

Actionable intelligence to live a Free & Inspired Life



# The Solari Report

January 14, 2021

## 2020 Annual Wrap Up: News Trends & Stories Part I with Dr. Joseph Farrell



**Catherine Austin Fitts:** Ladies and gentlemen, this is Catherine Austin Fitts and Dr. Joseph Farrell welcoming you to the 2020 *Annual Wrap Up*. What a year it was!

**Dr. Joseph Farrell:** I feel like we should get a prize, Catherine, for making it through the year.

**Fitts:** Just like Cracker Jacks – we get a little prize.

I judge the year according to how many times I lost my state of amusement, and I have to say that there is room for improvement in my performance here.

Before we dive in, the *2020 Annual Wrap Up* is the time that we look back and say, “What happened that was important, and what does it say about going forward?”

Let me mention that we are focused on actionable intelligence on helping each other build a map of what is going on so that we can navigate it and use our time and our resources effectively to accomplish what we want in this world. My goal is ‘to live a free and inspired life’ and to help others to do the same. So, that is what we are focused on here.

Nobody does a better job of that, in my opinion, than Joseph Farrell.

**Farrell:** Thank you. I’m not feeling particularly free nor inspired right now.

**Fitts:** Before we dive into the stories, the *Solari Team* of Brad Eddins, our *News Trends & Stories* editor, and James Quaid, who does the website, have worked their ‘butts off’ all year long collecting the stories every day and week-to-week, posting them, putting them into TablePress, and working with them. From that process, we emerge with what were the more in-depth stories, what is really going on, and what happened. Of course, this was a year where I took a hard look at what they are up to in the currency system, and that helped me a great deal to look at the deeper things because I’m tracking the money.

Before we dive into the stories, tell me, Joseph, from your standpoint, if you had to sum up 2020 in a couple of sentences, what would it be?

**Farrell:** I think that the best way to look at it is what you see in terms of COVID, in terms of the election fraud (or the stolen election or whatever you wish to call it); you are looking at the working out of the practical implications of FASAB 56. You are looking at a system that you have described many times in these *Wrap Ups* over the last few years, as ‘erecting a one-way mirror system’ where everything we do is transparent to them, but everything they do is opaque to us.

I think they have ‘ripped the mask off’ – not to make an allusion to the planned ‘scamdemic’ – of what they are and what they are about.

**Fitts:** I have to tell you that one of the most shocking moments of this year for me was watching the Mayor of Atlanta celebrate the winning of the election by the Democrats. I realized that she was celebrating her participation in destroying millions of businesses and livelihoods, and she was proud of it.

**Farrell:** That is exactly right. They have ‘ripped the masks off’ themselves and revealed themselves for what they are – totalitarian monsters. There is no other way to put it.

**Fitts:** I would say it another way because I think that of all the different inhuman influences on government, the most important one is interdimensional. I’m literally watching demonic possession of people who have no idea that they are being demonized. Of course, the occult symbolism is off the charts.

**Farrell:** It is everywhere; the staging of the storming of the capital on January 6<sup>th</sup> is one example.

**Fitts:** We are definitely going to talk about that!

**Farrell:** January 6<sup>th</sup> was the Feast of the Epiphany in the Western Church and Theophany in the Eastern Church. It’s the last day of Christmas. To my mind, it was a kind of an occult reversal of that day, to have the epiphany of Joseph Biden.

**Fitts:** You probably haven't seen this yet, but this is a real treat. We will get into it later, but this is how bad the January 6<sup>th</sup> 'reality TV show' was. I'm going to stop the screen-share, and will hold this up to the camera. Can you see this? This is *The Economist*, which has become one of the worst propaganda papers in the world. It's Trump's legacy, and it has a protestor sitting in the Speaker's chair.

You and I both know that no protestor can sit in the Speaker's chair unless that is what the Speaker wants. I've never seen anything more staged in my life.

**Farrell:** There are videos of the Capitol police ushering all these 'protestors' into the Capitol and showing them where to go. Getty apparently already had a photographer there. Come on, it's 'nuts'!

**Fitts:** This year, the war on populism went to a whole new level and has caused enormous damage. The war was on.

My favorite quote of the year was from Jon Rappoport early on. I think it may have been in May that he said, "This is a 10,000-year-old war."

**Farrell:** Yes, it is.

**Fitts:** It's so important to look at it like that. That helped tremendously to put this in perspective and understand what we are dealing with, which forms all sorts of tactics.

Let's dive in. I will do a screen-share here. If you go to the *Solari* website and to the commentary for this discussion, you can link to the web presentation. Every *Wrap Up* has a web presentation. We build it over the weeks as we publish. If you look at the section called '*News Trends & Stories*', it has the trends and the top stories. We are starting with *Part I*, which is the *Economy & Financial Markets* and *Geopolitics*.

Beneath the top stories, there is a TablePress with the headlines that were the most important in helping us emerge these stories. You will also see '*Top Videos*'.

In *Part I*, we will do *Economy & Financial Markets* and *Geopolitics*, and then the

*Trump Report Card*. In *Part II*, we will get into *Culture, Science & Technology, Space, and Food & Health*. Then, of course, we will get into *Unanswered Questions*.

## **Economy & Financial Markets**

### **Story #1: The War on God and the Divine**

These were our picks for the top stories. I kept thinking about how I would explain these to you because the Going Direct Reset has been the number one most important story. You notice that it is now number two. This time, I made the number one story, ‘The War on God’. I specifically intended it to be in the financial section.

In our scenario design for this *Wrap Up*, there are two axes: One is finance; the horizontal axis is money, and the vertical axis is culture. On culture, one side it’s human, and on the other side it’s inhuman. On the finance, it’s ‘they print’ and ‘we print’.

I’ve been talking with you about the war on God and war on the sacred and war on the divine for a while, and I have always put it in *Culture*. But I was watching *Miracle on 34<sup>th</sup> Street* again – the remake – and I was reminded by the attorney in the litigation defending Santa Claus when he pulled out a dollar bill that says, “In God We Trust”.

One of the things that I realized is there is no way that we can print our own money or have liquid currencies without a shared covenant, and so without a shared belief in divine authority, there is no way that we can do currencies away from Mr. Global. I think that one of the reasons we are watching an absolute war on the church and a war on the sacred and a war on God is they know that is the basis of independent action, including in the economic and financial sphere.

So, if we are going to print our own money and circulate our own money and have independent credit creation, it has to be based on a more powerful culture than a hypermaterialist one. If they can turn us all into hypermaterialists, that’s it; they win. This gets back to the quote from you that I always use, “You have to own the culture.”

**Farrell:** You have to own the culture. I've said many times to my website members that there are three pillars of Western culture: The Judaic basis brings in the idea of covenant, of contract. That implies rule of law, and that you are responsible actors in society to keep your promises. The second foundation is incarnate logos. I view this as also enabling. If you make the appeal to a personified incarnate reasoning or rational principle, then you are also enabling the doubt of that principle. This leads to the third pillar, which is the humanist foundation – the Laissez-faire attitude. All three of these are under assault because of the assault on the first two.

I think people don't understand that this is a demonic assault on those three things. So even if you are an agnostic or an atheist, to a certain extent, you are in a culture that has been formed by the first two things.

They have to reduce everything to materialism, but it is interesting because they are behind that one-way mirror. They are trying to reduce the public culture to an absolute materialism. They themselves, as you have pointed out, are beholding to spiritual principles that are not good, and they practice them privately amongst themselves.

This is a very, very wicked system that they are putting into place, and people have to wake up and realize this. It's the whole transhumanist/materialist agenda that they are trying to impose on everyone else.

**Fitts:** I have been astonished. If you look at everything they have done to shut down the churches this year, I was amazed that the churches went along.

**Farrell:** I'm not surprised that the churches have gone along. They are trying to shut down synagogues and to shut down mosques and to shut down Buddhists and everything that has any sort of reference to a transcendental principle. I'm not surprised that the churches have gone along because if you go back to Lyndon Johnson in the Johnson Administration when he forced churches to become 501(c)3 corporations, he literally created a government oversight of what the churches can and cannot do.

I would urge any of these institutions to get out of that system. If you are

starting a church, don't establish it as a 501(c)3; go underground.

**Fitts:** For the things that we do with donations, occasionally we've been doing this *Take Action* crowdfund. We are constantly being encouraged to start a 501(c)3, and I say, "Absolutely not. I will never do that. It's a trap. I will never do that."

You've also seen over the last 20 years a large amount of government financing and funding going to the churches. So there has been the trickle of financial methadone. But we have also seen the burning of churches. So, from Notre Dame on, it's been happening.

**Farrell:** It's been one big assault. The message is, "Go along, or else."

Better to take things underground completely than to put up with that.

**Fitts:** If you look at the symbolism of the occult practices that have been practiced by particularly much of the US leadership, it is becoming ever bolder.

When Pfizer first came out with their injection for COVID-19, the first NPR article I read explained that these special dry ice suitcases they were to be carried in (because they have to be kept so cold) were nicknamed 'pizza boxes' and the trays inside were nicknamed 'pizza trays'. I said, "That's not even subtle."

**Farrell:** No, it's not. It's unsubtle in another way because these so-called 'vaccines' are tinkering with messenger RNA, and that is a genetic modification that you see going on. I think that many of these reactions to the vaccine that are being reported now are because of that. The body doesn't know how to handle that sort of thing.

I also think you are correct that there has been this uptick in blatant in-your-face occult symbolism if you know how to look for it. I go back to something that I've discussed with my members on the website many, many times, and that is the idea of group intention.

The group intention of the churches began to become scrambled in the wake of Vatican II when they threw out the texts that represented a group intention

when they threw out the traditional texts of their liturgies and replaced them with modernist theologically liberal garbage.

I posted a picture on my Facebook page of a priest actually in a church using a squirt gun to baptize an infant that they were holding up. It's 'nuts'!

One lady who is a member of both of our sites, commented that the altar, which is one of these large, modern, ugly slabs (which I call 'the slab of ugliness'), befitted what was happening. People have to wake up that this uglification of the arts of the liturgical appointments in churches or synagogues or what have you, is part of all this. They are trying to deliberately make these things ugly to people so they no longer go to these things. They have basically emptied out their own churches.

You look at the Roman Catholic Church, and the churches that are full, are the ones that are more or less traditional, and the ones that are not full are the 'Vatican II burlap churches', as I like to call them.

There is an assault on group intentions, and they have successfully shoved that influence in our culture aside. Now that they have successfully done that, they are also assaulting the cultural monuments. Beethoven is now all of a sudden representative of white male European supremacy. Come on, the man was deaf when he composed all of this 'stuff'!

**Fitts:** I would also say that Beethoven was way too self-centered to care about white men!

**Farrell:** Exactly! But give it time; they will go after people like Mozart or Haydn or the Bachs or Handel because 'they are too Christian' and 'they are too traditional', and they will demand the revision of the texts.

**Fitts:** They raise you to a higher mind. You can't listen to them and remain a hypermaterialist. It takes you to a higher place. So, they are trying to lower the mind out of the divine.

If I hear one more person say, "I'm not important," it will drive me 'crazy'. You are important. Everything that you do counts.

One of the things that I realized in thinking about the War on God was when I talked with our team and other people about what the important stories of the year were. I realized that I don't think the churches understand that there is a war on God.

**Farrell:** No, they don't. The reason they don't – and I hope that even people who are not religious will pay attention to what I'm about to say – is years ago I had the privilege of meeting Bishop Graham Leonard, the Anglican Bishop of London. He was a very, very traditional high church Anglican Bishop. He said something that has absolutely to this day stuck in my mind. He said that the apostasy in the churches (the absolute turning away from traditional doctrine and worship) is now trans-confessional. It is across all ecclesiastical lines.

So, people have to be aware that the churches have been a prime target of institutional infiltration, cooption, and subversion. This is why we have a Marxist Pope, and on and on we could go. They have to understand this because it also means that there have been movements of resistance in every denomination within Christendom.

This is what part of 'owning the culture' means: You are responsible for knowing the doctrine of these churches well enough to be able to discern when you are being sold a bill of goods rather than the real item. The easiest way to do that is to look at the symbols that they are using in their worship. Have they got the big, ugly slab? Are they using the modern burlap vestments? Etc., etc...

**Fitts:** The other thing is: Are they shifting the scripture out of King James and into groovy language?

**Farrell:** Oh, please don't get me started on King James!

**Fitts:** I've done quite a tour travelling and studying churches in America. The coordination of the bible class materials for Sunday is to protect themselves politically with all the fraud.

As soon as the Enron or the Madoff hits, suddenly the materials switch to 'forgiveness'. The coordination is remarkable.

**Farrell:** This is why the liturgies of the liturgical churches are important. There are assigned scripture readings for every day of the year. What they do when they want to change the message – as they’ve done, and Vatican II started this process – is go in and change the texts that are read for that particular feast day.

This is a huge thing that has happened. You mentioned King James, which is such a long story. To make it short, the King James’ version of the Bible is a difficult version to read because the translators – if you really delve into it –were trying to translate as many meanings of the original Hebrew or Greek texts as they could. In many cases, the King James is the only version in the English language that gets the Greek of the New Testament correctly.

I did a member’s webinar on my website on the doctrine of original sin versus ancestral sin from Romans 5:12. I pointed out how very, very attentive the King James version was to the original Greek in translating all of the nuances of that one verse, which is a hugely important verse because it shows why the Western doctrine of original sin and corporate personhood is incorrect. So, people have to understand that the basis of this culture is ultimately theologically informed, and you will not have an informed opinion of what is going on now unless you understand that fact.

That doesn’t mean that you have to agree with that theology, but it does mean that you have to be aware of it to know why we are ending up with corporations with all of this power. It’s because of a theological error that was made.

**Fitts:** When I circulated my top five stories for *Economy*, my two extremely intelligent, very astute, wonderful members of my team came back and said, “No, COVID-19 is the number one story.”

I said, “COVID-19 is the number one ‘tactic’ of 2020: it’s a tactic.” The question is: What is the goal? The goal informs the deeper story, and the War on God is the most important deeper story.

**Farrell:** The reason COVID-19 is related to that goes back to these messenger RNA vaccines. If they are changing the composition of your DNA, they are changing your humanity. It’s astounding to me that one lady – and I don’t know

if you are familiar with this story – in Great Britain who took one of those trial vaccines and after receiving her dose, her comment was, “They have killed God.”

Remember that Bill Gates (or ‘Bael Gates’, as I like to call him) gave that talk to the CIA. You can go on YouTube and look for it. He was talking about creating a genetic modification that turns off the ‘God gene’, so to speak.

**Fitts:** Was that Gates or somebody else? I’ve never been clear.

**Farrell:** I think it was Gates at the CIA, but some people are saying that it was somebody else. The important thing is that it’s there.

**Fitts:** I have subscribers who claim that the fluoride has been turning off the God gene for a while. It calcifies the pineal gland, but I don’t understand the science of it.

**Farrell:** There is something like that. You need look no further than the fact that it was IG Farben (German pharmaceutical conglomerate) who introduced that little scheme inside of Nazi Germany. Let’s not forget that.

**Fitts:** I’ve brought this up before, and I always get weird comments when I bring it up, but when you look at the ceremonies that you go through as a young person in the Masons, they are specifically designed to destroy your connection with the divine.

**Farrell:** I totally agree with that.

**Fitts:** They try to do that at the age of four and five. They try to get you before that instinct matures at the age of seven, eight, or nine.

**Farrell:** The thing about Masonry that has always struck me is if you look at the rituals of the Blue Lodge – the first three degrees – they are substituting a specific God with a god, in general, that could be anything for anybody, including an atheist. The higher power is the group.

My father was a recovering alcoholic, and he went to Alcoholics Anonymous

for many, many years. Then all of a sudden, he quit going. We asked him about this, and his response was, “What they are doing in AA is making God the group.”

**Fitts:** Really? Wow!

**Farrell:** The group itself becomes ‘God’; the process becomes ‘God’. It’s part of this cultural shift that has been very carefully inculcated. I keep warning people that all of this shift towards gender-neutral language and so on is a gnostic tactic to get you on board with this whole process.

**Fitts:** I can’t tell because in a year like 2020, people start to sneak around, so you can’t really discern what they are up to. But it looked as though there was a tremendous amount of sneaking around they could have done, and I don’t see them doing it.

**Farrell:** I don’t either. I suspect one part of that is those who are inclined to hold their traditional doctrine or liturgical practice and so on have already left those churches. I am going back to what I said earlier: You have to look at these churches – all of them, without exception – as infiltrated institutions.

**Fitts:** Right, but I live in a part of the world where many people start their own grassroots churches. There is a tremendous network of grassroots churches.

I will mention one thing: One of the great heroes of the year was a church, and it was mostly young people. It was right in the middle of much of the BLM riots, etc. They went out and had a worship service on Sunday in the parking lot of the church. The police came and said, “You’re not allowed to worship.”

They said, “Oh, we’re having a worship protest.”

Then the police said, “Oh, well that’s okay,” and went away.

So as long as they framed it as a ‘protest’, they were okay. I thought that was very clever.

Also, I have to mention one of my greatest scenes of the year. I'm always loathed to say anything nice about anybody in the corporate media, but Tucker Carlson has done a remarkable job for somebody in corporate media this year. One of the things that he did was get the Governor of New Jersey on his show, and said, "Why are the liquor stores essential but the churches are not?"

The person kept answering and giving incomplete, inadequate answers, and Tucker Carlson wouldn't let go. He kept going at him, "I don't understand. I don't understand," and finally, the Governor of New Jersey gave up and said, "Well, the Bill of Rights is above my paygrade."

**Farrell:** That is precisely the attitude of this political class. They are so imbued in their own world view that they can't even think of any other possibility. They are so involved in their own materialism. They look at a synagogue or a church as being irrelevant.

**Fitts:** They are hypermaterialists.

In closing the War on God and the divine, if we are going to build something, whether these people fail or whether they succeed, we have to have that power. That is where our power can come from. The reason to make this number one is to remind us that we have the power to nurture this. Nobody can make us do this, and we have the power in our own lives and our own homes.

For Christmas, I was in a household that is one of the most culturally sophisticated, warm, and wonderful homes I've ever been in. John Edward Hurley used to say, "Culture is the integration of the divine in everyday life," and we have the power to do that. No one can make us stop doing it.

I think that we all need to understand that if we are going to win this war, we have to do that.

**Farrell:** One of the goals of hyper-materialism that I think is always present when you have that sort of philosophy or world view in play is that it focuses you on yourself and your needs and your comforts, and you forget about the future. Forget about your children or your grandchildren or your progeny or anybody who comes after you.

That will lead to such a barbarous world. Look at what happened in the Soviet Union after the Bolshevik Revolution. You saw this whole scaled effort. I'm thinking of the League of Militant Atheists inside of the Soviet Union.

**Fitts:** I'm assuming that their goal (War on God) is simply to kill off all the Christians.

**Farrell:** Eventually, yes. That is really what it is all about. For that matter, their goal is to kill off any tradition – including Islam or Buddhism – that is not rooted in this world. They will go after all of it because they need to get rid of that if they are going to make their project succeed. The problem is exactly what the Soviet Union found out: When you sever all ties, you have no basis for a cohesive society. Eventually, it collapses on its own dead weight. That is what will happen to this project, too. I think this is an in-built spiritual cosmological rule.

**Fitts:** I'm with you. And even if it succeeds – on the theory that it succeeds – I don't want to spend one day inside of it; I find it to be totally oppressive.

I used to have a friend in Easthampton who was one of the members in good standing of the Maidstone Club (private club in East Hampton). I can hear her voice in my mind. She said, "Let's face it, dear. People like us just don't do that sort of thing."

It's somewhat of a snobbery. It's like, "Oh, God! Who would want to go there?"

**Farrell:** We have to get back to a fundamental idea, and that is that atheism itself is a faith, and materialism itself is a faith. Until people understand this, they will be locked into this monstrous transhumanist agenda that they are trying to 'cook up' and make everybody follow.

Eventually, I think that because it is violating that cosmological rule, so to speak, it is going to collapse of its own dead weight because it's unworkable.

**Fitts:** It is absolutely unworkable.

**Farrell:** The technocratic spiritual temptation is that ‘they’ (science and all of this) are competent enough to play God and manage this down to the last detail.

Well, okay, go for it if you think you’re up to it. I tell people all the time, “I don’t want the job of being God. I’m not up to it.”

**Fitts:** If you look at the promotion of fake science; fake science has reached whole new levels.

**Farrell:** It’s unbelievable.

**Fitts:** So, part of the challenge of technocracy is unbelievably complicated, but when you add fake science, wow!

**Farrell:** Somebody sent me a meme, “If face masks are going to help you fight the virus, why aren’t you disposing of those face masks as a biomaterial hazard?”

**Fitts:** One of the great videos of the year was of two women who were OSHA experts. They are paid by employers from Michigan to help with OSHA. They got on with Del Bigtree (antivaccination group) and explained why masks are not useful in a situation like this, and many of the mask policies are against the law under OSHA regulations because they are so unhealthy.

## **Story #2: The Going Direct Reset: Central Bank Owners Make their Move**

The Going Direct website is up. We will do the reset. In fact, the theme we are doing is the Going Direct Reset.

The ‘Going Direct’ section will be up in two or three weeks. We have a great piece by Rob Kirby on derivatives. If you look at all of the quantitative easings, of course, derivatives were in the mix.

I wanted to see what this looked like, so I asked Jason Wirth if he would identify all of the top ETFs for the different countries and then say who won. The Going Direct Reset was approved by the G7 central bankers in Jackson Hole,

Wyoming on August 22<sup>nd</sup>. So that is the start date, and then December 31, 2020, is the end date. So who won? By country, which country had the best performance?

If you look at this, you will see that number one and number four were South Korea and Taiwan. I think that is the Quad emerging, but really Asia is the winner.

Here is what is interesting: Number two is Israel. So Israel did all of this IT and systems, and we know that the leader of the Going Direct Reset plan was Stanley Fischer, who is the former chairman of the Israeli central bank and former vice chairman of the Fed.

I saw the interview with Whitney Webb on *The Last American Vagabond*, and they were saying that someone had proposed that any coverage or discussion of the Going Direct Reset was anti-Semitic.

**Farrell:** Gee, fancy that! And how does one go from the Fed to the Israeli central bank? That is my question! How does that work?

**Fitts:** No, they went from the Israeli central bank to the Fed.

**Farrell:** Oh, even better! How does that work?

**Fitts:** I have a funny story for you about the Going Direct Reset.

John Titus joins me on every other *Money & Markets*, and we were talking about the fact that the BIS (Bank of International Settlements) is clearly in command of much of the global design. There are two stories that I want to tell. The first is there was a wonderful cross-border payment discussion with Powell, Carstens of the BIS, and then the woman who runs the IMF (International Monetary Fund) now that Lagarde has gone on to ECB European Central Bank). Carstens said, “Now with digital, you’ll be able to shut off any non-citizen you don’t want transacting in your currency.”

Of course, that means that you can shut off any citizen, too. They made that totally clear.

You can see Powell going, “Uh, we don’t have the guns pulled in yet.”

The IMF woman was saying, “Don’t we need a biometric digital identity?”

You could see Powell just going, “No, no! Don’t bring that up!”

So between Carstens’ total control and the IMF’s, “Isn’t this wonderful that we can chip everybody?” poor Powell was deeply nervous.

One of the things that I said to Titus was, “If you watch *The Game of Thrones* TV show, you know that the power behind the throne is ‘the iron bank.’” If you look at the building of the BIS, it looks like the iron bank – or a boot sitting on top of you.

I said, “I don’t think that if you are going to go retail with the Going Direct plan that you can do it from that building. You need a new building.”

So, I went to Basel recently, and was driving along. I told that story to my host, who is from Basel. They said, “Didn’t you know? BIS is building a new building.”

**Farrell:** The BIS story is important for another reason, and that is the recent decision by Pope Francis to take the Vatican Bank and remove it from the Cardinal Secretary of State and directly into the Patrimony of the Apostolic See. In other words, he has literally seized the Vatican Bank and made it a payable patrimony.

The reason I’m saying, “Why is that important?” goes back to what you and I discovered the time you came to visit me in California. We were trying to find out what the company was that Pope Francis had put in charge of auditing the Vatican Bank. Well, it turned out – as we discovered much to our horrified surprise –it was the same company that was the auditor of record for Lloyd’s of London and the Bank of International Settlements.

**Fitts:** Surprise, surprise!

**Farrell:** What that tells me is: I am going back to *Babylon's Banksters* and the relationship between the temple and the banks in ancient times. They need some sort of moral cover under which to operate their global currency scheme. What is more appealing than to give them the papacy which has, as part of its claims, a universal jurisdiction.

**Fitts:** Right. It's got global diplomatic immunity and freedom from taxes.

**Farrell:** It's no surprise to me that, now all of a sudden, we see the Rothschilds and the Pope getting together to talk about more inclusive capitalism. Yeah, right. 'More inclusive' means global slavery as far as I'm concerned.

**Fitts:** I tweeted out the video, which is very shocking to me. This is a video of Lynn Forester de Rothschild who, in fact, is the publisher of *The Economist*, and Pope Francis and a group of corporate leaders talking about inclusive capitalism and what it does and, "Isn't it great!"

You watch it, and it's like watching Prince Charles' video on the global reset. You think, "Did these people think we don't know?"

It's quite frightening to me. If I were in their shoes, that is not my idea of what would be effective. If I were running PR for them, that's not what I would do.

I think many, many people know that the inequality is coming from rigging it centrally through the governmental mechanisms. That's not a secret. It's not some giant accident that is happening – for the people who are doing that to get on a video and tell you they want to be inclusive.

**Farrell:** Look at it this way: There is a certain segment of society, I am sure, that thinks what we are saying is impossible – that you can't coordinate things on that degree or with that scale. Well, if you doubt that, look at this last election. This was literally an election that was stolen by computers and by technocracy. If they can do that, they can manipulate currencies – as you've pointed out many times. This digital reset is simply a corporate coupon that people are offering as a facsimile of currency. It's not even monetized debt anymore because this can be expanded ad infinitum, "If you are good little boys and girls."

**Fitts:** One of the most important things about the Going Direct Reset is you are looking at a group of central bankers who want to move to an all-digital system. I call it ‘the end of currencies’ because it’s a control system. There is no currency as we know it; it’s a credit at the company store, and it’s a complete control system a la the Chinese social credit system.

If you are Jay Powell and are trying to implement that, it is extremely difficult to do because the whole economy is dependent on trillions of dollars of organized crime activity and accumulated capital that has to be kept a secret. How do you keep it secret? How can you say that you can’t control it and you don’t know where it is, and you can’t stop it when, in fact, you have 100% complete control? That is very tricky.

**Farrell:** This digital currency topic, along with FASAB 56 – as far as I’m concerned – is this is the final step of the hidden system of finance taking over everything so they can operate behind a one-way mirror, and skim and harvest profits on a scale that has hitherto been unimaginable. That is all I think is happening.

**Fitts:** They can do negative interest rates and whatever taxation they want. So, I will step back and mention that at the 2014 and 2015 Secret Space Programs, you gave an excellent presentation on the hidden system of finance coming out of World War II. I gave a presentation on the black budget. So, we have done plenty of work, both on *The Solari Report*, on your website, and at the Secret Space Program conferences documenting from World War II the growth of the hidden system of finance. FASAB 56, an administrative policy adopted by the US administration in 2018, is really the ultimate final completion of a completely outside-the-law, totally secret, covert system of finance taking over everything. It’s the covert assuming control of the overt.

**Farrell:** Absolutely. If you wonder why ‘Swampington DC’ is functioning as it is, it is having rolled out this secret system so they can give bonuses to these so-called leaders of ours under the table as easy as pie.

**Fitts:** I have to tell you my concern. If you want the Going Direct Reset to be on steroids, this is my concern with a Democratic Senate: The House approved

the \$3 trillion SDR (Special Drawing Right-IMF) package. Now if the Democrats control the Senate, they can approve it in the Senate, and if the lady at the IMF wants to do the Bill Gates' ID system, they have \$3 trillion of SDR to buy every country in the world into the digital control system. That is step one.

Then you have the Green New Deal, which is basically a grab at the real estate all over the United States, and you control all the mortgage funds that can 'turn on that spigot', which is the VA and HUD. Then you can do modern monetary theory. It's the Democrats who have been trying to put everybody on a wallet, and from now on, whatever your government check or subsidy is, you have to get it in a digital wallet direct from the Fed. We know with all the cloud contracts in place – bam! – the ability to reengineer the government cash flows at high speed is in place.

So, now we are talking about modern monetary theory on steroids – whether it's the real estate and the mortgage finance or the SDRs; the money is just going to pour out.

If you think the money poured out under Trump, wait until you see this. This is going to be a tsunami, a financial methadone.

I know that you and I have faced those kinds of financial tsunamis. One of the reasons we started to do these quarterly discussions was that I came to you. I'll never forget it. I drove through Dallas and came to you and said, "The independent financial media can't help me. They can't see this. They cannot see the financial coup. I don't care that you think you're not a financial person. You know more about the financial stuff than the financial people, so you are going to help me do this."

So, we have lived through what it's like to be 'against the grain' in a financial tsunami. The financial tsunami is coming, and it's the biggest one yet.

**Farrell:** Oh, I know. Unfortunately, I think that many people will get trapped by it because, through these COVID lockdowns, they've created a whole class of people who have been disenfranchised and who are losing their businesses and their livelihoods. Now they are offering them the 'helicopter money'.

As far as I'm concerned, they've set up a Faustian (a German astronomer who sold his soul to the devil) bargain. They take the helicopter money, and then they find out later that they've become addicted to the helicopter money and they can't get out of the system.

I don't know what to tell those people, but I would say that as much as you can, avoid getting entangled with it.

**Fitts:** That is why communities printing their own currencies is important. So we have to do our own currencies, we have to do our own credit creation, and we have to circle the money.

In the *1<sup>st</sup> Quarter*, we will talk to a great extent about actions. You can do it, but you have to have your own circulation locally. Right now, we are shipping all of our money to Wall Street and Washington. You have to do your own circulation. As part of that, you have to build your own fresh food system because the next thing coming is Bill Gates after the food supply.

My point about Going Direct is that they are building the Going Direct Reset on all these different tracks. So, you have the BIS and their innovation hubs; you have the military with warp speed trying to stick the 'train tracks' into everybody's mind and body; you have big pharma; you have tech; you have the telecommunication people; you have all these different pillars of people building the system and trying to make it look like they're not connected.

Jay Powell is trying to make it look like whatever he is doing, it's not connected to the IMF trying to bring everybody into the Bill Gates system.

Everybody is trying to make it look like, "Oh, we're just doing injections to protect you against COVID-19," or, "We are just bringing up a cloud at the Department of Defense so that we have IT capacity." So, they are trying to make it look like it's a different thing and it's all separate, but in fact, when they all come together, the trap is thrown.

**Farrell:** That is exactly right.

**Fitts:** So, how do we help everybody see the different trajectories and connect the dots?

**Farrell:** I think fundamentally, the problem is that people are not used to connecting dots for themselves. The way that I try to do this is to get people to start thinking analogically – to go from one discipline to another and recognize the pattern. Once people can do that, then they can think and see these things for themselves. They may not come to the same conclusions as we do, but they are liable to be as valid.

The other thing that we have to get people starting to do is trusting their own mind, and what they see rather than this attitude of being spoon-fed from the ‘propaganda media’ and saying, “That’s as far as it goes. I need not think about it any further.”

Part of owning the culture means that everybody has to understand that they are responsible for the information they receive and how they process it. No one else should be processing your information for you.

**Fitts:** One of the things that you notice when you look at this effort to centralize control is that the people who are making money building it or financing it are us. So why are we doing that?

If I hear one more person say to me, “I’m banking at JP Morgan Chase because I’m not important. It’s not important where I bank. I have a small account. It doesn’t matter,” of course, it matters.

**Farrell:** Of course, it matters. Trust me. Years and years ago, I was banking with a major bank. I got this receipt for a class-action lawsuit against the bank for using the float and then charging people for bounced checks and driving up the fees so that they had more money to use in the float. They were caught red-handed, and the bank actually folded; they were caught ‘red-handed’.

At that point, I got \$2.30 from this lawsuit, but I said, “I am no longer banking with any big-name bank – Wells Fargo, Citibank, JP Morgan – none of them. I am going to a local bank or a credit union, and I’m putting my money there.”

I have to tell you that my life has been headache-free since then. I'm no longer talking to somebody on a customer service line hundreds of miles away that really doesn't give a care about my problem. I can go right down to my credit union and say, "This and this is not right."

They say, "Okay, we will look into it and take care of it," and my life has been infinitely less harassed by these financial creditors.

**Fitts:** I was emailing back and forth with a local bookstore because I'm trying to set up a relationship where I can give them the names of the books that I want, and then they arrange it and ship them to me. They said, "You know, we can't get English books anywhere near as cheap as the big online stores."

I said, "Whatever money I have to pay you, I will save in the aggravation and time that they have wasted." It's unbelievable.

**Farrell:** You have the concept of 'shadow work'. Shadow work, as far as I'm concerned, is built into the system that they want to bring into play because it's their way of giving people something to do.

**Fitts:** Right, but it's also class society. You could never do this without AI and software, and it's very clever the way they do it.

If you don't 'play ball,' then it will take you 20 hours a week more to do all these different things in combination. That is why I tell everybody to do a time budget because this is 'time war'.

If there are people in your community who have lost their income and you are struggling with a business because of time war, get together; hire them.

If you look at the time it takes to reengineer how we do things given all the change, you need those people to help you. Look at all these different things.

I'll never forget reading a book about the Middle Ages. The protagonist was in the castle and all the serfs' food had been stolen by the evil king who was separate. He was trying to destroy the people and their capital. These people saw the serfs all with their pitchforks who were angry and coming at them.

Somebody said, “What are we going to do?”

The protagonist said, “There’s our army. This is an opportunity. We are going to go out and explain to them not to kill us, and that we have to team up and get the king.”

So, the ‘Going Direct Reset: Central Bank Owners Make their Move’, John Titus, and I specifically made that central bank owners. This is not the central banks that are moving; it’s their owners.

Everybody thinks that the healthcare crisis is being run by Fauci, but it’s not; it’s being run by the central bankers. It’s called ‘engineered deflation’.

Somebody said to me, “How could the stock market do so well?”

I said, “That’s what happens when you shut down all the small businesses in the country and move that cash flow into the big, publicly-traded stocks.”

There was a great scene on MSNBC where Rick Santelli is complaining that Costco is completely open, and there are hundreds of people packed in when the restaurant next door and the church next door are shut down. It makes no sense.

Andrew Sorkin (columnist for the New York Times), who is totally a hitman – this man is totally unbelievable – said, “Oh, no. That’s science.”

I said, “That’s right. The science is that if your business is not owned by somebody in New York – the big asset managers and the big banks and the venture capitalists – then they will ‘spray the pathogen’ on you.”

It’s the magic virus.

### **Story #3: Brexit and the Future of the British Monarchy, the Commonwealth, the City of London, and the Offshore System**

Let me say that the most highly educated, intelligent subscribers of *Giza Death Star* and *Solari* have never been educated on that system. They’ve never been

trained to see that system whole. Of course, that is one of the most powerful, if not the most powerful, system in the world. It's also a 'food juggernaut'; the Commonwealth is a food juggernaut.

So, you and I believe that Brexit is what the Queen wanted, and the Queen wanted it to protect that system. My guess is that if you and I were in her shoes and knew what she knew, it's exactly what we would have done.

So, this is a 'gut-wrenching' change because when they came out, they did a hard Brexit. They finally reached an agreement about how they would transition, but then they couldn't reach an agreement. So, I would call this a modified hard Brexit.

It took plenty of strategic 'guts' to do what they did, and they did it. What is interesting is if you look at the coverage of this, I think there are very few people who understand what this is all about.

**Farrell:** Oh, I don't think anybody really does. When I say that, I mean that I think there are aspects of this that you and I have not seen yet.

Let's put it this way: The lockdowns in Great Britain are absolutely draconian. I can't figure out any other reason for them other than that there is such a big financial shuffle going on behind the scenes to protect those offshore tax-havens. I suspect it's very strongly and directly related to space and the very quiet moves that Britain has been making in that regard. For that matter, going back to Pope Francis and seizing the Vatican Bank, I suspect that is strongly tied into the Vatican's own satellite systems.

There is something happening here that I haven't quite put my 'handle' on, but it appears that there is an all-out effort to protect those offshore tax savings.

**Fitts:** One subscriber wanted to know what you thought about what the Vatican is doing with their telescope – their Lucifer telescope. There was one report of an Italian defense contractor taking the lead on engineering the computer fraud in the US election. Basically, you are watching the Italian government, the Vatican, and MI6. So, you have the Brits and the Italians working together to rig the election.

I kept being reminded of the old Christopher Simpson story of the Americans teaming up with the Vatican in 1948 to rig the Italian and European elections. That is the kick-off of Operation Gladio (NATO backed clandestine paramilitary network), and the whole NATO scene. It's sort-of like 'déjà vu all over again'. But now they're not doing the Italian elections; they're doing the American elections.

**Farrell:** I suspect there is a German component, too, because of the seizure of those computer services during the period immediately after the election. Whatever is going on, there is something that is at work to protect these offshore havens. The pattern looks like they are trying to shut down American populism so that people don't get 'wind' of what they're up to with all of that.

My intuition is that they are setting up these tax havens or expanding the basis of them to be the mechanism of their own 'behind the one-way mirror' secret transactions. In other words, they will expand that whole network tremendously, and that is what is going on here. That is pure intuition.

**Fitts:** From what we know, they have stolen \$50 trillion in the last 20 years. So, they may want to get that \$50 trillion into the suborbital platform haven so that there is no legal mechanism to get that money back.

**Farrell:** That is exactly what I think.

**Fitts:** So, I think they are terrified that the populists are going to say, "Okay, where is our \$50 trillion? We want it back."

Then the question is: How can you get it out so they can't get it back? Of course, the danger is one way to do that is to destroy the United States. If you tear the legal mechanism apart, there are no train tracks to get that money back.

**Farrell:** And they have to move it. They are effectively moving these offshore havens into space.

**Fitts:** That is what I believe. And whatever is going on with COVID-19, it's coming from the City of London. That is what it appears to me.

**Farrell:** Absolutely.

**Fitts:** I was shocked at how draconian the Australian and New Zealand lockdowns were.

**Farrell:** It's unbelievable.

**Fitts:** Australia and New Zealand are countries where the population generally has interacted very successfully with their government and trusted their government. I'll never forget the last time I was in Australia. I spent a long time there in 2018. I said, "Boy, I wish we had your Masons instead of our Masons because your Masons are much nicer to you, and they've done a good job."

The management in Australia as of 2018 was quite amazing, but yet we've seen draconian behavior that is frightening.

**Farrell:** It's off the charts as far as I can tell, and it appears like they have expanded that system now to Great Britain. The other aspect of it is that you're looking at them putting into place some mechanism to tie the commonwealth countries – which I predicted years ago would be one result of Brexit – very tightly together, and they are doing it financially. They are doing it behind that opaque mirror. So far, it looks to be very successful.

**Fitts:** If you look at how nasty they've been to their own people in Australia, I find the whole thing baffling.

In the beginning, I thought, "Maybe this is the rebalancing of the China trade, and they need to radically reduce Chinese imports quickly, and the only way they can do that is to shut down the economy and institute this kind of control." But then, if you look at how the China trades have turned out, that theory doesn't work.

**Farrell:** I don't know what is going on either, but I suspect that it may have something to do with the emergence of this Quad that everybody is talking about. But how, I am not yet able to figure out. I think you are looking at a mechanism that they are using to stitch the Commonwealth very tightly together

and place it very directly under the control of the city. That is what it looks like to me.

**Fitts:** We saw Netflix come out with a new series. I think they've had three or four series now on their series called *The Crown* on Queen Elizabeth's reign. Think about having a job like that, and you're not allowed to quit. That's a hard job. It's fair to say that in the last two or three years, her family has let her down.

So, we saw Andrew and Epstein, and I'm convinced that the reason they offed Epstein was to protect Andrew. Then Andrew was a fool and gave that interview to the BBC. I thought, "Oh my God!" If you are in charge of managing the monarchy, I could see the 'steam coming out of everybody's ears'.

Then Harry marries Meghan Markle, who turns out to be a 'ding-dong'. We see that whole mess. So now we have a situation where, if you are the Queen, you either have to at some point – unless she is going to live to be 150 – turn it over to Charles, or you have to say, "No, we are going to skip to William."

I'll be perfectly blunt: If you don't skip to William, the British monarchy is in real trouble.

**Farrell:** I agree. In fact, I'll go further. I've suspected for a long time – and I even remember talking back when I was in Oxford with some of my British friends – about the succession and Prince Charles. I came to the same conclusion that Elizabeth has not yet abdicated because she does not want the crown to pass to Charles. I'm convinced of that. For whatever reason, she doesn't want that to happen.

**Fitts:** She doesn't want to see the monarchy destroyed.

**Farrell:** Yes, and I think that part of all of this that's been happening – the interview with Prince Andrew and so on – behind the scenes there is an operating desire to finagle the succession so that it goes directly to William. One way to do that is to 'tar' Prince Charles with the close relationship with Prince Andrew and so on. I think that BBC interview was a bit of a set-up.

**Fitts:** I think they are going to charge Charles with Diana's death.

**Farrell:** I doubt that will happen publicly, but privately, I wouldn't write that off.

**Fitts:** It will be private. There have been several documentaries that are very favorable to Diana recently. I believe that is part of a private push to say, "Look, we don't want to open that one up."

**Farrell:** Exactly. I suspect there will be some sort of finagling going on. Queen Elizabeth does not look like she is unhealthy; she is still going strong. So, who knows?

**Fitts:** I don't even want to speculate about what makes that possible.

**Farrell:** I don't want to speculate either.

**Fitts:** Now that we are through Brexit, I would love to find a source that is only doing a full-time job tracking this system because I think that tracking the system is very important. The draconian nature of how the system reacted to what is going on was scary. This is at the real heart of the issue.

**Farrell:** One of the things that I think people need to look at if they are interested in tracking this whole thing is the Queen's Privy Council – Lord President, Rees-Mogg and people like that who are on the Council – because that is where the decision-making is going to occur, and it's not going to be announced. We will see little indicators of it, but that is where to look.

**Fitts:** These people have a hard job ahead of them.

**Farrell:** They really do.

**Fitts: Story #4: Planet Equity: The Centralization of Everything with Government Stimulus and Real Asset Inflation**

We are literally watching much more of the equity globally moved into the equity markets, but the equity markets in the G7 countries, particularly in

America, are being used as a 'vacuum cleaner' to suck up the whole economy. It's being combined with very vicious vulture capitalism. I have never seen vulture capitalism this bad.

**Farrell:** I haven't either; it's off the charts.

**Fitts:** If you look at the dirty tricks used to pick up real estate and assets in local communities: I'm laughing because one of the things I think often about is why there are so many people walking down the streets of Chicago with bathrobes on. You and I have a wonderful and dear friend, Mr. Chuck McCorke, who everyone knows from the crowdfund for the organ. Chuck, who is an amazing executive/leader/manager is very astute. Chuck knows to look for those anomalies that are important.

He keeps emailing us and sending us pictures from Chicago of people walking down the street in their bathrobes. He keeps bringing this up. He's been bringing it up for the last year, and I know why he keeps mentioning this; it's important. The question is this: Is someone intentionally trying to increase dramatically the feeling of incoherence in Chicago?

One of the things that I wonder is whether those people are being paid to do that. I wonder if they are actors, and are getting paid to walk around in their bathrobes. There is a big real estate play going on in Chicago – very big.

**Farrell:** I get the same feeling that you do; that someone is paying these people to do it. The question is: Who is paying them, and why? But I think that you are on to it. It's part of this gigantic operation we are seeing to inject as much incoherence into public society as possible. When you are incoherent, you can't make rational decisions, particularly rational financial decisions. That looks like what it is about.

Is it some sort of quiet protest? It may be, but I doubt it.

**Fitts:** We have a wonderful member of our team who did most of the mapping on the riots, and I'm convinced that they are real estate acquisition plans. I think that the vulture capitalism has gone off the charts. Part of the challenge is we need the general population to be much smarter about seeing

that when it happens.

Take Minneapolis as an example. If you look at our Minneapolis map, it's the health persons who are shutting down the businesses, but then it's a different side that is coming in with the BLM protests. People think they are separate, and they are not connecting the dots. They don't see the plan.

**Farrell:** Follow the money.

**Fitts:** Exactly; follow the money. Even though COVID-19 is deflating everybody's revenues and income, the Fed is pumping money in a way that is then seeping into the equity markets, and we are watching real asset bubbles.

We have an interesting combination of inflation and deflation, and that is why we've all got to think about what the real assets are that we need, and we need to figure out how to make sure that we don't get priced out by this centralization.

**Farrell:** They are doing something else in conjunction with this, which I think is significant, and that is the story we saw emerge in the last few weeks of 2020 of Governor Abbott in Texas courting the moving of the NASDAQ data center from New Jersey to Dallas. In the article that I saw, the Governor also mentioned that they were in talks with other exchanges.

**Fitts:** Goldman Sachs is moving part of its operation to Florida.

**Farrell:** It looks as though, for whatever reason, they are giving up on New York City, and they are moving their centers south. I think it's because of Latin America, which is acting very independently of Mr. 'Globaloney' – or at least making noises like that. So, I suspect that this is part of a move to get those data centers closer to the scene of the action that will occur in the next few years.

**Fitts:** I've been trying to figure out what they are up to in New York because they are taking New York way down. It could be like what they were doing in California to get rid of all the middle class. If you look at what they are doing in L.A. or Seattle, you go through this period of high incoherence just to get rid of everybody. You use homeless people like an army. So, it could only be a bigger,

deeper plan. That could be what is happening in Chicago, too.

I don't know if you saw that email recently about the assessor in Chicago 'jacking up' the property taxes for the poor. You 'can't make this stuff up'!

It could be knocking out all of the middle class and getting rid of a large number of people, taking it down so that they can pick it up cheap. It could just be classic vulture capitalism, but you also have the presentation of the Governor and the mayor, and what is happening is so psychopathic. The effort to cull and kill people in nursing homes is just a genocide, and everybody knows it.

**Farrell:** It's a genocide, and I suspect that it's to take away financial burdens from insurance companies, government funds, and so. But the mystery to me is: Why is this happening at the same time that you have these discussions of moving major exchanges and data centers?

The data centers are the key that they want to move the trading. The question is: Why?

The only thing that I can come up with is that they really intend some sort of de facto – if not de jure – split of the country.

**Fitts:** Texas was always said to have its own grid. I wonder if that is part of it.

**Farrell:** I think that is part of it.

### **Fitts: Story #5: Living Intelligence Pushes Back**

This is intended to be an economic and financial story, and that is we have a financial system that is aligned and organized specifically out of harmony with living things. So, if you wanted to optimize the GNP or the equity within a place, you would never organize it this way; you would only organize it this way so that you have control, and have secret control, and have the ability to produce a dividend. So, it's a control system and it's a harvesting system; it's not an optimization system, but it is out of alignment with life.

Now you have this effort to shut down all the independent producers and shut

down Main Street and shut down the independent economy. Slowly but surely, people are finally beginning to realize, “I’m the target of a war. I am being attacked. I am being invaded, and this is not lawful; it’s lawless, and this is war.”

As that happens, you have a percentage of the population that seems to be splitting between people who want to join the cult and think that they are better off joining the cult, and the people who are seeing what is really happening and saying, “No, I don’t want to be part of this.”

That is a very healthy thing, but it’s something else, too; it is very unpredictable where that could take us.

So, the first thing that we see and feel is, what I would call, ‘the friction of war’. You have these very intelligent, very capable, very experienced and competent people saying, “Wait a minute. No.”

I had a meeting with the World Freedom Alliance (open platform to promote Freedom) about a month ago. Since 1995 when this journey started for me, I’ve never seen that many intelligent, capable people leave the establishment. You are talking about serious talent for the first time saying, “I’m out of here, and I want to build a different future.”

**Farrell:** Good.

**Fitts:** That is the first time our team has gotten that flood of talent that I’ve ever experienced. That is a very big deal. So, what I am feeling is, suddenly, you have friends and allies everywhere who weren’t there before. They are showing up, and are grabbing a piece and doing it.

Dolores Cahill (Professor at University College Dublin, Ireland) is trying to start an airline and travel capacity that will not demand vaccines or certificates or any of that kind of thing. You have thousands of people who have the capability of fighting back in those kinds of very meaningful ways.

So I am seeing this pushback. I have no idea how to assess it or judge where it goes, but I can tell you that it is going to shift the state of play in ways that you and I can’t even imagine.

**Farrell:** I agree, and I am going to mention something now that will sound completely ‘hair-brained’.

**Fitts:** No! You wouldn’t do that!

**Farrell:** Yes, I would! It’s going to sound hair-brained, but if you look at Mr. ‘Globaloney’ and the technocrats, there is one aspect of things that they have not reckoned at all into their calculations: animals.

I get the distinct impression that at some point, the nightmare vision that they are trying to impose on the world is going to click or hit something in the animal kingdom, and you will see all sorts of bizarre behavior from animals. It’s the old line from *Indiana Jones and the Last Crusade* where Sean Connery runs down the beach scaring pigeons to take flight and bring down the German fighters that are chasing them and strafing them. Of course, it happens, and Indiana Jones asks Sean Connery, “What was that about?”

Sean Connery says, “I suddenly remembered my Charlemagne, ‘Let my armies be the rocks and the trees and the birds in the sky.’”

At some point, I am thinking this nightmare technocracy they are trying to place is going to become a cropper of natural realities. If the human reaction will be this, we’ve already seen pigeons attacking 5G towers and picking them apart.

**Fitts:** Go pigeons!

**Farrell:** Right, go pigeons!

**Fitts:** That’s why I said the story is ‘Living Intelligence Pushes Back’ because it’s not only humans.

I told you that one of my favorite stories was when Caroline Casey (World Economic Forum) was talking about what was happening when they tried to stop the Iraq war, and Senator Byrd was filibustering. He threw up his hands in the middle of the evening and said, “I might as well be talking to the ocean.”

Caroline Casey said, “What a good idea, Senator Byrd!”

**Farrell:** I’m reminded of the psalm, *Benedicite Ominia Opera*, “Bless the Lord, All ye works of the Lord.” You know that long psalm.

I’m thinking, “Yes, that’s a good idea.”

**Fitts:** I am with you. What was the movie that you saw that in?

**Farrell:** That was *Indiana Jones and the Last Crusade*. It was Harrison Ford and Sean Connery.

**Fitts:** But in *Lord of the Rings*, the trees show up or something.

**Farrell:** The ants show up in the two towers. The ants come down and wreak havoc.

**Fitts:** I think there is a living intelligence component, and it is causing, what I would call, ‘the friction of war’. The friction of war is rising, and I think that we haven’t seen anything yet.

**Farrell:** It’s a clue as to what is going on. Mr. ‘Globaloney’ and his technocrats are really against all life; they are inhuman.

Part of that inhumanity is a disdain of other creatures. It’s ‘not for nothing’ that the path in many satanic circles to get people entrapped in that is to begin with animal cruelty. It’s ‘not for nothing’.

**Fitts:** One of the reasons Mr. Global acts the way Mr. Global acts is that Mr. Global is managing risk. What I’ve seen in my lifetime is times when Mr. Global is very afraid. We will get to it in *Unanswered Questions*, but if I could get any information that would help me understand, it would help me. I don’t like to judge somebody unless I can be in their shoes and say, “Here is what I would do if I were them.”

I don’t understand what Mr. Global is afraid of.

**Farrell:** I don't either.

**Fitts:** It's very hard to be in their shoes and say, "This is what I would do instead," when you don't know that.

**Farrell:** I think to a certain extent, we can say what they are afraid of. They've watched this worldwide populism movement arise almost out of nowhere and literally overnight. I believe they are afraid of that because we far outnumber them. I think their response is what I used to say to GeorgeAnn Hughes, "If you surround yourself with people of a like mind all the time, pretty soon you can't think in any other way."

I think they are caught in their own trap, and they are caught in their own thought processes now, and don't know how to respond in any other way. They don't know how to listen to their opposition.

**Fitts:** If you look at who was involved in the election fraud, it's essentially the establishment globally.

**Farrell:** Exactly.

**Fitts:** Let's turn to *Geopolitics*. I think the big thing is that we will have to bust the monopoly on making money. It's come to that. The central bankers are out of control, and they are acting against life and acting against our best interest. The question is: How are we going to provide an alternative?

Unfortunately, they have done a very clever job of persuading everybody to invent their alternative by thinking that it was an alternative.

**Farrell:** Right, and in the process, they are 'cutting their own throats'. This is the ultimate irrationality. They are cutting their own throats with these policies.

I see two big, drastic fallouts from what they just did in the American election; it's coming. Maybe they are prepared for it; they do plan things out. Personally, I don't get the impression they've really thought this out too well. There is going to be geopolitical fallout from this election.

If you are in Germany or China or Russia, do you want to do business with this banana republic with nukes? No way!

In one sense, they have just ‘killed the goose that has laid their golden egg’. They have created a geopolitical nightmare for themselves right now.

**Fitts: Geopolitics:**

**Story #6: The War on Populism and Free Speech: Heroes Rise as Inequality, Secrecy, Genocide, and Vulture Capitalism Skyrocket and the Failure of Government Financial and Voting Systems Becomes Blatant**

So, if you are any major economy and nation-state globally, you know the US is now running its finances completely outside the financial management laws, and it’s running its elections completely outside of the election laws. If you look at the covert operations and, what I call, the ‘shriek-o-meter’, the shriek-o-meter has reached a level of emotional immaturity and lack of intelligence that is breathtaking.

Recently, Pelosi came up with some ridiculous thing, and I wrote, “Would you want your national security umbrella to depend on a country where the leaders wore flowered face diapers and bragged about their gourmet ice cream?”

This is very scary to people around the world because America looks like it’s not under adult supervision.

**Farrell:** It’s not. If you look at the geopolitical fallout from this election, three or four years ago, The Saker wrote an article about the Russian view of the United States. He used a Russian word that means ‘not agreement-capable’.

**Fitts:** How do you have a financial system that is not agreement-capable? It’s impossible.

**Farrell:** It’s impossible, and by the same token, you cannot trust a country to abide by any of the rules if it’s willing to change its own rules and its own elections. So, I think there will be massive geopolitical fallout. It came almost within a week; Japan upped its defense budget.

Here we are: We told Japan, “Open your economy to us, and we will help you, and we want you to build battle ships.” So what do they do? They sink our fleet.

This will continue under this new Administration. They just created a geopolitical nightmare for themselves.

The second geopolitical consequence that they’ve just done is if you are looking at the United States situation and you are in the United States, do you want to serve in this military? No, you don’t.

So, what they have just done – and they don’t know it – is gutted the military; they gutted the military. So, the United States is going to become increasingly dependent on corporate armies-as you’ve predicted-on private armies, and funding it with FASAB 56. But the problem with mercenaries – as we know – is that it doesn’t work. Do you think that you will go up against the Chinese army or the Russian army with a group like that? No, it ‘ain’t’ going to happen.

Get prepared for some real geopolitical fallout in the next few years.

**Fitts:** I saw an analysis of whether or not the United States is in danger of a first strike by Russia or China, and unfortunately it made much more sense than I would have expected. We’ve worked so hard to put ourselves in position that we had first strike capability against the Russians and the Chinese, and yet if you look at where things are going, it could turn out that was the ultimate mistake because they will have created first strike capabilities.

I want to tell you a story. I recently interviewed a Danish politician who I met. For 25 years, he was an investment banker in the City of London. He was fired from Morgan Stanley in 2011 at the request of Janet Yellen. I’m assuming that it was at her request; it was because of her.

He was trading the sovereign bond market, and had a very successful year. I tell the story in the interview. His name is Mads Pasvig, and he is the person who led the effort to stop the vaccine mandate bill in Denmark, which he did.

When I saw that happen, I said, “Nothing can save Denmark now,” but he did.

He started a new political party. He is a very intelligent and capable person.

So, Mads made his budget by March, and was invited by a group of traders to meet with Janet Yellen. He started to ask questions about how they were raping Southern Europe with austerity because it was bad for the sovereign bond market but good for the vulture capitalists. Basically, he was saying, “You are using the government credits to put money in your pal’s pockets. This is a gain that is in violation of fiduciary responsibilities, let alone what is good for the sovereign bond market.”

The next thing you know, he is fired by Morgan Stanley.

**Farrell:** I don’t doubt it. My aim with all of this – as you are pointing out with this gentleman from Denmark – is the geopolitical and political consequences of all this are going to be huge, and they are going to persist for several years. Forget about ‘Joe Briben’, this will go on for a long time.

This is another indicator that these people are so materialistic, and so in the present, they can’t even calculate the long-term consequences of their actions anymore.

**Fitts:** Generally, they have found, “If I just deal with what is in front of my face, I can invent something for the next thing.”

I wanted to mention I brought that story up because Yellen has been nominated by Biden for Secretary of the Treasury. She had been Chairman of the Fed, and is now going in as Secretary of the Treasury.

So, you have someone who has a historical capability of using her image as ‘mom’ to cover up for serious vulture capitalism in a period when we are expecting skyrocketing vulture capitalism.

Here is what is interesting: I received an email from Skidmore. I’m trying to talk Skidmore into giving an online course on economics because people need real economics. So, he sent me an email that came from Bernanke and Yellen. They are putting together this massive ‘World Economic Forum’ website to promote sound economics and to help dissuade people from all of the fake news related

to economics.

I looked at it and said, “This is going to be billions of dollars of intellectual methadone to try to win in the court of popular opinion.”

So, we are seeing these different efforts. This is like the World Economic Forum. You are trying to win in the court of popular opinion with massive amounts of, “Gee, golly, you’re not going to own anything, but it’s going to be wonderful.”

**Farrell:** I am laughing because I’m looking at the World Economic Forum, and this Klaus Schwab (World Economic Forum) character.

**Fitts:** Klaus ‘Blofeld’ (James Bond fictional villain)!

**Farrell:** Yes, that is ‘Blofeld’. I’ve publicly been calling him ‘Ernst Stavro Blofeld’ because that’s what he is. These people are so clumsy at what they do. They ‘trot’ out this character as their spokesman – or he trots himself as their spokesman. I’m thinking, “Central casting could not have done a poorer job of selecting their spokesman.”

**Fitts:** Are they trying to make the whole thing out to be a joke?

**Farrell:** Who knows? I don’t know. Maybe they are just doing it because they want to be ‘in your face’ about it. I honestly don’t know.

Somebody even put on my Facebook page a picture of him dressed up as Dr. No holding a white cat.

**Fitts:** When his book first came out, I thought, “I had better read this.” I got it and wrote a review. People didn’t understand what I was doing. In his book, he talks about the riots as though they were real grassroots riots, even though he clearly knows they were not. I nicely pointed out that since many of the people who were probably making money on this go to his meetings, maybe his attorneys would want him to be a bit more subtle.

I, for one, thought I was drawing a sequential map for a group of lawyers to see

the opportunity, but several subscribers came in and said, “Why are you being so nice to Schwab?”

In that sense, I was trying to be gracious because I was giving the lawyers the road map, but after I published it, that was when he suddenly blossomed into this spectacularly incoherent, insane public figure. I thought, “I don’t get it.”

**Farrell:** I don’t either. I’ve been calling the World Economic Forum (the Davos crowd) *Spectre* because you can’t talk like this and expect people to respond to you rationally; you have to be irrational to follow all of that ‘garbage’ he’s spouting.

The interesting thing about him – talking about this war on populism and so on—is that I did a little dive into trying to find out what this man was up to from the point when he started school until he emerged as the spokesman for the World Economic Forum, and you can’t find anything. You can’t find his dissertation; you can’t find any information about him, and all we know is that he was born in Ravensburg and raised under the Nazis. He certainly behaves like one. There is nothing else; there is a 20-year gap of nothing.

That is a clue that central casting picked this person just to go out and be their spokesman, and what an awful choice. Come on, you can do better.

**Fitts:** One of my favorite lines from the *Bourne* trilogy is, “They don’t do random.”

If they want this person out and about, I believe that Schwab and his effort is a major distraction. He is the ‘flack-catcher’. His job is to keep everybody away from the central bankers and from connecting the dots on the different pillars. It is only a distraction, and that is what it is.

And he is also trying to sell the young people on the green ‘groovy you-name-it’. Part of their challenge is they will try to flip this to climate change. Figuring out a way to do that is not simple.

Let me mention something on the war on populism: Free speech; what a war!

We spent all year dealing with censorship. We activated our own video server, and now we are bringing up a second video server because we are running into efforts as we come through different hubs and different places as they are trying to clamp down on the volume. So even though we have plenty of capacity, and some people in some areas don't have a problem, others coming through those hubs have a terrible problem.

It's a little like all year long fighting the gopher in *Caddyshack*. We published some things from Rappoport, and Vimeo threw us off first – before YouTube did. So, we were thrown off both.

I did an interview with a documentary maker, and they let it run to 2.7 million views. I couldn't believe it. As soon as it hit 100,000, they pull it and came after it. They've always 'hiccupped' me and ended the run. They only let this run for 2.7 million views. I kept saying, "What is going on?"

**Farrell:** Was that your documentary you did on the five pillars of what they are doing?

**Fitts:** Yes, and then they 'spiked' it. Now it's being spiked at both BitChute and YouTube, and people keep reposting it.

It was really funny. I got the most wonderful call from Joe and Brigitte in Springfield, Missouri. They called me to say, "We have an archery and gun store in Springfield, Missouri, and we have videotaped your interview. So they can't censor it. We have a videotape." A person just videotaped it.

So, people are hip to this and are sharing.

We saw a massive purge on Twitter. My followers dropped by a couple of hundred. It just dropped – 'boom'! I'm assuming that those are all people who were thrown off.

**Farrell:** I can believe it. They've taken down President Trump's Twitter and Facebook accounts permanently, they say.

They are not thinking when they do these types of things.

**Fitts:** Much of the effort to control free speech has been a very expensive institutional capacity. In other words, instead of throwing you in jail for saying something unpopular or something that they don't like, they throw you off Facebook.

Social media was created to capture and play this game; it's a corporate game. This is not the Stasi (German security force) way; this the 'friendly fascist' way. So, they kill your views and your hits. They play all sorts of little games.

The game that you and I know plenty about is smearing. They pay people to get onto the internet and write nasty comments and manipulate Wikipedia and do all of these things. It's war, but it's covert.

Now they are becoming more aggressive. The question is: Where will this go? The more they do this, the more they are going to lose.

**Farrell:** I suspect the only thing that they are ultimately going to do is create the 'Streisand effect' (censorship having the opposite effect). In other words, they are going to drive more people to those sources of information than from them. The next step then is they literally round people up and put them in 'reeducation camps'-going back to Hillary in 2016-and that's not going to work either. People start waking up, "Oh, where's my neighbor?" They came for her in the middle of the night.

This is not a game they can win.

**Fitts:** They have tried to win this game with mind control, and the mind control was extraordinary.

**Farrell:** It's off the charts right now.

**Fitts:** God love CJ Hopkins (American playwright). He calls it the 'Covidian cult'; the people in the cult are astonishing.

**Farrell:** The fact that they have been able to sell face masks as being a means of protecting yourself and others from a virus astounds me.

**Fitts:** If you look at the science, it's astonishing. But I know every intelligent, very nice people who absolutely believe that it is true.

**Farrell:** I know, I've run into them myself. I told one of them, "Trying to protect yourself against a virus with a face mask is like erecting a chain link fence to keep out a sand storm." It makes no sense.

I think that more and more people are waking up, and this is the problem. Technocracy requires you to micromanage everything. It's breaking down because I think people are beginning to see that. There is something else going on with the mind control. Not only is it off the charts, but it's not as effective as it was. For the life of me, I can't figure out why. The only thing that I can ascertain is that there is some sort of spiritual pushback taking place.

**Fitts:** Oh, I think there is.

**Farrell:** I do, too. In fact, I've added to my 'binding prayers', for want of a better expression. I've been adding petitions to protect against technology and the evil uses thereof. I've told people this before, "Lex orandi lex credenda," or, "What you say when you pray is what you really believe."

People ask me occasionally, "What do you pray for?" That is one of the things that I pray for – protection against those kinds of influences. I don't care what people say; it works.

**Fitts:** Oh yes. That's why when people say, "There is nothing I can do," I say, "That's not true; you can pray."

I did a book review of CJ Hopkin's two books on essays. The second collection was called *The War on Populism*, and it is some of the funniest political writing I've ever read. He absolutely nailed the fact that literally, in 2016, after dealing with Bernie Sanders and Trump and Brexit, he said, "The global political class realized they had a problem, and that was that nobody was enjoying global capitalism except for them."

**Farrell:** Oops!

**Fitts:** He describes how in 24 hours, the war on terrorism was converted to the war on populism – ‘boom’! – just like that. It was global. And it’s the funniest thing because the struggle I’ve had is that so many people who are in the middle of the road are trying to treat the global political class and take them seriously and give them the benefit of the doubt. Now, if you do that, you can’t find your state of amusement and really see what is going on.

**Farrell:** Precisely. It’s so bad over here. There is a commentator on CBS or CNN who is now calling for a ‘cleansing’. He actually used that word. He wants a ‘cleansing of the country’ from ‘Trumpists’, and then he took the tweet down.

I was thinking, “Oh, Nazi Germany.”

**Fitts:** That’s Bolshevik.

**Farrell:** That’s Bolshevik, too. I’m amazed at the fact that these people are that brazen and bold. By the same token, I’m not surprised because they are so clumsy about this. I think it creates the Streisand effect. The more that they clamp down, the more they are facing serious opposition.

**Fitts:** Here is my greatest concern for our subscribers and our audience in 2021. I see people going through terrible emotional stress dealing with other people and their families who are in the cult. Families are being torn apart between people who are joining the cult and people who don’t want to join the cult.

So, on one side, you have the Covidian Cult, and on the other side, you have the free & inspired. One of the things that I learned through the process that I went through was that if they want to join the cult, they are free to do it. You’ve just got to say ‘bye’.

In other words, I learned to let go of people who wanted to join the cult. I learned to understand that my future lay with people who wanted to live a free & inspired life. I had to accept that division and split, and just let go. But I see people going through something similar to what I went through in 1998, and it’s causing tremendous pain.

**Farrell:** Of course. I'm basically a hermit, so none of this has affected me to that extent. But I did have an episode where a friend of mine from years and years ago who lives in the United Kingdom got onto my Facebook page and 'chewed me out' for not being part of the mask-wearing cult.

I had to do what you did; I just had to say goodbye. I'm not here to argue flat earth theory with you. If you are convinced of these things, then there is no unconvincing that I can do. Life itself will have to do it.

I think people have to realize that at some point, all we can do is plant the seed; we don't have to win the argument.

**Fitts:** What we do have to do is use our time well. If it doesn't have integrity or it's not in alignment, get it out of your life as fast as possible. Don't look back.

*'Government Financial and Voting Systems' Failure Has Become Blatant.* The government is operating its financial management way outside the law. It's running its finances on a criminal basis. These are criminal violations of the law, and it's the same with the voting systems.

Now what does that say for taxation? This is why the Going Direct people want to go to all digital fast. That gives them the ability to take whatever taxes they invent out of your wallet, and there is nothing you can do to stop them.

**Farrell:** Right, and they can do that at 'the drop of a hat'. They can even claim to have votes now. Votes in Congress can be virtual; they've just established the precedent.

**Fitts:** I think one of the big questions over the next one to two years is: How will populations around the world relate taxation to what is happening with the financial and voting systems?

**Farrell:** Exactly.

**Fitts:** Again and again and again, we are being forced to pay for a system, but

we can't use it. We are being forced to pay for the schools, but we can't use them. We are being forced to pay for healthcare premiums and insurance, but we aren't allowed to go to the doctor or the hospital. So, we are being mandated to pay, but there is nothing there.

**Farrell:** Sooner or later, we know what happens: Eventually people wake up and throw it off. There is no getting around it.

**Fitts:** When the Yellow Jackets first started in France, Macron – to his credit – went out on the streets and tried to talk to people. I remember watching a filming of him talking with a group, and remember watching the horror on their face when they realized that he had not a clue how the economy worked. They knew much more about the French economy than he did. You saw this look of horror on their faces like, “Oh my God! The emperor has no clothes.”

**Farrell:** I compare that confrontation of Macron to what recently happened with Senator Lindsey Graham. Did you see that video?

**Fitts:** He was accosted at the airport, wasn't he?

**Farrell:** Yes, people were following him and shouting at him. This is another one of those political fallouts that they have drastically miscalculated. They think that by getting rid of Trump, they have gotten rid of the problem. No, they just made the problem much worse for themselves.

**Fitts:** I think that they think the solution is to get everybody onto Going Direct, and then you do what you're told or they turn off your money.

**Farrell:** That is what they are banking on, but here is the big, whopping problem – and I even blogged about this recently: Cryptocurrencies, by the nature of the case, require enormous amounts of electrical power to operate them. The question that I ask in the blog – which I have not found anybody asking – is, “Okay, you bring in a global digital system. Where is the power going to come from, and how much do you need to operate that system and make it work?”

I suspect that if they have studied this problem at all to find where that

inflection point is, the COVID plan/'scamdemic' was part of that operation. The reason being is that I don't think there is enough power to do that, not now. Therefore, in order to roll out that system, they will have to create excuses for selective blackouts – power brownouts and blackouts – on a regional basis around the world to make that system work. That is my suspicion.

I don't see any answer to this. You and I have done deep dives into cryptocurrencies, and I have never seen an answer to that question: How do you have the power for that system?

**Fitts:** The way that you would have the power to do that system is if you brought in breakthrough energy. But here is the thing: You can't bring breakthrough energy in unless you have complete lockdown control. So, these two go together.

**Farrell:** These two go together, but then the problem is: How are they going to couple this in with their climate change meme, which they clearly intend on doing?

**Fitts:** I have no idea because I look at what they are up to with climate change and trying to flip the COVID into climate change, and to a certain extent, we got COVID-19 because the climate change wasn't working. So, COVID-19 is climate change 2.0.

One of the great lines of the year was Rappoport saying, "This is a 10,000-year-old war." One of the other great quotes was our own James Quaid (Solari) when Biden appointed Kerry his climate change czar saying, "Why have we appointed a climate change czar who has a carbon footprint of a panzer division?"

**Farrell:** Exactly, and it is a 10,000-year-old war. I've said over and over again that my book, *Cosmic War*, is the keystone in the arch of all my books. People have to 'wrap their heads around this'. This has been going on for a very long time, and it's been part of Mr. 'Globaloney's' agenda for a very long time.

I hope that sinks in. I wrote a book on *The Financial Vipers of Venice* because if there ever was a nation-state that was practicing all of these memes, including climate change and overpopulation and all of this 'Globaloney', it's Venice.

People have to realize that these agendas are that old.

**Fitts:** I had a real shock several weeks ago. For many months I've been reading a book by Michael Pye called *The Edge of the World*. I was reading it because Robert (Dupper) and I are trying to study the old business and economic models developed in Northern Europe – the Hanseatic League, the Dutch West Indies Company. The Frisian people were particularly capable at currencies. So how did that develop? To go back and look at the culture of the Frisian people, we are reading the *Oera Linda Book*.

It's primarily Charlemagne until 1500, and we are trying to understand how the business models developed between Charlemagne in the 1500's.

So, as I'm reading this book, chapter after chapter deep dives into different aspects of economy and culture. One is on law, one is on science, one is on fashion, and one is on settlements. It's fascinating. There are many little details of all these different cultures. It's essentially everybody who trades through the North Sea – so it's from the Brits in Iceland across northern Europe to Scandinavia. They are pillaging each other and stealing from each other and cooperating, and it is very interesting to see when they kill each other and when they cooperate.

As I was reading, I found this book to be absolutely fascinating. Then I read the next to the last chapter, and got the shock of my life. I had no idea that the book was going here; I didn't expect this. It says that the Bubonic plague instituted plague laws, and this allowed them to create a state, centralize everything into the state, stopping people from travelling- they control travel- and now they can control what jobs you do. You can't pick your own job now, and they suck up all of their intellectual and financial capital, and that allows them to create Antwerp, and then Amsterdam isn't that groovy.

I asked myself, "What just happened?"

Then I looked on Wikipedia and started to map out when they went from the Portuguese currency to the Spanish currency to the Dutch currency to the French currency to the British currency to the dollar. I am going to grossly oversimplify it: It is approximately every 75 to 100 years, and it is preceded by a

plague.

I said, “What?”

**Farrell:** Let me add to your story: Believe it or not, there is a certain amount of evidence that the plague was introduced into Europe deliberately by Venice. There you go!

**Fitts:** It makes sense. If you look at how this author describes their validity to centralize financial and intellectual capital as a result of the plague laws – and the chapter is not on plagues. The title of the chapter is not ‘The Plague’; it is ‘The Plague Laws’. If you look at the cycle, it is very nearly every 100 years since the Bubonic plague to date. There is magic in 100 years.

When I was at Hamilton, I was trying to figure out how we could accelerate adaption of certain changes for the place-based economics, and I took one of my smartest people and said, “Figure out how we can accelerate innovation.”

He came back and said, “It takes 50 years. You can’t accelerate it.”

I said, “Why 50 years?”

He said, “That’s how long it takes for everybody to die.”

So if you look at their cycle of 100 years, they are making sure that nobody remembers.

The Spanish Flu was 100 years ago, right? Everybody was wearing masks, right?

**Farrell:** And it was a vaccine program at Fort Riley in Kansas. Guess who was in charge of the fort at the time? George Marshall.

**Fitts:** I guess he earned his stripes, right?

**Farrell:** Let’s not go there right now. The interesting thing is that, not only do I think that these things are deliberate, but look at Venice. What did they do? They ‘cooked up’ the playbook. Not only do you have deliberately-engineered

plagues, but you also have population control mentioned for the very first time in the Republic of Venice. Maximum care and capacity are not Malthus' (18<sup>th</sup> century economist-An Essay on the Principal of Population) idea; it is a Venetian idea.

You look at bubbling certain aspects of the economy in order to leverage cents on the dollar or a few 'ducats on the florin' to take out your financial enemy (Florence). The whole playbook is right there in the Renaissance.

**Fitts:** I was poisoned on multiple occasions during the litigation, and that forced me to study poisoning and how it is done and who does it and so on. I came to the conclusion that it was a much more common tactic than I ever dreamed. It is very common, but it is a specialty of the Masons.

We have the Masons in the City of London, and we have Venice. We happened to be in the middle of a plague that somehow wound up with a new currency switch.

**Farrell:** Yes, somehow!

**Fitts:** The Spanish Flu led to the dollar sharing reserve currency status until World War II with the sterling. You had the Fed in 1913, which is a condition precedent to the US currency stepping up, and then you had the Spanish Flu, and the consolidation. Then stepping up into reserve currency status.

**Farrell:** The playbook is so obvious now. I go back to something that I used to say with GeorgeAnn Hughes, "They really need a new one because the old one is wearing pretty thin."

**Fitts:** I'm still trying to figure out the old one. Don't change it!

**Farrell:** Don't change it just yet.

**Fitts:** One of the things that has happened with the war on populism is their favorite thing to do – and you and I have talked about it before – is to use invisible enemies; they use terrorism and such.

We will talk about it in connection with *Story #9*, but I put up a video of Carol Rosin (space and missile defense consultant) talking about Wernher von Braun (German-born aerospace engineer) discussing using aliens when the terrorism stopped working.

You talked about how incoherent we appear globally because of their efforts. The shriek-o-meter's game on the war on populism has made America look like it's run by babies and populated by babies. You are talking about great diminution of the brand globally.

**Farrell:** Absolutely.

**Fitts:** So where does this go? The shriek-o-meter has never been worse than it is now.

**Farrell:** I think it goes to exactly where I indicted earlier. I think the geopolitical fallout will be enormous because, number one, if you are a typical European – and you and I both know from experience from having lived there – you are much better educated and much more able to think for yourself than Americans are; we are one of the dumbest populations on the planet.

**Fitts:** Here is what is interesting: Kennedy says that the IQs have fallen seven points, and I have not asked him what the start date was on that. But the American IQs have fallen very, very seriously.

Being here in Europe, the population is much healthier physically. So, they haven't been poisoned. I believe there is a great poisoning going on, and it's much worse in America than it is in Europe. They are better educated here, and they have much more support – both from the family and the social safety net. There is also much more trust of the governments than there is in the United States.

I think the Europeans that I know are struggling to understand why this is happening in the United States and why the U.S. population is permitting it.

**Farrell:** I don't know that the population is 'permitting' it. This whole election cycle from 2020 – from November up until the epiphany of 'Joe Bribem' It's

not that the population is going along; it's that the population has literally tried every avenue within the system and been thwarted.

What Europeans are functioning under is the illusion that this is a functioning republic, and it's not; it's a plutocracy and an oligarchy.

**Fitts:** I'll say it this way: If you can steal \$21 trillion, you can buy most anybody.

**Farrell:** Yes, you can buy everybody, and you can steal votes, too.

**Fitts:** I have to bring up the election fraud, and I have to bring up QAnon.

When you and I first started this in 2017, we both basically said, "This is hope porn. Ignore it."

It grew and grew and became more popular. Then Joe Biden was declared the winner of the election the day after the election, at which point Q went into overdrive and started explaining why Trump was still going to be President and this and that. The QAnon has doubled down, but it continues. That is what it is.

My question to you and Bernard – when we did that interview on QAnon a month or two ago – is the same question. QAnon was a phenomenon that captured many good people. How do we get those people to let go and turn back and do productive things now?

**Farrell:** That is a good question. The only thing that I can think of is that we have to double down ourselves and say that any time you hear good news that is almost too good to be true, be extremely skeptical. People have the idea that the alternative media is as safe and secure as the mainstream corporate 'propaganda' media (Walter Cronkite and Chet Huntley and all those people) used to be.

The problem is that those people were every bit part of the mighty Wurlitzer (pianos and organs) that they are now; it was just much less blatant. But people have to understand that the same holds true in the alternative media. You do have 'junk conspiracy theories' – no planes hit the towers on 9/11 and things

like this. We simply have to be constantly trying to reinforce the idea that people have to use their own minds and not believe every 'Tom, Dick, and Harry' that comes along with a nice story.

**Fitts:** Let me ask you a question: If we took all of the hours that people have spent watching Q or going to rallies or anything concerned with the procentralization team-I don't know how many hours it is-but it is millions of hours. What if they had invested those millions of hours into building local fresh food systems and local currencies?

**Farrell:** Or even if they had invested those hours into a library.

**Fitts:** Right, or a network of homeschoolers.

**Farrell:** Exactly. What if they had spent all of the time they had invested decoding all of that 'claptrap' and publishing books about it, and instead spent that time reading Plato or Aristotle? Or anything but that?

**Fitts:** It was another distraction that kept them away from the real powerlines.

**Farrell:** It was cleverly done. You have to admit that it was a very cleverly done op. I pointed out early on to Bernard when I was looking at it that the entire thing had to meet all of the hallmarks of being a carefully disguised religious phenomenon, and that is what captured people. There was real expertise in designing that whole thing.

**Fitts:** How much of it was propaganda techniques versus entrainment?

**Farrell:** Oh, I think it was both. There was just enough correct information in it to get people snared. That is what did it, I think. It was a classical misinformation operation.

**Fitts:** Let me talk about the election fraud before we go on to #7. It was clear that COVID-19 was very much designed to lead the war on populism, and you are stacking functions. It's multiple things that they are up to. I think that targeting people in nursing homes and targeting the elderly; those people have an abundance of knowledge. So, you are targeting the wise elders who can

remember the Spanish Flu and what happened.

I think I told you the story about the person who was helping me with my groceries when I first moved to Bolivar, Tennessee. He was in his late 70's or early 80's, and was helping me with my bags to the car. He had these twinkly blue eyes, and said, "Are you taking the flu shot?"

I said, "No, I never take the flu shot."

He said, "Neither do I. The old folks would tell you that the only people who died during the Spanish Flu were the ones who took the shot."

COVID-19 destroyed the independent producers who are essentially the base for any populous candidate – whether it's Bernie Sanders or Donald Trump or whomever. It targets the independent producers, and it is trying to 'pull the rug out from under them' and get them dependent on government money. That is number one for election fraud.

Number two, you come up with a mail-in ballot system, and everybody knew from the beginning that it could never work, and it could be used to do exactly what it did. I think they were using both the computer fraud and the ballot fraud. They took the six swing states, took one big county where they control, waited to see how much Trump won by, and then they just poured in whatever they needed to 'blow him out of the water'.

I remember Bill Barr giving an interview a couple of months before the election saying, "This system can never work." They knew exactly how bad it was. So if you were going to run that campaign, why wouldn't you be set up to play and win trench warfare in every one of the key counties in those six states?

**Farrell:** That is my question, too.

**Fitts:** Jim Baker would never have let this happen. Dick Cheney would never have let this happen. What is up with that?

**Farrell:** I think it was theatre.

**Fitts:** That is possible.

**Farrell:** It's looking like I was absolutely dead wrong in my initial evaluation years ago when Trump first announced that he was running for President; that he had a deep state faction backing. Well, it may have turned out to be the same faction that just put 'Joe Bribem' in office. That is one possibility. The other possibility was that they gave enough assurances to Mr. Trump to run, and then they abandoned him once he got into office.

**Fitts:** That is also possible. That has happened many times.

**Farrell:** This could have all been theatre.

**Fitts:** That happened to Lyndon Johnson.

**Farrell:** I think that we may have been through a four-year theatre cycle. It's possible.

**Fitts:** I can't fathom why Trump and his team wouldn't have provided for this. All that I can figure is that the Republican Party made their deals and wanted Biden to win.

**Farrell:** That could be, too, and I don't doubt that they did because the reaction that people are having in this country right now – back to Lindsey Graham – was almost instantaneous. The response to Fox News calling some of those swing states so early; their ratings have plummeted. They are still down; they are not coming back.

Whatever deal they cut, they may have just signed the death warrant of the GOP.

**Fitts:** Let me ask you another question. When it came down to Perdue leading in Georgia, and a week or two before the election they announced, "Oh, he needs to be quarantined because he came into contact with somebody."

The Republicans know how to play that game. They could have seen that one coming. Suddenly, he lost his lead. So my question is: What deal did the

Republicans make to swing it to the Democrats?

**Farrell:** That is a good question, but I don't know. I'm as mystified as to why no one in the Trump campaign saw this coming. We heard from President Trump, as well as from some of his Administration, that this mail-in ballot issue was a sure set-up for fraud, and we heard that for months before the election. So why was nothing done to stop it?

I'm as baffled as you are.

**Fitts:** Here is the thing: Not only was nothing done to stop it, but once it happens, the legal response is basically the equivalent of landing on Normandy Beach with a water pistol. You are asking for Sidney Powell and a volunteer effort and Giuliani and a small group of people to do something that requires a massive operation. Why?

The whole thing makes no sense.

**Farrell:** There were grassroots operations and even some state legislature pushback. But, like you say, it was a water pistol against the Germans on the beaches of Normandy.

**Fitts:** Here's what it looks like to me: It's the Masons (both the U.S. and British) plus the Vatican plus the global elites with support from the U.S. intelligence agencies engineering this. So, this is a unified deep state operation with people like McConnell and others helping.

**Farrell:** That is the way it looks to me also. The blowback from this, I can't communicate to you the depth now of mistrust of most of the institutions of this government among the people who voted for Trump. It's off the charts, and that includes me. If they think they will come along in a couple of years and recapture that crowd, it's not going to happen.

**Fitts:** Here is the big concern: Biden comes in with Vice President Harris, and they will go after the guns. Remember, they want the real estate, and you can't get the real estate unless you pull in the guns.

The reports I'm getting from all over the United States is that there is no ammo; you can't find ammo, and ammo is very tight.

**Farrell:** I'm not surprised.

**Fitts:** Somebody is trying to buy up all the ammo. If you control the Senate, you can get Federal legislation on guns. That is will break down to a real state issue.

**Farrell:** It's going to break down to a stat issue, and it will run afoul of the fact that I don't think people will turn them in – law or no law. I really don't. We've already seen what happened in New Jersey when they tried that. I don't think that will happen.

If they try an actual physical gun grab, that is when things will get 'dicey'. I think they've been running trial runs with this. There were the Bundy Ranch people when President Obama was in office. Then there were those ranchers in Oregon. It didn't work; they met with resistance.

They have to figure out something else if they are going to try a 'gun grab'. I'm certain they will try.

**Fitts:** What they will try is money.

**Farrell:** Money for guns.

**Fitts:** They will shut down income producers, and then they will offer money in the wallet. You play ball, and you get your check, if you don't play ball, you don't get your check. That's why I'm saying that we have to print. We can no longer turn the monopoly of money creation over to the people trying to kill us.

**Farrell:** I think there are people who have seen this coming. The only explanation that I have for Texas and Utah starting bullion depositories, and several other states passing constitutional money resolutions in their legislatures is precisely because they've seen this coming.

**Fitts:** I keep being told by people, "We don't know how to start a community

currency.”

I said, “It’s really easy. You have gold, you have silver, and you have local coins. Just do it.” You don’t need to start an organization; you don’t need to pass bylaws; just do it. There it is.

## **Story #7: The AI Superpowers: The Rebalancing of the China Trade Turns Rocky as the Quad Emerges**

What is amazing to me is if you look at all of the different aspects of power – whether it’s space and satellites, control of the sea lanes in the South China sea, leadership in AI and tech – China has come on phenomenally strong, leaving America in the dust. You think: What was the plan?

**Farrell:** Initially, my thought was that Mr. ‘Globaloney’ had to take out the economic independence of the United States in order to make their plan work; hence China. But then about six or seven years ago, we saw these hints that Mr. ‘Globaloney’ wasn’t too happy with the way things were going. There was this big movement to bring out 3D printing manufacturing, and Trump reshoring industry to this country.

Years ago, I said on the GeorgeAnn Hughes’ show that Mr. ‘Globaloney’ had gambled with China and would eventually have to reshore things into North America because that is their power base. Sure enough, that happened. Trump was there to carry the message. That makes me wonder about what was really behind him.

**Fitts:** I’m with you. I think it was one of the deep state factions that knew you can’t send the entire Military-Industrial Complex to China to build and operate.

**Farrell:** The only thing you are doing when you do that- we have to think in terms of realpolitik- is creating yet another Titanically-sized Germany with enormous industrial capability and a population base and some strategic depth that Germany did not have. You are basically creating a monster that you cannot manage without real force.

**Fitts:** Let me mention one thing: I know robots and automation can do plenty,

but if you've lowered the IQ seven points there (China), and their IQ is now 105 – which is, in fact, seven points higher than our average. So, you are lowering IQs in the Western world, and China is 105; it's not hard to figure out where that goes.

**Farrell:** You are turning your own country into a population base that is not able to sustain the technocracy that you want to impose. I can't imagine Mr. 'Globaloney' wanting to 'kowtow' to the Chinese politburo. So, this is why I think we are seeing the emergence of the Quad.

Let's be very clear for people what the 'Quad' is: The Quad is an emerging geopolitical understanding among the United States, Japan, India, and Australia that they have to do something to contain the growth of China militarily and economically. My version of it is the 'Quadruple Entente'. I'm not counting Australia as much as I am Russia as being the hidden factor here. By the same type of reasoning, Russia cannot afford to be too beholden to China for its cash that it needs to develop its infrastructure in Siberia. Or, if you are the Russians, nor would you want another power with that population base, and a high-density population base, for that matter, right next to empty Siberia without having some backing.

People have been all over me, saying, "No, you're wrong about China. China is no threat."

**Fitts:** What?

**Farrell:** Oh, yes, and people have been saying that this Quad business is nonsense. I'm thinking, "You can't be geopolitically more naïve."

**Fitts:** Here is what I would say about the threat: I think that one of the reasons Mr. Global did the development of China was that if you are going to go into space, do you know how many engineers India and China are creating a year? It's significant. So, part of the challenge is that the nature of space is such that whoever controls in space, controls the whole planet.

We were the first to get up the GPS satellites, and we were the first to have a global satellite system. You place China with an economic development sewn on

the far side of the moon, and leadership and satellites in space; let me tell you something, they could control the whole planet.

**Farrell:** Right, and if you are Mr. 'Globaloney', Mr. 'Globaloney' wants to hang onto his power. He doesn't want the Chinese politburo or the Chinese central bank calling all the shots. So, I think that the Quad or the Quadruple Entente – whichever version of it you subscribe to – is Mr. 'Globaloney's' response to the monster that Mr. 'Globaloney' has created, and it will not go away.

Add to that factor what we've said before about the United States looking like it's being run by a bunch of babies – and it is- it's a banana republic with nukes.

**Fitts:** Although if you look at who is really running things behind the scenes in the deep state, in my experience they are highly competent. I am no longer inside, but I think they have suffered from the success of their mind control. And when you win in a rigged game, you get stupid.

The other thing is that there is no culture to hold them together.

**Farrell:** That is the other problem. Mr. 'Globaloney' has no appreciation of culture, and he has created with all of this multicultural 'claptrap' that they've been driving down the throats of the Western nations, yet another monster. Now there is no cohesion in any of those societies. We have populist movements in Germany, Austria, the Netherlands, France – you name it. The only place where we haven't seen that is Russia. Oddly enough, the government there is responsive to the fact that Russians like Russian culture.

**Fitts:** The other thing is that the government has been supportive of the Russian Orthodox Church.

**Farrell:** That is another story that we will have to get into. I acquired a book called *Russian Nuclear Orthodoxy* by Dmitry Adamsky, and it's quite an eye-opener.

**Fitts:** Really? What does it say?

**Farrell:** Basically, it's arguing that the Russian church, during the period of the collapse of the Soviet Union, made a 'full-court press' to back the Russian military so that now all of the officers in the Russian nuclear forces are indoctrinated – steeped – in Russian orthodoxy.

**Fitts:** Wow!

**Farrell:** It's incredible. So you have a nuclear church. That is a 'wow'. I think that if you listen to some of the speeches of Patriarch Kirill (Russian Orthodox Bishop), particularly the one that is on YouTube during the Feast of St. Mark of Ephesus (who was a Greek archbishop who told the Pope, "Go to hell," and refused to sign a union pact with Rome in the 15<sup>th</sup> century at the Council of Ferrara-Florence), his sermon on that feast day tells me that this is a counter-Vatican move they have just made.

It's Russia assuming its old role of being the protector of Orthodox Christians. That was its traditional role prior to World War I. That is one reason World War I was World War I. You had Austria and Hungary (Catholic) going after Serbia (Orthodox), and that was an uneven fight at best.

**Fitts:** I regularly get questions from Christians asking, "Should I move to Russia?"

**Farrell:** I know of cases of American priests who have left this country and moved their family there. They've had it; they don't want to pay for abortions and all of this anymore.

It gives me pause. I'm saying this, but I am not entirely convinced that this is a good move, obviously. It strikes me that they are gearing up for something.

With that in mind, if that is the case, and they are that insistent on defending their national and cultural identity to the extent now that you have this influence of the Russian church within the Russian military. That signals to me that Russia's involvement with China is 'iffy', at best; it's a marriage of convenience for both of them more than anything else.

**Fitts:** Right, because culturally, they are highly incompatible.

**Farrell:** Culturally they are highly incompatible, and both countries are at least aware of their culture enough to be aware of that fact. We have Western leaders – I think of ‘mad madam’ Merkel – who are as clueless about their own culture and doing all these ‘nutty things’ that are infuriating. Macron is another one.

**Fitts:** I don’t know if you’ve ever read the PRC (People’s Republic of China) constitution. Essentially, it applies to the Hong (pinyin romanization of the Chinese surname) people everywhere. So, China is a race-based country. My experience with the Chinese – who I respect tremendously, and I spent a year living in Hong Kong studying Chinese – is that they are deeply racist.

It’s so amusing to watch all of the politically correct ‘yakkity-yak’ over here, and yet there are deep relationships between the Democrats and the Chinese. If you look at the politically correct things they are pushing here, it’s completely contradictory how the Chinese system works.

**Farrell:** This is our problem in this country and its culture. In my opinion, since the Civil War, we have been ‘culturally captured’ by this secularized Yankee Calvinism that had to impose its vision of morality on everybody. First, it was the South, after the South, then globally.

We are messing around trying to bomb many innocent Muslims into submission to abandon their culture and adopt American values. How well is that going to work?

**Fitts:** And usury.

**Farrell:** Yes, and how well is that going to work out? Well, obviously, it hasn’t. Now we’ve been dealing with the demonization of Russia ever since Putin took over, and we are playing with fire because we know nothing about it. Being Orthodox, part of me suspects that it’s that visceral Western reaction to Orthodoxy because there you have a Catholicism that is not Popery-it is very different culturally from the Christianity that you find in the West. So there is this visceral reaction, almost a subconscious reaction, against anything that looks Russian – be it Greek or Arab or any other version of Orthodoxy.

In other words, we have a culturally naïve leadership that is naïve, not only about its own culture, but everybody else's as well. That is frightening because you are dealing with people who are not grounded in any reality – either their own or anybody else's.

**Fitts:** Somebody 'hit a switch' a couple of weeks ago, and now it's all, "Hate China, hate China, hate China." The 'Hate Russia' thing needed a break, so now we're hating China.

A couple of years ago, I wrote a *Wrap Up* called *The Rise of the Asian Consumer*, and the goal was to help our readers understand where the economic growth was coming from and what that did to power politics. I keep finding that there is a tremendous lack of understanding of what the last 20 years of the China trade has been and how economically-dependent America was on that trade.

The Chinese people work their 'butts off' keeping our false prosperity going and financing our false prosperity. Now they've had it; it's over.

There is a great book that I keep recommending by Stephen Roach called *Unbalanced* about how the China trade has to rebalance. Right now, we are in the middle of rebalancing the China trade. Trump was absolutely trying to do it one way. Unfortunately, the group coming in is going to unwind that, and we have a very good chance of becoming so economically dependent on China that it will be frightening.

**Farrell:** Well, we will become a satrapy. In my last 'News & Views', I had two scenarios. At best, with 'Joe Bribe', we have a grifter who intends to use the Federal government as a personal financial family perk, and that probably includes some friends and so on. At worst, we have an agent of influence of communist China in the Presidency. Either way, it is going to make us a financial savior of communist China. That is very scary.

If Chinese troops come after your guns, then you know what the game really was all about.

**Fitts:** Don't laugh. I was in Zurich this summer, and got to my hotel. Zurich was unbelievable. The change that happened during COVID was unbelievable

from just six months since the last time I was there.

I went into my hotel, and the first thing I noticed – and this had been a hotel run by a private family. It was a comfortable, personable boutique type of place. I walked in, and there was a huge picture of Baphomet (deity who invented pagan or gnostic idols) in the lobby. So I said to them, “Did the family sell?”

They said, “Oh, yes. We’ve been purchased by a venture capitalist group.”

I said, “Great. Now we have Baphomet in the lobby.”

The National Museum is next to the train station, and there was an exhibit that I wanted to see, and it was the last day. So, I dropped off my bags, jumped onto the tram, got down to the train station, and before I went over to the museum, I thought, “I’ll just get a snack.”

There was this short immigrant who runs something in a corner where you could get snack sandwiches. I went over to buy a snack sandwich, and the immigrant was no longer there; it was Burger King at the Zurich train station. I thought, “What?”

So now, I was in a state of culture shock. I went to see the exhibit, which was fantastic. It was on medieval monks and was absolutely fascinating. Then I got on the train to go back to the hotel, and I saw something for the first time in my life, and I can’t tell you how much the alarm bells were going off.

Since I remember, the conductors on Swiss trams and railways were always Swiss. They’re not pushy; they are more like, “You really should have a ticket. Please buy a ticket,” but they’re not mean or nasty.

I was standing next to a young couple, and the conductor came up and he looked like he was Chinese military. It was the first time I’d seen a non-Swiss conductor on a Swiss train. If you looked at all of his muscles, he looked as though he was Chinese military. I thought, “Oh my God!”

He demanded their tickets. The man had a ticket, and his girlfriend didn’t. The conductor was really mean and nasty about it, and I’d never seen that before on

the Swiss rail system – ever. I was in a state of shock, thinking, “What’s going on in Zurich?”

It’s exactly what you are describing.

**Farrell:** I suspect that we can prepare ourselves for more of it. For many people, I think that is when the ‘mask’ really comes off.

**Fitts:** In Denmark when Mette Frederiksen, Prime Minister, worked to get the legislation stopped on vaccine mandates, one of the biggest issues was that foreign military or mercenaries could do the implementation of the program. He said, “No way.”

We’ve seen that in Australia. They are creating the ability for foreign military to go into Australia and be given immunity from prosecution for their behavior. And we saw Trump pardon the Blackwater company before he left. He didn’t give immunity to Assange, but to Blackwater.

Whether it’s the robots as police officers or mercenaries, this is why I think that the 2<sup>nd</sup> Amendment is going to be such a big issue in the United States for the next 12 months.

**Farrell:** I do, too.

**Fitts:** Just to close this up, around the world, and certainly in Asia, we are seeing different countries like the Quad grappling with, “How are we going to offset this force? We were depending on America. Now we are going to have to figure out how to do it together.”

I think that is healthy.

**Farrell:** I think it’s healthy, too, and I think that the other part of this Quad – in either version, mine or the major one –people need to ‘wrap their heads around’ is that these countries are going ahead with this. Think of Japan and India and their recent agreements. These countries are going ahead with this on the basis that they cannot rely on America anymore. This is what is emerging from this.

So if you look at the Quad, I think you could actually make a case that the Quad is Japan, India, Russia, and Australia rather than the United States. As you said, this country is being run by a ‘bunch of babies’ who have not a clue – culturally or otherwise.

**Fitts:** Right, although behind the scenes I don’t think that is the case, but for the front men, that is true.

**Farrell:** I do think that is correct, but even if that is the case, if these people are rigging the system so that they always win, eventually they cannot think. They become incompetent. They are ‘cutting their own throat’ by doing what they’ve just done.

Either way you slice it, I think those countries are facing the fact that they cannot rely on America. It’s not agreement-capable, to go back to the Saker’s observation.

**Fitts:** Speaking of which, I was examining the Israeli stock exchange as part of the scan of the year in performance, and I noted that apparently the Kushner companies are floating a \$100 million bond deal, and Jared Kushner is expected to set up his new operation in Israel.

**Farrell:** I’m not surprised.

**Fitts:** Here is my one comment: The Obama’s got a \$60 million book contract, but Jared is getting \$100 million bond deal. So, what did Jared do that was 40% more profitable than Obama?

**Farrell:** Let’s go back to something else that you brought up, and that is the assault on the 2<sup>nd</sup> Amendment in the next 12 months. People constantly ask me, “What can we do? What should we do?”

The thought occurred to me – and I’ve mentioned this before, but now I think it’s time that people have to start seriously discussing it and perhaps even advancing the idea – that no corporate charter or any corporation be allowed to operate in this country without the Bill of Rights (or, as I like to call them, the

‘afterthoughts’) in their corporate charter. That will put an end to corporations behaving like they are sovereign over people’s lives, telling them what they can think or not think in order to have their jobs, and so on. That needs to be done.

**Fitts:** Here is the thing: We’ve seen corporations violate state laws time and time again and still be allowed to operate. Clearly, the state mechanisms have the ability to withdraw their right to operate within that state. There has been no effort by the citizens to change that.

**Farrell:** I think that would send the message. There is a Texas legislator right now who is proposing a bill to allow Texans to vote for secession. His whole *raison d’être* for proposing the bill is simply to send a message, and to make these people realize that they are not listening to us, and they had better start soon.

**Fitts:** Right, but I think that the push for secession is something different. Let’s go to *Story #8*.

### **Story #8: The Financial Coup Threatens Taxation and Pension Systems and Inspires Renewed Interest in Personal and Local Resiliency**

I think that if you look at the people who stole the \$21 trillion, and the bailouts – so we will bring it up to \$50 trillion – they want to ‘cut the pathway’. They want to blame it on the magic virus or on secession. It’s as if, “Don’t blame us that you don’t have your social security.” That is their way out of the liabilities.

**Farrell:** I agree, but from my standpoint, I’ve known most of my life that I will have to work until I drop. I’m not relying on social ‘insecurity’. I no longer take anything that this government says at face value – not one thing.

I’m of the frame of mind that I’m not going to rely on them. I’m not in favor of secession, and I’m not against it; I’m in the middle about it. But let’s assume that something like that does happen. Well, we have to get it across to people, “Have these people made good on any of their promises to you? So why are you worried about social security?”

Personally, I wouldn’t be.

**Fitts:** If a state prints its own money, it doesn't need social security.

**Farrell:** That's what I think is at work here. We have a bill that was being talked about in South Carolina prior to the election where a state legislator had introduced a bill to recognize 'as legal tender any gold or silver' – not just denominated in dollars, but 'any'. The consequences of that would be it's up to the state to establish what the exchange is or what the markets demand for those things.

It's already afoot is what I'm saying; you already have pushback.

**Fitts:** To do that, you will have to come up with local encryption systems. You can't switch the money without switching the telecommunications and IT to 'bust the one-way mirror'. So, one of the things I've asked Bill Binney to do is help us develop a curriculum for homeschoolers to teach their children how to build encryption systems.

He said, "If you have thousands of homegrown local encryption systems, it will drive them crazy. They can't deal with it."

Let me explain the background for *Story #8*. We saw \$21 trillion go missing from the U.S. government. We've seen more money go missing all around the world. Money is missing from NATO and on and on.

As you know, if you read my book *Dillon Read and the Aristocracy of Stock Profits*, they made a command decision to pull all of the money out of the U.S. That decision was made at the end of 1995. After they made that decision, the predatory lending started like 'crazy'. The next month, the opioids were approved by the FDA. So, there was a real decision to basically bubble the economy, pull the money out, and bring down life expectancies.

Now that they have pulled all the money out, one of their ways to finance that was to fill the pension funds up with 'phony baloney' paper – U.S. Treasuries, government-guaranteed mortgages, Fannie, Freddie, and derivatives. I think that part of the theory was, "If we can't get the general population to agree to a financially responsible solution, we will just fill their assets up with phony paper

from the U.S. government and then let them eat their own. Let's see what their financial responsibility will be when they are both the creditor and the debtor. We'll just fill themselves up with themselves and leave them 'hanging out to dry'."

So now, we have a country where social security is full of the Treasury securities, the pension funds are full of the Treasury securities, and the whole thing is pumped up on essentially what is now a federally fraudulent system and the money has been pulled out. What happens next?

**Farrell:** That's a good question.

**Fitts:** Is the tax system going to continue to work?

**Farrell:** I don't see how it can without major institutional financial reform. That's what may be at work behind some of these secession talks and some of these state legislators passing these resolutions, with Utah and Texas starting their bullion depositories. That may be what is at work.

If you've reached the stage of FASAB 56, and just running the printing press and then expecting the world to trade in those dollars and in those instruments, that 'ain't going to happen'.

The way I'm viewing it is there is a built-in mechanism right now in the system that will have to drive some sort of financial reform. The question is: Are people going to be astute enough to take away the currency monopoly?

**Fitts:** I saw last year the deficit at \$3 trillion. If you have the printing presses turned on to that extent, then what you have is an exploding amount of dollars looking to buy real things. I believe that is the move on real estate that is coming; it's here. It has certainly been going on in the riot cities and all these other things, but you have all of that money, and it will come in and chase the land and real estate.

**Farrell:** It's already doing it. Every week in my email box I get emails from companies that say, "We are very interested in buying your property." I get them every week. If you are one of these businesses that have been put out of

business or are struggling because of these ridiculous lockdowns, you will have to sell.

**Fitts:** *‘Renewed Interest in Personal and Local Resiliency.’* I couldn’t believe that many people went to Washington on January 6<sup>th</sup> when they could have been at home growing a garden.

In Denmark

**Farrell:** I could. People are still trusting the system enough to think there is someone they can elect who will fix it. I think the result of it was good, in the sense that there are many now who are questioning, “What do we do? Nothing that we are trying is working that is within the system.”

I think, in part, that is good. Poor Senator Graham being shouted at in the airport.

**Fitts:** What did they say to him? Do you know?

**Farrell:** They were cussing at him; they were screaming, “Traitor!” It was ‘over the top’ in one sense, but it was perfectly understandable in another.

I watched Glenn Beck; I occasionally tune into these alternative talk show people. He was responding to the storming of the Capitol, and he lost his temper. He said, “This is why these people did that – because you are not listening.”

**Fitts:** The storming of the capitol, I think was a staged show.

**Farrell:** I think it was a staged show, too, but his point was that these people were there because no one is listening to them. Merely washing your hands like Pontius Pilate of President Trump and All Hail President ‘Bribem’ isn’t going to fix it.

I’m hoping this wakes people up to the reality that this is a broken system, and they need to consider all alternatives, and need to start thinking locally. That swamp in D.C. is not going to change.

**Fitts:** No, but when you think locally, you can also think about, “How do I get

the \$21 trillion back?” There are legal mechanisms to get the \$21 trillion. If there wasn't, the Brits wouldn't be working so hard to get the money off into space.

**Farrell:** Precisely. The legal mechanisms are there, although I would caution that if you're not paying attention to election laws and you're not paying attention to financial laws, and you're not paying attention to the Constitution, and the courts aren't paying attention to it, then what do you do to call that money back?

**Fitts:** Common law- the right of offset (allows financial institutions to take your funds to pay your debts with them).

**Farrell:** That is assuming that you have institutions that will uphold it. I think we have to look at the worst-case scenario, and the potential reality that no one is going to pay any attention to it. That is the problem here.

**Fitts:** But possession is 95% of the law. In other words, if you do escrows and you say, “Look, I owe you \$14,000, but the New York banks owe me \$65,000,” I'm going to assert a common law right of offset.

Even after five or ten years from a loss in the courts, you can still bollix up their operations and cash flows, and you can create major political leverage.

**Farrell:** That might be possible, but my point is that if the institutions are so corrupted that they are not going to follow the law, then it probably won't do much good anyway, and the government has the power to go in and seize assets anyway.

**Fitts:** Not over the sheriff.

**Farrell:** That is the problem.

**Fitts:** So, I would say that the number one thing anybody needs to do now is to get to know their local sheriff and their local city council and their state government. In other words, this is going to be the state and local governments against the Feds. That is what this will come down to.

**Farrell:** That may be, but it sounds like putting too much faith in institutions that have crumbled.

Now, there may be localities and sheriffs that will do that. I don't have any doubt that they will. But I think that if we are looking at all of these secessionist movements, people need to start tying those movements precisely into things like you are talking about.

In other words, there is de jure secession and there is de facto secession. I think if that movement has any chance of success, it will have to be the latter, and it will have to be by coupling your local sheriff, if he or she is trustworthy, into any sort of plans like that. Otherwise, I'm not as optimistic as you are.

**Fitts:** There is a difference between what is feasible and what will happen. So, I'm trying to point out that there are many feasible ways to get recourse. They all require organization, but it doesn't mean they will happen. So far, they haven't.

If you look at the 100,000 people who could have done this over the last four years, many of them were busy reading QAnon.

**Farrell:** Let's look at it in a different way: One possibility is that these lockdowns were designed to prevent people from gathering together and discussing these types of things.

**Fitts:** Absolutely. I would certainly say that whatever retirement contracts that you depend on, whether it's government or corporate systems, you need to be thinking about not assuming that their agreements will be held.

**Farrell:** Absolutely. Don't bank with big banks, and don't bank with the government; they have a history of running some rather shady banks.

**Fitts:** It's unbelievable. What I think we tend to underestimate is if tomorrow everyone on the planet woke up and said, "I'm out of any big bank that is engaged in significant financial fraud," there would be a revolution.

## **Story #9: Who's Doing This? Questions on Interdimensional Intelligence, Aliens, Breakaway Civilizations, Tribal Psychopathy, and Organized and Occult Crime Are Not Going Away**

I have to tell you a story. I received an email from a fellow in Croatia. He basically said, "I hate people like you. You are always saying, 'You don't know who is running things,' when you clearly know who is running things, but you are too 'chicken' to say who it is and name names."

I wrote back and said, "I've told thousands of stories that name names, but I really don't know."

I would point out that Richard Dolan published a new book on the question of whether aliens exist and live among us. I don't know if you've seen it.

**Farrell:** I've seen it, but I haven't read it.

**Fitts:** I did a review on the website. The big unanswered question that you and I talk about every year is: Who is really doing this? I don't know. I have many theories, and I know all the different things that I experienced, but this comes down to the governments of this world behaving in a way that says to me they are being drained. So, they are issuing debt and bonds, and that money is being used to build breakaway civilizations, corporations (which are separate), but we are clearly dealing with a culture that is sufficiently incoherent. You have to ask the questions: Do aliens exist and live among us? Are we dealing with major interdimensional intelligence? Things have gotten very weird.

When I started to grapple with the question of 'Who is Mr. Global?', I was on a Listserv that Chris Milligan (Counselor to USAID) used to run called 'the CIA drug list'. You had three groups: One was, "It's the Jews"; the second was, "It's the Vatican"; the third was, "It's the Masons."

I used to say to all of them, "It's a big planet. It's complicated. Those groups have to collaborate and make this all work."

Talk a bit about who is Mr. Global, and to what extent what is happening inside the governance group is truly beyond weird.

**Farrell:** We know who Mr. Global is if they want names: Rockefeller, Rothschild, DuPont, Warburg – all of the names that everybody is already familiar with, including the new names.

As far as I'm concerned, these are the middle managers. I strongly suspect, and I have for some time, that much of this is actually a cult in nature in the form of secret societies and so on. You see a clear parallel, for example, between communism and the doctrines of the Bavarian illuminati. And incidentally, you can see clear parallels between some of the historical understandings of libertarianism and the Bavarian illuminati – believe it or not.

So, there is no question that you have secretive groups with very occult agendas, and I mean that in the fullest sense of the word – meaning not only secret agendas, but actually full-blooded occult demonic agendas. I would include very famous ones in that group.

I'm not discounting actual malign spiritual or demonic influence. The more that I look at it, the more it appears that somewhere in the mix is the agenda version of AI; the trading algorithms they are perhaps using to 'game out' scenarios they have been handed that are 'spit out' by a computer.

Their actions are so extreme, so inhuman, and so lifeless that I can't attribute it to any other thing. Somewhere these people have access to computer scenario games that have been telling them what to do. I don't discount that.

**Fitts:** I see so many decisions day after day which are being made by algorithms. You are interacting with humans who have to do whatever the algorithm tells you. It is certifiably psychopathic.

**Farrell:** Absolutely.

**Fitts:** It's completely 'nuts'.

**Farrell:** Do these people want to bring in a digital currency that will all be run by computers? I'm sorry, that's not a game that I want to play. I honestly think there is something like this in the mix somewhere, and they are not telling us

where or what this computer is nor who programmed it. ‘Garbage in, garbage out’. But that is the conclusion I’ve come to, and I’ve come to it in the last few weeks looking at all of this insanity.

If you look at the election itself, you have computer algorithms that were used to determine the outcome. “Well, Trump got X number of votes, so we need to program the fractional vote count this way in order for Biden to win.”

So, these people have become reliant on a technology that ultimately, since it’s not concerned with humanity, is going to turn around and ‘bite them’, too.

**Fitts:** What you’ve done is created a society that is losing its mind.

**Farrell:** Yes, these things may be artificially intelligent. They may even be sentient and self-aware; I don’t know. But that doesn’t mean that they are human; that system is called ‘the Beast’ for a reason.

**Fitts:** One of the problems you had in the economy, which the Brits were the champions of, was the winner in the global economy who could produce the greatest equity market value from the greatest income. So, that formula gets the biggest income, the biggest multiple in your stock, levers your stock with derivatives and financial products, and receives the biggest capital gains. The key to doing that was to combine the cash flows of organized crime with the leverage of financial liquidity.

Financial liquidity depends on the rule of law. So the conundrum is: How do you run organized crime but combine it with lawful financial liquidity? In a transparent world, you can’t have both.

The whole goal of the hidden system of finance was to pull the ‘trick’ so that you could pretend that you were the leader in the rule of law. You could have all the financial liquidity in the world, and you could run organized crime.

The challenge that all the central bankers are dealing with is: If you are going to move to an all-digital system on a blockchain, how will you handle that multiple personality disorder?

**Farrell:** Exactly. Let's add to that conundrum what I think the intermediate step is. I've blogged about this recently, too, in a blog about the U.S. Treasury wanting to control cryptocurrencies. It appears they've decided that rather than bring in a unitary digital system all at once, the intermediate phase is that they will allow multiple cryptocurrencies as a mechanism of transaction – only so long as they control the system that controls the exchange rates between those countries.

From one sense, that is a rational decision because it's dealing with a reality on the ground now. We have multiple cryptocurrencies. But on the other hand, it's not a rational decision because there are so many of them, and the transactions are going in nanoseconds, so it will be a nightmare for them to transition from that to their unitary system, and it is going to 'gum up the works'.

In the meantime, the hidden danger – as you've pointed out – is they are turning all of this over to a batch of algorithms.

**Fitts:** Here is the question: Have they decided to set up a parallel system in space to take all the non-transparent, secret items up there and keep it out of the system? Will the Earth system just be the overt, and they will run all of the covert through a separate system?

**Farrell:** That is a good question that I don't know the answer to. I suspect they will take the whole covert thing to space and a significant chunk of the public one as well.

You can't run a transaction system that is truly global and use cryptocurrencies to do it if you are in India and are buying a book that is coming from Norway without having some part of that component in space.

**Fitts:** We will have SDRs (Special Drawing Right) for the planet, and then have SSDRs, as in 'secret space DRs'.

**Farrell:** Exactly, with emphasis on the 'SS'. Martin Bormann (head of Nazi Party Chancellery) is salivating in his grave.

**Fitts:** When Martin Bormann saw FASAB 56, he was jealous as anything.

**Farrell:** Probably so.

**Fitts:** I saw new statistics that said that organized crime globally is now the size of the German economy.

**Farrell:** I can believe it.

**Fitts:** I think that with financial fraud, it's bigger.

**Farrell:** I do, too; much bigger.

**Fitts:** You have an addiction to this kind of behavior. Between war and organized crime and a cult, the whole planet is addicted to things that make money from hurting people.

**Farrell:** And who is the source of that?

**Fitts:** I told you that there is a church near me in Hickory Valley. Right after 9/11, I went to see Pastor Melvin Beaufort. He is a very deeply wise person. He walked up to the podium the Sunday after 9/11, and everybody was waiting with 'bated breath' to see what Pastor Beaufort would say. The first thing he said was, "Ladies and gentlemen, rush hour cometh." Then he said, "We all know that you can't pull off a job like this by yourself; you need co-conspirators. I want to talk about the most powerful co-conspirator, Osama bin Lucifer."

It was fabulous.

**Farrell:** The whole system is diabolical in its intention.

**Fitts:** Right, but here is the problem. If anything is driving America crazy, it's this: For my lifetime, the way politicians stay in power is by marketing a story, which I call 'the story of I am good'. "Here is why you can build your prison; here is why you can poison people; here is why you can destroy the food system; here is why you can drop into Libya and destroy that; here is why you can have a job working and doing all of the things that do this but still believe that you are good."

If you look at the dialogue right now in many places around the world, everybody is looking for the story of who to blame.

In the meantime, we are all going into the economy every day and making money doing all of these things. The Military-Industrial Complex is huge. We are all making money implementing these things. So, there is a multiple personality disorder that is 'off the charts' in the general population. There is nothing stranger for me than being with an audience of people who are all making money by helping the pharmaceutical companies poison people or helping Monsanto poison people, and they are asking me to solve it. "Go fix it."

I look at them, and think, "How am I supposed to fix it if every day you are getting up and going into the workplace to make it work or you're financing it? Look at your stock portfolios."

Between how you earn your living and how you finance your money, you are doing everything you can to build this, and then you're turning to me and saying, "Fix it." How am I supposed to fix it? You fix it. Stop building it. Why is this so hard?

So, the general population was looking for Trump to save them. Now they are looking to blame somebody else. But the problem is that we have to stop doing it. Why is that so hard to see?

**Farrell:** I don't know. It goes back to your comment about not banking at JP Morgan, which is definitely plugged into that system.

No one can escape this system entirely, but you can minimize your participation in it.

**Fitts:** Exactly, we are all in it, but you can pull from that which doesn't have integrity. Shunning is the most powerful tool.

Here is my question: If you go to the top of the committee that runs the planet, I don't know the truth on interdimensional intelligence versus breakaway civilizations versus aliens versus tribal psychopathy versus organized crime. I

don't know who's who and exactly how it works. What I do know is that if we stop supporting it, it will shrink. But we don't.

**Farrell:** Part of it is that people have been cut off from the three pillars of this culture. They are no longer thinking that this is all a spiritual consequence of abandoning certain core concepts at the center of this civilization. The idea of demonic activity would, for most people, be 'nuts'. What that is really saying is: You have no conception of evil.

**Fitts:** I know people who thought it was 'nuts' ten years ago, but they don't think it's 'nuts' now.

**Farrell:** There is no ability on the part of many people to recognize something as evil because they've been cut off from a spiritual foundation that allows them to see that when it occurs.

**Fitts: Story #10: What Do Multipolar and Multiplanetary Mean to Me?**

I keep saying, "We are going to a multiplanetary civilization and we are going from a unipolar model to a multipolar model." The questions are: What does it mean to me? What do I do? How do I change?

What do you think?

**Farrell:** Not speaking for a German or a Russian but speaking for an American, the first thing it means is that you have to realize that the Walt Disney version (as one person put it to me) of American history is simply untrue; we are not the exceptional nation. We are not exempt from the normal course of governments and civilizations throughout history. To assume that we are is the height of hubris, and therefore, 'greater will be the fall thereof'.

The first thing is: Get used to the notion that this country is declining. It may be fast, or it may be slow. I think it will be relatively fast. Just look at recent events. And get used to the idea that what you know as the United States or even your identity as an American, will change profoundly.

It is up to you to create that identity you want to have as an American. This is

why I say that owning the culture is all-important.

My identity is more aligned with the fact that I view myself as a traditional member of a Christian civilization. That is how I view myself, and that is what I want to preserve. Other people might want to preserve different things, but the bottom line is that we have to wake up and start dealing with reality. The reality is that the United States is not going to be running things anymore. The days of the empire of Pax Americana (American Peace) are over.

**Fitts:** I would say it this way: We blew it.

**Farrell:** We blew it.

**Fitts:** We had a chance, and we blew it.

**Farrell:** We had a chance, and the project is over; the experiment is over. Now it's time to think in the long-term way about what we want to hand down to our friends or their children or grandchildren. That is the game now.

**Fitts:** One of the things that happened in the unipolar world was we had very simple snapshots of how the world worked and our place in it. Now in a multipolar world, things are much more complex and much more unpredictable.

It's ironic because I always get in these funny loops with subscribers when I'm talking with them because they want certainty. What's going to happen next year?

Somebody will say to me, "When are you coming back to the United States?"

I say, "Tell me what's going to happen."

This happened to me in 1998 when I was deep into the litigation. I was a person who had a ten-year plan, a five-year plan, and a one-year plan for me, and for my business; everything was all planned out. Then I went into a world where, if I had a five-minute plan, I was lucky.

So, we are in a world that is much more 'get in the invention room and start

inventing' because who knows what the plan is.

**Farrell:** Since we don't know, it's better to plan things for yourself. It's laughable, but it's true. Like your website, I have Muslims, I have transgender people, I have agnostics, I have atheists, I have Christians, I have Jews, and they are all going to come up with a different answer. Go for it!

**Fitts:** Here is what I would say: I've seen this on your website, and I've seen it on *Solari*, and I've seen it on Saker's website – which is the perfect example. When you get that rich tapestry of people with substantial amounts of different knowledge and many different networks, and they go to work, you get very rich intelligence.

**Farrell:** Oh, absolutely.

**Fitts:** What you realize is that you can let go of the unipolar world because there is a much better world out there.

**Farrell:** Let me give you another example of unipolarity and how we as Americans have been conditioned to think of things in very monolithic terms: Iran.

Somebody sent me an article recently, and I would like to thank him or her. It was an astonishing chart of the actual thoughts and beliefs of Iranians. What the chart showed was that Islam is in the minority of what people really think there.

**Fitts:** Well, Iran is Persian.

**Farrell:** Right, but Americans tend to think of Iran as Ayatollahs and Shiite Islam. Well, it's a Persian culture, and there is extreme diversity in it. That is just one small example of how we have to get out of this mentality of believing all of the sound bites that are spoon-fed to us by the 'propaganda' media. We have to start doing the hard work of learning and owning the culture – whatever you may choose that to be.

These simplistic formulas that we've been operating under to go around the world and bomb everybody into submission; it's not working; it's just not.

**Fitts:** One of the reasons I enjoy being in Europe is the nature of Europe and their history and the way they trade around the world. They have been in a multipolar world for a long time. They speak multiple languages.

If you take Nina Heyn who runs the '*Food for the Soul*' column on *Solari*, she speaks five languages, and has lived in many different countries. Her mother was Chinese and her father was Polish. Then she had a job doing strategic communications and global PR for the different movies in Hollywood. She had to interact with hundreds of different languages and cultures.

When I'm with Nina and listen to how she approaches the world, it's a completely different way than I was trained to do, but it's exactly the way that we all need to learn.

This gets back to why it's so important that everybody makes sure that their children listen to classical music; that's not facetious; that is serious.

**Farrell:** I am totally on board with you there. I did that little talk on the organ with Bruno for my members area, and I was astonished at the response I got to that. People really liked it.

The other thing is that classical music will be next on their assault list. Already the Paris Opera is saying, "We are not going to be performing any more operas by old white European people," and on and on we go.

Well, I don't think of Joseph Hayden sitting down to write all of his compositions, thinking, "I'm going to write this because I'm a white European." That's not what is happening in the creative process here; it's just not.

It's so totally 'whacko'.

**Fitts:** I think that is why we have to ignore it and to simply nurture and celebrate that which we think is excellent.

**Farrell:** Somebody asked me once why I always play male composers. They said, "Aren't there any women composers?"

I said, “Try Fanny Mendelssohn.”

They said, “Who?”

I said, “Here, listen.” I put up one of her piano fantasia, and people were like, “Wow! I didn’t know there was anything like this out there.”

“Try it.”

That will be next on the cultural ‘chopping block’, so buy your Bach scores now, they will go after all of that next.

**Fitts:** Get the hard copy. So, that is multipolar, but what about multiplanetary? What does multiplanetary mean to me?

**Farrell:** We already hear Elon Musk and other people on what they want for governance structure on these other planets. I even have a friend who thinks that space will be the new frontier for freedom. I’ve been quietly off and on over the years arguing with him that if you believe that going into space is where you will have more freedom, think again.

**Fitts:** Space is a big capital item. Any time you have to centralize massive amounts of capital; we are many decades or centuries away from Han Solo being able to build his own ship.

**Farrell:** I do think that the global elite is very serious and, in a hurry, to get into space with colonies and so on, for one and only one reason, and it is financial. You and I have talked about this before. We have quadrillions of dollars of derivatives still sloshing around in the system.

**Fitts:** They need to expand the denominator.

**Farrell:** Exactly! They have to get up there and get that ‘stuff’. The other agenda that they have in mind, quite frankly, is they know there is material out there that has been left behind by whatever civilization preceded, and they want to get their hands on it because they think that it will give them phenomenal

technologies for dominance.

**Fitts:** One of the reasons I'm such a big supporter and proponent of space is that I would want them to grab an asteroid for collateral rather than you and me.

**Farrell:** They are placing their chips on the roulette table on both the red and the black numbers, in this case, to see which one is going to pan out for them.

**Fitts:** They are clearly reaching for everything that moves.

Let me bring up one thing from last year. We can get into it more in *space*, but I want to discuss it now. I was with Thomas Meyer when the NEOWISE comet was coming through. We went up into the mountains to see NEOWISE. But then I was with him on the solstice when we had the Christmas conjunction. For some reason, in terms of the movement of the planet, I felt that it was a very important moment. That alignment had much to do with letting go of the past and moving forward, which I very much associated with this incredible surge of talent of people leaving the establishment and saying, "We've had it. We're going forward."

I feel this; I feel this whether it's the people or the planets. I feel this shift that is unbelievably positive.

**Farrell:** I think that the evidence of a shift is all over the place if you are looking for them. Even the Quad is evidence of a geopolitical phenomenon that is a major, major shift. It's reflecting the fact that people are tired of this Venetian Anglosphere (common roots in British culture) 'Globaloney' that we've been under for the last 500 years, and it's everywhere.

**Fitts:** It's the central banking warfare model and its fake news and fake science.

To a certain extent, I feel sorry for the people who run it because I know how hard it is. Whenever you hear the criticism of the deep state, they have no idea how hard it is to run it; it's very hard to run.

If you look at what they've built as a mechanical matter, it's genius, and it has created a large amount of wealth for many people. It's created an abundance of technology and benefits for many people.

**Farrell:** I think that one of the things that is shifting and is going to have to shift – particularly in a multiplanetary civilization, if we ever get there – is our notion of progress. We've been living under a notion of progress as being entirely financial and material.

**Fitts:** Which is 'hoey'.

**Farrell:** Right, and we have to shift to the idea that progress isn't those things; the best kind of progress is progress in virtue and creativity and freedom and so on. That is real progress. I do see a few indicators of that happening. Whether it's going to happen fast enough to create a critical mass, remains to be seen.

Like you, I watched that World Freedom Association meeting, and thought, "Wow! That's interesting." That is an interesting development because it means the old model that they are trying to keep going is just not marketable to intelligent people. The sooner Mr. 'Globaloney' 'gets on that train', the better off he'll be.

**Fitts:** Are you ready for what is now going to be Joseph and Catherine's last *Trump Report Card*?

I'm will hit the screenshare and pull this up.

### **The Trump Report Card.**

**'Vision'.** I was a B- last time, and I'm going to drop him to a C this time.

**Farrell:** That's the difficult one for me. In terms of vision of where he wants to go, I'd keep him at a B. In terms of vision of seeing threats and responding to them, the election being a prime example, I would say a D.

**Fitts:** So, your combined grade for 'Vision' is what?

**Farrell:** My combined grade would probably be a C-.

**Fitts:** **‘Recruiting a Team’.** I was a D and I’m going to stay a D.

**Farrell:** It’s very clear that much of the team that he recruited wasn’t vetted very thoroughly. So, I’ll say a D.

**Fitts:** **‘Building a Team’.** Here is where I think the big problem for Trump was. I had an F for ‘Building a Team’, and I’m going to keep it an F.

If you look at what it takes to run a government and a political machine as vast as what he was running, he is used to operating as a small family office entrepreneur. He hurt plenty of feelings, and if you look at the Republican Party sort-of throwing in with the Masons against Trump, I think that much of this was not only policies, but people being stung by being bullied.

**Farrell:** I’d go along with that. My grade would be an F.

**Fitts:** **‘Accomplishments to Date’.** I’m going to move him up to a B because he got more accomplished than I ever dreamed he could. I think he got numerous things accomplished. He was very performance-oriented, and the thing that I most appreciate about Trump is that he ‘tore a hole’ into the official reality that will never be closed.

**Farrell:** That is a good point, and he tried to do something about all the human trafficking. So, yes, I think I’ll bump him down to a B+ because how many of those accomplishments are will be left in place, remains to be seen.

**Fitts:** I think that what Trump tried to do for transparency and what he tried to do – whether it was on climate change and Paris Agreement – he gave us back Christmas. I can make a list of about 20 things that he did, and I think that for many people, they are going to last.

**Farrell:** I hope so.

**Fitts:** **‘Effort’.** I gave him an A for ‘Effort’, and I’m going to keep that A for ‘Effort’.

**Farrell:** Me, too.

**Fitts:** **‘Communication’.** I had him at a B. I think he really hurt himself in the last post-election, so I am going to drop him down to a B-.

**Farrell:** I’ll drop him to a C+. The reason is that I think he totally mishandled the COVID virus. It was not clear where he fell on the issue of vaccinations. In fact, he is taking credit for Operation Warp Speed, which I think is not an accomplishment that I would want on my resume.

**Fitts:** **‘War Games and Mapping the Swamp’.** He hurt himself badly with that. I had bumped him up to an A in 2019, but I’m going to drop him down to a C-, which is where I started in 2017. I think he completely got ‘out-snookered’ on the war games.

**Farrell:** He really did. I never could figure that out other than perhaps to entertain the hypothesis that he got the drug prices lowered in return for throwing in with the vaccine crowd. I don’t know; I never was able to figure that out.

**Fitts:** When you try to be ‘middle of the road’, you get hurt anyway.

You were a B+. Where are you now?

**Farrell:** I’d say a D because of COVID-19 plus the lack of any preparatory planning for election fraud.

**Fitts:** **‘Building Bottom-Up Support’.**

**Farrell:** I’ll keep him at a B+. I think he was rather successful at that. Just the fact that he was still able, on January 6<sup>th</sup>, to get all that crowd assembled in DC, something was going on there, and it’s not going to go away with just him. Although I don’t raise him to an A because, even though he may like to take credit for all of that, I think that that mechanism was put into place by Ron Paul more than anyone else.

**Fitts:** I'm going to pull him up to a B- because, if you look at how hard he worked during the election with the rallies, it was impressive.

**'Introducing Important Change'**. I had him at a B, and I'm going to keep him at a B.

**Farrell:** I'll raise him up to a C+, but I won't go with a B.

**Fitts:** You had him at a B last year.

**Farrell:** I was at a C in 2017. I'm going back to a C because I think that the most important change he needed to do was put his stamp on the Republican Party, and I don't think he has been as successful as many people thought he was in that.

We will see as the 2022 elections come around what result there was, but I'm not seeing much evidence of it. Although I do think that if the Republican establishment does manage to reassert control of the party, you can 'kiss' the GOP goodbye. I think that many of those people will abandon it.

**Fitts:** I'm not sure. I believe the Democrats will come up with such an incredible method on money pump. I think that it is going to 'steamroll' everybody and everything.

**Farrell:** That could be, too. Either way, I think the GOP is in big, big trouble.

**Fitts:** They are in very big trouble.

**'Building Bridges Internationally'**. I was a C-, and I'm going to keep him at a C-. I think that if you look at who took him out, it was the global elite.

**Farrell:** I'll stay at C-.

**Fitts:** **'Building Bridges Domestically'**. I was C- last time and you were a B. I'll stay with my C-.

**Farrell:** I'll drop him to a C.

**Fitts:** ‘**Managing the Executive Branch Bureaucracy**’. I was a D and will stay at a D.

**Farrell:** I’ll go with a D.

**Fitts:** ‘**Grand Strategy for America and Our Role in the World**’. I was a C+ and I’m going to stay there.

**Farrell:** I’ll stay at C-.

**Fitts:** ‘**Managing the Federal Budget and Contracting Budget**’. This one is comical. I’ve been an F every year for three years, and I’m going to stay at an F. This is like the royal flush here.

You, however, went F, F, G, and then during 2020, you were an H.

**Farrell:** I’ll drop him down to a G- since we’re inventing whole new grade categories here.

**Fitts:** ‘**Staying Physically and Emotionally Healthy**’. I had him at a B last year. I’m going to keep him at a B.

**Farrell:** I’ll drop him down to a B+.

**Fitts:** Here’s what I have to say: When I lived in New York, I was not a Trump fan, but I give him credit. If you look at how hard he’s worked and the beating he took from the fake news, it was unbelievable. That could have been part of a reality TV show. Who knows? But I don’t think that he ever expected to get turned on by the shriek-o-meter the way he did.

He thought that he would win the election, and there would be bad feelings, and then it would be over, and everybody would go back to work. If you live in the business world, after the fight is over, you start cooperating because people can’t make money fighting. He had never functioned in an environment where everybody makes large amounts of money fighting and ‘tollboothing’. I think that was a huge shock.

**‘Growing in the Job’.** I had him at a B- and I’m going to keep him there.

**Farrell:** That’s a difficult one. I’ll drop him to a B-.

**Fitts:** So, this is our last *Trump Report Card* because this is the ‘end of the line’, even though there are still QAnon individuals who think that somehow Trump will be the President.

One of the accusations is that he has been using this whole time to make his own deals and raise money, and he hasn’t been trying to stay in. I have no idea if that is true or not.

**Farrell:** I get that feeling.

**Fitts:** It’s clear to me that he is trying to make the deals he needs to protect his family.

I remember in 2016 when Trump won, and the alternative was Hillary Clinton; I absolutely believed that if the American establishment was unhappy that Trump was the President, it’s their fault. Why would you ever run Hillary Clinton? That was a frightening mistake on the part of the American establishment. They have no one but themselves to blame as far as I’m concerned.

Whatever has happened for the last four years, I assure you that the world is infinitely – in my opinion – better off for having had Trump in there.

**Farrell:** In fact, you know me, I did not vote in any election from 1988 until 2016, and it was because they were running Hillary. I came out of voting retirement to vote against that woman.

**Fitts:** All of the different efforts to promote her for the Democratic candidate this time didn’t work.

**Farrell:** No one would have had her. Basically, they’ve moved back to the fallback position. I’m in total agreement with you. Had she been in, things would have been so much worse by now.

**Fitts:** And I must say, for all of our subscribers around the world, on behalf of America, I apologize to you for what has happened and where we are.

**Farrell:** I'll give a hearty 'Amen' to that.

**Fitts:** As you know, we have a wonderful producer on *The Solari Report* who is in his 20's. I'm always apologizing to him on behalf of my generation.

**Farrell:** I feel the same way. We've left things in a real mess.

**Fitts:** So Joseph, tell everybody how to find your website and how to become a member.

I have to say that Giza Death Star has always been great, but you and Daniel have been working on it, and it's getting even better. It is one of the great sources of intelligence in the world – that and your books. Your books are fantastic.

**Farrell:** The intelligence is not my work; it's all of my readers who send me all these articles.

**Fitts:** It's the same with *Solari*.

**Farrell:** Catherine, you would not believe – or maybe you would – the things that I reject. I wish I had an archive only of the articles that I simply cannot keep up with or blog about. I try to pick some of them every few weeks as, what I call, 'Honorable Mentions', but it's an astonishing amount of intelligence that people are putting together, and I regret that I can't talk about all of it. I simply don't have the time.

**Fitts:** You did a marathon vidchat. How long was that marathon vidchat when you tried to answer all the questions?

**Farrell:** Most of my vidchats are marathons. They usually go from six to eight hours.

I'm starting a new vidchat that I'm calling a 'Fireside Chat', which is not replacing the others; it's in addition to the others. It will be just three hours long – first come, first served – to have it move faster. We had people telling me, "Some of the vidchats are just too long for me to listen to." So, I'm deciding to do a shorter one.

We have those things going. They can find the website at [www.GizaDeathStar.com](http://www.GizaDeathStar.com). They can become members by clicking on the tab on the homepage of the website about memberships. I think it's \$12.99 a month.

**Fitts:** And absolutely check out the books.

**Farrell:** Yes, please check out the books.

**Fitts:** When is your next one coming out? I'm looking forward to it.

**Farrell:** I don't know. We've been in this website transition and everything else. I have a couple of books that I've been working on, but I've been so distracted for two or three months that I haven't really been able to get to them.

I don't know when the next one is coming out, quite honestly. It may be next year. At 64 years old, I'm no longer able to crank out two books a year.

You know very well how much work goes into just a blog. My joke is that writing a book is as close as men will ever come to childbirth.

**Fitts:** Well, if you haven't read them all, just dive in anywhere. The *Microcosm and Medium* book is perfect for 2021. We always have 'Best Books for the Year Ahead', so we will have a 'Best Books for 2021', and several of your books will be in there, but *Microcosm* is definitely going to be in there.

**Farrell:** Thank you. That is an important book. If you buy my books, please purchase them new and buy the hard copy. I do not regard any electronic format as being a canonical copy of the book. Buy them new so that I can receive a small royalty from them. We don't get rich doing this.

Let me be perfectly honest about this individual: Some 'snot' posted a comment

on one of my last 'News & Views' saying, "Oh, you're just doing all of this because you make lots of money from it from YouTube."

I don't make a dime off of doing any of those videos on YouTube.

**Fitts:** Whenever I get comments like that, I put them in a folder. I told Robert Dupper that I'm going to anoint it 'Big Baby Planet'.

**Farrell:** Exactly. If you don't like what I'm saying, you don't have to listen to it, but please keep your negativity to yourself.

**Fitts:** I did a wonderful interview a year ago with Emily Post's great-grandson, a family member who runs the Emily Post Institute in Vermont. Whenever I get terrible behavior, I'll send them a link to that *Solari Report* interview and say, "This can really help."

**Farrell:** Sign up for an Emily Post class.

**Fitts:** Remember in the *Kingsman* movie when the person playing the lead said, "Manners maketh man."

**Farrell:** Hear, hear!

**Fitts:** We will look forward to next week with *Part II*. We thank you, and we thank Shiloh (Dr. Farrell's dog) for being so patient with us. Enjoy your walk.

**Farrell:** I will. Thank you, Catherine.

## **MODIFICATION**

Transcripts are not always verbatim. Modifications are sometimes made to improve clarity, usefulness and readability, while staying true to the original intent.

## **DISCLAIMER**

Nothing on The Solari Report should be taken as individual investment advice. Anyone seeking investment advice for his or her personal financial situation is advised to seek out a qualified advisor or advisors and provide as much information as possible to the advisor in order that such advisor can take into account all relevant circumstances, objectives, and risks before rendering an opinion as to the appropriate investment strategy.