start a bullion depository; make sure that you can use certificates of deposit in local businesses for your purchases. That will do the end run around the Federal Reserve Bank, and it will do the end run around all of these businesses that are trying to say, "No, you have to pay electronically; we can't take cash."

No: Fight them!

Fitts: One of the things that is happening, both in the *Cash Every Day* movement as well as the push by the states to protect financial transaction freedom, is everyone realizes that is the fault line.

In other words, what they want is transaction freedom. One hundred percent digital means that you lose it. So the question is: How do you preserve it?

If you look at the actions that a citizen can take or a state legislator can take, there are thousands of different actions. As we try to educate and get those instituted – whether we are transacting in a community or getting laws passed at the state level – everybody is in the process of getting themselves educated. So the faster that education can go, the better.

If you are listening or reading this, don't worry that it sounds like just a little thing. But if you can get your local businesses educated to why this is good for them, you want to take every opportunity to do so. That is why we have this template letter that you can send to your banker about CBDC.

Somebody wrote in and said, “My banker thought CBDC was a marijuana oil.”

I said, “No, no, no.”

One thing I want to point out is my favorite scholar in the world on banking is Richard Werner. Richard was originally German, but he studied in Oxford. He is the one who wrote, *The Princes of the Yen*, and his book was the basis of the documentary, *The Princes of the Yen*. There were many interviews with Richard in that documentary.

We retained Richard to write a briefing memo for everyone in Tennessee called, *Why a Sovereign State Bank is Good for Tennessee*. You can find it on the homepage. The link is in **Story #2**. It explains why a successful small business community needs successful small banks. In fact, the smaller banks you have, the greater businesses you have. It's just like the more successful, profitable farms you have, the bigger the economy is.

It does a marvelous job of describing this, and it is very useful for any legislator

who wants to protect small banks. Richard argues that you want to set up a state sovereign bank so that it protects your small banks and credit unions. That is what you want to protect.

The pandemic helped to wipe out the small businesses. What is happening right now is going to wipe out the small banks if we don't push back.

Farrell: There is something else that people need to be aware of in this respect, and it is gathering momentum right now. In the last quarter, I predicted that the next thing that we will see come 'down the pike' is states getting together and forming regional alliances or regional compacts or whatever.

It has happened already with Montana proposing this. This could be a good thing or a bad thing. This could be a way that Mr. Global is trying to recentralize everything under a new guise, or it can work out to the benefit of states doing precisely what you are suggesting – starting state banks and making sure that the local communities have local banks.

I tend to think that it is probably going to work out to be the latter because if you have state bullion depositories, and several states now mandating specie and bullion as legal tender, then you are also going to start seeing circulate state bank notes. They are going to have to have some agreed way of valuing those state circulating bank notes between states.

This is going to be a way of establishing a parallel clearing system and so on. You are watching this being built. We are urging people to contribute to that building process to build out this parallel system. It is absolutely essential.

Yes, we realize that what we are saying is leaving FedGov.org in the 'dust'.

Fitts: Here is what is interesting: Several times this quarter I've been invited to get on Zoom and brief groups of state legislators. It just affirms the impression that I've always had as to how capable these people are;

they are very capable. They are very educated as to major policy issues. But they are very close to their constituents, and they understand how the economy works in a local neighborhood and why the rivers and canals are important.

They are very intelligent, but very grounded people, and they care about their constituents; they really, sincerely care. It's wonderful to talk with them or work with them because the combination of that competence and caring is delightful to be around.

What you hear when you brief them is that the stumbling block for many years has been that they just can't believe that it's as bad as it is. We are going to talk about this when we get to the next story on the narratives breaking down. Now that they finally realize that it's as bad as it is, it's like a breakout.

Farrell: I sense the same thing. There is this sense of urgency to get this done and to get it done quickly. This is why I think you see now that what began as a small thing with the state of Texas opening a bullion depository, has now become a flood.

Fitts: It's up against a dam. There is a force field trying to hold it back, so it's punching through little holes in the dyke. That is what it looks like. But the pressure is huge.

Farrell: I don't see at this stage that it can be reversed. Going back to what we said in the first section, I don't see that you can hold back what is happening now to the insurance companies from affecting all of this either. It's just not going to happen.

In other words, we need to stop thinking solely in terms that the central banks are the only players on the field; they're not.

Fitts: I believe the breakthrough is that once you have every state legislator and every person saying, "Oh, they want complete control of financial transactions, really, and there is nothing they won't do to get it, really, so I have to do everything I can to stop it."

On the legislative front, that can translate into 50 different things, like preserving cash or this or that.

Amazon had to shut down its stores in San Francisco because San Francisco, the most woke city in the world, passed an ordinance saying, "Preserve cash."

So stores had to take cash, and Amazon had to shut its grocery stores down.
Yay!

Farrell: That is what I say, too. The other thing that I predict we are going to see pushback on is, if they are genuinely building out a parallel financial system, as it appears to me that we are now watching the beginnings of happen, if that is really the intention, then it is absolutely part of the equation that you will see the commodities and financial markets move from their current places of business.

We saw a hint of this with Governor Greg Abbot five or six months ago, attempting to get the NASDAQ data center out of New York City and into Dallas. I think that is a trend that is going to increase and continue.

Fitts: We are watching bifurcations in the market. We will talk about that when we get to the multi-polar world with China and Russia. We are tearing the fabric of the Anglo-American Alliance global liquidity.

One thing I want to point out in **Story #2** is one of the resources that we put up in the web presentation was if you look at the leadership in the legislature in Tennessee that is leading the push for financial transaction freedom, they are the same people who have been pushing for food freedom. There is a very intimate connection because you get away with locking down transactions by making sure that people can't barter and be self-sufficient without the financial system. Of course, at the heart of that is food.

Food is important because local food is something my neighbors and I can be more productive with food than anybody far away. China cannot be better at growing food and shipping it to us than we are growing it for ourselves.

If you want to kickstart a local economy, kickstart the food. That is a place on the regional compacts. It is going to be food, energy, and financial transactions.

Narrative breakdown: Safe and effective, Jan. 6, climate change, and immigration.

There are many more narratives breaking down, but these are the four that I want to focus on – in that order. So let's go through it in that order. I've just got

to laugh at this: At the very beginning of 2020, you and I were, “Don’t be afraid of the virus; be afraid of the injection. Do not take the injection. It’s not a vaccine. It’s something else, and it’s dangerous. Don’t take it.”

I was adamant that they were trying to put an operating system in our bodies. “This is connected. Don’t take it.”

Of course, I remember people telling me, “Look, you’ve really gone too far out on a limb here.”

I said, “No, no! Don’t take it!” And I have to tell you that to this day, I’m still stunned at the people who fell for this – people who should know better. These were people who know that the government lies about everything.

Farrell: They trusted it with their health. I am in the ‘same boat’. Like you, I’m amazed that people trusted these injections; they trusted Fauci!

That narrative is literally falling apart, and it’s falling apart in an amazing way.

I have a blog coming up that I just scheduled about all of the weirdness happening now in the airline industry. We have planes crossing runways and taxiways that shouldn’t be there. I blogged about an episode that was reported by the FAA at the Baltimore/Washington International Airport. Apparently an emergency vehicle obtained permission to cross a runway that it shouldn’t have been crossing. The reason was that during the read back of his instructions, the emergency vehicle driver did not read back correctly, and the taxi control tower did not catch the mistake.

I have to tell you that I have a couple of friends who are pilots. They sent me link after link of tower-to-air traffic control conversations. I think I mentioned this to you last time about the Canadian airliner that was contacting the tower at LaGuardia, trying to proclaim an emergency, and it was as if the air traffic control at LaGuardia simply was not understanding. There was some sort of brain fog that these injections caused.

The most recent example of this is a Southwest Airlines pilot had to declare an emergency because he was incapacitated. Fortunately, there was a pilot from

another airline onboard the flight who was able to go up to the cockpit and assist the copilot. This is ‘nuts’.

These ‘quackcines’ – and I still use that term – are causing ‘vaccidents’ like ‘crazy’, and we are not even discussing at this juncture automobile accidents and workplace accidents.

Fitts: We’ve been talking about that for over a year on *The Solari Report* because we think that this has increased the traffic fatalities.

Farrell: This is ‘off the charts bonkers and nuts’, and these people have to be held accountable.

Fitts: Let me quickly do a screenshare because I want to show you a chart that I put up called ‘The Real Game’. There are two columns; one says ‘Money’ and one says ‘Health’. Then there are two rows’ one says ‘Offense’ and one says ‘Defense’. This is to help people understand the game around getting financial transaction control.

If we look at ‘Offense/Money’, the game is the central banks and the bankers want financial transaction control, including with CBDCs. To do that, they need digital IDs and sanctions and new payment systems. What they have going for them is the BIS with sovereign immunity, and they have bank consolidation helping do that.

Their challenge is to get complete financial transaction control. If they try to do everything through the financial mechanism, people will come at them with ‘pitchforks. That is why they are using health.

If you go to the right, ‘Health’ is the bridge that gets you the digital IDs, and the control that you need that can get you to financial transaction control. So, we have the UN and the WHO with the digital ID and the One Health program. We have pandemics and false flags, which I believe the next will be bio-defense with Putin 19. There are huge amounts of money pouring into the Great Poisoning and into biodefense.

If you look at the preparations for the next big one, we are talking about

hundreds of billions of dollars going in to get this all revved up.

Then, of course, you have DOD and NATO, who are not only part of the last one, but are going to be 'out of the closet' if it's biodefense. So 'Health' is the control bridge that gets you to the financial control you want.

So let's look at 'Defense'. On the 'Money' side, you are trying to stop the actions people are taking to preserve financial transaction freedom, so you are attempting to stop them from creating sovereign banks and depositories. You want to put effective leadership up, and they are trying to play 'Divide and Conquer'. They use bribes and media smears and everything, including gun control.

Then let's look at 'Defense' and 'Health'. You and I want people to be held accountable, but they are trying to convert our desire for accountability into getting people to go after the 'chew toys'. The 'chew toy' is John Titus' brilliant description of Fauci, Gates, and Schwab. They are created to be thrown to the mob as chew toys. While we are killing the chew toys, they will be off getting lockdown on financial transaction control.

Strategically, I want everybody in this audience to realize that this is a game, and the ball that we want to put over the goal line is financial transaction freedom. We want to hold everybody accountable, and don't be tricked because I think that what is clear is that DOD did Operation Warp Speed; DOD did the Great Poisoning; DOD did the mass atrocity; DOD is the one that is missing \$20 trillion of the \$21 trillion.

If you spend all day long holding the CDC and the FDA accountable and leave DOD free and clear to do Putin 19, then all we've done is make it easier for them to do Putin 19.

Farrell: I am foursquare in agreement with you. We cannot allow ourselves to be satisfied with just going after Fauci or Gates. The whole rotten cesspool has to be brought before courts of justice. I couldn't agree more about the Department of Defense.

People need to remember that Orange Man Bad during Operation Warp Speed

actually made the comment, “Yes, we can get the military to help distribute these ‘quackcines’ to make sure that everybody gets their injection.”

At that point, when he made that announcement, he absolutely lost me once and for all.

Fitts: Every time I get on a show and talk about how Trump engineered a mass atrocity and ask, “Why would you ever support him?” you would not believe the pushback I get.

Farrell: I understand, I get it, too.

Fortunately, some of the people on my website who were Trump supporters decided that the ‘quackcines’ were it. They absolutely walked after that.

Fitts: I can’t imagine how anybody could get elected to President after engineering a mass atrocity.

Farrell: I can’t either. What is mind-boggling to me is that no one is challenging him with that question at his rallies or his appearances.

The other part of this that people have to be aware of is that you are calling it ‘Putin 19’. There is a hidden factor to the war in the Ukraine that I want people to recall and remember, and that is GMOs. Ukraine was all for it, and they gave all those concessions to Monsanto via some of the Biden family activity. At the same time, Russia had outlawed GMOs because in their opinion, “Inadequate intergenerational testing was not done on GMOs.”

So, there is an agriculture/health issue behind this, not to mention the biolabs that the Russians uncovered in Ukraine. So, yes, I think the Putin 19 scam is the next big rollout. I couldn’t agree more.

Fitts: Back to the narrative breakdown on ‘Safe and effective’, I was listening to a presentation by Senator Frank Niceley and Representative Bud Hulse of Tennessee. They had been leading much of the effort to protect financial transaction freedom. Bud Hulse mentioned that he had been accused of being a conspiracy theorist, but the advantage of being accused of being a conspiracy

theorist was that he was never going to get myocarditis.

Farrell: He died of medical coincidence.

Fitts: We have a couple of stories up on the breakdown of ‘**Safe and Effective**’. One, of course, is what Sasha Latypova has done: She and Katherine Watt have done an incredible job of piercing through all the big pharma/CDC/FDA and saying, “Hey, this is a military operation.”

They were protected by military laws and military contracting and military protocols. If you are going to stop this, you have to go at it there; you can’t get caught up with the chew toys. They have done an incredible job.

I saw an incredible interview that Sasha did with Robert Kennedy Jr., and it was good to see the two of them come out in the same place as to what strategically has to be attacked.

I keep bringing up the fact that the people who disappeared \$20 trillion are trying to disappear the people who are owed that \$20 trillion.

We also put up a video of Dr. Tess Lawrie reading a four-minute piece called, *Mistakes Were NOT Made*, and pointing out that there were no mistakes. This whole thing was completely engineered. It’s a great four-minute video.

Another is Jean Lassalle, the French politician who almost died from getting the injection. He gave this great interview saying that Macron and 30% of the politicians didn’t get shots, even though they asked you to do it.

Farrell: I can believe it.

Fitts: Of course, we have the great video of Fauci getting told off by a black person in the hood. Have you seen that?

Farrell: No.

Fitts: It’s so funny. I think it’s in Baltimore or DC. He is walking the streets with a group who are offering to vaccinate you. Some young, black man tells

him off and tells him, “That’s ridiculous! You can’t make a vaccine that fast. Everybody knows this.”

They have an encounter in the streets, and the young man wins. He looks like he might be in his 20’s or 30’s. He told Fauci off using the facts, and Fauci was saying, “I have science on my side,” and the young man was rolling his eyes like, “You’re full of it!”

It is very, very good.

Another video we have is the interview between Brian Hooker, the chief scientist at CHD, and Dr. Mark Skidmore. He (Skidmore) published his survey in a major journal. I don’t know if you know this story: The cardiologist in London who is so popular and who has been talking about how the injection killed his dad tweeted this out. Two hours later, Jordan Peterson re-tweeted it, and it exploded all over the internet. This is the survey that shows on a random basis how many people have friends and family who have had adverse events or died from the injection. It’s quite an extraordinary survey.

So they tweeted it out, and it became the number one hit-getter in the journal’s history. Then finally after many, many weeks, it got retracted. One of the reasons given involves me.

Skidmore financed the survey with monies from his research budget. As a tenured professor, he has a research budget; he financed it from the research budget. After he published it the first time – before it got published in the journal – the university expressed concern about it.

The university didn’t say this, but I’m assuming that it’s because they get money from the Federal government and they were nervous. So he said, “Do you want me to find a private donor to finance it?”

They said, “Yes, please.”

So, the first person he talked to was me, and I said that I would be delighted to refinance him. I said, “But I don’t want to donate to the university. I will give you a tax-exempt gift, so it’s only personal; it’s not from *Solari*. I’m not

deducting it as a business expense. I'm not getting a deduction by giving it to Michigan State University because we want the Federal government to not be involved in this. So, I'm just going to give you a personal donation from me to you, and then you are free to use that to pay it off. So technically, it comes from your checking account."

So he did, but the university wanted to audit it, so he called me. And remember, this is after the survey had been published. 'It is what it is'. He rewrote it for the journal, but it is the same survey; there is no fundamental change.

So, I received this 'lovely' call from Michigan State University from a young auditor, and he grilled me on what I did and why I did it and how I did it and where the money went. He was so relieved to hear that I wasn't taking a deduction. I said, "It's not a gift to the university; it's a gift to Dr. Skidmore."

He said, "Did you have a contract?"

I said, "No, I just gave it to him. If he took it and went on vacation with his family and children, that was not a violation of our contract; it was a personal gift."

There were questions from the university about whether or not this was done 'on the sneak'. They had to be reminded that they had audited before they accepted the money.

Then it gets better! When he published this in the journal, he used my name as the person who had funded it. So, the journal came out and said that I had a conflict of interest. They said that he had published the conflict of interest.

Farrell: You've got to be kidding me!

Fitts: It gets even better! I don't think they realized that I didn't finance it; I refinanced it. It was already done before I got involved. We were just trying to make the university happy.

Farrell: You can't get more 'screwball'!

Fitts: You can! It gets much better! In the meantime, this was an anonymous

survey. You hire a professional survey firm, and they pick out a population that is chosen to be representative of the whole population. It's all very professionally done; it's a professional company, and that does surveys. But it's anonymous. So if you are Dr. Skidmore, you cannot know who the people are; it's secret, so you cannot access their names.

One of the questions that really 'blows a hole' in the official narrative is, "Do you know anybody who has had an adverse event?" The answer is either yes or no. If it's yes, how many people? If you say 'three or four', then the question is, "Pick the one you know the best and write what happened."

The software aggregates that into a huge documentation of all the one sentences. It's, "Stroke, heart attack, dead, fast-acting cancer, Bell's palsy, can't stop shaking," and it goes on page after page after page. That was when I realized the survey was confirming what I had been hearing anecdotally, but this time it's not anecdotal; it's a real professional survey.

The criticism was that there was no effort to corroborate what the survey participants said.

How are you going to corroborate what people have said in an anonymous survey?

Farrell: That is 'nuts'! If that is the standard, they are will have to do it with polls and corroborate everything and document everything. You can't.

Fitts: I know. Part of the ethics is for them to be protected.

Farrell: Precisely.

Fitts: So, if it's ethically required for you to protect the people by having an anonymous survey, how can you possibly corroborate what they say?

Farrell: It is 'stuff' like that behind my statement that things are absolutely 'nuts' here.

Fitts: I would say that things are desperate.

Farrell: They are ‘desperately nuts’. Unbelievable!

Fitts: Let’s talk about the next narrative. I wrote a review of Ed Dowd’s book called, *Cause Unknown*. He works with an insurance analyst, Josh Sterling, who I think does a great job. He maps out the rise in all-cause mortality and how it compares to the injections rolling out, but then he intersperses it with page after page of pictures of all of the young, healthy youths – particularly athletes and celebrities – who died suddenly. It’s a sea of color photographs, and it’s stunning. It says it all.

Let’s move on to the **January 6th** narrative. This narrative has completely fallen apart. We all knew it was ‘ya-ya’ to begin with.

One of the videos I put up was with Tucker Carlson. Kevin McCarthy, the House leader, had given Carlson all of the videotapes. Why shouldn’t these be public in the first place? That is ridiculous. So he gave them to Carlson, and there was this huge ‘hullabaloo’ against McCarthy for doing this.

Carlson said that he was going to roll them out every night for a week. He got the Monday show up, and then I’ve been told that the rest was canceled. But he did get the first one up.

All you have to do is watch the Capitol Hill police walking the person with the horned hat in and trying to help him get into the chamber, and you realize that this is theatre. It’s a joke.

Farrell: You know I watched it happen. The question that I’ve always had about this is how anybody could watch what the media was reporting and square what they were saying with what people were being shown. Nothing about that whole thing made any sense to me – nothing about it; it was all theatre.

When I say that nothing about it made sense, I mean ‘everything’ about it – Trump’s comments and the pressure on Pence. Nothing about that whole thing made any sense to me.

Fitts: One of the stories I put up in the *Wrap Up* presentation, which I think

is one of the best, was from the Conservative Treehouse. Somebody broke down the timelines and the law, and figured out that somebody was literally about to put in the procedural actions that you need in order to require a real investigation of the election.

Farrell: Yes, a parliamentary motive.

Fitts: Pelosi and McConnell and Schumer and all the congressional leadership needed an emergency so that they could ‘jam it’ through and override those petitions. That is what they did, and this was part of getting them the theatre they needed – Pence included – to jam this.

Farrell: Let’s make sure that people understand what we are saying: It was a parliamentary gimmick that was used to prevent investigation of the massive election fraud that occurred. That is what it was, and anybody with half a statistical brain knows this.

The ‘propatainment’ media has been putting out the narrative that if you question the election results, then you are just a conspiracy theorist. No, the election results were statistically impossible. They say, “Follow the science.” I say, “Follow the math”; the election results were statistically impossible. Love him or hate him – I don’t care where you are with Donald Trump – this was a statistical impossibility. It was laughable.

Fitts: I’m convinced that Trump won, but the other thing that I am also convinced on is if there was no election rigging, then we would have had different nominees in both parties.

Farrell: Absolutely. The system is so gamed now that-I don’t know about your website- the people on my website are telling me, “We are having elections, and I don’t care. I’m not going.” This is a real crisis because it means that you have to have some confidence in your local elections.

Fitts: The FTX was part of the laundry of the money back from Ukraine that clearly rigged the election with the head of FTX (Future Exchanges-cryptocurrency) as the number one or number two democratic donor. Money was sent to Ukraine and was pouring back in through FTX is what it looks like.

Right after Tucker Carlson showed that video and the narrative unraveled, Schumer, Pelosi, and McConnell all made statements. The narrative unravels, and all of a sudden, there was an engineered run on one of the banks that financed the ‘Silvergate’ operation in FTX. One of my questions is: Did they need to shut down that loan portfolio and grab those documents?

If the fiscal side of the House can’t control the narrative, then the New York money banks have to move and get control of all the documents and shut down the trail. That is one of the big unanswered questions.

Speaking of FTX, there was six hours of testimony in the Tennessee legislature. I’m trying to break out the time to watch the testimony against the use of ESG to shut down finance to oil and gas companies. One of the legislators in the opening comments pointed out that under BlackRock’s ESG system FTX got a higher governance rating than Exxon Mobile. And they only had two people on their board.

Farrell: I’m glad you’ve gone there. If you didn’t, I was going to. The problem is that the Ukrainian part of the FTX bank-run story with the Biden (or ‘Bai-den’, which is my Chinese spelling of the family grift) shows Ukraine is the problem here. They do not have total control over their narrative unless they have total control of Ukraine, and they don’t.

Zelensky could flip in a heartbeat; he could be replaced in a heartbeat. The other problem they have is the Russians. The Russians could uncover something or could be tracking something, and it could expose the whole op – just like they did with the bio-labs; they exposed the whole operation.

Fitts: Somebody asked one of the Russian diplomats why they didn’t expose the truth behind some extraordinary thing – it may have been 9/11. He said, “Because that would be deemed to be an act of war.”

Farrell: I can believe it. Now they are in it, and they have acknowledged the fact that they are at war with the US and NATO under a proxy system. So under these changed rules, if Mr. Globaloney thinks the Russians are going to play Mr. Nice with them, that ‘ain’t’ going to happen.

Fitts: I sent you the latest earnings announcements by one of the biggest weapons manufacturers. They are talking about how much opportunity there is for growth ahead and what a great, rosy future their industry has. “Yay for NATO”!

Farrell: It tells me they are planning to expand the wars on Russia’s periphery.

Fitts: They are planning to expand NATO; they will take NATO everywhere.

So, the first narrative breaking down was **‘Safe and Effective’**. The second narrative breaking down was the **January 6th** narrative. The next one breaking down is **climate change**. Climate change is not going anywhere.

Farrell: No, it’s not.

Fitts: I don’t know if you’ve seen this wonderful video. It’s a Russian immigrant. He lives in England, and his name is Konstantin Kisin. He is a comedian, but very highbrow; he is a super-smart guy. He gave a speech at the Oxford Union, and decimated the whole woke approach to climate change. He talked about how whatever problems we have, they are going to be solved by innovation and entrepreneurs.

The other video is of Senator John Kennedy (Louisiana) ripping apart a bunch of these people who are promoting that we should spend \$50 trillion to become carbon-neutral, but they won’t say that it’s going to make any difference because, of course, China and India are going to do whatever they want. They are just trying to control the economy, and Kennedy just ripped them to shreds. It’s quite great!

The third video was from a Glastonbury Town Council Meeting with one member of the community explaining what the 15-minute cities really are. It’s very powerful.

I want to point out that we have a link to the video of Bill Gates saying that it’s okay for him to use private jets because he is the ‘real solution’ to climate change. So if you engineer mass atrocity, that is how you get carbon neutral. If

you kill enough people, then you are carbon neutral and it's okay to fly around in private jets.

Farrell: There is a certain diabolical logic to the way they think. What are we? We are carbon-based life forms. So kill enough of us, and you are bringing down the carbon footprint. It's just 'nuttiness'.

Fitts: Yes, it's Bizarro World.

One of the remarkable things that happened was Vanguard came out in December and said, "We are dropping from the net zero alliance."

Of course, Mark Carney and Al Gore went "nuts!"

Farrell: Do you mean Vanguard Insurance?

Fitts: Vanguard is the second-largest money manager in the world. They and BlackRock compete. Vanguard was started by the person who invented index investing. So, BlackRock and Vanguard are neck and neck to be the number one and number two money managers in the world. Vanguard dropped in December from the net zero alliance, but it gets even better.

Then the CEO of Vanguard came out against ESG (Environmental, Social, Governance) and said it's 'unworkable', which it is. The way that it is currently promoted is entirely unworkable. Of course, it's just being used for capital controls; it has been weaponized.

ESG is something that could be useful, but the way it's being used is just weaponized and totally unusable; it's a way to get more control.

So Tim Buckley, CEO of Vanguard, came out and said, "It's not workable and it's not useful."

Farrell: That is huge!

Fitts: So, I didn't make him *Hero of the Week*, but I said that it was the 'Heroic Act of the Week'. This was an interview in the *Financial Times*, and he

made a big thing out of transparency. I said, “When Tim Buckley mentions that FASAB-56 has deleted all transparency from the US securities market, then we will make him a hero. We will even make him *Hero of the Year* if he does that.” But for now, he gets ‘Heroic Act of the Week’.

That is a ‘big chink in the armor’ because it’s saying that reality matters. We can’t keep these things going. It’s like keeping crypto going when bitcoin uses more energy than Switzerland. It’s the same thing for the smart grid. At some point, reality matters.

Vanguard did another thing which we will get to later, and that is they announced that they are pulling out of China.

They can see the ‘writing on the wall’.

Farrell: That is huge!

Fitts: I said that there is a ‘tear in the fabric’ of the Anglo-Alliance markets. That is huge.

Farrell: You and I have been pointing out for at least the last two or three *Solari Quarterly Wrap Ups* that China’s long-term economic and demographic situation is not good. It’s not a pretty picture, and it’s very tough.

Fitts: There is a reason for Xi Jinping to fret at night.

Farrell: Absolutely. There is a reason he wants to ‘make nice’ with Russia really fast. It’s not all ‘hunky dory’ in China.

Fitts: The fourth narrative that is breaking down is **immigration**. We see serious immigration problems in the United States and serious problems in Europe. I put up a video of Tommy Robinson at the Oxford Union.

Farrell: My old ‘stomping grounds’.

Fitts: He was telling the members from Oxford what is actually happening. It’s a brutal conversation, and it’s worth watching. Many of the narratives are

breaking down.

You know that I'm a great believer that part of the political equation for many decades in America has been, "If you just give me my check and the story of 'I am good', I will go along with the official narrative. I will 'play ball' as long as I get my check and the story of 'I am good'."

As long as we have 60% or more of the reserve currency and everybody's transactions has to go through the dollar, that would create the subsidy they need to give people their check. But now the check is disappearing – whether it's inflation or lower income – and the story of 'I am good' is getting more and more 'long in the tooth'.

There is a point where people say, "I can't afford to stay in the official narrative because my investment, my business, and my risk management depends on understanding reality."

Farrell: The narrative here is so 'out of whack' that it's affecting the financial realm, the political realm, and the geopolitical realm. The narrative of 'I am good' and, "We are the exceptional nation and the shining city on the hill," and, "We can go around and bomb everybody, and it's for your own good," and, "We are making democracies," and all of it is breaking down in such a rapid fashion that it's almost breathtaking to behold.

It takes me back to something that we talked about two years ago in one of these *Quarterly Wrap Ups*. We were talking about what is going to happen when the narrative unravels.

It's happening now, but I made the point that the United States has been acting like the most serene republic of Venice at the height of its power, when it had its financial 'fingers in every pie' – virtually from China to Europe – and it was exercising such egregious hypocritical behavior on the world stage that in the 16th century, Europe had had it; they went to war. Everybody agreed, "We are taking this little thing up here in Italy out." Everybody in Europe literally declared war and started marching on Venice. It was called the War of the League of Cambrai.

This is what is happening to us. We had better ‘pull the thumb out’ because we are hemorrhaging allies. Look at India and look at countries like Pakistan or Saudi Arabia. My word! Saudi Arabia has just opened up an embassy in Iran.

Fitts: You cannot stay in an alliance with somebody who is not agreement-capable.

Farrell: Right, the ‘chickens have come home to roost’.

Fitts: Yes, and we are going to get into that.

Financial coup: Central bankers build the financial transaction control grid.

We have been talking about this from the beginning. We go back to the Going Direct Reset which they voted on in 2019. We are now in a debt spiral. It’s one thing to grow the debt when interest rates are coming down, but now the debt is spiraling up, and it is spiraling up ever faster – not only in the United States, but in sovereign governments all around the world because interest rates are rising. The Fed has been raising interest rates to shut down inflation, and the inflation was predicted.

As soon as they injected massive amounts of money into the economy at the beginning of the pandemic – which to me had nothing to do with the pandemic and had to do with the Going Direct Reset – inflation was ‘baked into the cake’. So now, the inflation that they created happens, and they ‘jack up’ interest rates to shut down the commodity prices. It’s working, but it’s driving the sovereign government debts up, and we are all in a debt spiral.

Farrell: That can easily turn into a deflationary situation. I’m sorry, but I don’t think that any of them even know how they are going to deal with that if it happens. I don’t get the impression that they do.

Fitts: They are much more afraid of deflation than inflation. But remember what else is deflationary, and that is mass atrocity. So, you kill many people, and then their capital drops to the next generation. Essentially, it’s a way of offsetting the inflation with death.

I am very concerned. If you watch the dollar start to dramatically lose market share, that is going to be the most deflationary thing of all. People keep asking me, “Will Powell put the dollar status globally first?”

I keep saying, “Yes, he is going to do whatever he has to do to protect that because that is the ‘goose that lays the golden egg’, and that has to be protected first.” If you can’t protect it, then you are going to get an unravelling, and suddenly, we won’t be able to print ‘phony baloney’ dollars and exchange them for real labor or real products or real commodities.

Farrell: Well, that is happening now with the states and their bullion depositories. Since we are casting this now on a global stage, the other reason people have to understand the state of Montana proposing these regional state compacts is precisely to have some sort of mechanism for dealing with foreign governments. It’s turning into a real nightmare as far as the Fed is concerned.

Fitts: “Turning into”? They created it.

Farrell: Yes, it’s already there.

Fitts: The Fed and the New York Fed became shareholders in the BIS in 1994. In 1997, they started moving \$21 trillion out of Federal accounts – whatever the number is – and I will bet you a dollar that all of that money went out through the BIS ‘pipes’ because the BIS has sovereign immunity. So, the New York Fed is the bank account for the US government; the Treasury’s bank account is at the New York Fed, using their member banks, which are also their owners.

So, money is sitting in the New York Fed. It disappears, and suddenly nobody knows where it is. Remember that the BIS is authorized to hold and manage assets that are not on its balance sheet. So, it could hold all \$21 trillion as an asset manager for the Fed and the New York Fed as a shareholder entirely in secret, and nobody can say a “peep about it.

Farrell: What do we expect from a bank that was put together by that man?

Fitts: If you've had a financial coup, if you've stolen all of the money, then the next step is, "Let's get complete control of financial transactions." So rather than say, "Look, we stole your money, and you're not going to get all the promises we made," you just put them under complete control and then 'jam' them.

This is simple; financial repression is the way you reset and end a financial coup. "Oh, there was a coup. Too bad. You lost. We are in control now. You have to do what we say." It's so simple.

One of the things that we say is that the Fed declares war, and the Fed's own inflation.

If you look at what happened to SVB (Silicon Valley Bank), there were policies that absolutely weakened SVB. So, you encourage the banks to buy long in their investment portfolios. Then you take interest rates, which are up and, of course, their portfolio is going to be down. Bonds go up and down. Big deal.

If you look at their loan portfolio, arguably we have FTX and the January 6th narrative breaking down, but we also have another narrative breaking down, and that is with the injection of the money in 2020 into the Going Direct Reset. We know we had massive investment (because we looked at it with the SPACs(Special Purpose Acquisition Company)) in the smart grid and life sciences and biotech, which I would argue is installing the smart grid into our heads and bodies.

SVB was reported to have a significant pipeline of those companies. They would finance them pre-IPO (Initial Public Offering). So, they have this huge IPO full of life sciences and biotech. How much of that was part of the injection that the Fed made to build a smart grid?

Farrell: I wonder. I think that you are onto something. I'm going to step way out onto the 'end of the twig' here, as I'm wont to do. I got interested in this bank run and the collapse of SVB, and I don't know if you saw this, but I did a blog about its derivatives holdings. In the course of watching this, I've noticed something happening to the numbers of derivatives.

Back in 2013 or 2012, I think they came out with a figure between \$14 and \$17 quadrillion worth of derivatives, and the notional value of the derivatives was even higher than that. I think it calculated to be in the septillions; it was some astronomical, ridiculous amount of money.

During the SVB collapse, those numbers have been revised downward. When they are talking about derivatives at all, they are being revised downward. I wonder if they are not including biotech now in all of the tranches of derivatives that they are creating. I wonder because I think that you are onto something here. What better way to get control over crucial technology than to do it on a securities market that is largely private and hidden from us?

Fitts: Whether it's the January 6th narrative or the life sciences and biotech and smart grid, if I had to pick who started the run, my bet is that it's JP Morgan Chase and the New York Fed gang. They started the run, but one of the things they did instantaneously is grab control of the entire loan portfolio and shut the holding company. Remember it was traded. You had the bank, and then the holding company. The shareholders participate through the holding company.

The first thing they did when they grabbed the bank was shut documents off from the holding company. So, they locked up the documents on the loan portfolio and anything else going on in that bank.

Farrell: There we are!

Fitts: That looked like a document grab.

Farrell: What I'm suggesting here that somewhere in this mix, they have been bundling biotech securities into the derivatives tranches, and those are traded largely privately. So, they are shutting off the documents, and this could be preparatory to your Putin 19 scenario.

Fitts: Would it be the companies, or would it be the people who now have the 'stuff' in them?

Farrell: That is a good question.

Fitts: That is the more logical one to pump up the derivatives. If people are right, you can track people with Bluetooth, etc. It's a no-brainer.

Farrell: My 'end-of-the-twig' speculation here just got very creepy. Wow!

Fitts: The bough is bending down towards the ground.

Farrell: That's right! "As Joseph nosedives into the canyon like Wiley Coyote".

Fitts: Doesn't it make sense though?

Farrell: It does.

Fitts: I did a great book review not that long ago. An economist at IMF (International Monetary Fund) was writing about the problems which he called 'quality collateral'. If you look at the rehypothecation liquidity of collateral in the system before the financial crisis, it was more than three times. Now it's about two times. He is talking about the absence of good collateral.

What he was really talking about but couldn't talk about was the fact that nobody trusts anybody because there is so much bad collateral in the system.

Fitts: Look at all the fake nickel that has just been discovered. And let's go back: Since we are talking about bad collateral and rehypothecation, this is the hidden part of the story behind the state bullion depository fetish or stampede. I think that somewhere along the line people suddenly woke up to something that you and I have been saying for over a decade, and that is that the amount of gold publicly acknowledged that exists are badly obfuscated and perhaps off by as much as an order of magnitude.

We had Germany, Venezuela, Austria, and even the Netherlands jumping on that gold repatriation bandwagon.

Fitts: Remember when the US started calling its gold 'deep storage gold'? The people at GATA (Gold Anti-Trust Action Committee) thought, "Oh, it's gold that has not yet been mined."

Farrell: Exactly! There is something going on, and I think that this is the other reason the states are opening up their bullion depositories. If you recall, Texas wanted to get its state gold out of the New York Federal Reserve. So, there is something occurring here with the rehypothecation. I think that game of the hidden financial system is also going to a quick end.

Fitts: Here is the thing: Recently there was a meeting of all the regulators. They had the head of the SEC, and Janet Yellen. Yellen said, “We have no plans whatsoever to insure everybody’s deposits.” She spooked the markets. The next thing you know, they had 20 US financial regulators all in one room for a closed meeting. There were no Sunshine Laws; it was closed.

If you are going to bring out CBDCs, you want to dramatically reduce the number of banks. If you insure everybody’s deposits, that is a nationalization of the entire banking system. In my opinion, it is wholly unnecessary. So, there is definitely a ‘major game’ afoot.

It seems there are more people involved in trying to encourage a run. It’s ironic because if you look at the problems with the economy, they are all rather simple. When you poison your population, they get less productive. What is hard? This can be explained by a seven-year-old.

All the problems are obvious, and if you want to allow a few people to control everything and steal everything, then of course the economy won’t work.

Farrell: If you want a healthy financial system, you must have healthy people. It’s that simple.

Fitts: You can’t solve it with monetary policy.

Farrell: The bottom line is that we have to go to something that you said two or three years ago: We need equity capitalism. No more finance capitalism. We have to actually make and produce things.

Fitts: Somebody said recently, “Imagine if we spent as much money investing in manufacturing as we did in propping up the Treasury market.”

Farrell: Exactly!

Fitts: The Fed's problem is investing in real things. If they can keep the money 'churning in bubbles', they can keep it from being inflationary.

I did want to mention before we left the CBDC wars that we saw two press conferences: The Governor of South Dakota announced that she is not going to pass this package of modifications to the UCC (Uniform Commercial Code). We've had these huge packages – both the UCC modifications as well as money transmission packages – and it's all very 'nuts and bolts' stuff. It's very difficult to pass. Governor Noem is saying, "This will make it easier to do CBDCs, so I'm not going to pass this."

Then we saw DeSantis, now running for President (although he hasn't officially announced, I think we are all clear that that is what is happening) came out and held a big press conference to announce that first of all, when they bring this package, he's not going to pass it, but secondly, he is proposing legislation to ban all CBDC – both domestic and international – in Florida. Florida is a huge economy.

One brilliant subscriber wrote in, "There are a lot of people with major money in Miami who are offshore Miami who don't benefit from a CBDC."

Farrell: That's right!

Fitts: God bless the mob!

Somebody asked me, "Where does his power come from?"

I said, "Look at Florida. It's the Navy and the mob." Just like Trump, lockdowns are not good for the casino business.

In our *I Want to Stop CBDCs: What Can I Do?*, we had the five best videos to explain to anybody who is breathing why they don't want a CBDC. I want to mention some of them: One is our friend, Agustín Carstens, from the BIS, in 56 seconds talking about, 'Why we are going to control everything,' and, 'We can make the rules centrally and enforce them centrally.'

He has another interview about why crypto isn't trusted, but anything backed by the central banks will be. There is also one from the IMF with one of the Deputy Manager Directors saying, "The great thing about this money is that you can stipulate how it can be used. It will only work five miles from your home."

Finally, we have the one from Richard Werner. He was told by a top European central bank that they want to put a chip in your hand, and CBDC will literally be digital technology in your body.

My favorite is the president of the Minneapolis Fed. This supports your theory that the Fed is slow-walking CBDC. The president of the Minneapolis Fed, Neel Kashkari, who was so instrumental in giving away trillions of dollars in the middle of the financial crisis, announced that CBDC offers you nothing in terms of functionality and convenience; the only reason anybody would do it is to get more control, and he can't imagine Americans would ever let this happen. That is the president of the Minneapolis Fed saying that.

Farrell: I hope he's right. The so-called convenience of a debit card or digital currency is just that: It is 'so-called'. I think you are right. More and more people are waking up to the reality that it's nothing other than a slavery system.

Fitts: It is a slavery system. That is why I think the really healthy thing is you are seeing even major corporations and money managers saying more and more, "We don't have time for this. We can't afford this stuff that pretends that reality is different than it is." We can't afford being part of net-zero alliance. It's all 'ya-ya'.

It's too confusing to their employees to have to say that they are trying to be green, but they are promoting things that use up massive amounts of energy.

The breakaway civilization: BIS, NY Fed, and sovereign immunities.

We had Corey Lynn the last couple of months do three series on all the different institutions around the world that have sovereign immunities from the BIS to the UN to the WHO to all these different Latin American things that the US set up after World War II. We are basically watching a huge breakaway civilization. These people are above the law.

As more and more money is sucked out of the Constitutional economy into these institutions that have sovereign immunity, that is what is powering the breakaway civilization.

Farrell: Part of the pushback that we are seeing from the states right now is the realization that they, too, have a sovereignty and can set up a parallel economy. In other words, it is very interesting to see what is being squeezed out here; what is being squeezed out is Fed gov.

Fitts: Here is the question: If you take a state government and they do a sovereign bank and a bullion depository, how do they protect themselves from the BIS and their systemically institutions coming in and taking the position that they have sovereign immunity for anything they transact vis-à-vis the BIS?

Farrell: I think that with the Montana Compact idea, you are looking at somebody thinking in terms of creating enough counterweight to an institution like the BIS so that you can push back. In other words, I think the whole idea of a regional compact for a state big enough, like Texas or Florida, could do it.

Fitts: Here is their challenge: You have satellites going back and forth overhead. How far up does the state sovereignty maintain?

If you have satellites going back and forth that are above the area of state sovereignty, how do they assert jurisdiction to deal with the satellites and their surveillance and their effort to control on the ground?

Farrell: They put up their own. That is what is coming.

Fitts: They are going to have to tear down some cell towers.

Farrell: The fact that we are asking these questions and the fact that we have seen this movement grow, in the last ten years, from an idea into something that now looks like it could very easily turn into a parallel economy, somebody is putting thought behind this. In Texas, you can't tell me that Greg Abbot just thought up on his own that he was going to invite the NASDAQ data center to Dallas.

Someone is thinking out the necessary steps that they need to take to set up something parallel and bypass all of this post-war/Washington DC/BIS/WHO/CDC nightmare and the people running it.

Fitts: The premier of Manitoba in Canada basically implied that he was promoting the mandates because, if not, they would shut down his rain. So, I go back to the satellites. What is the military capacity that you need to shut down or turn off somebody's rrain.? If you are a governor and you want to push back, how are you going to deal with that?

If you get enough states together, they can deal with it.

Farrell: That is my point. That is precisely what I think is behind this Montana Compact idea.

Once the states agree to have a compact where they are sorting through a particular issue, that sets the precedent that they are going to start doing that for every issue they have to deal with.

Fitts: One of the things that I've said to people is, "No matter how difficult the pathway of pushing back looks, it's nowhere near as bad as what will happen to you if you don't push back. You have nothing to lose. Nothing. There is nothing to lose here."

Farrell: Let's look at this problem in a different way. You are watching the wokery agenda taking over the US military. I call it *Thoroughly Modern Millie*, the General.

Fitts: They are trying to destroy the army.

Farrell: That is my point; they are gutting the military.

If you are a governor of Texas or Florida or Montana, you are looking at a military that can no longer protect you. I wouldn't throw this current woke military up against the Russians if my life depended on it.

Fitts: They were mandating that they poison their soldiers; they poisoned their fighter pilots.

Farrell: So, you have to create a mechanism where there is some legitimate and genuine military alternative to the wokery coming out. I think that this is part of the reason behind the state compact idea. If Montana's version doesn't pass, somebody else's will, and the states will jump on board.

Fitts: Let's move onto the next story:

Mass atrocity: The Great Poisoning accelerates.

Part of what I've tried to do for the last three years is help the doctors and scientists who are appalled by what is happening with the pandemic realize that this is just a part of something much bigger. I call it '**The Great Poisoning**'. They need to see it in that context because much of the bizarre things that doctors are dealing with when they are trying to help patients who are having all of these weird things show up in their body is that it's not only the injections; it's the spraying and the food. We are looking at a tsunami of engineered nanoparticles.

I have several sections in **mass atrocity**. The first section is in biodefense and pharma. I wanted to bring up two articles – one that came out late last year, and one that just came out. We published it. The first was called *Mass Formation or Mass Atrocity?* It was the ultimate refutation to the mass formation 'gobbledy-gook' that somehow all of this happened because the people desired tyranny, and that it was a psychological eruption, and you can't blame the people at the FDA and the CDC because they were swept along in the mass formation.

There was a huge debate last year to a theory put forth called 'mass formation' and an attempt to use it to translate into mass formation psychosis as an explanation as to what happened. It's a cover story for the engineering and a cover story for the mind control technology used to engineer it. I think after that debate was over, everybody came out and said, "Yes, it is a mass atrocity."

John Waters, who is an Irish commentator, came down hard on the authors who wrote *Mass Formation or Mass Atrocity?* So, they came back with a

wonderful piece called, *Global Class War and the Politics of a Hatchet Job: A Reply to John Waters*. As part of that and their contribution to the Doctors for COVID Ethics symposium in December, they created a 30-minute documentary. They explain the theory, and at the same time, they show picture after picture of citizens being beaten up by police and all sorts of pictures of tyranny. The pictures alone make it clear that mass formation is just a 'bunch of hooey'.

I think one of the things that has helped that is Sasha Latypova's work that we mentioned before about how the Department of Defense really engineered this whole thing; they are in the lead.

Some of that has come out. Brook Jackson has filed a qui tam against Pfizer under the false claims act. Of course, Pfizer's defense is, "The government knew all of this. There was no secret. We didn't defraud the government. The government defrauded you, but we didn't defraud the government." It's somewhat amusing to see it come out.

Another thing I wanted to mention is that we have watched all parts of the government that engineered the mass atrocity, and at the top level of WHO, essentially half of the leadership got 'booted'. Now when Jeremy Farrar comes in, I said, "The hitman commeth."

So, the people who weren't onboard for mass atrocity are getting booted out, and the people who are happy to do mass atrocity are being brought in for the next round. So, there is a cleaning of the ranks of people who will not really do it.

Part of the mass atrocity is the war in Ukraine because you are looking at a genocide of the Ukrainian people. Some of them are being ethnically cleansed and pushed into Europe or into Russia, but large numbers of people are just being murdered wholesale. It's horrible.

Farrell: It is horrible. It is taking a very bizarre turn right now. Zelensky has just shut down and ordered the arrest of some priests and monks at the old Kyivian caves. It's an old monastery that has been there for about 1,000 years. It has been there since the introduction of Orthodoxy into that area. In reading

the responses to this, I've said all along that part of this war is religious in nature because the West hates Orthodoxy. You would have to be Orthodox to understand why we think that way.

It has been going on for a long time, but now Zelensky has 'taken the gloves off'. I think he is acting as a proxy for the leadership of the West, particularly in this country. In attacking that cave, he is really 'taking the gloves off'. The Russians now know that this is also a war to get rid of their whole Slavic Orthodox culture. That is what they will perceive it as. Whether or not that is intended by Zelensky's action, that is going to be the way that it will be interpreted, and it is already being interpreted that way.

Fitts: Here is what is interesting: Do you know Ilana Rachel Daniel? She was the activist at the beginning of the pandemic in Israel. She is American but has become Israeli. She did these fabulous rants of walking around and talking about how bad it was. It was great coverage.

She has been visiting with me in the Netherlands. She is here to do a big retrospective of Black Box, and she is out speaking now. She and I were talking, and I was speaking about all of the cultures that I grew up with in the city in Philadelphia. I went to a Quaker school in downtown Philadelphia, and we had several very distinct cultures.

I was talking about how in each of them, it always went down to their religion. Their religion and their religious values were very important to each of them, and they were all four distinctly different.

At the heart of each one was this beautiful, amazing field that was so rich and wonderful. It was deeply grounded in human values. If you look at what has happened in those four cultures at the economic side of those worlds, it is the psychopaths who have been rising. Each one is trying to destroy their own or others' richness in the humanity and the field. It's almost like an attack on rich cultural and spiritual values. Anything that has real cultural power and real spiritual power, they are after it all.

Farrell: They are after it all. This is why Zelensky's actions are mystifying to me. The response of several Russian church jurisdictions has already occurred,

and it's a universal condemnation. The part that we don't hear about in the Western 'propaganda' media are the Ukrainians themselves don't like the way that Zelensky has taken them.

We have to remember that Zelensky does not represent the vast majority of Ukrainians. He is not Orthodox, he is not a Christian, and he does not think in that way. He is a puppet, and this is precisely the way that he is going to be perceived in that culture.

What people in America need to understand, and I'm glad that we are taking time to go through this, is that the Russians are not our enemy; they don't hate Americans. Russians realize – and this is particularly true if you talk to a certain generation of them – that the West imposed communism on that country. We saw this happening at the tail end of the Soviet Union.

Suddenly, you have this massive urge in the Soviet Union to get back to what had been there before, and it manifested itself in the most bizarre ways – taking down the post-revolutionary street signs and restoring the prerevolutionary name of a street. It was simple, little things like that that were going on. This is what Zelensky attacked.

The ultimate way that this government in Ukraine will go down in history among the Ukrainians themselves is that this is going to be viewed as another attempt by the West to impose a government and a culture that they are opposed to.

Fitts: I think that Ukraine is being cleaned out to be the new Valhalla (Norse mythology-hall of the fallen) for this group who wants it for a variety of reasons. They want a Valhalla. They want a sovereign government that they own and control on the Silk Road, and that is what this is all about. You have to get rid of about 10 million Ukrainians to do that.

Farrell: You have to get rid of many Ukrainians, and you have to get rid of that whole cultural tie with the church – which 'ain't' going to happen. I guarantee you that. These people do not know what they are up against. They have no clue.

Fitts: How are you going to keep this war going? Where are the soldiers going

to come from?

Farrell: From a purely military point of view, the problem that the West has now is that they cannot train enough Ukrainians fast enough to operate the weapon systems that they say they are giving Ukraine.

Fitts: But most of what they are giving Ukraine is old equipment, and it's many different kinds of things.

Farrell: Some of it is old, but not all of it.

The other problem is the weapons systems they are giving Ukraine; they are giving them numbers that make no military sense. We are talking about 30-40 Leopard or Abrams tanks. My word! That is a battalion at best! It's nowhere close to the brigades and divisions that they need.

In other words, part of this war, as far as I'm concerned, is theatre.

Fitts: Right, and it depends on the Russians not wanting more territory.

Farrell: It is entirely in Russia's hands where this war ends up. The amount of material that we (the West) are committing is simply not enough.

Fitts: If you were the Russians, what would you do? Where do you draw the line to what territory you are going to be responsible for and what you don't want?

Farrell: At this point, if it were me in Mr. Putin's shoes, I would say to go all the way to the borders of western Ukraine with Poland; go all the way.

Fitts: So you would take everything?

Farrell: Yes, because the West is not agreement-capable.

Fitts: So, this is what you need to buffer yourself from whatever the West might do.

Farrell: Do I think that Putin is going to do that? No. However, in looking at the way that the Russians have conducted their operations in recent days, they are not afraid to interdict parts of Western Ukraine.

In other words, the Russians are interdicting every supply line and route in and out of that country that they can. They need to destroy this government one way or another or reduce it to the point that it comes crawling to the negotiation table.

Fitts: The US has made it very clear that they want no negotiation, but they have not yet explained how they are going to achieve that.

Farrell: This is the other problem: We are dealing with insane, diabolical evil people in Washington. We can protest that we want no negotiation all we want, but the hidden factor here is that it's the Ukrainians themselves who are going to determine whether or not that happens. I would not be a bit surprised if you see some sort of counter-Biden event that takes out the Zelensky government.

Quite frankly, I am surprised that Zelensky is still in power.

Fitts: Why is that?

Farrell: Because there is nothing propping him up other than the West and the western military, and that is negligible. We are committing suicide by the way that we are treating our allies in NATO, especially the Germans. We are absolutely committing suicide geopolitically.

I can't make sense of this.

Fitts: I can't make sense of it either because I keep being told that the Germans are onboard because they think they are going to get Ukraine.

Farrell: No!

Fitts: I remember in 1998 when I said, "I'm never going to talk to corporate media ever again," and that was it. It was one of the most intelligent decisions I ever made. It saved me enormous amounts of time. I thought, "Just go off and

do the *Solari Report*, and don't worry about anything else. Just keep doing what you're doing."

It was very interesting to see Seymour Hersh suddenly have to publish his material on Substack. I started in 1998, and he started in 2023. So that is a 25-year spread. We have reached the point where now everybody from Matt Taibbi to Seymour Hersh has to self-publish to get any story out.

Farrell: The 'propatainment' media is collapsing because they will not allow any counter narrative.

I was a child when Seymour Hersh broke the My Lai Massacre story. You and I have grown up with this man, and we know him to be a journalist with some integrity. The fact that he can't get on – wow!

Fitts: Seymour Hersh came out and said, "The US and their allies (but basically the US) blew up the Nord Stream 2 pipeline," which of course everyone assumed anyway. He has come out and affirmed that, and he has plenty of credibility. What do we think that the impact of that is?

Farrell: I can tell you what the impact is: The people who think that Germany got involved in all of this because they wanted Ukraine may be true for some people in the German deep state, but the reality is that Angela Merkel allowed the German military to disappear.

Germany is not going to be able to get anything in Ukraine because they don't have a military to go in, occupy, and back up their presence; they just don't. To create that military and get it back to the position that it was in when Merkel became Chancellor is going to take about ten years.

She inherited a very robust military and absolutely gutted it. So that is gone. What the Nord Stream pipeline attack will do is it is going to drive the bulk of the German population out of support of NATO. I think that this is a foregone conclusion.

Once that happens, enough Germans are going to replace the Scholz government with something very, very different.

Fitts: Have you seen the pictures of the German Health Minister with fear on his face?

Farrell: Yes, I have. They cannot get away with being the “lap dog for the United States any longer. Like it or not, what that means is we will see the old Germany again after a long, long absence.

Fitts: That would be a ‘sight for sore eyes’.

Farrell: It’s coming because of the way that this country has treated all the other countries. You are in the Netherlands. You’ve seen what Mr. ‘Globaloney’ has done and the backlash. We are seeing it happen in Italy and we are seeing it happen in Hungary. The Hungarian Foreign Minister basically told the American ambassador, “Take a hike. We are not following your policies.” I don’t know if you caught that.

Fitts: We’ve seen the Chinese, too, say things that you can’t imagine a Chinese diplomat saying.

Farrell: People are done with us. We have ‘screwed the pooch’ with our behavior, and let’s face it: On top of our abominable geopolitical behavior over the last 20 years, we now have a grifting, criminal, shill, demented man with a family grift operation using the Federal Reserve, and he is stupid and a hypocrite on top of everything else. Do you want to deal with this man? I wouldn’t.

Fitts: I am assuming that they are dealing with somebody who is actually running something.

Farrell: Exactly – if they are doing that.

Fitts: Let me quickly bring up two of the items I had under **The Great Poisoning:** ‘Pharma Food’ and ‘Mysterious Ingredients’. We did a fabulous *Annual Wrap Up* on Pharma Food with Elze van Hamelen. It’s up on the website, and we’ll have it on a hard copy. If you haven’t read it, you can’t believe what they are up to.

Farrell: Oh, I can.

Fitts: It's unbelievable. Under '*Global Spraying*', I have a link to Dane Wigington's documentary, *The Dimming*. Now it has become part of the regular conversation. It is regularly recognized by most people that there is a spraying program, which is probably related to weather warfare and controlling the weather, and it has really made its way into the narrative.

Farrell: And it's high time, too.

Fitts: Another link that I put up was Dr. John Campbell's commentary on Andrew Bridgen standing up in Parliament and talking about all the adverse events, and everybody getting up and leaving. Have you seen this? It's unbelievable.

He talks about all of the deaths and adverse events occurring, and everybody jumped up and ran out because they want to be able to say that they didn't know, and can't say that they didn't know if they listened to him.

Anything else on **The Great Poisoning?**

Farrell: No, we are good.

Fitts: We have discussed this next story already:

Putin-19: The biodefense pandemic planning and investment tsunami.

People are thinking that the pandemic is over. They don't realize that billions of dollars are being pumped into creating the capacity for the next one. It's unbelievable.

If you look at what was in the NDAA (National Defense Authorization Act) in terms of money for 'plandemic' planning, and if you look at all the things that are happening at the WHO, and if you look at how investors are being invited worldwide to build huge capacity, we know they are rolling out mRNA vaccines for all of the adverse events. So, if they make a list of all the adverse events coming from the mRNA technology creating mRNA vaccines to heal all the adverse events, so the poisoning goes on and on.

It's a huge undertaking. It's almost like genocide has become the biggest business on the planet. It's an industry – the genocide industry. They are calling it 'biodefense'.

Farrell: I know that they are doing this. You and I said when all of this was going down that this was a beta test, and it is. It's a beta test, and they learned what they needed to learn. So, the next round is going to be worse than the last one.

The question that I have is: Is there some motivation or agenda that they are not telling us? How do you rationalize this insanity?

Fitts: I think their number one goal during COVID-19 was to figure out how to put an operating system in our body and hook us up to the cloud. I think that was their number one goal.

It's very simple: When a company 20 years ago wanted to outsource all their work to India, they would take the workers who were doing the jobs, and they would ship some of them to India to teach the Indians how to do their jobs, and then they would take their jobs away. So, they pay the workers to teach the workers who will replace them. It's the same here.

They want people to teach the AI how to think. So, you interface all the people to AI, and the people teach the AI how to think. They can't get the AI working without hooking up the people, and they are desperate to get the AI working.

The most important thing they need to connect to get the AI working is the children. If you can chip a baby, and the baby can teach the AI from the time it's a baby until the time it becomes fully educated and an adult, that is really what is going to teach the AI how to think like a human. We know they have had a long history.

If they want to get knowledge and they can't get it because they are so demonic, you give it to an innocent and have an innocent find it because the innocent can access the field. So by chipping the most innocent, you get the most robust solution on your AI.

Farrell: That explanation makes plenty of sense to me. I would only add to it that I suspect there may be some sort of extraterrestrial agenda (in the very loosest sense of that term) behind it.

Fitts: Let me keep going, and then I'll come back to that. I think that their number one goal is to hook us up to the cloud and get the AI working. That is number one. Number two, I think now that they have CRISPR (Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats) technology, they want to control what genes come into the world. They are sick of spontaneity; they want quality control. So that is number two.

Third, I definitely think that every country had a quota, and there was a certain percentage that was supposed to be depopulated.

It was a giant experiment if you look at how many batches there were. I'm sure that there were thousands of batches. So this was an experiment. One of the interesting questions about the SVB loan portfolio, now that the experience is over and they've tried 100 things, is they have picked their two winners. So now, they want to shut down that equity IPO pipeline because they have already decided that those people were the losers and some of them were the winners and they wanted to control them. The experiment is over, and now they are going to go with certain ones.

I think that part of this is simply looking forward and saying, "Okay, in the experiment we learned a great deal. Now we are going to do the next round."

I don't think that they figured out how to get the operating system into our body and get us hooked up in the cloud. To do that, they need transaction control because they cannot get to the children and they cannot control the children until they get 100% financial transaction control. That is why the war is on.

We know that there is a certain segment of the population that will not turn over their children. They will die protecting their children. Then there is another part of the population that will do crazy, insane things, but they won't put a gun to their neighbor's head and take their children. They are not that crazy.

That is why their next step has to be to get financial transaction control. Otherwise, they can't implement transhumanism. The human race does not want something that is that anti-life. The notion that Mr. Global can pick better genes than God is something I don't buy.

Farrell: I agree. The insanity behind this is 'looney' and demonic in its inspiration.

Fitts: We've always talked about interdimensional versus ET. This is so much more interdimensional. I hate to say it, but my fear of AI is that it gives interdimensional evil the ability to run the world.

Farrell: I would agree with that. After we get done with the report, I will tell you why.

Fitts: Now you're going to get everybody all "juiced up!"

I want to get to ET, but we will get to that later on. Hold that thought.

We are going all over the map here. I always tell people that I believe in integrating everything with everything. Of course, I can't do that without you. You have all the history and the spiritual understanding. It's a much bigger bandwidth when the two of us are together, but the reality is that you and I are jumping all over the map now. I can see why some people might say, "Whew!"

Farrell: I tell people that what I do is high-octane speculation. We are connecting dots.

Fitts: Here is the thing: You remember the old Pillsbury Doughboy; you squeeze down on one place, and it pops out the other.

Department of Defense: The war on us (mRNA+) and our pocketbooks (\$21 trillion).

We have talked about the 'war on us', but I want to keep 'pounding the drum'. The people who engineered the mass atrocity are the same organization that stole \$20 of the \$21 trillion. That is not an accident.

As of three years ago, according to the Gallup Poll, the Department of Defense was the most respected standing institution in the US. Congress was at 17%, and the DOD was at 50%. I think part of that was people attributed it to preserving the reserve currency status.

If you have the Chief of Staff trying to destroy the military by poisoning them and killing them, and you have the Department of Defense engineering a mass atrocity against the US population and disappearing \$20 trillion, that brand is not going to last.

Farrell: I think that the brand is already over. *Thoroughly Modern Millie* and the wokery have killed the military.

The military is facing falling recruitment. It's drastic when you look at the numbers. They are nowhere close to their recruitment goals, and yet the idiots will not ask the question: Who wants to join this military and learn a bunch of wokery and be more concerned about what bathroom they are going to use rather than how we conduct a military operation against an enemy?

Fitts: If you look at Denis Rancourt's numbers on all-cause mortality; he has a great website, and he did a study on all-cause mortality by states. It absolutely looks like there are seven states that provide the majority of the youths into the military. If you look at their rise in all-cause mortality at the ages of 17-35, it looks like they are killing the people who would normally sign up.

Farrell: Why would you want to join a military that will give you a 'quackcine' that you don't want and indoctrinate you in wokery so that you can't fight a competent enemy like the Russians or the Chinese or whoever? Why would you join a military like that? And then in addition, you are looking at a government that abandons Afghanistan and billions of dollars' worth of equipment, and now has a track record since World War II of having fought several wars and not having been able to win any of them such as Korea and Vietnam.

Fitts: Don't you think that was the plan?

Farrell: Of course it was the plan.

Fitts: What we keep saying is that ‘guys in sandals’ beat the US military.

Farrell: They did.

Fitts: War is becoming a financial scam.

Farrell: The longer you fight the war, the more money. This is an absurdity.

Fitts: We’ve already talked about this next story. We don’t have to spend much time on it:

The WHO coup.

It’s the IHR (International Health Regulations) amendments and then the treaty at the WHO. Meryl Nass and James Roguski have done a fabulous job of tracking all of this. We even saw the head of the WHO come out and say, “We’re really not going to take away national sovereignty.” Right!

We have a great template letter. Write to your congressional representative to exit the WHO; the only solution is to exit the WHO.

The Cook Islands and Lichtenstein are not members of the WHO, but we all can’t move to Lichtenstein and the Cook Islands. We have to exit the WHO.

I hate to say this, but God bless Trump. He exited the WHO. It was the right action and a very courageous action. So, I give him credit for that one.

10. Russia, China, India, and the multipolar world.

This is where it gets really interesting. I think that the Saudis have been a critical part of the pivot. Now you have the oil of Saudi Arabia and the oil of Russia saying, “We are going to make other arrangements. You are no longer the petro dollar. You don’t control. And we are going to make nice-nice with Iran.”

So now, you have China, Russia, Iran, and the Saudis. That is a great quantity of oil.

Farrell: And you have Syria; Saudi Arabia reopened its embassy.

Fitts: You saw the US claiming that there was a drone attack from Iran on their contractors in Syria. It looked like they were trying to stop the peace negotiations.

Farrell: They are. As far as I'm concerned – and you and I have been predicting this for at least a couple of years – this business of a Chinese negotiated or brokered or arbitrated settlement between Saudi Arabia and Iran is huge. Whether it's 'sunk' into the Joe Biden Administration and the 'swamp rats' in DC is beside the point. It's huge.

In the midst of this, we are watching something else that has been subtly under the radar. We are watching India, which has certainly had its disagreements with China, their current Foreign Minister is an interesting man to watch.

Fitts: He is very, very sharp.

Farrell: He strikes me as someone who knows exactly the right words to use. But what you notice with India is that while they are not getting 100% in China's corner – and that will never happen – they are more in China's corner than they are in ours.

I don't care how you 'slice it, you cannot take an economy that big and move it into that camp and not feel repercussions.

Fitts: Here is why: The south is watching, and the south has not jumped in on the Russian sanctions. So, you take Russia, China, India, Saudi Arabia, and Iran, and then the south says, "You know, we don't have to go with the US."

Farrell: Look at what happened in Indonesia. President Widodo has said, "We need to get off VISA and MasterCard." In other words, he is saying, "We need to get off any American system of financial clearing."

Fitts: I didn't push it because I couldn't be sure that it was a deep fake, but you had some Russians who had a phone call with Christine Lagarde (President

of the European Central Bank) and persuaded her staff that it was a call from Zelensky.

For all I know, it's a deep fake, so I'm not 100% certain, but she made a big deal about, "We need to roll out a digital euro because we don't want Facebook or Amazon taking control of our money." She is basically saying, "If big tech promotes private crypto, we could lose control." That is what she is saying.

I'm not sure that I buy that story.

Farrell: I wouldn't buy the story, but I would buy the sentiment that it is expressing. If you are a European leader, if you are a member of the schools of government in France that produce and train their bureaucrats, if you are one of these people in Europe and you are looking at the United States, you don't put your money on the long-term bet with that 'horse'. You just don't. That horse has run the race too many times, and it's on its last leg. You're not going to place a bet there.

The other country that this is really going to affect and hit – and we've talked about this before – is Japan. I don't see Japan staying much longer nicely on the 'reservation'. We saw Shinzo Abe begin to break with this. I think that this is going to accelerate like 'nobody's business'.

Fitts: Let me bring up an interesting point: Lagarde specifically mentioned Amazon. One of the big things that has happened in recent months is the DOD has finally let what used to be called the JEDI (Joint Enterprise Defense Infrastructure) contract. All the intelligence agencies have this huge cloud contract with Amazon. I spend half of my business life trying to avoid Amazon and Google and Microsoft clouds. They are all impossible, but the one that is the most impossible to avoid is Amazon. Every time I turn around, one company I am doing business with ends up back in the Amazon cloud. It's like they are chasing you everywhere you go.

So, Amazon had the 17 intelligence agencies in a joint cloud led by the CIA. Then you had DOD break up that big JEDI contract into four contracts, one of which is Amazon. Then the Navy gave Amazon a big contract.

So when Lagarde says ‘Amazon’, does she mean Amazon the private company, or does she mean Amazon/DOD and the intelligence agencies?

Farrell: That is what she means.

Fitts: She is afraid of the US grabbing their currency.

Farrell: If I were the Europeans, Europe has to break with the USA for their own good. I don’t see the current European Union structure being the structure that allows them to do that. They are in too much of a bind with NATO and everything else. So, a lot is going to depend on what the big 3 in Europe decide to do. By ‘big 3’ I mean France, Germany, and Italy. What are they going to do?

The more those countries’ peoples push back on the EU policy, the more those countries are going to be able to assert their independence of the United States.

Fitts: Right, and Italy is in the forefront of pushing back.

Even though we are talking about the BRICS nations, we are back in Europe. We saw unbelievable protests in France over the pension fund reforms.

You know that every year for 20+ years, I’ve been telling you what the missing money means. Every year that goes by, they have to raise the retirement age or lower life expectancy or both. It’s math.

What we saw the pandemic lowering life expectancy. Putin had to raise the retirement age. Part of this is that it’s not just money stolen, but the interest rates. Driving interest rates down means that the pensions didn’t make their targets that made it work. So, Putin had to raise the retirement age. The French have essentially the lowest retirement of any one of the G-7 nations as far as I know.

Macron jumped the political process and went around the assembly’s back and used a trick to raise the retirement age. France has one of the lowest retirement ages, but all those people worked all those years based on that promise. Once again, we see that the West is not agreement-capable. Now that you put in all those years, they are changing the deal retrospectively.

Farrell: Right. They are ‘moving the goalposts’ once again.

Fitts: I’ve never seen anything like the French pushback. And you’re not seeing any of that in the US.

Farrell: We are absolutely clueless that this is going on in France. There is not one peep that this is going on; it’s a total blackout. That tells me they are afraid.

Fitts: They are afraid of the same formula in the United States.

Farrell: They’re not afraid of the same formula; what they are afraid of is that the pushback over here could become much more vocal than it is in France. In other words, they are losing control ‘across the board’. This is the problem.

As far as I’m concerned, all those French people who are protesting, just keep doing it. These people have broken their promises, and they are the ones that have to be held accountable.

Fitts: One of the most remarkable things I ever saw was an interview of the former Finnish President who said, “We are going into NATO, not because we want to, but because there is no more room for the unaligned.” In other words, he was saying that you have to be in one or the other. We are watching it happen with the Swiss, and we are watching it happen with the Finnish, and the Swedes. And God bless him – whoever thought something good would come from Tayyip Erdogan (President of Turkey)? He is holding up. He is not approving the move of Finland and Sweden into NATO. So he is holding it up – probably until he gets elected. He is trying to keep the CIA from assassinating him and rigging the elections, I assume.

What happens when those countries go into NATO?

Farrell: At this point, I am actually torn. That is a good question. It could be a last provocation as far as the Russians are concerned, particularly with regard to Sweden.

Fitts: That is painful to watch.

Farrell: Number one, Sweden has had a track record of consistent neutrality since the World Wars. Number two, Sweden can defend itself. It's not a backward country, and it has one of the world's major defense industries. So, this is not a Finland that you are dealing with in Sweden's case.

It could be terribly provocative as far as the Russians are concerned. But on the other hand, part of me thinks that NATO has so exposed itself as nothing but an American puppet that it's a joke. I can't put myself in the position of a rationally-thinking Swede and wanting to join after years of a consistent neutrality policy, and to put myself into political alignment with this country. This country's institutions are falling apart. So why would you enter into an alliance with NATO?

Fitts: If you look at the quality of their companies, including their defense, the Swedes are quite remarkable. It's a major, major producer of many manufactured and economic goods. I think they are just under import/export bribery.

So much of the US economy is government money-controlled. I think that they are going to blackball them in terms of purchases and contracts.

Farrell: That could be, but if that is the pressure on Sweden, then for 'crying out loud' get on the airplane and fly to Moscow and work out some trade deals to buy so many Volvos or whatever. I just don't see why any rationally-thinking country would align itself right now with this government.

Fitts: Let me turn to Xi Jinping: I will do a screenshare and show you this. You see this in country after country. I'm looking at the status of China's African trade takeover. The first map on the left is in 2000. This is the top country for imports into African countries. Blue is France, yellow is South Africa, and red is China. So in 2000, you have mostly France and then some with South Africa, and then China. Now in 2020, it's almost all China. You see this again and again.

When they are working on the Trans-Pacific Partnership, it is because China is becoming the number one trade partner of every country in the world one by

one by one. The interdependence between China and these different countries was astonishing.

So here you are Xi Jinping, and you've now massively consolidated power. One thing that we might say is that Vanguard pulling out of China happened right at the end of Xi Jinping's affirmation of control, and much more centralization. One thing that we can say about Russia and China is that they are centralizing at the same speeds that we are in the West. The ironic thing is that all of the models that we object to in the West, they are doing.

So he consolidated power, and Vanguard said, "We are out of here."

He is now in a position to do much, much more vis-à-vis the faction that wanted the business trade.

At the same time, he has an aging population, almost no pension fund assets, and hideous demographics with the one child policy. So, he has hideous demographics and an aging population and not enough pension, and he has huge automation that could cause many people to insource their manufacturing. So the question is: How does he stay relevant?

The interesting thing is that if you look at the growth projections, that society is incredible at what it's been able to produce economically and the speeds at which it is developing technology and continuing to do well economically. It's amazing given what they have overcome.

Farrell: In my opinion, Xi is going to have to deal with the demographic problem, and I think that your chart is a clue as to how he is going to do this.

Fitts: Part of that is him doing it, and but part of it is him looking for food.

Farrell: I was just getting there. That is exactly what he is doing; he is looking for food to support a new birth policy in China.

In other words, China is building out the food infrastructure it needs to import what it cannot produce for itself. This is precisely why they are in Africa. It is going to be an interesting thing to watch because it means that China cannot pursue the old European colonial model in Africa. It simply cannot because, in

order to make that food policy work, they will have to introduce Western mass agriculture on the Western form of mass agriculture.

The little tribal farms are not going to cut it. They will have to reeducate those people on how to maintain that kind of economy. That is exactly what you see Xi Jinping doing. So, he is making a massive bet here on China's food future in Africa and also in Russia. This is the other reason he is interested in getting an end to the Ukraine War.

Fitts: They are picking up land in Ukraine.

Farrell: Yes, and they need a stable Ukraine. They need an end to this war to make their Silk Road project work. That is number one.

Number two, they need that European bread basket in Ukraine. The interesting thing to see is whether or not China is going to continue with its GMO policy in its Ukrainian lands. That is what much of this war is about; it's about the GMO prerogatives that Monsanto and other countries have and the biowarfare.

Fitts: I would put them all under the same rubric in biowarfare.

Farrell: This is going to be an interesting thing to see. With China and Russia both; Chinese diplomatic and financial and economic pressure and Russia's military operation, I just don't see how the Ukrainian War ends in a victory for this country and for the West. In fact, I have not seen anything from this Administration as to what they consider a victory in Ukraine looks like; they don't know. It's only war for war's sake to keep the profits going.

Fitts: I think they expected the sanctions to work, and they're not.

I'm going to go back onto screenshare for a minute because there is one other thing that I want to show you on this map. We talked about the French pension funds, but one of the reasons the French are cutting back what they promised on the pension funds is that they've lost market share. If you look at the subsidy they were taking out of having the French franc in Africa and having control of those markets and those commodities, by shrinking their empire, they can now no longer afford to keep those promises.

That is an important thing for all of us to understand. In fact, guess who else has that problem right now ‘big-time’? Interestingly enough, the US has that problem big-time, and the Germans wouldn’t except that they are destroying their industrial base.

The German economy earned its way to a better place, and now they are destroying it, which is hard to fathom.

Farrell: I believe this is the biggest problem that Europe is facing; it is the implosion of Germany. The German government has subsidized their heavy industry since the end of World War I, and now they are tearing down plants that have been part of the German industrial scene for a century at least, and moving it either here or to China. To me, this makes no sense.

The only thing that I can conclude is that this is not a legitimate German policy; this is probably coming from this country, ultimately.

Fitts: We still have an occupying army in Germany.

Farrell: That’s my point! The Germans are being forced to do this; this is Morgenthau Plan (plan to weaken Germany after World War II), version 2.0.

Fitts: Except they have a class of people they buy to do it for them, and they are happy to do it for them.

Farrell: Yes, that’s what I mean by the Morgenthau Plan 2.0. We are getting Germans to do this rather than doing it ourselves through sheer force. It’s not a policy that looks that it is originating from within Germany.

Fitts: I don’t see any country in Western Europe that has a policy like that other than Italy. Italy looks like they are trying hard to originate a policy.

I want to say that because I loaded some of the longer stories upfront, we will probably go much quicker now.

AI: The smart grid invades everything and everyone.

My focus is more on what we are calling the ‘human energy body’. We see one of the top headlines, “University Team Creates Cheap Way to Use Human Body and 6G to power wearable devices and possibly larger electronics.” So, it’s this idea of ‘hooking people up’ both to AI and then using their energy to power the grid.

Farrell: That is *The Matrix*!

Fitts: Ulrike Granögger and I were talking, and I was trying to communicate this idea. I realized that I’ve made a terrible mistake by just calling it ‘mind control’. What we are really talking about is if you take our energy body and our electromagnetic body, they are trying to get it to go into the machine instead of collect to all life. It’s a whole-body capture, not just a mind capture.

This whole-body capture relates to the fact that our shared intelligence is our full physical and electromagnetic body as it connects to everything. We know from remote viewing the powers we have with telepathy.

I see with CBDC and the financial transaction control grid that they are trying to take the control line they had with surveillance and telecommunications and mind control, and merge it with a financial transaction control grid literally down to the chip in your body so that it is a complete immersive control of the human energy body and integrated into the financial grid. That is part of the slavery system.

The last time that we had slavery, it was only of the physical body. Now we are talking about slavery of the mind and of the electromagnetic body and down to the DNA.

Farrell: It’s a full-spectrum dominance of the individual to the point that the individual loses their individuality. You are becoming part of a collective Borg.

Fitts: Yes, and it goes down to the cellular level.

I’ve had a big piece on the *Solari Report* this quarter where I said, “Look, stop putting people in ‘blobs’. You had a fight with Harry or you had a disagreement with Harry. It has nothing to do with Harry in this blob and, it’s part of the

White Supremacy.”

Farrell: Get rid of all of that.

Fitts: Whenever it happens, I say, “Am I talking to you, or am I talking to your Google phone?” The mind control is clearly trying to promote this idea of the blob.

They are trying to move the smart grid into everybody and everything. As I said, I think they really need to hook everybody up to the cloud so that humans can teach the AI. They can’t get to successful AI without us.

Farrell: That could be. I agree with that thesis totally. I think there are also other aspects of it that are right now best left undiscussed, but we might return to it later.

Fitts: Can I bring up one that you may say is best? I had a wonderful ally who was brilliant. I think he is a pastor who has been looking very seriously at what the spiritual consequences of the mRNA injections are. He believes that it’s turning people into the earthbound dead.

I say this, and there were hundreds – if not thousands – of different batches. So if this phenomenon is true, it doesn’t apply to all batches. So, I think that life has a way.

I do think that it’s possible they are trying to stop reincarnation, or they are just trying to stop the soul from moving into the other dimension.

Farrell: I’m not a believer in reincarnation, but I understand what you are saying. Some of the vaccines appear to be short-circuiting that spiritual connection. I think that it was Rudolf Steiner who actually warned people about a spiritual vaccine that was designed to ‘kill the soul’.

Fitts: Ever since the injection program Operation Warp Speed started, if you look at how long in prayer it takes me to connect with the divine, it takes much longer now. I feel like I’m having to fight through layers of ‘sludge’. It’s like I have to go through this sludge to get up to any kind of divine intelligent space.

Farrell: That's interesting. I wonder how many other people have experienced that. I have not experienced that, but I'm much less involved socially than you are. So, that may be a part of it.

Fitts: I don't think so. I live in a place where it is in the country; it's not dense. There's not much of 5G around. We are right on the IJsselmeer (closed off inland bay in the Netherlands). There is plenty of wind and fresh air. I think it relates to global energetics.

Farrell: It could very well be. I do hear this from many people who either know someone or they themselves took the injection, and there is a kind of brain fog.

Fitts: When you are talking with somebody, there is no connection. So, you can say 'blue' and they hear 'yellow'; it doesn't connect.

Farrell: It's as if they are preoccupied and not even hearing you. I've had a couple of experiences like that in trying to talk with people. It's a weird, weird thing that has happened.

Fitts: It's having dramatic impact on productivity.

Farrell: Let me put it this way: There is something in these 'quackcines' that inhibit the ability of our physical being to transduce (and I'm using that word very advisedly in this context) the non-material aspects of our individuality. There is something about these things that is diabolical in nature. It's almost as if it's cutting our body off from our personhood. It's very bizarre.

Fitts: As you and I have talked about, remote viewing 'scared them to death'; they are trying to cut us off from our real power.

12.The petrodollar loses its 'petro'.

We keep bringing this up. We have the Iran-Saudi Rapprochement, and Saudi Arabia saying that it is open to settling trade in other currencies. With Venezuela, Russia, Saudi Arabia, and Iran all together not in the US basket, you

can't have a petro dollar. It's over.

Farrell: The other reason we need to remember that it's over is the backing of the petro dollar was the US military. What is happening to the US military? It's falling apart.

Fitts: It's not falling apart; it's being killed; it's a matter of policy. They are killing the US military. So the question is: What is their plan to replace it?

Farrell: I think that part of it is these state compacts. That is why I am hoping that people will follow these things very carefully. They could easily turn into something not too good.

Fitts: Let's move onto the next story:

13.Digital TV and smartphones: The most successful weapons of our day.

There was a great Substack written in the first quarter that will be in the **Story #13** list, and it's the best thing I've read on the subject of smartphones. He says, "This is the most successful weapon that Mr. Global has." He likens it to a date rape drug where they've basically taken over our minds and got us to do what they want. It's one of the bluntest things I've seen so far, and yet I keep being unable to communicate this danger to people.

I certainly say it plenty of times and I talk about it, but there is not a willingness to deal with it personally.

Farrell: I have never been tempted to get a smartphone.

Fitts: You're much better than me. I have one that is very, very old, but I keep it in a Faraday bag, and I only use it when I have to.

Farrell: I don't even have that. I don't want one. I don't get the necessity of being in the grocery store shopping and being able to talk to somebody on the phone. I don't understand it.

Fitts: I had a wonderful subscriber post from someone who was teaching English, and she offered an automatic A for that quarter to any student who would give her their smartphone and let her keep it for a week. Out of a class of 80, guess how many students took her up on it? One.

Farrell: I can believe it.

Fitts: Our hero this week on *The Solari Report* is the Franciscan University in Steubenville, Ohio, where a group of the major donors got together and put up money for scholarships for any student who agreed for four years they would live without smartphones. So instead of going into debt, these students are giving up smartphones for four years to get free tuition. Apparently, it's very, very successful and the students are happy.

Farrell: Something needs to be done because they have absolutely killed communication. I am just not tempted by this desire to be in constant contact with the internet. In fact, other than my doing *News & Views* or interviews like this, I am seldom on a computer anymore.

I used to live on the computer that was hooked up to the internet, but not anymore. I am away from the computer. I have a couple of other computers in my kitchen office that are not connected to the internet. I don't want to be 'hooked up' anymore. I am tired of it; I'm tired of being a data source for Mr. 'Globaloney'. I'm not a data source.

I'm like the beginning of that old television series, *The Prisoner*; I am not a number, I am a free man.

Fitts: Moving onto the next story:

14.Operation Smother: Trying to white out real solutions.

I think that many of things going on around the world are an effort to smother out the real facts. This gets into sensitive issues. One of the more interesting operations to watch is when Dr. Meryl Nass's license was threatened by the Maine Medical Board, at which point, she decided, "I'm going to fight."

She got a good lawyer and started to demand answers and hold them accountable. They are putting up the hearings online to the public. The first one got 150,000 people watching it.

The Maine Medical Board wasn't ready for that kind of attention.

Another one is a discussion of the lawsuit by Robert Malone against Peter and Ginger Breggin. They were writing and criticizing Malone for various things. He sued them, and the theory was that it was going to shut them up. For some reason, they decided they weren't going to shut up; they were going to double down.

An article just came out that said Malone apparently had published a study. Before you publish in a journal, you make your story public, but it's a pre-publication. I don't know all of the details about it, but I guess they got comments. According to Peter and Ginger, there was a group of authors, including Malone, who said in January of 2020, that mRNA technology is not safe for human trials.

So this came out, and now we have an explosion of different people discussing and debating this. The attempt to smother the discussion has backfired.

I guess that if Malone hadn't sued, the Breggins would have nothing more to say. So he sued, and they took one look at the economics of the lawsuit, and they decided, "Okay, we are really going to blow it up."

So the smother backfired, but you see smothering happening all over the place. Another example was the FAA outage. What was that about?

Farrell: I have to wonder. The FAA outage appeared at almost too convenient a time. It was like that Wells Fargo glitch. Wells Fargo had another glitch, and I thought, "Okay, we just had a bank run, and now you have a glitch, and people can't get their money." Come on! We're not fools out here!

Fitts: It's true; they really don't know that.

Farrell: They don't. They think we are all rubes. They are so transparent

anymore.

I used to joke, but now I'm serious. They need a new playbook. This one is wearing quite thin.

Fitts: Next story:

15. Inflation, shadow work, and the great unraveling.

I want to focus on price inflation, not monetary inflation. We knew when the Fed did the Going Direct Reset and did the huge injection in 2020 that inflation was 'baked into the cake'. So now, we have the Fed creating inflation, and the Fed is fighting its own creation – it says. The reality is that if you look at the diminishment of the brand and the military globally, it's only a matter of time, and we are losing market share and reserve currency both in the use in trade and the use in reserves. As that deterioration continues, if it continues, we are just going to get more inflation. If we can't trade printed dollars for commodities and labor around the world, then we will have to pay real value for value, and we are going to have to pay real value for value at a time when the productivity of our society is diminishing because we are being poisoned.

So, that is a formula for hyperinflation unless you can depopulate fast enough to offset.

Farrell: They have to do that because they have shipped all manufacturing overseas. What do we make? We could produce ourselves out of this, but we don't have anything to produce. That is the problem. So, yes, they have to offset.

Fitts: If we put half the money we put into bubbling the Treasury market and keeping the market afloat into manufacturing, we could do it.

Farrell: The solution is very simple, and it's always been there: Make something, and make something of value that you can sell and that people want.

Fitts: That all starts with making local food.

I just finished reading one of my favorite newsletters, and this brings us to the next story:

16. Is breakthrough energy on the way?

My favorite newsletter says, “The explosion of new technology, including material sciences, over the next ten years will be so fabulous! Good times are coming.”

Farrell: It’s interesting that you mentioned that aspect of things. I just published a fourth pyramid book.

Fitts: Really? You didn’t tell me!

Farrell: I was going to. The original *Giza Death Star* trilogy went out of print. So, I decided to redo those three books and update them a bit. This fourth book is called, *The Giza Death Star Revisited*. I rearranged the argument so that people can understand the argument a bit better, and I updated certain things.

One of the interesting things was that when I originally wrote the very first book that got me started in this whole alternative research book-writing career was the book called *The Giza Death Star*. In arguing for the weapon hypothesis, I speculated that there was a special kind of crystal in the structure that I called fi crystals that had indices of refraction that would more or less completely trap light inside the crystal and basically turn the crystal into a kind of mini-singularity.

I thought that was about as ‘off the end of the twig’ as I dare get in the book.

20 years later it so happens that they are inventing things very much like what I had envisioned in crystal and material science like crystals with negative indices of refraction and all sorts of bizarre things. But this is an indicator of how far material science has come that in 20 short years, one of my most outlandish speculations is no longer so outlandish. It is actually coming into existence.

The thing here is that this is an area where this country could create and capture

numerous markets for making these kinds of meta-materials.

Forget the latest fusion breakthroughs—we are tired of hearing about those. But meta-materials are a different story.

Fitts: Every time the dollar starts to get into real trouble, magically there is breakthrough energy.

Farrell: Yes, and it's getting old. We've been waiting for the fusion breakthrough since the 1950's.

The meta-materials are another area where I agree with you. These things will change everything. I think the fact that so many of these things are being invented in this country is a positive sign.

Fitts: If you look at what China is doing and what we are doing, there is an incredible high-speed race between the real, black budget scientific leads – not the academic scientific leads – and the Chinese. Part of the problem is that many other countries are getting 'left in the dust'.

Farrell: I think the metamaterials powerhouses for the future are going to be the Japanese, the Chinese, this country, and India.

Fitts: There will be pockets in Europe and the Netherlands is going to be part of that.

Farrell: Yes, but those are the big four that you have to watch.

Fitts: In 2019, the Netherlands was the number one location of US investment outside of the US.

Farrell: The Netherlands is going to be one of the metamaterials powerhouses. I think Belgium is another one. Certainly, Northern Italy will get into that with Olivetti and corporations like that.

Fitts: You are going to enjoy talking about this next story:

17. Media corruption: The Twitter Files.

Farrell: Corruption in the media? Say it isn't so!

Fitts: I have to share my screen and remind you of this video. It's Congresswoman Nancy Mace from South Carolina. Have you seen this video?

Farrell: I vaguely remember it.

Fitts: This is five minutes long. I won't play the entire five minutes, but I'm going to play a remarkable amount.

Nancy Mace: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The Twitter files were not just about Hunter Biden's laptop. Twitter files make it apparent that Twitter worked overtime to suppress accurate COVID information.

Dr. Jay Bhattacharya is a professor of medicine at Stanford who once tweeted an article he wrote about natural immunity. Thanks to Elon Musk's release of the Twitter files, we learned that some of his tweets were tagged with the label of 'Trends Blacklist'. Apparently the views of a Stanford doctor are 'disinformation' to you people.

I, along with many Americans, have long-term effects from COVID. Not only was I a long-hauler, but I have effects from the vaccine. It wasn't the first shot, but it was the second shot that I now developed asthma that has never gone away since I had the second shot. I have tremors in my left hand, and I have the occasional heart pain that no doctor can explain, and I've had a battery of tests.

I find it extremely alarming that Twitter's unfettered censorship spread into medical fields and affected millions of Americans by suppressing expert opinions from doctors and censoring those who disagree with the CDC. I have great regrets about getting the shot because of the health issues that I now have that I don't think are ever going to go away, and I know that I'm not the only American who has those kinds of concerns.

Another example of what Twitter has done to censor folks is from Dr.

Martin Kulldorff, a Harvard-educated epidemiologist who once tweeted, “COVID vaccines are important for high-risk people and their caretakers. Those with prior natural infection do not need it, nor do children.”

The Twitter files revealed that this tweet was deemed false information because it ran contrary to the CDC.

So, my first question this morning is of Ms. Gadde. May I ask of you, where did you go to medical school?

Vijaya Gadde: I did not go to medical school.

Mace: I’m sorry. I didn’t hear you.

Gadde: I did not go to medical school.

Mace: That’s what I thought. Why do you think that you or anyone else at Twitter had the medical expertise to censor a doctor’s expert opinion?

Gadde: Our policies regarding COVID were designed to protect individuals.

Mace: You guys censored Harvard-educated doctors and Stanford-educated doctors, doctors who are educated in the best places in the world, and you silenced those voices.

I have another chart that I want to show you, Ms. Gadde. I have another tweet by someone with a following of a full 18,000 followers. This person put a chart from the CDC on Twitter. It’s the CDC’s own data, so it is accurate by your standards. You all labeled this as ‘misleading’.

You are not a doctor, right, Ms. Gadde?

Gadde: No, I am not.

Mace: What makes you think that you or anyone else at Twitter have the medical expertise to censor actual accurate CDC data?

Gadde: I am not familiar with these particular situations.

Mace: I'm sure you're not, but this is what Twitter did. They labeled this as 'inaccurate'. It is the government's own data. It's ridiculous that we are even having to have this conversation today. It's not just about the laptop; this is about medical advice that expert doctors were trying to give Americans because social media companies like Twitter were silencing their voices.

I have another question, and this is my last one for you, Ms. Gadde. Did the US government ever contact you or anyone at Twitter to pressure Twitter to moderate or censor certain tweets? Yes or no?

Gadde: We have a program...

Mace: Did the US government ever contact you or anyone at Twitter to censor or moderate certain tweets? Yes or no?

Gadde: We received legal demands to remove content from the platform from the US government and governments all around the world. Those are published on a third-party website, and anyone can review them.

Mace: Thank God for Matt Taibbi. Thank God for Elon Musk for showing us and the world that Twitter was basically a subsidiary of the FBI censoring real medical voices with real expertise that put real Americans' lives in danger because they didn't have that information.

I also want to thank one of my colleagues, Ro Khanna, because, as it turns out, censorship isn't just an important issue to conservatives. Some of my colleagues on the other side of the aisle, like Ro, found this censorship very concerning, and even wrote to you and the folks at Twitter that he was concerned about the First Amendment being censored. So, I want to thank him for speaking up and speaking out about this issue. This should not be a partisan issue; this should be an American issue.

Mr. Chairman, I ask unanimous consent to enter into the record a *Wall Street Journal* article from December 9, 2022, by Justin Hart entitled, *The Twitter Blacklisting of Jay Bhattachara*, into the record, please.

Mr. Chairman: Without objection to order.

Mace: Thank you, and I yield back.

So, there was another Twitter executive, along with the one who was there. I think he has since left Twitter. He had to sell his house in San Francisco and essentially go into hiding to protect himself and his family.

Farrell: From what?

Fitts: From the pushback of people who are angry about the suppression that Twitter did of the medical information.

One of the reasons I found that so interesting is that you have a congresswoman telling you that a Twitter executive suppressed information, and as a result she perceives herself to be vaccine-injured because they censored the information.

What they are beginning to look like when you see their faces, because the other executive was also rather interesting watching his face, is that it is beginning to dawn on them that they are not protected. There is nothing and no one who can protect them from the full force of everyone knowing. It is quite remarkable to watch their faces.

Farrell: I think it is going to hit all social media, and I think that the plan/ 'scamdemic' is the 'elephant's trunk' in the door or the 'camel's nose in the tent'. These companies have been censoring information for a long time; it's just that the plan/ 'scamdemic' was the way they went along with it.

They have now revealed themselves for being puppets of an out-of-control government. So, it's not only the corporate executives that I see with the fear on their faces; it's the FBI Directors and the local FBI offices and so on; it's the instrumentalities of government that are going to suffer the most from this.

Fitts: If you look at all the preparation that is being done for Putin '19, my concern is what you are watching is they want everybody back on Twitter, and they want to collect all the evidence they need that when they come back with the next push, “Oh, you’re a domestic terrorist,” or, “You’re anti-semitic,” or you’re this and you’re that.

In other words, this is just a new blossoming that allows for the next lockdown.

Farrell: They pretend to clean house so that everybody gets back on again.

Fitts: I think that Twitter is the new ‘honey trap’.

Farrell: It could be. They have touted Elon Musk as a kind of folk hero for First Amendment rights.

Fitts: Elon Musk is trying to put a chip in your head. Come on!

Farrell: They have made him and therefore Twitter into the new ‘safe haven’. So, I can see that this is part of the operation.

Fitts: When Elon Musk was at the World Games in Dubai, he was leaning over to shake somebody’s hand. His T-shirt went down, and you could see that it was a rubber mask that stopped and the skin started.

I think a lot of the chew toys are not necessarily one person.

Farrell: A lot of the chew toys are body doubles.

Fitts: They are characters in a play.

18. Weird weather: Snow, floods, earthquakes, tornadoes, and cyclones.

This includes the cyclone that just ripped through Alabama and Mississippi. If you look at New Zealand’s cyclone, can that really have been natural?

Farrell: You and I have been tracking weather disasters now for some years. There was Fukushima (nuclear disaster, and you mentioned the Indonesian

tsunami several years ago. I'm with Elana Freeland; I've said this for the last two quarterly *Wrap Ups*, and I'm going to say it again: They have so long tinkered and played with weather manipulation that there is no longer any such thing as purely natural weather.

One thing that is clear to me – and I'm saying this simply as intuition, and I'm not basing this on any hard evidence – a feel that I get from little things is that the weather 'tinkerers' have lost control, and they know they have lost control, and they are afraid of what may happen now. They realize that they have tinkered too much with the system, and the system is snapping back.

It's still responding to their control, but the more they do, the more the pushback intensifies. It's like stretching the rubber band; that is the position they are in.

Fitts: I wonder if we are watching a long-term deterioration in the magnetic field. Is it making it worse?

Farrell: Yes. The magnetic field is not only deteriorating; I have a book that is coming out as a sequel to this fourth pyramid book. I'll tell you about it offline after our interview.

Fitts: Everybody is going to go crazy wanting these 'juicy tidbits'.

Farrell: They will eventually get the tidbits. I don't want to talk about them until people have the book that they can refer to because all I would do by talking about it is promote too much speculation.

My point here is that you are dealing with systems now that are so tinkered with that the systemic responses are getting out of control. So, they are not able to steer and direct these things, but the magnetic field is one of the things they have tinkered with for so long that it is now beginning to behave in very weird ways. We are seeing Aurora Borealis now in Montana.

Fitts: Really?

Farrell: Yes. That is too far south, and it is because the magnetic field over

that area of Montana was weakened significantly. So, you have all these protons streaming from the sun, beaming down, and you can see it now.

This is a very bad thing that you see happening. I will tell you something else just to whet people's appetites: In May of 1999, the solar wind stopped for two days. Just to let everyone know what that means and how significant all of this is, the model of the sun, as a big chained up hydrogen bomb perpetually exploding, is not true. It is something else. It is part of an electrical circuit. If you can turn it off and turn it on, that is what it is. That means that when you are playing around with weather-modifying technologies like HAARP (High-frequency Active Auroral Research Program) that modify the properties of the magnetosphere, which could introduce resonance effects in the sun.

These people are playing around with technologies that have massive repercussions. I think they are playing around with these technologies to send messages. If we can modify the properties of the sun, we are sending messages to somebody out there. "Look what we can do. Don't mess with us."

That is what I think is occurring here.

Fitts: It's possible.

Farrell: There is something bigger than just what is taking place on this planet.

Fitts: We have always said that if you look at the fact patterns of the last 50 years, somebody is trying to get from a primitive civilization up to this civilization to make sure they don't get rolled.

Farrell: Exactly. That has been my argument all along; they have been doing this for 50 years.

Fitts: You called it Level 1 to Level 2, right?

Farrell: Those are the Kardashev scales of classification of civilization. There are three levels in Kardashev's scale. I have my own corollaries that are very different.

The Kardashev scale is: A Class I civilization requires the energy of an entire planet to sustain itself. In other words, the mass energy conversion of an entire planet is Class I. A Class II civilization requires the mass energy conversion of an entire star to sustain itself. A Class III civilization requires the energy mass conversion of an entire galaxy.

Those are his classification systems. My corollaries are very different. I am saying that if you are thinking that you are confronted by civilizations of Class I, Class II, or Class III status, what do you do? You demonstrate a capability to engineer systems of those scales.

In other words, you can engineer systems of a planetary or a stellar or ultimately, even a galactic scale to demonstrate that you can do it and therefore, access the energies of those size systems, even though you don't require them to sustain your civilization. That is precisely what I think has been going on.

That is a 'wow'.

Fitts: That is a 'wow'. And what would that have to do with their concern that we are entering into a period of low sunspot activity?

Farrell: It would have everything to do with it because first of all, to do this, to get to the point where you are engineering systems of those scales, you have to have large sums of money. That is the whole reason for this hidden system of finance that you and I have been talking about for so long.

Fitts: Remember when I said, "Something happened in the 1980's"? That was the 'thing in the ring'.

For everyone who doesn't know what 'the thing in the ring' is, I am referring to Norman Bergrun's book, *The Ringmakers of Saturn*. It talks about when the people at NASA realized, "Oh, we've got this spaceship docking in the ring."

Farrell: The probes that were flying by Saturn at the time took pictures of these things in the rings of Saturn that looked – quite literally – like the rings of Saturn were being mined. That was his hypothesis.

The other thing that the people need to remember is that every financial system is based on their physics system of energy.

Fitts: I will tell you after trying to understand the financial system for my whole life that there is a dividend going someplace. The question is: Who is getting it, and what is it being used for?

Farrell: You and I have speculated on this for years.

Fitts: It is not a closed economy.

Farrell: They can't even figure out how many derivatives are out there. If we are dealing with figures from \$1 to \$17 quadrillion of derivatives, then we have a problem with the financial system. Part of the problem is that it is open-ended; it is a very open system, and there is somebody out there who is a part of this system who we know nothing about.

Fitts: If we are going to become a multi-planetary civilization, then you do need a one-world government because you need to coordinate between two planets, right?

Farrell: Right.

Fitts: Believe it or not, this brings us to **Story #19:**
19.Growth of the space-based economy.

What is going on in space? One of the things that we know is the satellites are a big part of the story, and the killer op in the satellites is cryptocurrency or CBDC, right? It's money. They say it's cars, but it's not; it's money.

All I can tell you is that while there is no money to keep people's food stamp budget going, there is plenty of money going into space. It's incredible.

Farrell: I go back to what I said back in 2014 at the San Mateo Secret Space Program conference. If you have all the derivatives sloshing around in the system and you don't know what to do with those derivatives, and the plain fact

of the matter is that they don't, which is the 'big elephant in the room' that no one wants to talk about because no one wants to deal with such a massive financial problem.

You balance the books by going out and getting that \$14 trillion asteroid.

Fitts: It's just more collateral.

Farrell: Precisely, and since we've rehypothecated to the point that we are \$14 quadrillion worth of derivatives beyond the GDP of the entire planet, we have to go out there and do that. That is the only way they have.

Fitts: Whether it's satellites or something beyond the suborbital platform, I'm convinced that they've created jurisdictions in space. I think the new offshore haven is up at the suborbital platform.

Farrell: Yes, they have to have these jurisdictions in space, and here is the other problem, since we are talking about what is going on in space: 'For the life of me', I cannot get it out of my head that they have tripped over somebody else's jurisdiction and somebody else didn't like it too much. I just get that feeling.

I have a blog coming out this coming week about yet another Confidential Announcement from NASA.

I'm in the 'same boat' that you are. How many announcements can they make?

Fitts: You have to have a large amount of turnover at NASA so you can get a new person who's not ashamed of doing this.

Farrell: I know! It's comical because the latest Confidential Announcement was an obvious question that needed to be asked, so I basically blogged about this person's idea. It turns out that Rolls-Royce wants to put a modular nuclear reactor on the moon to power the coming human moon days. They want to put a reactor on the moon by 2030. That was the United Kingdom Space Agency making this announcement.

So, you and I may live long enough to see the world's first lunar nuclear disaster.

Who knows?

My website member who sent me this article asked the question, “How are they going to get it there? The United Kingdom doesn’t have a booster sufficient to put a nuclear reactor on the moon.” NASA doesn’t have a currently-functioning massive booster. Our Saturn V rockets are dead and gone along with the Germans who designed them. So how are they going to get a reactor up there? The only people with boosters that big are the Russians, the Chinese, and the Japanese.

So they are talking about this, but then I looked at the artist’s depiction of this reactor, and ‘for the life of me’, the reactor looks like Andrei Sakharov’s design for a hydrogen bomb. There is a little bomb object right in the middle of the artist’s rendition of a nuclear reactor, and below it, we have a squat cylinder item that looks like Sakharov’s ‘layer cake’ design for a hydrogen bomb.

I’m looking at this, and I think, “What kind of weird, whacked-out reactor is this? What are they trying to do here?”

So, then I think they must be sending messages. This is yet another one of these announcements like the fusion breakthrough that you mentioned earlier that they ‘trot out’ every time the stock market gets into trouble. This is the only thing that I can think of that is occurring. They are using space now as a means of propping up markets down here and sending messages in the guise of these Confident Announcements.

Fitts: It’s like a recruiting move. In other words, you want to get all this talent pouring in to help you build the control grid in the suborbital platform. They are clearly not going to swarm in just because you have jobs to help them destroy the human race. They want to be part of going beyond.

Farrell: Yes, they want to be part of something big and grand.

Fitts: So, you have to make the whole thing big and grand to justify the taxpayer funding.

Farrell: Wait until you see this. It’s just funny.

I put a second artist's rendition up of the asteroid mining satellites. It's another one of these weird NASA things looking like a Dixie cup with satellite solar panels attached.

Fitts: So, what do you say to people who say that there can't be a space program because we can't get through the Van Allen radiation belts?

Farrell: I think that is a non-issue. People who raise the Van Allen belts issue don't understand that radiation is a function of the amount of time of exposure. So what people are never taking account of is, "Okay, we are going through the Van Allen belts. How fast are we going through, and what is the amount of exposure that you are exposed to?" That is question number one, and I don't hear any of these people raising this question as an objection.

For those who do respond with real numbers and who have worked it out, there is another problem. If you take into account the existence of an alternative technology that shields you from radiation or that can do that type of thing, which is what I think happened during Apollo, then the Van Allen belts are not a problem. The reason I say that is if you look at who was in charge of Project Apollo when it was shooting the moon rockets off, it was Dr. Kurt Debus. This man was the Nazi's Nazi. He was a close friend of Werner von Braun, but when you look at Dr. Debus, the man in charge of Apollo at Cape Canaveral, he was not a rocket scientist.

Do you know what he was? He was a plasma physicist. And by the way, he was definitely one of those plasma physicists who was working on the Nazi Bell. At the end of his career at NASA, he headed NASA's UFO desk. Then he became a member of the Board of OTRAG (Orbital Transport and Rockets, Inc.), the West German 'Area 51' program in the Congo.

So, this person was a 'piece of work', and was the one in charge of Apollo. This is why I have always argued that there was an alternative technology at work in the Project Apollo missions that people have to 'latch' onto, and the signature of it is when you see the Lunar Excursion Module lifting off from the moon. This is not a bit of bad film-making or fakery by Stanley Kubrick because Kubrick was too smart a man to have done what you see it doing.

What you see taking off from the moon is something that just pops up. It does not act like a rocket; it does not have a geometric acceleration.

Fitts: You have always said that they have anti-gravity technology (NB Gas).

Farrell: There is some alternative technology that is making it behave the way it is. It is not accelerating geometrically per unit of time like a rocket would do.

We've been watching it for years and not really using our eyes and thinking about what we are seeing.

Fitts: If you look at all of the legitimate and well-documented UFO reports, given that the airship mystery was in the 1800's, there is no way that you can persuade me that some or all of them are not human.

Farrell: You were there during my friend Walter Bosley's presentation at Bastrop, Texas where he pointed out that there may have been a group called NYMZA (The Sonora Aero Club may have been a branch) involved in those airship mysteries. This is a term that you find constantly being used in reference to those airships. Many eyewitnesses that viewed the people, and they were described as human beings, on these ships were speaking American English.

I definitely think that those things were some sort of human technology that was being researched at the time. I do think there was a German connection to it because Walter and I tried to figure out why we have all these Germans involved with this, and we were trying to determine what NYMZA stands for. We worked out that NYMZA is 'Nationalistische Jagdflugzeug Maschinen Zahlungsamt', which is a German acronym for the National Flying Equipment Payment Office (Those Who Are Not Named).

Fitts: I'm just saying that if you had that kind of technology in the 1800's, think about how far you could have come.

Farrell: Exactly, that is our point. We know there were people in all of the major powers that were working on advanced aeronautical technology before World War I. So it's not a surprise.

Fitts: Let me bring up ET's. One of the leading people in the entire UFO area, Richard Dolan, came out last year and said, "Yes, I've concluded that ET's really exists and lives among us." He did it in 2021. I skimmed some of his material, but I haven't looked at it and had him on since.

We had Richard speak at a luncheon at the 21 Club in New York. We were looking out over Rockefeller Center. Somebody asked him, "Does ET exist and live among us?"

He said, "I'm not sure, but I'll tell you the one story that has persuaded me that the answer may easily be 'yes'." Then he told an interesting story of something that had happened to a woman on a train in Wales where she walked through and accidentally walked into a private car. Somebody had attached their private car, and she somehow made it in. When she opened the door, there were many people in the car, and they all turned and looked at her as if they were one. He described it, and it sends 'chills through your bones'.

This is a topic that is going to come up. We are watching the leadership behave in such extraordinarily alien ways with such extraordinary black budget technology that you can't help but conclude that whatever we are dealing with, we are dealing with people who are behaving like they are now a different species than we are.

Farrell: I agree. I have no problem with the idea of extraterrestrials living amongst us because that is what the ancient texts say.

Fitts: If you ever read the Bible, it's there; even in the King James' version, it's there.

Farrell: It's there in all sorts of Mesopotamian texts. I've written several books about this topic, so I don't have any problem with it.

My problem has always been those people in the ufology community who think there are 'space brothers'. That is my problem. If you look at the texts from the Bible to Mesopotamia to Mesoamerica to India, regardless of which tradition you are looking at, without exception, they say that some of these people are not very nice and that they have it out for us; they are very dangerous.

Then there is the aspect of this that we will talk about off the air.

Fitts: Let's turn to the last story. This is my favorite one:

20.Glorious pushback rising.

There is wonderful pushback everywhere. There is one that I want to highlight. Sucharit Bhakdi has been fearless in terms of trying to stop the mRNA injections, and understanding what is in them, and what they do to our body. The prosecutors in Germany have gone after him. He has stood up and stood up; he won't shut up.

He went to a lecture that Daniele Ganser was doing in Kiel, Germany where he lives. He was walking to his seat, and the audience realized that he was there, and guess what they did? They gave him a standing ovation.

Farrell: For that kind of spontaneous thing from a crowd of Germans, wow!

Fitts: That's quite extraordinary.

So we see this kind of pushback. While I still have you on screenshare, I don't know if you saw Novak Djokovic's speech at the Australian Open when he won the Australian Open, but it was unbelievable! Australia had kept him out initially, and then he finally got in for the next one.

He won, and I think it was his 10th Australian Open win. He gave this unbelievable speech that is so positive towards Australia and the Australian people. It was such a great showing of leadership. It's long, so I'm not going to play it.

Another one that I love is a woman in England; one of those amazing British women. She is in the woods with a walking stick, talking about how not to be afraid. We see that everywhere. People have overcome the fear.

My favorite doctor says that the three greatest killers during the pandemic were: 1) Fear, 2) Fear, and 3) Fear. But that is what we've seen.

It's like we finally got our minds back, and we got our vigor back despite all the brain fog and everything that is going wrong.

Here is the way that I'll say it: There are thousands of lies in the official narrative, but once you realize that 'safe and effective' was a lie, then it makes you question everything; you stop believing them on anything. That is what has happened. We've had a breakthrough where people realize, "Okay, you are liars. I get it. You are liars, and you are trying to kill me. From now on, I am not going along with the story of 'I am good' because my check is getting cut, and it's over."

Farrell: I agree with you. I think the plan/ 'scamdemic' backfired in a major way. They accomplished all their objectives with it, but I don't think they were gambling on so many people waking up to their game. This is why I think that they can pour billions into the Putin '19 thing, and I think it is going to fail.

Too many people are already awake, and I will tell you something else, at least with respect to me: When it looked like they might actually try to force vaccination mandates here, I said, "No, I can't do it. They are going to have to send me to a camp. I'm not going to do it."

I think that many people realized that. Once you get past, "They can kill me, but I'm not going along with their agenda," the freer you are.

Fitts: Once you decide that freedom and health is more important than life, there you go, I'm not going to be a slave.

Farrell: I'm not going to be a slave, and I don't care what you say about anything about this government. I'm not going along with it anymore. I'm not buying the 'shining city' and, "We are the exceptional nation on a hill," and, "All we need to do is pay our taxes and be good little girls and boys and agree with the government." No, no more.

Fitts: That's where it is going to come down – the taxation issue. That is why they are trying to get control of that transaction system before everybody realizes, "We are going to have to organize in and around taxes."

Farrell: I don't think they are going to be successful. I sense that the taxation revolt that you and I have been predicting is just around the corner.

Fitts: If the states can create a state sovereign bank, then you can have a successful conversation about taxation. That is why I think that step one is these issues around the bullion, and step two is around the sovereign bank.

If you are listening or reading this, one glorious way to push back is to do everything that you can to support your state legislators in getting what they need on that issue. It's so, so important.

Farrell: I think those bullion depositories are nothing but state banks already. Once they get to the point that they are issuing bearer certificates of deposit, the "game is over.

Fitts: That sums up our 20 stories. Next week we will look at the micro of what this means to our daily lives, and we will look at the six areas of building wealth, and then we will talk about **Unanswered Questions**.

We keep getting more and more **Unanswered Questions**, so we are not going to do all of them, just the ones we find the 'juiciest'. We will talk about heroes, too.

Fitts: As always, this has been an amazing conversation. I feel like we are 'getting our arms around' the whole thing. Part of it is that they are trying to get financial transaction control. We have two squabbles going on between the people and the people centralizing, but then the centralizers are fighting about who is going to be in control of what aspects.

The Chinese and the Russians are centralizing, but they're not on board to the Anglo-American Alliance controlling their centralization.

Farrell: It's what I predicted years and years ago: The closer they get to the finish line, the more the mafia wars intensify. Each one wants to be 'top dog'. That is why this system will never work.

Fitts: I agree. We will be back later. In the interim, is your fourth pyramid book available? How do we find it?

Farrell: The fourth pyramid book is called, *The Giza Death Star Revisited*. It is available at www.AdventuresUnlimitedPress.com. You can click on my website and click on my book. If that doesn't take you to Adventures Unlimited, it is available there.

I have a copy for you that I will send it to you, but I have been waiting to send it. I have to warn people that much of this book is simply repeated material from the original three. It is rearranged so that the argument is clearer, and there is plenty of new material added. So, it's partially old and partially new. It's somewhat the study guide for the original three.

I've been waiting to send your copy since there is a second book that is a follow-up book to this that is already at the publisher that I 'whipped' together in a big hurry because there is an epigraph (and you know me – I love my epigraphs) in this book that was in the original *Giza Death Star*, which years and years ago I sent off to a different publisher than Adventures Unlimited. I took that epigraph out of the original *Giza Death Star* when Adventures Unlimited decided to publish that book, and I took it out for a very good reason: It would have overcomplicated something that was already complicated.

I restored the epigraph in this book. I have not told anybody what the epigraph is. I've been telling people, "You are going to have to guess and find out for yourself."

Most people who have read the book have guessed it.

Fitts: Really?

Farrell: Yes. There is one epigraph that is the restored epigraph. When people read it, they will know what the subject is of the second book. It goes directly to some of the things that you and I have been talking about.

Fitts: Tell everybody how to find your website again.

Farrell: It's www.GizaDeathStar.com. On the very bottom of the home page, they will find my books. If they are looking for that book and for some reason the link is broken from my homepage, go directly to www.AdventuresUnlimitedPress.com and you can order it from them.

Fitts: Fabulous! And I do want to make another plug for *Microcosm and Medium* because everybody needs to understand how the manipulation occurs. We want you to be free of the control grid. We want your mind to be free, and we want you to be coherent. That book is a big, big help.

Farrell: Thank you. I think that book is important because people have to realize how they've been using soft forms of mind manipulation – especially through the arts and music.

Fitts: Absolutely. Joseph, thank you for a great discussion. I look forward to **Part II** next week.

Farrell: Thank you for having me on, Catherine.

Fitts: Ladies and gentlemen, thank you for joining us on *The Solari Report*.

MODIFICATION

Transcripts are not always verbatim. Modifications are sometimes made to improve clarity, usefulness and readability, while staying true to the original intent.

DISCLAIMER

Nothing on The Solari Report should be taken as individual investment advice. Anyone seeking investment advice for his or her personal financial situation is advised to seek out a qualified advisor or advisors and provide as much information as possible to the advisor in order that such advisor can take into account all relevant circumstances, objectives, and risks before rendering an opinion as to the appropriate investment strategy.