

Actionable intelligence to live a Free & Inspired Life



# The Solari Report

## October 1, 2020 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter Wrap Up News Trends & Stories Part I with Dr. Joseph Farrell



3d Quarter 2020 Wrap Up  
News Trends & Stories  
Part I  
with Dr Joseph P Farrell



**Catherine Austin Fitts:** Welcome to *The Solari Report*. It's time for the *Wrap Up*!

We are taking a chance however because today is not the end of the quarter.

**Dr. Joseph Farrell:** I know!

**Fitts:** But it's Sunday, so we have three more days until the close of the quarter. I think we have quite a good beat on the quarter.

Anyway, welcome to *The Solari Report*, and thank you for joining me.

**Farrell:** Thank you for having me back!

**Fitts:** You are in the heartland, and I'm in Europe. The 'pond' is between us, but here we are together in cyberspace – which is not our favorite place.

Before we start, I would like to mention that we have gone through and pulled together our top stories for *Part I*. So, we are going to have *Part I* this week and *Part II* next week. *Part I* is *Economy & Financial Markets* and *Geopolitics*. If you go to the web presentation, you can follow along, not only with the stories, but this time we have so many headlines now that we have put them all on a TablePress.

We need to manipulate the database dynamically, so we have a TablePress for *Part I*, and then a TablePress for *Part II*.

The *Unanswered Questions* this year – which is part of *Part II* – keeps getting bigger and longer.

Before we dive into the *Part I Top 10 in Economy & Financial Markets*, I would like to pause. Obviously, much has happened. We are in a period of accelerating change. It has only been three months since we recorded our last one, but plenty has happened.

I've been following Giza Death Star almost every day because you have really been doing 'singles, doubles, and homeruns'. I'll get these messages, "Oh my

God! You have to go watch the vidchat! Start at 53 minutes. You won't believe it!"

I think part of it is that I've seen a significant increase in very intelligent, interesting people being willing to talk about reality. If you go to Giza Death Star, you see them clearly engaging with you. Everybody is working together to figure it out, and for me, this is exciting because now we are talking about an intelligence network that is out of control and really helping each other.

They have all turned off. They have walked away from the propaganda, and now they are willing to engage in the good, old-fashioned hard work of figuring out what is going on.

They are not only limiting themselves to the socially acceptable matrix boundaries; they are saying, "Okay, I am going to leave the matrix." We will be talking about this.

I feel as though there is a much richer intelligent network gathering up around a whole different group of sites, one of which is yours and one is ours. You have a group of people who are really trying to figure out the truth and what we do about it. I think that is very positive, but one thing it has is me on your website all the time.

You have been busy!

**Farrell:** I have been very busy. You're right that the people on Giza Death Star are a very interesting group of people.

**Fitts:** They are very interesting.

**Farrell:** They are all smart, and we recently had one of our members get his PhD in the neurosciences.

**Fitts:** Wonderful!

**Farrell:** The thing that strikes me is precisely what you say. At least on my website, and I'm certain on yours and other websites that I go to or that people

send me articles from, there seems to be in that respect a move afoot that people are so fed up with the ‘propatainment’ media that they are turning to alternative sources, and they are trying to put dots together themselves. I’ve noticed a distinct uptick in this activity ever since this coronavirus ‘planscamdemic’ started; it’s really mushroomed.

In a certain sense, even though ‘Mr. Globaloney’ may have had certain objectives and agendas behind the whole thing, and I think there are a multitude of them and they’ve been pushing so hard that it is waking people up.

The thing that really has done it – at least in this country, and I’m seeing the same thing in Europe – is all the mask mandates, the lockdowns, the bad science, the constant manipulation of statistics, and the constant moving of the goalposts. It started out as, “We’ve got to contain this.” Then it went to, “We’ve got to contain it so that the hospitals don’t get overloaded,” and on and on this goes. Now they are talking about strain #2 of COVID-19, which is COVID-20 that is supposed to be able to penetrate the facemask barrier and all of these other things. So, they keep moving the goalposts.

Then the CDC came out and ‘changed its tune’ saying, “We need to adjust our language about the science.”

Adjusting the language about the science is code for, “We are a bunch of Gnostics, and we are lying to you, and we are doing it through manipulations of language.”

I’m not saying that this virus – whatever it is – isn’t real and isn’t killing people; I am saying that the response of governments to it is way out of proportion to any good statistical data about its actual fatality rate. That is the problem: This is not a pandemic; this is a propaganda effort.

**Fitts:** When the CDC revised their statistics, as of several weeks ago, it turns out that the deaths strictly from COVID-19 were 9,500. That is not a pandemic, and I’m not saying that there aren’t deaths involved. It was interesting reading *Lunacy Lockdown 3.0* by J.B. Handley pointing out a survey where surveyed Americans said that COVID-19 had killed 9% of the population, which would be 30 million people.

So, something that had killed 9,500 people, but the impression of the population was that this had killed 30 million people.

**Farrell:** Three times three orders of magnitude more than have actually died.

**Fitts:** That is 3,000-fold.

**Farrell:** That's right; it is total 'lunacy'. What is beginning to happen now is that people are waking up and realizing that it's a scam. So, we have all this going on during an election year. There is no other way to characterize this election process other than fear; it's just over the top, and it is totally insane.

The other thing is I am getting emails from all over the world asking, "What in the name of sense is happening to the Democratic Party?" We are watching a party self-destruct. I can find no reason for it other than it is 'part of the game'.

**Fitts:** My assessment is that you have a significant portion of the central banks and the CIA. Suppose you take the group that you and I are always talking about in terms of killing Forrestal or many of these assassinations. In that case you have the Rockefeller syndicate, which is essentially the Masons. You have, what I call, the 'Aragon Jewish organized crime' group. It's those two groups together that financed the Bolsheviks; this is an old game.

So they assassinated many leaders, and they were the ones who put together the 1947 and the 1949 construct with the hidden system of finance. Now that they've stolen all the money, my vision is they now want to start a civil war and be able to blame the people.

Now that you have the assets out of the vehicle, you still have the liabilities, and you still have a legal mechanism whereby people can get the \$21 trillion back. I will use the \$21 trillion as my number.

So, I've stolen \$21 trillion, but the legal mechanism exists so that it can be 'clawed' back. And I owe more than \$21 trillion in retirement obligations and military pensions and social security and healthcare obligations. So how do I get out of that? Well, if a civil war destroys the mechanism, then I can keep the \$21

trillion clear and free. Then when people say, “Well, you’ve abrogated the liabilities,” you can say, “What could we do? The people wanted to secede.”

**Farrell:** Right.

**Fitts:** I’ve been through debates with younger people on our website who are saying, “Well why should I care about older people’s retirement obligations? They sold me out.”

I say, “No, pal. It wasn’t them that sold you out; it was the people who stole the \$21 trillion who you are helping.”

After you bust the mechanism, then all the assets get marked down and they pick everything up cheap; it’s the Rape of Russia.

**Farrell:** It’s the Rape of Russia: That is exactly what I am thinking is happening. We need to add to this big picture the fact that in this country, articles have been coming out now, and we saw the Chinese counterfeiting \$1 bill story a few months ago. Then we had that press conference in April, which was the April Fool’s Day press conference with General Milley. It was supposed to be about COVID, but it started out with the Secretary of Defense and General Milley. General Milley got up and said, “Oh, we’ve got soldiers and the Coast Guard and the Navy in the Caribbean doing something. We weren’t told what,” and he said twice just for emphasis, “You’re not getting in. You’re not getting in.”

I suspect that plus the Chinese counterfeiting and the Chinese having shipped arms apparently to some of these ‘whacked-out’ Marxist organizations, I think you are watching a covert hot war going on with China. My suspicion has been all along – and I’ve blogged about this – that whatever that operation was back in April, someone was trying to smuggle in a ‘firecracker’ and get things going in this country.

So, yes, I do think that civil war is on their agenda for entirely financial reasons. The states that are talking about it the loudest are California, and a new movement in New York to essentially split that state into three zones and strip the Albany central government. So, it is looking like they want to walk away

from all of their liabilities that will allow them to take that stolen money and buy up all the assets on the cheap.

People have to wake up, and I do think that people are. Beyond the narrow confines of the website, my impression is that everybody is aware there is something going on, and they are being lied to. That suspicion alone is making the political situation in this country impossible to predict.

You add the COVID narrative to that, and all this hysteria about vaccine mandates and so on. This country is in a state of flux like I have never seen at any point in its history.

To go back to your civil war scenario, when you look at what is going on in this country right now, you have essentially two entirely different cultures – entirely different – trying to coexist under an increasingly weakened central government. I'm calling this a Harpers Ferry 2.0 moment of history. President Trump is President Buchanan version 2.0. He sees himself to some extent as a Lincoln; I see him as a Buchanan.

We are at a very, very dangerous moment. Here is the problem for Mr. Central Banker, and I've said this before many times: When you roll the war dice, there is no military operational plan ever that survives intact once the shooting starts. Ever. They are taking a tremendous risk, and that indicates they are in a desperate position.

**Fitts:** I expected this or something like this to happen. I expected a reset to happen in 2021 and for things to get really radical but I didn't expect it in 2020. If you look at what happened, let's dive into **Story #1** because that is exactly **Story #1**.

## **Economy & Financial Markets:**

### **Story #1: The Going Direct Global Reset Consolidates the Financial Coup.**

Let's go back to August 2019. The central bankers approved the Going Direct (to dealer trading houses on wall street) reset at Jackson Hole in 2019. So

remember when Carney came out and said, “The dollar can’t last...”? That was after they approved it.

Who ran it? You had the former chairman of the Israeli central bank sitting at BlackRock. He is the former Vice Chairman of the Fed. Remember, he ran the Israel central bank, and then he became Vice Chairman at the Fed. He was at BlackRock, and led the plan with the retired head of the Swiss National Bank. Then you had Canada, the US, and a couple of others. They were all at BlackRock, and the plan was approved by the G7 central bankers. Then the Fed started going into the repo market in September, and QE (Quantitative Easing) forever begins.

It went on and on, and then Putin in November says that the dollar is ‘toast’. Then the BRICS nations came together to talk about doing a crypto backed by gold. In the meantime, the BIS (Bank for International Settlements) is building the agreements globally for a basic crypto grid. There is still much to be figured out. They are building innovation hubs all over the world with groups of central banks. They are in Hong Kong, Singapore, Scandinavia, Europe, and then they basically form a partnership with the Fed.

What you see now is the G7 is coming around. I think that what happened is that they have to keep the dollar system going, but they have to accelerate the new.

The new is stalled, the dollar is ‘long in the tooth’, and COVID-19 was absolutely designed to keep that dollar system going. It’s the most miraculous save of the dollar yet, and then they can accelerate the new.

I was here in the Netherlands trying to write *The State of Our Currency*, and I was so frustrated trying to integrate all the different pieces because how do you explain to the world that these people are going to end currencies? The central banks will get together and bring in a transhumanist system, which is so beyond the ability of most people to fathom.

Your members and my members are very smart, but it’s hard for them to fathom that these people would embrace slavery to that extent.

**Farrell:** I think that it would be helpful if you explain to the listeners and readers what you mean by Going Direct.

**Fitts:** That is the question: What did they mean by Going Direct? I may get the specifics wrong, but I think they want to build a system where the central banks can put the credits in your account, and they can cut out all if not most of the intermediaries, including sovereign governments. The ‘big guys’ are pulling the plug on us, ultimately severing governments. So, you end up with a private corporation and a central bank system. They put the money in your wallet, and you get to use it if you behave well; it’s a control system.

**Farrell:** It is a control system, and this is the connection to transhumanism that I think people have to latch onto. If you go back to what we discussed in the last *Quarterly Wrap Up*, they are proposing the Microsoft model of vaccine updates. In other words, they are trying to design a vaccine where the vaccine includes a little medical tracking device and probably other things.

I’ve been doing a great deal of deep diving into mind manipulation technologies that could easily be put into a mandatory vaccine as a component of control.

In other words, the transhuman agenda is that they are going to use health as a means of tying you into an AI data harvesting grid. If you are a ‘good boy’ or ‘good girl’ and allow your data to be harvested, then they will give you the money. So, they are setting up – to use the St. John of *Revelations* term – a ‘beast’ system.

**Fitts:** You are going from a market system to a technocracy. It is managed with AI and software, which can handle enormous complexity and detail. Everybody has the equivalent of 300 Stasi (German State Security Service) agents just watching them 24/7 because they are doing it with AI and software.

Just like they hooked your computer up to their cloud and had a back door, they are planning on doing the same thing with your body.

I don’t know if you saw this, but in January, Moderna put out a press release referring to their injection. I call them ‘injections’ instead of ‘vaccines’ because,

by law, a vaccine is medicine, and this is not medicine. Moderna called their injection ‘the software of life’.

**Farrell:** Exactly. They are telling everybody what they are up to, and this is what is disturbing about it.

I go back to something else that I’ve said before: This is why Mr. Trump’s support is so soft. This one issues alone is what has drained him of his base.

**Fitts:** There are two things. Let me go to the critical economics of the reset, and I am going to grossly oversimplify just to make it easy.

We have the Main Street economy, and we have the Amazon economy. They announced that the Main Street economy is not essential and they shut it down. Businesses, farms, churches, schools, and cultural institutions and museums – they shut everything down and declare them ‘nonessential’.

In the meantime, they allowed these other establishments – the liquor stores and Amazon – to stay open and grab that whole market share.

The Main Street establishments, in the meantime, have an average cost of capital on their credit cards of 17% and have a hard time getting financing in a variety of different ways, and that is their intention. The Amazons can get money from the Fed for 0% because the Fed is buying corporate bonds.

So, they’ve shut your business down, and are giving the other people 0% money to buy everything.

I just received an email from someone in France who said that for all the businesses in France to get the equivalent of the PPL loans from the government, they had to put all of their confidential financial information and documents and loan applications in the Amazon cloud. What that means is that all of the private equity people who have 0% financing can now come in and buy everybody. So this is a takeover, and they are using taxpayer credit and taxpayer-funded bureaucracies to essentially destroy Main Street businesses and give these other people a party to take it over for free.

You are principally taking half of the US economy, and similar in other places, and giving it to the Bolsheviks for free. That is what you are doing.

I tried to tell sophisticated small businesspeople in March that this was exactly what was happening, and a piece of this is getting people entrapped on their debt. We have seen the US lend and engineer tremendous amounts of debt to the churches, so now they are in a debt trap.

When I tried to explain to people in March that this was happening, they were questioning, “What are you? A conspiracy theorist?”

Now they are sitting back, and looking at exactly what is happening, and saying, “Oh my God! This is economic warfare of the most brutal kind”. You are talking about hundreds and thousands of businesses on Main Street being wiped out forever. And the US billionaires are now up over \$600 or \$700 billion.

**Farrell:** This is another reason I think they have overplayed their hand. What this has done is it has woken up many people. I really think they have overplayed their hand, and quite frankly, just looking at everything right now, I don't know if they will be able to pull it off. They have a tremendous amount of the world's population marching in the streets right now in huge numbers that are not being reported by the 'propatainment' media. It is going to be interesting to see if they can pull it off.

I want to run a scenario by everybody. Just imagine if whoever wins the White House this November comes out and says, “Well, we don't need your paper currency anymore; we are going all digital.”

Just imagine the effect that that is going to have on the American population. I think that it will be drastic and dire, and if they are counting on no pushback, I think they have grossly underestimated the situation.

**Fitts:** Here is what I see: They are in a consolidation mode. You 'whack', and in the first whack – which started in March – you get significantly expanded police powers, you improve and test your models, and you make \$1 trillion. So, you've had a very successful 'whack'; it has been very successful.

Now you digest and watch the pushback. You watch how the pushback comes, what they say, what lawsuits they file, what their arguments are, and as you take in that information, you plan out your second whack.

**Farrell:** This is exactly what I was saying about COVID all along. This was a scheme and a scam in order to track, and not only social engineer the population, but to see how it responds and track the nodes and types of resistance and so on.

I'm entirely in agreement with that scenario; that is precisely what I think is going on.

What I don't think they have been entirely successful in doing is estimating the degree of pushback they are seeing. That is where I think they may have blundered. I don't think they were entirely prepared, and I will tell you why. The thing that makes me think this is that where I live, the mayor and the city council are a 'bunch of tyrants'. They put into place a city-wide mask mandate. Now they are being sued by local doctors who are saying there is no science to this.

In other words, we have a group of technocrats who don't even have expertise in the field who are making all these rules and regulations for everybody. To my way of thinking, that is the Achilles heel in their brand of technocracy. They are not even listening to the people with the expertise in the various subjects that they are doing this social engineering around.

**Fitts:** Their problem is this: If you look at what the mayors are implementing, they require the legislature to legislate that and have it go through the full process, and it hasn't. So, they really don't have the authority to do what they are doing.

When they get sued on something where they didn't have the authority to do it, and you can prove that, not only did they not have the science backing them up but they knew that, then they are in real trouble.

I will tell you that watching what has been going down-the control grid that is put in place including control files – worked brilliantly. One of the things that

has to come out here is the fact that all of these people have control files and can't say no. They are scared to death. That has to come out.

**Farrell:** I think that is entirely true. And it has to come out while we are talking about that. If you are responding locally to a situation where you are dealing with tyrannical mayors and bureaucrats and so on, it's necessary to do the local on-the-ground footwork to find out why. Where are their connections? Where do they go to school?

I can tell you, again, that where I live the local mayor has some very interesting connections in terms of private schools that he has attended and so on. So, I am suspecting that we might be looking now at an Epstein scenario, for want of a better term, on local levels.

**Fitts:** Absolutely. I will tell you that the single-most effective way of getting control files on everybody over the last 20 years is child pornography. You would not believe how many people they have. It's very low-cost, and they have many.

We're not going to get into it too much today, but they have done plenty to lock down that situation so that it can't be discussed.

Let's face it, one of the reasons all of this is happening is that they don't want people talking about what is going on in the election process. They weren't prepared to have a real campaign because there were too many people asking real questions.

**Farrell:** There is a second element here that we need to mention, and this is coming largely out of Australia where these stories have been surfacing. I suspect that what is true in Australia is true elsewhere – and this has been true of this whole COVID 'planscamdemic': They are following computer models and projections. In other words, AI is behind this. You are dealing with people who are so clueless that they are surrendering their own humanity and their own critical faculties to computer models which have thus far, proven egregiously wrong.

If you are wondering why things are looking increasingly inhuman, it is that there is nothing human behind what they are doing. They are watching these computer models and projections, and you know the old saying about computers: Garbage in, garbage out. They are only as good as the people programming them, and this is the other problem that needs to be addressed.

**Fitts:** I agree totally. I ordered and received all of Asimov's *Foundation* series so I could re-read it. I said, "We are dealing with that model, so we have to re-read these. This is part of the problem."

The other thing – which is very interesting, and we will get into it in geopolitics – is that I think the mind control technology combined with the control file system has gotten so economic, and it has been so effective.

One of the most interesting things that happened to me in the 3<sup>rd</sup> quarter is that I had a brilliant and wonderful subscriber who was at one of the protests in Europe. He was near a person who he didn't know, but he was a very sensible, intelligent man. For no reason, this person was dangerously attacked by Antifa.

So, being the intrepid person that he is – very inquisitive – he asked the Antifa people, "Why did you attack this man?"

They said, "He gave a Hitler salute."

He did not give a Hitler salute, but they really believed that he had. This gets back to brain-machine interface and Pokémon reality. In Pokémon, you see something there that isn't there.

What he said in his description – and this is in the *2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter Wrap Up* introduction – was that these people were so mind-controlled that it was like they were in a mass hallucination or a trance. It has been very hard for me to get subscribers to understand that you can't talk with these people. They are completely brainwashed, and not their own people.

**Farrell:** They are the crowds during the Bolshevik Revolution. You cannot reason with them because they only understand one language unfortunately, and that is the language of force. But there is another problem here. I've been trying

to blog about this recently. I am at the stage where I am beginning to think that they have lost control of their mind manipulation technologies and they are now victims of their own technology.

**Fitts:** That might be.

**Farrell:** When I say ‘they’, I mean not the people walking around on the streets rioting and looting; I am talking about the people who are ‘in charge’. I think these are the people who are the ones who are being mind-manipulated.

**Fitts:** I just posted your article about this. What was the name?

**Farrell:** I don’t remember either!

**Fitts:** You talked about it being the blowback of using this much technology. I think that part of it is also that there are two things happening: You are breaking down the shared intelligence, and that is causing enormous amounts of unexplained and unexpected incoherence and problems. But the other thing is that it is blowing back on you and mind-controlling you.

Another thing is that you have become more and more dependent on it, and it is making you as a leadership group stupider and stupider.

**Farrell:** That is a phenomenon that I talked about over a decade ago with GeorgeAnn Hughes. These technocratic, ‘Globaloney’, ‘plutocratic’ elites have surrounded themselves with people of their own point of view for so long that they are no longer capable of thinking in any other way. That is part of the crisis.

The way they are thinking obviously is not working. Otherwise, we would not be seeing the pushback that we are seeing. I think the pushback is coming from one fundamental source, and that is the intuition (not necessarily a full-formed thought-out thing) and an intuitive perception that where we are going under these leaders is an inhuman place. That is what people are really reacting to.

**Fitts:** Let’s move onto the next story.

## **Story #2: The Fed and Private Equity Piratize the U.S.**

I want to provide some basic statistics to help understand the big picture of the challenge. When you are printing as much money as they are printing, “I am printing all of this money and giving it to my friends to buy up the globe. But when I print that much money, that is very inflationary and monetary inflation. When I shut down Main Street, that offsets with deflation. So instead of using globalization to draw up the labor price, – which was the offsetting deflation – now I’m going to shut down Main Street, and that protects me.”

We now have the interest rate at essentially ten basis points, which is basically zero. So, if you’ve worked hard your whole life and are saving, in 1980 you could make 8-12% on your CD. Now you are making 0%, but the GDP is falling by 8%.

If you look at the cost of goods sold, the Fed says that inflation is 1.7%, but if you look at the Chapwood Index in the United States, the inflation rate is 7-13%. So, the GDP is going down 8+%, the cost of goods sold is going up 7-13%, and the interest rate is 0%. If you look at the borrowing rate, the average credit card is paying 16-17%.

If you only look at those four statistics, the pie is shrinking dramatically by definition because of the way that it has been engineered. It’s like a game of musical chairs. As an economic matter, I have to find a way to beat that game or else I am going to be the person who loses the chair.

What I’m watching as I’m figuring this out is Trump giving \$10 billion to make a commercial product, and that commercial product is totally free of any liability. So think of this: You pay them to make it, and they have no risk whatsoever if it doesn’t work or fails or kills anybody. In the meantime, in the real world, you have to beat this squeeze that you are in. The thing that makes the squeeze really bad is part of your biggest expense is that most people are working until May or June in the United States to pay taxes, and you are paying taxes to fund all these state and local government officials who are destroying your business.

Different mayors are starting to realize, “Wait a minute. If I shut down all the businesses in my area, if I kill my tax base, I won’t have a job in a year or two.” They are starting to compute it.

This is right out of *Atlas Shrugged*. If you are the man who is building the motors, you are paying a machinery to kill you. What this is going to come down to is taxes. Are you going to keep funding the machine that is killing you and stealing your money and stealing your life?

**Farrell:** Eventually, the scenario that you have outlined will catch up to ‘Mr. Globaloney’ himself. That is their problem; they have put themselves in the game of musical chairs. That is what I see happening here, and that is why we are watching such a ‘wacky’ situation.

Turn the clock back just two years, and look at the geopolitical situation then and compare it to now.

Two years ago, we were still thinking Shanghai Accords, BRICS nations, Chinese Silk Road, and all of that is gone. It’s been breathtaking. It’s gone in a matter of months just this year.

**Fitts:** Basically, they said, “Fine. If you want to finance our competition, you’re ‘toast’. We’re pulling the plug.”

**Farrell:** That is exactly what is going on here. So, we are watching the game of musical chairs, not only at the local level, but on a global scale. I hate to put it this way, but we are watching the collapse of the globalist enterprise, and now it is everybody for themselves. They are aligning on the old geopolitical great power blocks again. This is what I think is fascinating, and from the way that I look at it, I’m not seeing that ‘Mr. Globaloney’ saw that coming. To a certain extent maybe, but to this extent, I don’t think they did.

**Fitts:** I think ‘Mr. Globaloney’ is very frustrated that they can’t get the new system in place. I have many unanswered questions, and we will get to some of them in **Unanswered Questions**.

They feel an extreme need to dramatically cut resource use globally. That's an emergency. The question is: Why?

This is where I keep coming back to: We are living in a planet where everything is secret, and it is ridiculous. If you look at the misunderstandings and the confusion, it's a symphony orchestra trying to play in the dark.

**Farrell:** Exactly. 'Mr. Globaloney' is caught up in the secrecy game. In other words, he has engineered his own destruction with his own secrecy because now he is in the position where Banker A doesn't know what Banker B is doing. They are will try to roll all of this system into the cloud and have digital currencies and FASAB 56 and make that system work. You cannot function.

**Fitts:** In *The State of Our Currencies*, I went through all the challenges that we are facing, and it is really hard. For example, I don't know if you saw the FinCEN (Financial Crimes Enforcement at US Treasury) leak.

**Farrell:** I saw it, but I don't recall much of the detail about it.

**Fitts:** It's really simple. Dirty money is flying all over the world, and, of course, the Fed knows everything about who has it and where it's going.

If you look at the Fed systems and the Swiss system in FinCEN, they have complete control. Who are we kidding here?

So if you come up with a totally centrally-controlled system, what is your excuse on why you can't stop organized crime now?

**Farrell:** Unless...

**Fitts:** But you have this huge bubble from organized crime being done very redundantly. You have the drug dealers and then the enforcers. It's a big, big bubble, and there is no way that bubble can continue. It's going to get compacted like everything else.

**Farrell:** Since you are in the Netherlands, we are watching the Tulip bubble all over again!

**Fitts:** Here is the thing: If you look at the private equity people who are ‘piratizing’, – and it’s not only the US; it’s the planet – when you throw the world into a debt trap (I kept saying that the emerging market was going to get thrown into a debt trap), and you have a group of private equity people with 0% cost of capital who can buy everything, what do you think is going to happen?

**Farrell:** Another way to look at this is to go back to Venice. We are watching these people liquidating debt and turning it into liquidity at a rate that is totally off the charts. I’m thinking, “Venice did the same thing in the 16<sup>th</sup> century.”

They liquidated all the public debts of the republic and turned it into liquidity, and then they moved shop to Amsterdam.

So I’m thinking, “Where are we moving shop here?”

**Fitts:** Sub-orbital platform.

**Farrell:** That’s exactly what I’m thinking. Then the question becomes: Why are they doing that, and why are they so nervous about it?

We are watching Space Force being deployed to Dubai or someplace, and I’m watching Germany really close because they’ve decided they need their own satellite capability.

This appeared in an article that was published by some evangelical outfit, but they pointed out that Germany has had its own off-the-books secret space program that nobody in the Bundeswehr and no one in the German military really knows anything about. Then you throw in Japan, which has its own interesting spy capabilities that they don’t talk about that are space-based. I am watching this all happen and all of this massive geopolitical realignment that has been in the last four to five months.

**Fitts:** Right, but we’ve been building up to it for a long time.

**Farrell:** We have been building up to it for a very long time.

**Fitts:** And part of the challenge is that you had a space treaty that everyone has disobeyed. It has been flagrantly disobeyed, and now we are in a precarious situation where the more you throw up into space, you realize is that whoever controls space controls the planet. So, you have the sea lanes and the satellite lanes. If you are going to control the reserve currency, you have to control both.

If China gets control of space, the dollar is 'toast'. So, you have a win-lose positioning here that is very, very serious and significant.

**Farrell:** And in that win-lose situation – and we will be talking more about this in **Geopolitics** as far as the financing goes – we are no longer dealing with a bipolar world; it's no longer only the US and Russia. We have Europe as a player, India as a player, China as a player, Japan, and Brazil. You name it, and everybody is in on the space bandwagon now.

**Fitts:** And there is no legal framework to manage it.

I've always said that the greatest fortune in the 21<sup>st</sup> century would be the engineers who figured out how to clean up space debris and keep control of the technology and patents.

**Farrell:** There's another one that I think is behind this Fed buying corporate bonds – the patents.

**Fitts:** Here is the thing: If you look at what the Fed is doing and you go through it, what we have been doing in *Money & Markets* is going through all the different programs. In our *Annual Wrap Up* this year, and John Titus will help me write it, it's will be a review of all the different Fed programs.

Essentially, if you look at the bonds they are buying, they are basically picking. There is no market. It's as if the Fed is saying, "Okay, these guys are going to win."

This is Soviet; this is so Soviet it's unbelievable.

So, they bought Apple bonds 'like crazy'. When it was all over, Apple said, "Oh, we are issuing new bonds, and we are going to buy more of our stock back."

Well, hello- up went the stock!

So, the Fed is not even being subtle anymore. One of the things is if you look at the pushback now, that is before inflation really kicks in. Yes, the cost of goods sold are going up 7-13%, but it is going to be much more because then you will have shortages.

**Farrell:** In a word, what the Fed is doing by buying all these corporate bonds, which when I heard that, I was flabbergasted by just that thought alone. I thought, “These people haven’t learned their lesson because what they are doing is doubling down on the finance capital versus equity model.”

They are going in exactly the opposite direction that you’ve been pointing out for years that they need to be going in.

**Fitts:** I don’t think they are at all.

**Farrell:** You don’t? I think they are.

**Fitts:** No, because what you want to do is before you take down the governments is to lever them up and soak out as much money as possible.

We are there now, so the problem is that bond investors have lived for 30+ years with interest rates falling. Now there is no money to be made. There is no reason to be in a bond, and the credit risks are skyrocketing.

The question is: Can they get any more ‘juice’ out of it? I think their ‘juice’ is almost out.

**Farrell:** I don’t know. The reason I’m in slight disagreement here is that I’m still thinking in terms of that hidden system of finance and hidden bond markets. I’m thinking there is something going on off the books, out of the public eye, and we are not privy to. Otherwise, why would they be attempting to use bonds to gain leverage over certain select corporations? I’m thinking that part of the problem here is that they want control or ownership of those patents.

In other words, the bonds that we are talking about – even publicly – are collateralized.

**Fitts:** Here are the big plays: The problem they have is that to get the benefit of the big play, they have to lock up a great deal. One of the big plays is to bring in breakthrough energy. That is a huge play, and it is one of the reasons I think they are trying to scarf up so much real estate. That is how they make money on the energy. But that means they have to put the smart grid into all these cities, and we will get into that when we talk about the riots.

The other thing is that they have to lock up enough control of the financial system to make technocracy go.

**Farrell:** That makes sense.

**Fitts:** Whether it's space or whether it's energy, all these things require massive capital to get the monopoly that you need to make it go.

**Farrell:** Okay, but they are still confronted with a problem, and it goes back to what we discussed earlier. Their version of technocracy is that the managers and finance account managers and investment bankers and so on, are the technocrats. However, what they are coming up against now with this COVID thing – and it is only going to expand as they try to do this and put their technocracy into place – is they don't have the expertise to understand the very technologies that they are trying to buy out.

In other words, they are putting themselves in the position where they are not the ones who know how to manage or run these technologies, and this is part of the problem that we see occurring. Eventually, you will find the computer programmers and the physicists and the biologists and so on, be the ones who are going to start running things.

Here is the other problem: They have no experience in running anything financial.

**Fitts:** It's a mess!

**Farrell:** It is a mess.

**Fitts:** The other thing is that when I have 80 different entities (80 different governments, corporations, processes) and they all have their own AI, and those AI's are just focused on optimizing that unique thing, but I'm a human being, and I have to deal with all 80 AI's. It is a stupid system; it's not a smart system.

**Farrell:** Of course, we've said this many times before in these quarterly reports. We are watching algorithmic trading taking over the markets and reflecting absolutely no human reflection of the process of assessing risk or profit or anything else in terms of markets.

How is this system supposed to work when you are trying to figure out a health crisis or anything else? It doesn't work.

**Fitts: Story #3: Planet Equity and Real Assets Inflate.**

One of the things that we are watching is asset bubbles and real estate asset bubbles. Silver is up 50% this year the last time I looked. Gold was up 28%.

If they keep printing this way, and I think they are probably going to, and the Treasury does massive subsidy, we are in for significant rises in certain assets.

The problem is the way that you offset that is do COVID-19 or shut down Main Street, and that can be very deflationary. Of course, technology, too. If they get breakthrough energy, that is very deflationary.

But there is no doubt that right now, in the short-term, we are looking at significant asset inflation.

**Farrell:** Here is the problem: They are dealing now with so many numbers that are astronomical. What we are seeing now is something that goes beyond any economic theory of finance or macroeconomics that I've ever seen before. We are getting into territory where the numbers are so huge. This is a 'wacky, kooky' theory; I'm just laying it out there for you. I haven't even fully thought this out, but there are things in mathematics called Cantor numbers, or the

transfinite numbers. When you study these numbers, one of the things that you learn is that these numbers are so big that the normal processes of addition and subtraction of such numbers are not commutative. In other words, it is no longer  $x+y=y+x$  when you are in that realm.

So, I am wondering if the economy and the reason they are so confused is that somewhere along the line we have actually crossed some sort of economic barrier where the numbers are beginning to behave like Cantor numbers where normal mathematical arithmetic processes are no longer commutative.

If you are trying to manipulate numbers like that, thinking in conventional mathematical terms, you will get chaos. I'm wondering if we are in economic territory that no macroeconomic theory or financial theory has ever encountered previously in human history.

**Fitts:** Yes, and I can tell you why: If you look at the human body, we have a physical body, but we also have an electromagnetic body. If you look at how our economy works, it is based on a shared intelligence.

There is not only one shared intelligence; there are millions. There are neighborhoods, there are networks, and there are all kinds of 'fields'. They have a learning metabolism, and they have productivity rates. What we have been watching is a group of hypermaterialists who are managing the whole thing as if they are dealing only with physical bodies – as if there is no such thing as shared intelligence or field.

They are taking the position, "We reserve the right to destroy."

So, you have an electromagnetic field, and I reserve the right with my invisible technologies to manipulate it or destroy it whenever I want. So, I don't recognize sovereignty on your part for your intelligence or your electromagnetic field.

I was watching an interview of Kennedy with Ron Paul in August, and Kennedy pointed out that, not only do 54% of American children have a chronic disease, but their IQ points have fallen seven points.

What I have to tell you is: Game over.

**Farrell:** I've been saying the same thing with regard to education in this country. We are a third world country. Most people are no longer capable of any sort of independent, critical, rational thought. That eventually rebounds back on 'Mr. Globaloney'. As the general population gets stupider, so does 'Mr. Globaloney'.

**Fitts:** Right, but when you have many different processes that destroy the learning metabolism and destroy the trust and destroy the quality in the field, we keep using macroeconomic models that say, "You are free to make money debasing and destroying the field."

If you do that, it will ultimately have a very destructive impact on everything in very unpredictable ways. You can't run a planet like this.

**Farrell:** No, you can't run a planet like this. I go back to my crazy Cantorian economics. If you are dealing now with quantities that are so astronomical that the normal mathematics is no longer applicable, then you add in all these other factors of loss of individual sovereignty and excessive secrecy and so on, you have no theory on which of your actions are being based.

**Fitts:** It's worse than that. If you are a hypermaterialist, you don't know that it exists. So, you are trying to manage a hypermaterialist economy when, in fact, you are dealing with an energetic economy that is unbelievably big and powerful.

On the covert side, whether you have massive secrecy or you are dealing with an energetic, there is more and more and more that is outside the understanding of some players – whether it's most people not understanding the covert side exists or the leadership not understanding the energetic exists.

**Farrell:** Here is one for the quantum physicists in the audience: What we are talking about is renormalization – that wonderful little mathematical trick that physicists use to get rid of numbers they don't like.

**Fitts:** That is the job of the Plunge Protection Team (Working Group on Financial Markets) – to make sure that everything gets renormalized.

#### **Story #4: The Internet of Things Gold Rush Embraces Transhumanism.**

If you are going to build a railroad from sea to shining sea, you have to lay the train tracks. What we are watching is an effort to lay the train tracks for the Internet of Things in places. You really see this in the United States.

This is building out the smart grid in every neighborhood. Part of the challenge is that the smart grid is not only in your home, but it is into your brain.

COVID-19 happened, and then the riots started, and we put up a tracker where we tracked where the riots were vis-à-vis where the Fed has branches and banks and what the death statistics were. We discovered that 34 of the 37 Fed locations in the United States had riots. What a coincidence!

**Farrell:** What a coincidence!

**Fitts:** It gets even better! We mapped out Minneapolis. There were local activists who put together an amazing lists of where the damage was, and then we put together the same for Kenosha, Wisconsin.

I cleaned up plenty of corruption at HUD, so I know much about redevelopment patterns and corrupt redevelopment patterns. I am ‘laughing my head off’ every time I see these because you’re talking about the complete HUD redevelopment game.

If you look at the Minneapolis maps or the Kenosha maps, first of all, the vast majority of the damage is in an Opportunity Zone.

I thought, “How convenient! A tax shelter!”

**Farrell:** And whose ‘opportunity’ are we really talking about here?

**Fitts:** The ‘BS’ on the Black Lives Matter is if you look at how many minority businesspeople are getting hurt by this, it’s horrible.

**Farrell:** It's unreal.

**Fitts:** This is a war on black America. So, all along Minneapolis you see E Medicine Lake Boulevard, and it's just like one, two, three, four, five.

If you look at the internal rate of return on an opportunity fund to invest in a place like that, do you have any idea how much money you can save if the rioters go in first? It's the same with Kenosha when you look at the patterns. It's not even subtle.

It's really peculiar. The worst hacking we have ever dealt with was after we put up the map of Minneapolis. It was really bad. One of my team members said to me, "These are the best we've ever had come at us. It was just lucky we caught them – pure luck."

Whoever it was had serious money. I'll tell you something: If you are doing Opportunity Zones all over the country, if you are doubling, tripling, quadrupling, or many, many multiples, your profits go up. If you can pick it up cheap and don't have to worry about the redevelopment and can use your excuse of, "Let's fix up the city for getting lots of government money," it's fantastic what it does to your returns.

I think that these people don't want their game busted.

Everybody is thinking that this is some kind of civil war. This is not a civil war; this is how private equity does it.

**Farrell:** You need to go no further than the fact that Soros has been caught red-handed funding some of these groups than to understand that this is a financial gain.

**Fitts:** I bet that both the Republican Party and the Democrats are getting major campaign contributions from these people.

When Rand Paul was attacked by the protestors, he gave an interview and said, “These people are well-dressed. They are coming across state lines. They are financed.”

**Farrell:** They are financed and organized.

**Fitts:** Here is the reality: The Department of Justice could shut this down like that! And they’re not shutting it down.

The CIA could shut this down, and the Department of Justice could shut this down, and the NSA could shut this down. They know where every dime is.

If you are doing 24/7 surveillance and control all the bank accounts and the wire transfers, you could shut this down.

**Farrell:** I can only say that if people haven’t read Terry Reed’s book, *Compromised: Clinton, Bush, and the CIA*, they need to read this book, particularly the parts of the book that deal with William Barr.

**Fitts:** I keep hearing from people, “Oh, well, Trump’s hands are tied. These are the Democratic guys.”

Are you kidding me? Although here is what I will say that was very interesting: When Minneapolis happened and Trump came out and said, “I want to send in the military,” the DOD went ‘nuts’. If you have negotiated contracts with mercenaries to go in and do what they were doing, that scope of work never included the fact that you were going to send professional military teams with guns to oppose them.

I took one look at the DOD and said, “Uh-oh. Is this a FASAB-56 financed operation?”

So, it somewhat looked like Trump was not in the know at that moment.

**Farrell:** I would agree. In fact, my reading is exactly that. We are looking again at whatever factions are behind him and whatever factions he is opposing, and it all falls into place.

**Fitts:** The Administration has the power to shut this down. I used to say that they needed 30 days, but they really have the power to shut it down in 24 hours.

I saw what they did to me, and they felt perfectly free to break hundreds of laws. But they can do it all legally and shut it down legally.

Why is it so hard for Americans to face that fact? It's obvious.

**Farrell:** I think that it is hard and difficult for Americans to face that fact because if they do, then the whole narrative of the country is at stake. It raises too many questions. At a minimum, it brings into the fore the financial history of this country – at least since the founding of the Federal Reserve all the way back to Woodrow Wilson.

Wilson, Franklin Roosevelt, Truman, Eisenhower – these are individuals who were playing ball with all those people, but at some point, every one of them, even Franklin Roosevelt, made public statements to the effect that they were not terribly happy with the arrangement that had been agreed upon. Eisenhower's Military-Industrial Complex speech showed this.

The way that I look at it is we have been living in one long arc of history at least since the Kennedy assassination. There is another one, and we are watching it come to a close.

The reason people are uncomfortable is that part of the implication of realizing all this is that there was a coup d'état in the government that was finalized with the Kennedy assassination, and it's been the same crowd of people in control ever since.

**Fitts:** This appears like the CIA is very much in the lead with the military and the central bankers. So, the central bankers are running a reset. As part of that, the CIA is consolidating the financial coup by trying to trigger a process whereby you can pull the country apart in a civil war. So, you protect yourself from having to pay back the \$21 trillion, you get rid of the liabilities, but these people never just do something for nothing.

They never say, “How can I have a civil war?” Instead they say, “How can I make money having a civil war?” That is how they do it.

The way that you make money having a civil war is buy up all the real estate cheap to build out your smart grid.

I don't think they set up the Opportunity Zones to do that, but once they got going they realized, “Oh!”

**Farrell:** The other problem here is that most Americans don't want to see this because it means, number one, that they have become responsible, and number two, they have to start thinking and connecting dots. They need to quit thinking of this little crisis as isolated and unrelated to everything else.

In other words, you and I tend to view things whole in a very interdisciplinary way. Most Americans coming out of the school system are only taught that every little discipline is in its own little special area and that there are no cross-connections between any of them. Therefore, there are no cross-connections between what is happening in the world.

We are battling a mentality as well.

**Fitts:** When I was a child, in that day and age, if you walked into a Mason lodge on a Wednesday night, you would have people from all of the different sectors of the economy in Philadelphia. They would be talking cross-sector about how they were going to engineer something. Then you would leave, and something would happen that clearly had been preplanned by the law firms and City Hall and the people in the unions and the Vatican, and it went like a ‘hot knife through butter’ because they had talked it through. People would say, “It's just a coincidence,” because you could never put together a conspiracy.

**Farrell:** This is the other problem. Americans don't want to think in terms of conspiracies. That was a CIA op after JFK, but people don't want to think that way. Yet in our law, you can be convicted on all sorts of conspiracies.

**Fitts:** I was raised to believe that conspiracies were great things; they were very positive. That is how you created the future. That is how you created things; you

started businesses and projects and put together syndicates. Conspiracies were the fundamental building block of building your future and your vision.

**Farrell:** You've said many times, "If you're not in a conspiracy, you need to start one."

**Fitts:** Right. It never occurred to me that conspiracies were bad things. I was always brought up to believe they were good. My whole life, until I got booted out of the establishment, was as a conspiracy perpetrator.

I'm not saying they were illegal because most conspiracies weren't illegal. But you had to sneak around and do it with your group. I was stunned when I first heard people 'pooh-pooh' conspiracies because I thought, "You're bragging about being powerless? Why would you do that? Why would you want to brag about being powerless?"

**Farrell:** That's true.

**Fitts:** When I first arrived in Tennessee I was at the hairdresser. The hairdresser was explaining to me why she would never do business with anybody in her family, and how the people in her family would never come to her hair salon. I realized, "The Bushes are constantly conspiring as a conspiracy to make each other rich, and they have all of us trained to believe that you can't do business with your family. Wow! That's a great control technique!"

**Farrell:** 'Ain't' it!

**Fitts:** We put up a stimulus tracker because there were so many articles about the Federal Reserve and the different money printings. We also put something up called Dr. Gates' Creepy Technology. If you look at how they are going to implement transhumanism, I think they are prototyping thousands of things and encouraging thousands of entrepreneurs to invent and try thousands of things. I don't think they have any idea which system they are going to use. It's a very fluid thing.

If you look at all the different things they are trying, I think they are going to kill masses of people.

**Farrell:** I do, too. We are already hearing stories about these COVID vaccines out of the United Kingdom of people coming down with unknown neurological diseases. That should tell you something right there; there is a neurological component.

**Fitts:** I read about the Italian researchers in 2017 in *The Injection Fraud*. They took 41 vaccines and tried to determine what was in them, and there were signs of really strange ‘stuff’, including things they couldn’t even figure out. They were as if, “I don’t know what this is.”

**Farrell:** They said they had seen indicators of some sort of nanotechnology involved. They were not able to figure it out, but the indicators were there. That is right out of the ‘Bael’ Gates’ model.

**Fitts:** If I could get the genie to come out of the bottle and give me an answer to an unanswered question – other than who is actually running things – my nose tells me (and this is a wild guess and total conjecture) that Charles Lieber and Fauci and Gates were up to some kind of prototype in Wuhan that went south, and it was neurological damage. So, you had people dropping dead just walking down the street and going crazy. That’s why they were grabbing people and kidnapping them. They didn’t want people to know or see.

**Farrell:** I am absolutely with you on that. I’ve been calling it – and people don’t know why I’ve been calling it this – the ‘Fauci-Lieber-Gates Wuhan virus planned scamdemic’ and this is precisely why I’ve been using that phrase.

**Fitts:** Something went very wrong.

**Farrell:** Yes, and to his credit it was Obama that shut Fauci down and made him move his operation to China. Whatever Obama knew, he hasn’t come out and said anything, but he did that. When he did that, that surprised me.

There was something that even he didn’t like about what was going on.

**Fitts:** The last time that I saw the debates or something where Obama was on camera, the man looked scared; he looks really scared.

## **Story #5: Mark of the Beast: Central Bank Cryptos Get Serious.**

If you study what the BIS (Bank of International Settlements) is doing and what the Fed is doing, you realize that these people have thrown us into a global invention room. If you look at what has to be figured out, this is a hard job. This is not an easy job; this is a really, really hard job, and it requires extraordinary cooperation, communication, and patience on a global scale.

Given the greed and the debasement of culture, I can't imagine. Sometimes when you look at the person who runs the BIS, he looks like, "Oh, God! You don't want my job!"

When things are that fluid, when the central bankers are reinventing the governance and financial system of the planet, and they are doing it on a fluid, make-it-up-as-you-go prototyping, "Let's try this. What about this?" basis, that means that the whole world gets very fluid fast.

This gets back to what you said before: This is out of control.

**Farrell:** It is out of control. Let me put it as I put it before about looking at Cantorian economics differently. They are in such uncharted economic and financial territory that there is no model for it.

I honestly do think – and this is why I brought up the idea of Cantor numbers – that part of this problem is related to the fact now that they are dealing with numbers that don't act like normal arithmetical numbers. They haven't clued in that there is another mathematics out there that might explain what is going on.

**Fitts:** Right, and if you add in the fact that much of this increasingly will be impacted by who has what capacities in space. There is no legal or governance framework for space, and you have two parties who are leading in space who are pitted in a win/lose relationship, and nobody trusts them.

The fact that you are pouring trillions of dollars into a territory that has no legal framework is completely astonishing.

**Farrell:** And I want people to ‘latch on’ to what you just said: We are dealing with two leaders in space who nobody trusts, meaning China and the United States. It’s that one thing I think is ultimately driving this quad thing geopolitically that is beginning to form. We will get back to that in the geopolitics section, but I want people to latch onto what you just said because I think it is hugely important.

**Fitts:** In the global reset, if you look at the power the Europeans have; Europeans have awesome power in the whole design and management of the global reset. I think it is that the Americans can’t get anything done without them because nobody trusts them.

They trust the Fed more than they trust the political administration.

Let me bring up one other thing: October 2018 was when FASAB 56 went into effect. One of the reasons I believe that the situation has gotten so out of control is that you now have an infinite amount of money for secret armies. You can finance doing riots at 34 cities because you have an open tap, but when you talk about controlling the Administration from the White House/Department of Justice/Treasury point of view, you are now not only competing over the government that existed in 2016, but you are talking about competing over the tap that now is like a geyser of infinite secret money for secret armies. And as long as that tap works, wouldn’t you fight like a dog to get it?

**Farrell:** Absolutely. That is really what is happening.

**Fitts:** You are fighting for the most powerful government in the world that has now been stripped of all its internal financial controls and is basically out of control. So, the right to control it is out of control.

With that, **Story #5: Mark of the Beast: Central Bank Cryptos Get Serious**, I send everybody to *The State of Our Currency*. But one of the things that has amazed me is how meek the churches have been. Given their debt entrapment situation, given their 501(c)3 regulatory situation, given their control files, I understand how that meekness was created. It took the US intelligence agencies and secret societies many, many decades to get that, but they have it now.

**Farrell:** There is a problem that they have, and we will get back to it. Continue

**Fitts:** One of the things that the Christian world has studied, talked about, and understood for years is the Mark of the Beast (Revelation 13). Here we are promoting a Mark of the Beast system, and they are all silent.

I will say to a Christian, “This is the Mark of the Beast,” and they will say, “Huh? Why do you think that?”

In other words, they can’t connect the dots between transhumanism and the Mark of the Beast in a way that I find baffling.

**Farrell:** I do, too. There are some deep-seeded theological reasons some of them do that, but you are dealing with hypermaterialists, and they are theologically illiterate. I think there is going to be a massive – and it already exists if you know where and how to look for it – underground church movement getting underway.

Years ago when I corresponded with Father Malachi Martin privately for about three years, he had just completed *Windswept House*, which in his published works was his last book. He talked about the infiltration of the Roman Catholic Church, and he was well aware, and I can assure everybody that infiltration existed in every single church denomination within Christendom; every single one without exception. Yet every single denomination also has its traditionalist underground movement.

How and why that movement is there, and I think we will get a big impetus now because precisely you are watching the public faces of the churches roll over and play dead. Still, it will advance in the next 15 years. You are going to see a public face of the church, which for all intents and purposes is a postulate, and you will see underground groups that are no longer going to the big buildings on the corner and only existing totally off the network. I really do think that, and there is a reason that is deeply imbedded particularly within the Catholic Churches with their idea of apostolic succession and so forth.

You will see something happen. I am just predicting this regarding that particular thing and how it will perpetuate itself.

**Fitts:** I hope that you are right because I don't see any other way that we can turn this around.

**Farrell:** I don't either.

**Fitts:** Freedom comes to us by divine authority, and if you are not grounded in that, then you have no basis upon which to stand. You can't turn this around and recommit to a human civilization on the basis of any kind of hypermaterialist ideology.

**Farrell:** It doesn't work. It does not work because hypermaterialism did not create this civilization. This is why I say, "Own the culture." It just did not create this civilization.

**Fitts:** Here is what is very unusual. Basically, my training is as a portfolio strategist dealing with trades in billions of dollars. What is really ironic is when you are in the room and are dealing with money at that size, it all comes down to price. Price is driven by what humans value. What is the value of our vision? What is the value of our time? What do we believe in? What do we want?

If you deal with the very big pension fund managers at the top level, they are dealing with so much money that they are thinking in terms of, "Okay, how do we build a great civilization that lasts 20, 30, or 40 years?"

Their money depends on that. It's not day trading; it's about building civilization. The thing that used to 'drive me crazy' in the 1990's was that you are financing Fannie Mae at the same time that you are financing private prisons, and they are going to the same neighborhoods, and they are destroying each other's returns. Maybe you ought to kill the multiple-personality disorder here, and that is part of the problem with the secrecy.

You can't deal with any aspect of this civilization – not the science, not the money, not the anything – unless you understand what our values are, and

unless you have values. You can't manage \$1 trillion as a hypermaterialist; it doesn't work.

**Farrell:** No, it doesn't work, and this is why I think you are seeing the pushback happen. People intuit that there is something wrong with the vision of the people at the top. The vision of the people at the top is there nothing but hypermaterialism; it's theft and fraud and fundamentally an inhuman vision. I think that people sense this. It's behind all of these protests about mask mandates that we are seeing in Europe and so on. That is ultimately what is behind it.

**Fitts:** I talked about this when I wrote about all of the narcotics trafficking.

When I was first dealing with the litigation I couldn't understand why they didn't just kill me. It's like, "Why spend all this money?"

I calculated that they spent \$45 million on the litigation, the harassment, and it was very expensive. Then I realized as I dug deep that they needed me to fail; they needed me to look bad because they want the image of having the rule of law.

Money is just a construct of law; it's all a human construct that comes out of the law. So if there is no law, then it is all worthless. The only thing that has any value is what you can protect with a gun, which is not much.

So, they spend a fortune every year on supporting the rule of law, but the people who could have the image-this was the brilliance of the Anglo-American Alliance. They had an image of the rule of law, but here, they are running the covert game and making a fortune. So, their cost of capital is dramatically less than everybody else because they are so good at the organized crime.

Now with globalization and what they are doing, they are building an integrated model. The hardest thing on building the new currency system is that you are building a highly centrally-controlled integrated model, and you can't play that two-step game.

**Farrell:** You can't. They are trying to build out a global currency system on a basis where they have no trust from the people.

**Fitts:** Right, which is why they want complete control. At least that is one of the reasons.

**Farrell:** Right, and if they get complete control, who trusts them?

**Fitts:** Nobody.

**Farrell:** Do you trust the central bankers to be manipulating digital currency? I don't.

**Fitts:** It's worse than that because if everybody is under total control, you can't trust anybody.

**Farrell:** This is why I think that the moment they get their system into place, that is the moment that you are going to see global and regional currencies sprouting up like weeds in a garden, and they won't be able to control it. It will choke them out.

It's going to be a black market economy, but it worked in the Soviet Union.

**Fitts:** Moving on to **Geopolitics**. Here we go!

## **Story #6: The U.S.-China Stealth War Escalates – The Quad Emerges.**

This is a big one! You don't hear about the Silk Road anymore other than the defaults are rising tremendously.

**Farrell:** I've been blogging about what I've been calling 'The Quadruple Entente'. I even gave Xi Jinping the 'Kaiser Wilhelm II' award for the most inept diplomacy I've ever seen from a major power. It was breathtakingly inept!

Let's look at what is happening: Xi Jinping, I think, because of the flooding in China – which I think is entirely engineered – is facing crop failures in China like 'nobody's business'.

**Fitts:** Those are probably also engineered, don't you think?

**Farrell:** Oh, absolutely! I think the flooding and that whole thing was totally engineered. I think he is also facing internal opposition in the Communist Party politburo. Going back to the Hans, the Tsungs, and all of that has been part of Chinese history forever. I think he is facing some internal opposition, and has boxed himself in. The Trump Administration pulling everything out and reshoring isn't helping their position either.

So he starts a fracas on the border with India thinking, "This is going to be another 1962," which I don't think it was because the Chinese still haven't talked about their casualties. I think they got their 'nose bloodied' very badly. Then Narendra Modi (P.M. of India) turns around. Everybody is talking about the emergence of the Quad in order to contain China, and everybody is saying, "The Quad is Japan, India, the United States, and Australia."

I think that the real fourth member is Russia. Look at what Vladimir Putin did as all of this was going down with this border clash: 1) He cancelled the sale of the S-400 surface-to-air missile system to China and gave it to India. 2) He moved more tactical missile batteries into Siberia. We discussed this in the last quarterly report as well. That is not a move that he made because he is worried about Japan.

He is still talking about their friendly relations with China and so on, but with the Russians, you have to look, not only at what they are saying, but what they are doing. Modi in New Delhi, as all of this is transpiring, gave a \$1.2 billion line of credit to Russia. I strongly suspect that some of that money may have come from the United States using India as a cutout and avoiding all the public fracas about sanctions.

**Fitts:** One of the things I do that is the 'most painful in my life' is on occasion, I will read *The Economist*, which has gone through a profound change.

Five years ago, even three or four years ago, *The Economist* would have an occasional big section with amazing research and analytics that was worth subscribing to.

**Farrell:** I remember.

**Fitts:** It was really 'top drawer', excellent. But now they've become just a propaganda organ, and it's frightening.

In the last issue, they had an article on clean energy. You read these things where they make it sound like renewables are taking over the world and replacing fossil fuel, which is ridiculous. If you look at the statistics, fossil fuel has a commanding market share that is bigger than ever.

By shutting down, you have just bought three more years of supply with your existing supply. No more drilling.

But if you look at many countries, they are all fossil fuel. Israel is 98% fossil fuel. That tells you what you need to know. They are not making a big bet on renewables.

There is this incredible implication that COVID-19 is related to climate change, and the key to that was getting us all fossil fuels. There is this riff. It makes you think that you have a faction, and it was the oil producers who were dedollarizing. It makes you think that somehow they are trying to play the oil card game on Russia that they played in the 1980's.

Russia seems to have managed it surprisingly well. It's very painful to take that kind of drop in the oil price. I agree with you that Russia has thrown in with Europe, and that is part of what is giving Europe its incredible strength in all of this.

Remember that Russia as a physical matter, umbrellas all of Asia and into Europe. If you look at how long Russia is, it's unbelievable. So, Russia umbrellas the entire Eurasian area. If they throw in with Europe, it gives Europe that power between the two AI superpowers.

How does this game on the oil fit in?

**Farrell:** I want to go to something slightly different I think that oil is connected to. We've been watching Japan under Shinzo Abe making successful diplomatic overtures to Russia. I point out a story I blogged about maybe a year or a little longer ago. The Russian Railway Corporation brought in the Japanese to do a test run on the Trans-Siberian Railway. I saw that as hugely significant because Russia wants to build out an enormous, vast rail network with trunk lines all along the Trans-Siberian to build out that infrastructure for agriculture and so on. They are talking about doing a northern version of it along the Arctic Circle. They need that high-speed rail technology.

There are actually only three countries in the world with good high-speed rail technology: Communist China, Japan, and France.

I think that by turning to Japan, they were suddenly sending a signal to China, "We don't need your high-tech rail technology; we can get it from these guys." And Japan, by parity of reasoning, gets access to those Russian energy resources that the Chinese would be less able to interdict.

So, I think that strategically, Japan and Russia are long-term here in a long-term game. That began under Shinzo Abe.

We've just watched something else phenomenal happen. This is in respect to oil and energy, and it is also in respect to containing China. We recently watched Japan and India sign a mutual military logistics pact where either of them would give logistical support to the other's military.

The question that raises for me – and you're going to love this one – is how are they going to clear it financially? What are they trading in?

I've seen no reports as to what the protocols of that pact are with respect to how they are going to work out balance of payments with each other should that situation arise. However, I can bet you just thinking about it that they are probably not going to do it in dollars.

**Fitts:** No, they are not going to do it in dollars.

**Farrell:** This little pact is a huge signal that New Delhi and Tokyo, while they are talking with the United States and holding joint military exercises and so on, are planning long-term not having to rely on the United States. As the Russians say, “We are not agreement-capable.”

So as I’ve said from the start, all that rearmament talk under Shinzo Abe’s Japan-the new Japanese Prime Minister looks like he is going to continue those policies and strengthen them.

**Fitts:** He is going to be harder, even on China.

**Farrell:** I absolutely think that this is the case.

**Fitts:** There is a wonderful movie that I’ve had on ‘*Let’s Go to the Movies*’, and I would like to get the person who made it on *The Solari Report*. It is called *Princes of Yen*, and it’s about the war to get Japan to change their central bank to privately-owned instead of government-owned. I think that one of the reasons was anticipating these kinds of things.

If you get India and the Japanese central banks doing the right kind of currency swaps into an Asian NATO without the US having control, you are ‘off and running’.

**Farrell:** I think that is exactly what this pact represents. This is a pact, not only about what they are saying publicly about mutual military logistical assistance, but this is actually a pact laying the groundwork for some sort of financial arrangement in Asia that is cutting the United States out of its influential position. I cannot imagine in such a circumstance that you are going to use the dollar as an intermediary to clear payments.

**Fitts:** When you look at what is happening in Southeast Asia, I think that you have all the different players saying, “We can’t afford to wait for the US to fail. We have to proceed to do what it takes to ensure that China doesn’t get control in the South China Sea and doesn’t get into a position where they can put us ‘over a barrel’.”

**Farrell:** For the moment, the United States is still in the driver's seat because of its navy, but if you turn Japan loose, they can build up a Navy – as we know from bitter experience – just that fast.

There is another player that we need to mention here, and that is Australia.

**Fitts:** And there is something else. If Japan and India join, India has been phenomenally successful at their space program, but they don't begin to have the engineering capability of the Japanese.

**Farrell:** No, they don't.

**Fitts:** If the Japanese help them on space, it will be quite amazing what they can accomplish.

**Farrell:** Oh, yes!

**Fitts:** If you look at the speed and cost at which India can put up a satellite, it is breathtaking.

**Farrell:** And Japan, by its own right, has very good booster capabilities. They have very large boosters, and are capable of putting up very large satellites.

There is another element here, and that is the fourth member of what the general public is calling 'The Quad', and that is Australia.

If you look at what is going on in Australia – and I'm not talking about the 'wacky' Governor of Victoria.

I'm talking about Australia's quiet discussions in the last few years about developing their own nuclear deterrent. Why would the Australians even be talking about this? They can do it. They have the technology and the infrastructure. They would struggle a bit financially, but they can do it. But what I find interesting is that this talk is ratcheting up now because of China and because of the untrustworthiness of the United States and Brexit.

If we go back, I predicted that Brexit would mean that you would find the UK trying to revive the Commonwealth as a soft power card on the global stage. So what did we see? We saw Boris Johnson at the Paris aerospace show recently reaching out to the Australian defense and space ministries. That is a huge clue of what is 'coming down the pike'. If Australia goes ahead with its independent nuclear deterrent, who are they going to turn to that is a nuclear power? The United Kingdom – Britain.

So, I think that is a done deal.

**Fitts:** You saw Barbados say that they wanted to come out of the crown, which I thought was very interesting. In a world of weather warfare, why would you want to come out of the crown just now?

**Farrell:** I think part of that is related to all the problems that the royal family is having vis-à-vis Jeffrey Epstein and Prince Andrew and so on. I think that is part of the calculus here, but I think that the bigger part of the calculus is reviving the Commonwealth as a soft power player. And let's remember who the other major member of the Commonwealth is in the Pacific: India.

I see this as something that will be a long-term policy commitment by Australia and the United Kingdom. I'm saying now that I wouldn't be surprised if in the next few years we watch Australia develop its own nuclear deterrent.

**Fitts:** That makes sense.

**Farrell:** It would make perfect sense because they cannot rely on the United States. If I were Australian, I wouldn't want to. They have to have their own independent punch vis-à-vis China. Certainly, that would give it to them.

**Fitts:** Let's talk about China. China has had to deal with a very significant pushback. China was basically financing the US's false prosperity. They would buy Treasuries, and we would take the Treasury money and hand it out to people. They would go to Walmart and buy Chinese goods, and around and around it went.

Everybody at Walmart kept buying Chinese goods, but China said, “No, we’re not going to buy Treasuries. Now we are going to finance the Silk Road that will compete with you. It will take business away, and we will build the land empire, and maybe you won’t be so powerful anymore.”

So basically, they stopped being a partner in the false prosperity and started being a competitor.

The Trump Administration starts to push back in terms of negotiating the trade. You see the stealth war, which was already bad, ‘kicking’ up even more. But now you see a real serious problem with the food supply.

Our number one export to China, of course, is food. But we see African Swine Flu knocking out. And remember the Chinese politician who said, “Thirteen percent of the Chinese people own stock. We don’t care about the stock market; we care about the price of pork.” So, trashing the price of pork is like trashing the stock market in the US.

We see the flooding, which you said is probably intentional. So, we now see with COVID-19 and the Silk Road everybody defaulting and falling apart and the Chinese needing their liquidity internally to deal with this.

We have messages being broadcast constantly from the G7 and the US, “You have to shorten your supply lines. You have to shorten your supply lines.” None of that is good for China.

And we see many other countries like Vietnam and Thailand who are quite happy to take the business away.

So, China is really dealing with a great deal of pushback.

**Farrell:** A huge amount, and it is only going to increase.

**Fitts:** Their number one problem is that in a world of robots and automation, how are they going to keep everybody employed and fed?

**Farrell:** Military.

**Fitts:** Right.

**Farrell:** It's for that reason that I think that we are in a very, very dangerous geopolitical moment. Do you remember the movie with Gregory Peck called *The Chairman*? Or for that matter, do you remember the movie with Anthony Quinn playing Pope Kiril I in *The Shoes of the Fisherman*?

**Fitts:** Yes.

**Farrell:** In that movie, Quinn is elected Pope right in the middle of a food crisis in Communist China, and China is threatening to go to war with everybody just to keep everybody employed, busy, and fed. I think that we are in that kind of situation, but here is the problem: I think that we may have been in a covert hot shooting war with China ever since that explosion of the chemical plant in Tianjin back in 2015.

**Fitts:** I couldn't agree more.

**Farrell:** I think that we have been in that. Interestingly enough, that means that it started under Obama, not Trump. The thing that I find very interesting during all this COVID 'planscandemic' scheme is that we had that episode with the Chinese counterfeiting \$1 bills and trying to ship them into this country. They've been caught red-handed smuggling in arms to some of these groups in the country doing the rioting and the looting. Then we had Milley's comment. I think that we are in a covert shooting war with them.

Also, very fascinating is that recently, guess what happens in China? The Huawei research labs researching 5G antennas caught fire.

**Fitts:** Really?

**Farrell:** This was just very recently. I thought, "What better proof do you need?"

**Fitts:** What a coincidence!

**Farrell:** What a coincidence! Isn't that handy? "We want to bring out our own 5G, but you guys can't have any of it."

I think that we are in a shooting war. Could that Huawei thing have been internal opposition to Xi? Maybe. Could it have been the West doing it? Certainly.

**Fitts:** When COVID-19 happened, this was a way to preserve the dollar system and then accelerate the new. So, you are trying to preserve the old system.

But the thing that accelerated it into 2020 was likely the Chinese refusing to continue to buy Treasuries and accept dollars. In other words, something happened between China and the US over the dollar system that forced everybody's hand to accelerate and move.

I've been watching the export and the trade balance data to see how far we are coming apart. It doesn't look like we are coming apart in a big way. It is hard to tell, so we need to see more numbers. But the rebalancing of the China-US relationship is right at the heart of what is forcing the pandemic.

**Farrell:** Let's look at something else that is part of this geopolitical financial picture. We watched China send its foreign minister recently to Europe on a goodwill tour. It was basically a failure because he met plenty of opposition when he was in Europe. The Germans said, "Your human rights record is just abominable, and we really aren't all that interested in most of what you have to say."

Canada, the Trudeau government, recently cancelled free trade talks with China. On top of this, you have France. That is another player here that doesn't enter most people's geopolitical calculus, but we have to remember the franc has been booted out of North Africa, and the Chinese have walked in. If you are French, that 'ain't going to wash' because much of their trade is still with their former colonies.

**Fitts:** It looks like what we are both saying is that Xi pushed the envelope too fast.

**Farrell:** He pushed it too fast and bumbled. That is why I gave him the Kaiser Wilhelm II award for the most inept diplomacy of the year. Like Kaiser Wilhelm, all of his bluster has done nothing but manage to create yet another entente to encircle China. The parallels with Wilhelm's Germany and the formation of the Triple Entente prior to World War I are amazing.

Nobody thought that Great Britain would be able to 'bury the hatchet' with France, which had been there for centuries, and at the same time they did that with Russia, who they opposed constantly.

Overnight it happened.

**Fitts:** Since Putin came in the second time, it has looked like he is getting powerful support from the West. I'm assuming it's the city, but I don't know that.

**Farrell:** I'm assuming it is, too. If you are Russia, like the Saker wrote in that brilliant piece that he published a couple of years ago. In that case, you are looking at the United States breaking all of its promises and agreements that it had made after the collapse of the Soviet Union, and you don't trust the United States. And you certainly don't trust the communist Chinese in spite of what you are saying because there was long animosity there as well.

So who do you throw in with? Who has the liquidity, and who has the technological infrastructure base that you need for your own development? Well, it's Europe, and it will be the city.

**Fitts:** It will be the city, and it is also going to be Germany. You're shipping huge supplies of gas to Germany.

Remember that part of the problem right now is between Belarus and Germany. The US is trying to 'squirrel' a lot of Russian oil deals and gas deals.

So where is he getting his support? I also want to bring something else up. Solzhenitsyn wrote a book called *200 Years Together*, and he describes the

Bolsheviks coming into Russia and genociding 50-80 million people, depending on who you believe.

Is that the largest holocaust genocide of the 20<sup>th</sup> century?

**Farrell:** Absolutely. The only other thing that I could think to compare to it would be Mao's Cultural Revolution in 1967.

**Fitts:** How many people did that kill?

**Farrell:** Millions, but we don't know the exact number. The figures that I've seen are anywhere from six to 12 million. We know that the Ukrainian famine under Stalin's collectivization (agriculture sector) was millions of people. Nikita Khrushchev was called the Butcher of Kyiv because he was one of Stalin's commissars. So nobody really knows.

**Fitts:** I would describe the Bolsheviks as outsiders – initially – who were essentially financed by our old pals, the Rockefellers; they were financed by Wall Street. So you have the Jewish mob and the Rockefellers, and they are financing this destruction of Russia. Clearly, it was an outside force that wanted Russia destroyed, and it was willing to kill. It wasn't 50-80 million Russians; it was 50-80 million Christians.

Sometimes when I look at what is going on with COVID-19, I think it is the same group doing the same thing.

**Farrell:** You need to understand something about Mr. Putin's Russia in this respect since you mentioned the Bolsheviks murdering Christians, which is quite true. For example, the Grand Duchess Elisabeth Feodorovna, a Romanov, actually became a nun and was thrown down a deep well shaft and left to die because of her association with the Imperial family and being a nun.

The problem that we encounter with Russia, particularly under Putin, is that under Putin, the Imperial family was dug up from their graves in Sverdlovsk and transferred in a formal ceremony to the Kremlin and buried with Orthodox services. Then he ordered a national day of repentance for having done that.

In addition to this, the other problem that we need to remember about Wall Street's relationship with Russia is with Joseph Stalin. If you look at him, in a certain sense, he is really not a Bolshevik; he is more like a national socialist; more like a Nazi than a communist. What does he do? All those four-year plans between the World Wars were designed to do but one thing: Industrialize Russia at break-neck speed and disperse all that heavy industry throughout European Russia and on into Siberia. Why? Because the reason Russia surrendered in World War I was that the central powers walked into the Industrial regents of Russia, which were all in the Ukraine and the Baltic States, and Western Russia basically shut down. They had nothing left to resist with.

This is why Stalin did this. I'm not justifying his policies or the bloodshed, but he made a strategic decision for the country.

**Fitts:** How much of a difference did that make during World War II?

**Farrell:** Tremendous! Russia would not have been able to put out the massive industrial effort they did had he not done that.

In other words, you were dealing with obviously a very clever man who knew what they were up to in the West, funding the Bolsheviks and funding the Germans and funding the Nazis and so on. He knew exactly what the game was.

I think you have to look at Russia as being the one 'fly in the ointment' consistently to these global financiers, and Putin has signaled this as much in most of his speeches.

Yes, he is playing the Davos (World Economic Forum meeting) game and he is going to Sochi and so on, but he is pursuing a policy of Russia first. People need to understand that. This is why I think Russia is the odd man out, so to speak, or the 'odd joker in the pack' with this Quadruple Entente that is emerging.

**Fitts:** They are the invisible number five in the Quad.

**Farrell:** They are the invisible number five, right.

**Fitts:** Let's move on to Europe.

## **Story #7: Europe: Can the Middle Empire Manage the AI Superpowers?**

**Farrell:** By 'Europe' we really mean France and Germany.

**Fitts:** If you look at the global reset-the entire northern part- Switzerland, Scandinavia, and the Netherlands. I've been studying and studying it. I don't know if you are familiar with the Hanseatic League (Federation of north German towns and cities formed in the 12<sup>th</sup> century to protect mutual interests).

**Farrell:** Oh, yes.

**Fitts:** I've been studying the old Hanseatic League, and it is all those players plus the Rhineland.

**Farrell:** Given the very odd signals that are coming out of France and Germany right now, I think they have made the same conclusion. They cannot trust the United States, and they will have to make some serious long-term investments, not only in their military, but in space. This is exactly what we see Germany doing right now.

**Fitts:** The German defense spending is up significantly.

**Farrell:** It's up a tremendous amount. And I have to tell you we need to watch that. I'm not entirely convinced they are going to be spending that huge uptick entirely on things like tanks and helicopters and submarines. They certainly will, but I suspect that a large portion of that will go into cyberwarfare, cybersecurity, and they talked years ago about putting a 4G network on the moon. What does that tell you?

I think much of that is going to go into space and cyber. They have that capability now; they're just very secretive about it. Nobody really knows what they do have. But I think they have just signaled they will be a major space players, they are going to be spy surveillance/satellite players, and they will be a major cyberwarfare and cybersecurity player. There is no doubt in my mind, and I wouldn't be surprised if in all of this reaction to China, you see France and

Germany in particular, really expand their computer chip industry and bring it home.

I suspect that the Germans and the Poles are going to work on this. I think that Germany and Poland are going to go after this in a major way.

**Fitts:** I've always believed that Brexit very much had to do with the Crown wanting to protect the offshore haven network, particularly as they take it into space in the suborbital platform, and they wanted Germany out of their business; they had to have Germany out of their business.

**Farrell:** This is another reason I think the United Kingdom and Australia are talking so much now about space. Australia has a good technological infrastructure as well, and they have plenty of room in Australia to have a space program.

**Fitts:** In *The State of Our Currency*, I had a space section where I brought in space, and where space fit in the complete development of 'Keep the old system going and build the new'. So many people came back to me – subscribers and people in our network – and said, "You've been writing and talking about space for years, and I didn't understand. Suddenly, now it clicks."

I've been astonished; it must be some kind of mind control. I don't know how they've managed to keep everybody thinking, "Oh, well. After Kennedy died it went away and it's over. It's an old thing."

**Farrell:** "It died with Watergate and Richard Nixon." No.

If you look at Great Britain and the whole space issue, all you need to say to understand why Britain is a player and going to be one: There are two simple words, and you can find them on most civilian aircraft flying around the world, and it's 'Rolls Royce'.

**Fitts:** Part of the problem is that when they came out on Brexit, they came out of Galileo. So the question is: What is their satellite system going to be? Are they going to depend on the US?

**Farrell:** Britain? No.

**Fitts:** So, they're going to get together with Australia, and we will have more traffic jams up in the sub-orbit. If you look at the speed at which everybody is throwing up satellites, it is unbelievable.

**Farrell:** India has been 'burning the record books' with the amount of satellites that they launch, and I'm thinking, "This takes some major, major science and some major management expertise to do what they've been pulling off."

**Fitts:** When you look at the numbers, in *The Rise of the Asian Consumer*. I did a chart of the number of engineers produced by China and India versus the US and Europe, and it's unbelievable how many good engineers they can produce.

**Farrell:** Oh, yes, and for mathematicians, talk to the Indians. The other thing that we need to remember is that India is a commonwealth state. If Britain is going to be a major space player, watch for it; they are going to work out some sort of deal with India. Just watch for it.

**Fitts:** A British-Indian-Japanese union is a very interesting collection.

It's interesting: One of the debates in Europe is this multi-culturalism that both Macron and Merkel have embraced. You were talking about it earlier. If you look at what it is going to take for the middle empire, you have the United States and China. They are both AI superpowers, and Europe is in the middle. If Europe is going to be – whether it's emerging the new currency system or helping or trying to create something that people can trust in the middle of all of this-then they will need some kind of strong cultural base to do that.

At the Basel workshop in August, there was a wonderful attorney-I'm working to get the video up on the internet-who gave an astonishing speech. It was in German, but we will have subtitles. He talked about the necessity to do this – to take the Roman law and add to it essentially the Christ impulse. If you are going to build trust, you will have to remember that Jesus did get in trouble for throwing the central bankers out of the temple. It was the right impulse.

You can't broker a solution between the two AI superpowers if you are only a multicultural society.

**Farrell:** I think that what you are seeing in Europe has been going on since the EU began. You are seeing populous pushback – the Netherlands with Geert Wilders, AfD in Germany, and Marine LePen in France.

From what I can tell from their rhetoric, it's not that these people are against the idea of a European federation, they are against losing their national cultural identity to a bunch of bureaucrats who could care less in Brussels, and even the Belgians.

**Fitts:** I think it's even bigger than that.

**Farrell:** I do, too, but that is a factor.

**Fitts:** The Germans globally are the leaders in the pushback against COVID-19. Berlin had the million-plus pushback.

If you look at the people leading within that whole spectrum, two things are happening: One is they say, "We've been beaten up for 70 years for letting fascism happen, and we have been blamed, and we have been yelled at, and we have been tortured that we let fascism happen. So now we're not going to let fascism happen. So we are going to push back." That is number one, and it is very interesting to watch.

Number two is the Germans pride themselves on precision excellence. It's the lawyers and the scientists and the doctors saying, "We are excellent. We don't want to be part of this fake science, fake medicine, fake stuff," and no culture can be successful when all of the science and engineering is driven by politics.

**Farrell:** Let's go back to what I said earlier. This is precisely why 'Mr. Globaloney's' version of technocracy is failing. You are watching that paradigm play out right now in Europe, particularly in Germany and countries like the Netherlands or Austria and so on, where you have this tradition of scientific engineering excellence and precision. This is what is pushing back against Merkel and her coterie of Brussel 'sprouts' and bureaucrats and multicultural

technocrats who think they are going to run a multicultural Europe. No, they're not; they obviously are incapable of it.

I view what is going on in Europe as precisely the pushback against the 'Mr. Globaloney' version of technocracy. This is why I think you will see the European Union fundamentally remake itself from what it is now into something completely different that will respect, first of all, national cultures and a degree of national sovereignty that you don't see now with the European Union.

Looking at it now, I used to think that maybe we were watching the beginnings of the EU's crack-up, but what I think is going on now is you are watching the beginnings of a movement to totally remake it.

**Fitts:** Here is the thing: If you are between two untrustworthy AI superpowers, you have to have a high culture to be in the middle and get anything accomplished. You can't be in a low culture, and you can't be in a 'dumbed-down' culture; you have to have scientific engineering excellence, and you have to have a high culture.

**Farrell:** You do, and you certainly see that happening in Europe. You certainly see them trying to preserve that aspect of their heritage that we simply don't have in this country. You are creating a more human environment, and Europe has something else going for it in this respect, too, and that is an enormously sophisticated, up-to-date, and functioning infrastructure that makes this country pale by comparison.

**Fitts:** When the 2016 election happened in the United States, I wrote an article. I was in Dublin right after the election, and I was reading all the newspapers, and I realized, "Nobody understood what just happened." No one understood.

I said, "I have to write it down and explain it." I spent about a week and wrote this article called *The Productivity Backlash*. Basically, what I said was that productive people had had it, and they are saying, "We refuse to allow you to destroy our productivity anymore."

Part of it was the people who grow the food and drill for the oil and do all of the concrete functions are pushing back against Wall Street and techies who play on their computer and think they know what is going on. It was somewhat the concrete world against the entertainment complex or the white-collar paper pushers.

The same thing is happening in the European Union. The productive people are pushing back. They are looking at China on one hand, and they are looking at the US on the other hand, and they are saying, “A multicultural mess cannot produce, and we cannot afford to be idiots. We cannot afford idiocracy. The US can afford idiocracy for some period of time. China is not going to go to idiocracy, but we cannot afford it.”

So, this is a productivity backlash.

**Farrell:** The other thing that we need to remember about Europe – and this goes back several years – is when all the problems in Ukraine began to occur. You had Merkel and Francois Hollande at the time doubling down on the sanctions regime against Russia. Immediately what started to happen was the productivity pushback.

You saw the Italian agricultural minister representing Italian agriculture going to Russia to see what sort of trade deals they might be able to work out with Russia in spite of the sanctions regime. You saw German businessmen saying the same thing, “This is not going to work. Russia is a big customer. We need to be dealing with Russia.”

Now with the Chinese containment Quadruple Entente beginning to form, that is a major market for Germany that they have more or less lost.

The other thing you are seeing happening in Germany is understanding that they have to diversify their trade, and they have to find other places to trade with – Russia being one, Latin America will be another with the historic ties there.

You are seeing this production backlash in Europe, and what that means to me is I don't think that Merkel's government or Macron's government are 'very long for the world'.

**Fitts:** The last time I looked, Macron had about a 15% approval rating. The Mayor of Marseille said, "We are not implementing these controls."

**Farrell:** Exactly. I think that what this is going to do is force a change of administration in Paris. What that change may be, I don't know, but I don't think that you are going to find the 'Globaloney' technocrats in Berlin or Paris much longer. Certainly, we already have that message in Austria with that young chancellor who they elected. I don't think that it is 'long for the world'.

Other countries to watch as this backlash unfolds will be Norway and Sweden.

**Fitts:** Sweden is a player in the reset because they have the oldest central bank. They really outpunch their weight in the central banking world.

**Farrell:** The other thing that we have to remember is that Sweden didn't buy the lockdown from the 'get-go', and there is that multicultural pushback in Sweden because of all the immigrants they brought in who are terrorizing the Swedes. The showings of the Swedish populous party in their last elections were rather strong. I don't think that will be going away anytime soon. I think that you are watching something that will transform Europe.

Another one to watch in Europe is Spain. You get the same thing there with the pushback going on.

I really think there will be some sort of restructuring, and it is inevitably going to impact this global mess that is happening because they will have to figure out what the relationships between the national central banks are and the European central bank etc.

I think that the days of the Merkel regime in Europe are numbered; they can't go on.

**Fitts:** We will talk more about this later, but one of the challenges that we have throughout the planet is a notification earlier that the Metropolitan Opera is not going to open again for another year.

I received an email from one of the retired musicians saying that they haven't been paying the musicians all along. They've now created a website to help fund the musicians because they are in 'dire straits'.

When you think of the devastation of what is happening in New York to an incredible cultural infrastructure of talent, similar things are happening across Europe.

Europe seems to be better at preserving the infrastructure and the people from what I can discern, but the devastation to the great institutions of art and music is very scary. If you keep this up for another year, you could lose many of them.

**Farrell:** You could lose a multitude of them, and it may sound like those things are nonessential. Well, they are nonessential to the hypermaterialist, and those are the things that don't necessarily contribute to human survival, but they contribute to the value of survival.

**Fitts:** Let me talk as an investment banker. If you look at how huge that is as part of the economy, it is enormous; the asset value is enormous.

For example, I haven't looked at the percentage of GDP, but the religious institutions in the United States have an immense GDP. The churches are incredible.

When I was a member of a Baptist church in Tennessee, they would send quarterly all the donations of every church throughout the state. What a cash flow!

**Farrell:** I know, it's enormous. You can't shut these down forever. That brings up my suspicion that this whole COVID agenda is, in part, precisely about shutting down the culture, and your tethering to the culture is tethering to a system of thought and epistemology and how you think through problems, and they want to sever that in order to make this work.

**Fitts:** This is about: Are we going to resonate with a machine or the divine? They want to destroy the connection to the divine and all living things so they can get you to the machines.

This brings us to **Story #8**.

### **Story #8: The Magic Virus: The Shortcut to Central Control.**

At the Basel workshop, Jon Rappoport came in. He did a prepared presentation and then did a Q&A. We called the pre-recorded one 'The Magic Virus'. He took a stuffed toy of a unicorn and put little pink chiffon on top of it. That was the logo for 'The Magic Virus' because it is magical; it could do anything.

**Farrell:** It's unreal. As far as I'm concerned, part of the agenda of this virus is precisely the centralization of control. At the same time, it is an effort to localize responses and strip central governments of power. In other words, they are trying simultaneously to collapse governmental institutions and simple rule by fiat.

This is fiat coming, not from the actual scientists – the people who know the stuff –, but it's coming from bureaucratic politicians and managers.

**Fitts:** Let's go back to Going Direct. If you lever the governments up and strip them of their assets and bankrupt them, and kill the mechanism that can come back and get the money, then what do you want? Then you don't need all these governments. If you look at the economics of them, you don't need them.

Going Direct means that if the Fed or their proxy can put the money in your bank account, and that is what you look to, then you could have corporations do everything.

Amazon and Microsoft and Leidos are basically going to run the Federal government databases; that is the databeast. They are going to control the databeast.

**Farrell:** The other thing that this COVID virus/ 'planscamdemic' is doing playing directly into this centralized control is: I've had this suspicion all along, and we talked about it earlier, that this is the magic virus because there is an electromagnetic component to it. It may be something they can turn on and turn off in certain areas or among certain people. Then you have 'Bael and Malicious Gates', as I like to call them, who are talking about a virus that includes an implantable subdermal tattoo or something to track your vaccines and perhaps even download updates via the Microsoft model.

You take that system and couple it with a digital currency system that they want to move everybody into, and there is your Mark of the Beast system.

**Fitts:** Here is the thing: If it is integrated with the Microsoft cloud at DOD, and the Leidos cloud at Navy, and the Amazon cloud at the CIA and intelligence agencies, then they don't need all those government bureaucracies; they can go direct. That is the name: Go Direct.

**Farrell:** And, what else don't they need? They don't need all the cellphones anymore.

**Fitts:** Right, but I don't think they can get rid of them.

**Farrell:** I don't think they will get rid of them either, but it's this Go Direct thing that is frightening. I think they are gambling on people just being zombies and walking like 'sheep to the slaughter', but I don't think that will happen.

**Fitts:** You have no idea. Once you get everybody in the cloud, even without the brain machine interface, and even without that aspect, once you get everybody in the cloud, you can reengineer the US, state, and local governments at very high speeds. I don't think that people understand the speed at which this can all be reengineered because it is all basically about cash flows and payments.

You can delete or privatize most of Federal, state, and local governments if you want.

**Farrell:** And if you are not cooperative, we will send a signal and turn you off.

**Fitts:** Exactly.

They have dramatically increased police powers using the Magic Virus, and they have centralized much of market share using the virus. So, the way that the large players – the inside players – have jumped the curve on GDP down is simply by commanding more chairs by engineered means. The insiders grab chairs using the bureaucracy and the regulations to do it.

That's what has happened so far. You said that you thought that the magic virus had an electromagnetic component. Would that explain why governors and mayors would go along, because they're afraid of the threats?

In other words, "We will turn this on, and a million people will die."

**Farrell:** That could be. I'm thinking the same thing. Some certain governors and mayors may. We have two RINOS (Republican in Name Only) here where I live – the Governor and the Mayor of the city where I live. It's either that or they are using control files as we discussed earlier. That's the only explanation I can determine for it.

There is an aspect of this that bothers me –there seems to be so many going along with the narrative who should know better. The Governor of my home state in South Dakota, Kristi Noem, is probably the only governor in the entire union who did not shut the state down.

**Fitts:** If you look at debt position, fiscal soundness, and pension funds, South Dakota is traditionally number one in terms of excellence. So, the more a state has their fiscal house in order, the less subject they are to this pressure.

**Farrell:** You can go by the maps state by state in this country and county by county, and look at the fiscal health of the states and see that their policies on lockdowns or mandates and so on are more or less commensurate with their financial position. So, I totally agree.

I think there is some aspect of this that is still eluding my grasp. Part of me feels that it's even possible that some of these politicians and mayors and bureaucrats may have already taken some sort of vaccine deal or been injected themselves.

The other part of it is that I think, as we discussed earlier, there is some aspect of this where the mind manipulation has taken hold of the very people who claim to be running the system.

They are too subservient to computer models, and I have to wonder why. They are also too willing to implement the same policies. Again, I have to wonder why. They are acting like they are mind-controlled, and that is what bothers me.

For that matter, look at the craziness in Congress. How do you explain the fact that one major political party in this country is literally committing political suicide? You look at their leadership, and they are 'stark raving nuts'.

**Fitts:** Here is my fear or my concern. Generally, I don't spend much time watching the Democratic and Republican politicians, but I spent a little time watching Pelosi and Biden. It's almost as though the deep state doesn't care who is in those spots.

In other words, they are saying they are not relevant. Harris is not relevant other than, "She will do whatever we tell her to do," or, "She is happy to do whatever we tell her to do."

Harris is someone who is good at using the law to be lawless. She's like a Dick Cheney with a lot of emotional verbiage. But they don't really care about the fact that Biden clearly cannot function in any position, let alone as the President. They don't care. I believe it's because they don't think it's relevant.

**Farrell:** That could very well be, but the insanity coming out of their mouths, I can find no other explanation for it other than these people have somehow been subjected to some mind-control technology of some sort. What they are saying is totally insane, and no one can figure out why the insanity is there. This is the only thing that I can come up with.

**Fitts:** They have risen to power in a world where you had infinite money at zero cost of capital. They've been playing in this game as the interest rates have come down, and they have basically been living in a bubble and had a free ride. They don't know anything else.

When Nancy Pelosi did her 'little ice cream thing' in front of the Sub-Zero freezer, I was watching it with my mouth open because she seemed to have no idea that this was wrong.

**Farrell:** She doesn't have any perception of the bad optics that she is projecting.

You said something very significant when Trump was elected, which was, "At least we have someone who knows the cost of capital."

**Fitts:** Pelosi was completely oblivious to the harm that she and everyone else in Washington and the swamp has done to the average person and the insult of doing what she was doing to that person. It's beyond inhuman; she was oblivious.

**Farrell:** They are in such a state that they are not even aware of their own hypocrisy. Just look at her recent hair-do episode when she prances into a salon without a mask. What happened to that? "The rule is for thee and not for me."

And her response to being called out for it was very telling. It was the response of a narcissist. "I'm sorry that you feel that way. I'm not sorry for what I did and what it projected. I'm sorry for you feeling sorry about it."

**Fitts:** But what we are describing are people who are no longer competent to govern.

**Farrell:** Exactly.

**Fitts:** They don't understand the people in the population of what they are supposed to be governing. They're not competent.

**Farrell:** Washington DC is effectively a city-state that is living off everybody else and doing their own thing. When you disconnect government from the reality of the people who are governing, you are back in the Soviet Union just waiting for Mr. Yeltsin.

**Fitts:** If you are the person who is implementing Going Direct and you are planning on getting rid of the old, it serves your purpose to have everybody think that everyone in Congress is a waste of their time and money. So, this picture of gross incompetence or gross corruption fits your purpose.

**Farrell:** Absolutely it does. What you are trying to do is infiltrate a lack of trust in the institutions themselves.

**Fitts:** That's why I think you have AOC and Omar and all these people.

I don't know if you knew that two of the aides for Omar's competitor were shot, and I think they were killed. My immediate reaction was, "That's how the Somalians do it," but it could have been the agency.

**Farrell:** I think they are deliberately pushing this incompetence meme as a means of breaking down trust, not in the Administration, but in the institutions themselves.

**Fitts:** I think that is what they are 'gunning' for, and that is why people keep asking me about the election. I'm not looking at the election; I'm looking at an effort to grab hold of the FASAB 56 'geyser'. So there is a war for the geyser, and in the meantime, everyone is in agreement that they are going to pull this apart.

**Story #9** fits right into that.

### **Story #9: Weaponized People Are Used to Fake Civil Wars.**

**Farrell:** I'm foursquare with you there because one of the things that I am observing here is looking at these riots and the people behind them, and I am watching the nonsense on the Q stuff (QAnon) and that whole ongoing scam, and what you are seeing – I think – is a 'zombification' of people. I don't know what else to call it.

The people who are watching all of this are exhausted from the constant day to day bombardment of crisis, crisis, fear, fear. My local Mayor is given time every Thursday on one of the major talk radio stations here where I live. It's all about

COVID and mask mandates and, “Oh, the numbers are this this week, and the numbers are that this week, and we may have to do this in response to that if it happens,” and on and on this goes.

The net result is that the population as a whole is tired of hearing about it. The other thing that is happening – and I don’t know how else to describe it – is they are tired of being constantly preached at in very direct or subtle ways.

Look at sports in this country. They’ve shut down sports. Then, suddenly, the NFL – which I don’t normally follow at all – decides that it is going to be politically correct about everything. Then they are surprised when they get booed by what few fans they allow into the stadiums, or having football games or baseball games with nobody in the stands at all. Talk about ‘nuts’!

Then we read about the lady who went to her son’s college football game and was arrested for not wearing a mask. She wasn’t sitting next to anybody.

**Fitts:** She also had a medical exemption.

**Farrell:** People are just tired of it. I think that is deliberate in an effort to make people tired of it. When you get to the zombie stage, you are tuning out everything; you are tuning it out.

**Fitts:** I am an American; you are an American; there are 325 million Americans. We have all ingested an enormous amount of mind control – all of us. I remember during the process of the litigation that I had to look in the mirror and say, “I’m the Patsy.”

I had to come to Jesus and face the facts.

Somebody asked me recently, “How do you get out of the matrix if you don’t know it exists?”

**Farrell:** Precisely.

**Fitts:** We see the world – and I’m grossly oversimplifying this – divide up between the people who know they’ve been mind-controlled and the people

who don't know they've been mind-controlled. The people who know they've been mind-controlled and are trying to get out of the trance are trying to figure out what to do with the people who were still in a trance.

I went through this process. I was writing the introduction to the *2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter Wrap Up*, and I wrote, "I just finished a process of living life in reverse. Twenty-five years ago, I went into the same process that the planet is going into – isolated, my business destroyed, and all by phony lies."

The other thing is that I had to separate from family and friends. In other words, I was an enemy of the state and they wanted nothing to do with me.

So, I had to completely go through my Rolodex of 1,000+ people and basically throw out 99%, and build a new life only on people who fit the certain criteria required. As time went on, my standards kept rising. I want people who are ethical; I want people who are competent; I want people who want to know what is really going on; I want people who want to make a positive difference in this world.

It came to the point where, "Okay, these people have integrity, and these people don't. I'm just going to let them all go."

Here is the thing about my life and my world: I've spent the last year travelling around the world or talking with fabulous people – wonderful people who know what is happening and people who are smart and people who are positive and doing great things. My life is full of all those people because I went through this process 25 years ago.

Everyone else listening to or reading this can do the same thing.

**Farrell:** I would say that they must do the same thing. The old adage is, "Garbage in, garbage out," with regard to computer programming. I think that is true of relationships. I have to tell you that I'm going through the same process of, "Who do I really want to associate with? Who are people of a common mind or mentality?"

I'm going through a similar process of distancing myself from certain people and also distancing myself from certain types of social inputs.

I quit watching the major network news years and years ago. I don't even have my TV plugged in. I'm tired of being preached at by all these politically correct people who 'bastardize' the language and have picked up on these things, and they don't even know it half the time. I'm just tired of it.

I told somebody recently, and I forgot who it was, "No, I don't want to have a discussion with you. I don't want to have a dialogue with you. I don't want to have a conversation with you because you are incapable of any sort of compromise or movement on your position."

By the same token, so am I, but I'm right.

**Fitts:** One thing that happens to me in *The Solari Report* a great deal is that I will have an experience with a media website where they do some very damaging disinformation or real 'crap'. My history as an investment advisor is that I've seen that type of thing do terrible harm to people.

When you give people a fake map intentionally, or you bring on somebody and you brand them as acceptable, like the QAnon stuff, you can destroy people's finances; you can destroy their future. They make bad plans based on a fake map.

When somebody does that, they're out. I constantly get a subscriber saying, "Oh, 'Harry' had a great show. You should watch it."

I say, "I don't watch 'Harry'."

They'll say, "Oh, but this one was good."

I say, "No, you don't understand. If you look at all the people who have exceptional integrity, I don't have enough time to watch all of their 'stuff', and I would like to watch all of their 'stuff'. So, I don't have time to watch a 'crappy' person." I'm not saying that there aren't nuggets in it or useful things in it, but there's not enough time in the world.

Like Paul Newman once said, “Why would you get a hamburger out if you could have steak at home?”

**Farrell:** This is why I tell people, “You know a little about a person’s character or thought process by the music they listen to or the art that they like,” and I think absolutely the same thing holds true by what they rely on for their information.

To me, this is critical. If you are relying for your life decisions on the ‘propatainment’ media, I have bad news for you.

**Fitts:** With your subscribers and my subscribers, generally they are listening to high-quality information. Their challenge is: How do they deal with family and friends and colleagues who are completely ‘snookered’ into the magic virus trance? And how do you manage that?

Increasingly, I will have to say, “You’re going to have to let that person go.”

**Farrell:** Sometimes you can tell that a light bulb has gone off with someone by looking at the expressions on their face or the amount of silence before they respond to something. But for the most part, I’m at the stage where I’m not trying to engage with people who are incapable of thinking anymore. I just won’t do it.

**Fitts:** I want to bring up QAnon. QAnon has been a source of great frustration to you and to me.

**Farrell:** Oh yes!

**Fitts:** It was around for about a week before we anointed it as hope porn. I had been astonished at its continuing ability. I can’t explain it without entrainment technology.

Here you have a group of people who have been wrong again and again and again. It’s like the dinar thing, “It’s just around the corner.”

**Farrell:** I'll tell you what my suspicion has always been with that. It is a very sophisticated operation. That is number one. It has all the signs of being a type of psyop that you would associate with the intelligence agencies or the military. That is number two. Number three: It's highly organized and has access to some very sophisticated computer algorithm programs.

What makes me say all of that is that it is a team; QAnon is not a person; it's a team. It's a marketing op. A friend of mine calls it the Qult (cult).

I think the thing that makes it go is not its falsifications, but its genuine little nuggets of information that were dropped in. We will call the 'bread crumbs'. That is what got people hooked. Now it has gained so many followers that it has a kind of inertial mass all of its own.

I don't know how else to describe it. They got this thing going, and now it's going until it runs into a wall or the rail on the billiard table that will make it go in another direction. That is the way that it feels to me.

I have a friend in Jakarta, Indonesia, who has his own little podcast. His name is Bernard Grover, if you don't know the name. He has done a whole series of blogs of analysis of the Q phenomenon. He is a very interesting man to read in terms of his analysis of Q.

First of all, he is not in this country and subject to all the 'noise' going on here. Number two, he is a former Roman Catholic Benedictine. So, he is reading this with a very unusual template, and he is seeing exactly the same thing that we are in terms of it being a kind of hope porn, but he goes into a deep dive analysis of all the ins and outs of this whole phenomenon.

**Fitts:** I will check it out. I think that one of the powers of it is that it gives you permission to do nothing. We are not depending on the citizens to take charge and really make a difference. So, I can sit on the couch because Q is going to fix it.

**Farrell:** "I can stay on the couch and do my decodes and tell everybody what is really going on."

I listen to two of these Q followers about once a week, and it astounds me at precisely this passivity that it induces. They are all busily decoding the ‘breadcrumb drops’.

**Fitts:** I get anger when I point out what is wrong with Q. I get anger when I point out that with bitcoin and cryptocurrency, you are building your own prison. And I get pushback when I tell you that watching entertainment is not intelligence. Those three things seem to give so much offense. It’s unbelievable.

**Farrell:** The Q thing is an echo chamber for what people hope is going on. It’s there to reinforce that hope. I’m sorry, we don’t live in the Manichean world – a dualistic world – of ‘the patriots are over here and Trump is just 100% A-Okay, and all the bad guys are over there opposing him’. It’s just totally ‘nuts’.

**Fitts:** The other thing that I want to bring up is it’s not only that people are weaponized by mind control, but we also have people weaponized by money.

Whether they have billions of dollars of private equity, or they’re getting \$1,000 to be a snitch, weaponized people are going to increasingly become much, much more dangerous on a day-to-day level.

**Farrell:** Yes, and they will become dangerous to the very people weaponizing them, and that is the other problem here. That is why I think there is a measure of desperation on the part of Mr. ‘Globaloney’. They have created a mess, and now they know they have created a mess, and they don’t really know what to do about it other than create more of a mess.

**Fitts:** They have to ‘turtle’ through. In other words, once you start the global reset, you have to ‘turtle’ through until it’s done. That is what they are going to do no matter what. The challenge is that it is going to make things so fluid.

**Farrell:** Let’s go back to the analogy of military planning and warfare. They have chosen to throw the economic, financial warfare dice. I don’t care how good any plan is, once you throw the dice, it is out of your hands. It is up to the generals in the field that make it work or break it.

I think we are watching some fantastic successes on their part, and at the same time, I think we are watching some real failures.

**Fitts:** Here is the thing: If the generals in the field, from what I know, don't share a common covenant or culture or vision other than climbing the ladder and getting a bigger country, poodles who beg for pet treats are not people who can see through a difficult war.

**Farrell:** They are not, and that is precisely what I think are the kinds of people in charge of all this; they are poodles. This is why their policies seem so insane. We are not dealing anymore with a David Rockefeller or Zbigniew Brzezinski. These are the Young Turks, and they are not nearly as patient and not nearly as smart. Say what you will about David Rockefeller and Zbigniew Brzezinski and Henry Kissinger; yes, they were 'turds', but at least they were competent 'turds'. What we see now is just unreal.

These people want to be Hjalmar Schacht (co-founder of the German Democratic Party), and they have all the oiliness and none of the cunning thereof. It's just unbelievable. That's why I think that this is not going to end very well for them.

**Fitts:** I want to mention media complicity. Part of the weaponization is the media. To say that it has gotten over-the-top is an understatement. It is unbelievable.

**Farrell:** It is skyrocketing into outer space lunacy. You can see the measure of insanity just by the complete lack of any sort of courtesy anymore.

**Fitts:** In the middle of all of this, I think Tucker Carlson has done a remarkably good job of covering. You almost wonder how he is getting away with it. It's quite remarkable, and you can tell that he has moved his family to a secret location; it's dangerous.

He 'nailed' the Governor of New Jersey, demanding again and again for an explanation on why, if you had to shut down churches and they were not essential, liquor stores were essential. He kept saying, "I don't understand.

Explain that again,” and, of course, the governor couldn’t come up with an explanation.

It’s by watching him or any of the other people who are doing a great job. There are a tremendous number of people in the independent media doing a fantastic job. It’s by watching them and comparing them to the major media, which I don’t see that often, but I find my jaw just hanging open when I do.

**Farrell:** It’s so in-your-face and so over-the-top. I don’t watch any of the major media very much at all. If I do, it’s on YouTube replays. I don’t watch Fox, CNBC, MSNBC, CBS, or any of them.

I have to wonder the same thing about Carlson, and wonder if he is going to be with Fox very long. I think that his move was preparatory to getting out and doing his own thing.

**Fitts:** Ultimately, he will be much better off doing his own thing.

Let’s turn to **Story #10**.

### **Story #10: Can We Talk About the Israeli Organized Crime Problem?**

**Farrell:** Yes, can we?

**Fitts:** No, we are not allowed to.

I feel so sorry for the people who live in Israel. You need an Israeli population to pretend that you are a sovereign nation, and now an organized crime syndicate.

When Netanyahu won his negotiation to remain Prime Minister, the first announcement he made was, “We need to chip all Israeli children.”

I said to someone, “Before you believe that the Jews are the master race, will you please understand that this organized crime syndicate wants to do this to all the Israeli Jewish children? If you are the master race, you don’t get chipped like livestock.”

We all know that one of the problems that has happened in the United States over the last 20 years is this network has become more and more powerful in IT and in crypto. The question on all of these clouds is: Does Israel have a back door?

We know that Israel and Israel's cybersecurity capacity has been intimately involved with the NSA on Stuxnet (computer worm). The documentary on Stuxnet is very helpful to understand the relationships. I would say that network is incapable of running a governance structure that anybody can trust.

**Farrell:** I would totally agree.

**Fitts:** They are one of the reasons the US is not agreement-capable.

My problem with the Israeli organized crime network is that I now refer to them (in my mind) as Cheltenham (Township in Pennsylvania) class of 1967. Do you know why?

**Farrell:** Netanyahu.

**Fitts:** I grew up in Philadelphia, and many of the men I dated were from Central High School. They also dated people from Cheltenham, class of 1967. I could have told you that giving a nuclear arsenal to somebody from Cheltenham, class of 1967, was a very bad idea.

If you look at what is going on in the world, this is what happens when you give Cheltenham, class of 1967, a nuclear arsenal. This is exactly what happens.

We have seen tremendous compromising. Only God knows how many people in the Administration and in Congress have dual citizenship. The man who is running the Going Direct, Stanley Fischer, has a dual citizen passport, and he was vice chairman of the Fed and chairman of the Israeli, and now is running Going Direct. So, we see this whole class of people who are above the law because they have dual citizenship.

So, the person running the money at the Department of Defense happened to be there when all the money disappeared with his dual citizenship passport. So, we have a dual citizen network that is very plugged into the Russian mafia and Ukraine and this whole global/transnational organized crime network, and they are a big problem. And almost everybody is afraid to talk about it.

**Farrell:** Everybody is afraid to talk about it, and they are afraid, not only to talk about just the general phenomenon, but they are afraid to talk about its details and its connections over history. That to me has always been the most disturbing problem.

Let's look at Nikita Khrushchev: Who was his wife? Her last name was Perlman. Let's look at Lev Bronstein, aka Leon Trotsky: What is he?

In fact, the only one of those Bolshevik leaders who wasn't part of that network was, guess who?; Joseph Stalin.

**Fitts:** Did you see the comment that Putin made recently about most of the Bolsheviks being Jewish?

**Farrell:** Yes, he did, and he is right. As a matter of fact, in the 1960's, as the Roman Catholic Church was going through the upheaval of Vatican II and basically throwing away most of its Catholic tradition, there was a study of the Bolshevik leaders who came out of France. They came out under a pseudonym. I suspect that the pseudonym was because the person or persons who came up with this document about the Bolshevik leaders being mostly Jewish – Bela Kuhn in Hungary and so on.

I suspect it was because this was a curial person or group – in other words they were very highly placed – who was looking at all this going on in the Roman Church with alarm for justifiable reasons. But, yes, look at the phenomenon of this revolutionary spirit and movement; look at the 1848 revolution in Europe; look at Karl Marx himself or Frederick Engels. What are they? Essentially, they are secularized Jews.

You look at modern Israel and at the connection of the Rothschild family to funding the building of the Knesset.

**Fitts:** For *Let's Go to the Movies* this week, I had this unbelievable documentary called *Defamation*. It's by a very charming young Israeli documentary maker, and it's about how anti-Semitism is used as a battering ram for this form of organized crime.

I will tell you that the people I know who are the most afraid of this group are my Jewish friends; they are terrified of them.

Whatever the dynamic is, it's an organized crime problem.

**Farrell:** Right, it's not a religion or ethnic problem. You look at Jewish scholars like Hannah Arendt or Alfred Lilienthal and so on, and they were sounding the alarm about Zionism decades ago, and no one listened to them.

Ben Hecht is another one. Here is a person who stumbled across a Jewish doctor in Hungary (shades of George Soros) who was helping round up Hungarian Jews to ship them to Auschwitz and helping out Adolf Eichmann. That caused a major upheaval in Israel because he was a hero until he was outed by a Jewish lady who had spent time in one of those concentration camps. She saw him and fingered him, and that went to trial. It was a huge trial in Israel, and Ben Hecht wrote about it, but he was totally ignored.

I think this is exactly as you pointed out; it's an organized crime ring. It's when you start investigating the details of the connections of that crime ring – especially during the Reagan era – Adnan Khashoggi and George Bush and Bill Casey, and the whole onslaught were connected into this.

For those people who are wondering, “Does Israel have a back door into all of this?” Israel was helping sell that stolen PROMIS (Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System) software all over.

**Fitts:** Their remarkable success in the last 30-40 years has been basically back doors, whether it was PROMIS or a back door into whatever else.

**Farrell:** Consider all these explosions taking place in Iran. You cannot tell me that this is not the activity of agents on the ground and probably Mossad (Israeli Intelligence Agency).

People have to start waking up and start talking about this and doing some deep diving. Read these Jewish scholars Arendt and Lilienthal, etc.

**Fitts:** You got me to read Israel Shahak. He is fascinating.

**Farrell:** He's another Jew who is concerned about where all of this so-called Zionism is really going. It's not going to a very good place if they are talking about chipping Israelis. That is your tattoo from the SS. If they think that that is going to protect them, no.

**Fitts:** Here is the question: Who is Netanyahu? This always goes back to the governance question of who is really in charge. Why would Netanyahu turn around and do something that has to wildly infuriate the Israeli people?

**Farrell:** Because he has hidden masters who are trying very deliberately, in my opinion, to stage a fulfillment of prophecy, or rather an interpretation of prophecy that, in and of itself, is false, and which they – the banking financial community – helped drive into the public consciousness, particularly in this country.

I look at all of that with very jaundiced and skeptical eyes because clearly, Netanyahu is not his own master. Somebody is 'pulling his strings'. I think that the 'strings' are going right back to the city. I think there is a huge Rothschild interest in it, and I don't even think they are at the 'top of the pyramid'.

There is some sort of entity or agency that is 'pulling their strings'.

**Fitts:** The reason you would want this is ridiculous. This level of central control is extremely damaging to the 'pie;' it shrinks the 'pie'. So, if you want central control, it's for a reason related to extreme risk, or you want to bring in breakthrough energy and need complete control, or you have treaty obligations (a la Joseph Farrell's fabulous presentation at the Secret Space Program 2015) that require complete control.

So, the extreme risk would be geophysical risk – the planets dying and you have to create colonies on Mars as fast as possible. It has to be something like one of those three.

**Farrell:** I think it's some sort of combination. That being said, I don't necessarily think that some of those things are real. In other words, I'm not a believer in climate change or any of that nonsense.. The thing that always gets forgotten in any model is human ingenuity.

We have been listening to maximum carrying capacity for the planet since the republic of Venice.

**Fitts:** Here is the other thing: I have a great article that I wrote. I was having a fight with somebody who was trying to get me to promote climate change on *Solari* and I refused to do it. I wrote an article called *Can We Have an Intelligent Conversation about the Environment?* And basically, I listed my 20 questions. I said, "If you can answer these 20 questions, I can tell you what my opinion is on climate change."

He refused to answer any of the 20 questions because he can't. They were questions like: Who is doing the global spraying, and what is it doing? Are you doing weather warfare? What is HAARP?

If we cared about the environment, we wouldn't be using EMF to kill all living things, and we wouldn't be spraying heavy metals, and we wouldn't be poisoning people. On and on and on; you know the drill.

So climate change as it is currently presented, appears to be a way of getting the population to dramatically lower their footprint so the corporations can control and have first access to the resources.

**Farrell:** I think that is definitely part of the climate change agenda. The other part of it, I think, is only to put out a narrative to disguise the fact that they are capable of engineering weather systems.

**Fitts:** That is very possible. Your number one control point globally is weather warfare.

**Farrell:** After Al Gore popularized the idea, they came out with a new financial instrument called weather derivatives. “Gee, that is a handy thing to have around so you can make some money by controlling the weather.”

The problem here and the reason I stress this is that there are people among the elites that genuinely believe that narrative. They are clueless; they are stupid themselves. So, I don’t have any difficulty in believing that it is all three and that some of those people in ‘Mr. Globaloney’s’ elite genuinely believe this nonsense.

The other two, I think, are huge factors. I have had the sense – and I am not basing this on any solid arguable evidence; this is just intuition or speculation – that there is some sort of thing or danger or major risk they are aware of and not telling other people.

I think they may be hinting at it. Have you noticed something during this ‘planscamdemic’ with the virus? There have been constant trickles of stories about asteroids coming close to France, a la Dr. Carol Rosin, and her affidavit years ago that she talked about during Werner von Braun (aerospace engineer) telling her the plan.

I get the feeling there is some sort of thing they may be aware of regarding space that they are in a hurry about.

The other thing with the breakaway energy thing is, yes, I tend to think that is in the cards as well because we constantly have been watching these little stories during this ‘planscamdemic’. I get emails all the time asking, “Why aren’t you talking about politics and the election,” or, “Why aren’t you talking about this or that? Why are you talking about space and all this stuff?”

Because I think that is part of the story of the ‘planscamdemic’. I think that it is being used as a distraction while at the same time, they are reviewing all these other things so that when they come along and whatever revelation they decide to give, they can say, “We told you so. See here? It’s on the record.”

I definitely get the feeling there is some factor here that we don't know that they know, and they are in a panic about it. That is why everything is a mess. They are in a hurry. They are not 'turtling' through; I think they are 'rabbiting' through.

**Fitts:** They can't go back.

**Farrell:** Right, they can't go back; they have to go forward.

**Fitts:** If you go back through history, every 100 years we have a plague or a pandemic: 1720, 1820, 1920, and now. What is that about?

**Farrell:** Every one of those things has accompanied some sort of major paradigm shift in cultural or political or financial institutions.

**Fitts:** A wonderful CPA said to me recently, "It almost looks as though someone is doing an inventory. They need everybody to stop and sit still while they count and do a complete inventory."

**Farrell:** That could be, too.

I go back to what I have thought about this virus from the very beginning: This is a social engineering experiment, and they need to figure out where potential nodes of opposition are and demographics of populations by race or class or economic status or technical expertise or whatever. I think they have been doing this for a long time.

**Fitts:** It is very interesting when the COVID-19 shutdown started. The World Economic Forum had launched their global reset, and it was amazing because, for people who are not financial people, it was basically selling the Going Direct reset to non-financial people.

It was rather remarkable because immediately – the same day – I had an email inviting me to join, etc. Then Schwab (Charles-financial services company) announced that their security team has told them they can't have a meeting this January. They used COVID-19 as a pretext; they couldn't assure people's health

and safety. But I don't think that is what it was; I think they couldn't secure people's safety. It was too dangerous.

I've been watching what happened in Victoria, Australia, and I think the reason that is not happening in America is that we own guns.

**Farrell:** Exactly.

**Fitts:** I do a *Solari World Screen*, which is screening stocks for organized crime. The person who manages the money says that it is the only truly socially responsible screen in the world. We are basically trying to get the organized crime out of it.

I was looking at the screen to see what the best performing stocks were from the shutdown on. At the time I looked, the number two stock was Smith & Wesson. It is one of the gun companies. They are having a great year.

**Farrell:** It's even more interesting because I saw a report on gun sales recently, and sales are off the charts in the swing states. That disturbs me because if you've been following the politics on both sides of the aisle, Democrats and Republicans are putting out this narrative of a contested election. They are both doing it. They are doing it in different ways, but both sides are doing it. That disturbs me because it plays to a confirmation of what we have been talking about, that somebody wants to rip this country apart.

The problem they have is if they throw those dice, – and we are already seeing it – you will have red versus blue counties. Here is the problem: The blue counties represent a geographical fraction of the whole country. In that kind of shooting war, you have to control the ground. It's not going to go well for the big cities; they are too easily interactable. That disturbs me, too. Why is it being set up this way? I don't know; I'm still thinking it through.

**Fitts:** I will say this: The real estate brokers in the *Solari Network* report unprecedented demand. People are flooding out of the cities and buying elsewhere. The competition for real estate is unbelievable, and the price of lumber, combined with the fires in places like Oregon, is up 30% or more.

**Farrell:** Here is the other problem, since we are talking about this scenario: You are seeing things now on the internet where people are doing two things: They are welcoming these exiles, but they are also saying, “Don’t bring your politics with you. We don’t want it here.”

We have already seen major local pushback. Antifa announced not long ago that they were going to go to Coeur d’Alene, Idaho and stage a protest or something there. What happened? Well, everybody in Coeur d’Alene, Idaho got out their weapons and were downtown with their weapons on display and said, “Bring it on.”

The same thing happened at the Sturgis Bike Rally. They decided they (Antifa) were going to show up. I could have told them, “You don’t want to go to Sturgis during the Bike Rally and try to pull any of that stuff.”

If you don’t know what happened in Sturgis during the Bike Rally, basically in the entire Black Hills region, all the county sheriffs deputize everybody, and they don’t put up with much. So that wasn’t going to go. That’s like trying to pick a fight with a Texas rancher; it’s will not go.

If ‘Mr. Globaloney’s’ design is to split the country, I am not seeing many signs. It may solve their financial problem, but I’m not seeing many signs that they have any clue as to what they are about to unleash.

**Fitts:** The reason I keep bringing this up again and again is that I want everybody listening or reading to take it in and spread it because, if we understand what they are up to, – whether it’s in the opportunity zones with the riots or with playing the divide & conquer – I think that transparency blows this game wide open.

**Farrell:** It blows it wide open, indeed. And here is the problem: If they implement that scenario, I think there are enough people out there who: One, are willing to push back in a major way, and two, know where they live. Two can play the Antifa ‘doxing’ game. I’ve said this for decades.

If you’re in that whole covert war scenario and regime change scenario and all of that, two can play that game. Here is where it gets really ‘dicey’. If they throw

those dice during the middle of a geopolitical realignment, don't be surprised if you have some foreign intervention here; don't be a bit surprised. Russia still has those sleeper cells and China has them and probably many other countries, too.

**Fitts:** Here is the other thing: If you are going to play Rape of Russia in the United States and bust it down and be able to pick up assets cheap, Americans and Israeli organized crime are not the only people who know how to pick up assets cheap.

**Farrell:** That is exactly my thinking.

**Fitts:** Which brings us to **The Trump Report Card**. Are you ready?

**Farrell:** I think so.

**Fitts:** We haven't talked much about the election. I was listening to Wilkerson talk about this with Paul Jay (The Real News Network) recently. If you look at the numbers that are growing on the side of the Democrats, they have the numbers. But if you look at the polls and what has happened with the whole police and law & order issue, it appears that Trump is going to win if we have a real election.

If we have a real election, it looks like Trump is going to have the popular vote.

**Farrell:** I go back to what I said in 2016: I don't think he even lost California. I think the fraud is so bad in that state because we have had two special elections in California in very, very strong traditionally Democratic strongholds – and by 'traditionally Democratic', I mean your center line Democrat, not the whacked-out Marxists who we see now – and the Republicans won those. That was a little 'Whoa!' for me because I didn't expect that to happen at all.

If it were a real election, I think unquestionably Trump would win. I'm getting that feeling as well from the response of the Democratic leadership right now with all this business of Hillary saying, "Don't concede," and so on.

In other words, I think their internal polling is showing them that it's not nearly as good as the public polls say.

**Fitts:** Everything that I've seen of Biden is, if you are an adult who understands what it means to run something, you can't vote for him; he is just not capable. That is why what concerns me is not that Biden is incapable; what worries me is they're continuing to play that card because they don't care.

**Farrell:** My sense is that there is something very big up in this election, and it's really not about Biden or Trump or Republicans and Democrats; it's about trying to get through their obligations and split the country.

Just looking at the map of the past few national elections, they may have the population centers, but they don't have the vast part of the country. Where I live, I can tell you that we have people who will fall asleep and wear their masks, but generally speaking, virtually everybody who I talk to knows that something is going down. I know friends who have purchased guns. They are getting ready.

**Fitts:** If you look at how hard they have tried to take our guns and how much they have failed, that is why I think that the number one issue in this election is the 2<sup>nd</sup> Amendment.

**Farrell:** I think it is the 2<sup>nd</sup> Amendment, and I think it's the 4<sup>th</sup> Amendment, and I think it's the 1<sup>st</sup> Amendment. The other thing that is happening in this country is the major internet platforms are trying to shut down people. They are trying to shut down every independent platform they can, and now they are getting pushback. They are being sued over it.

You turn this into an actual crackup, and the Federal government becomes an irrelevancy, and the states start going their own way. I certainly don't see South Dakota going blue.

Interestingly enough, my Godson lives in South Dakota. I asked him what the climate was like there because I was thinking of possibly moving back there. In spite of all the influx of New York bankers moving to Sioux Falls, it is still very, very red. In fact, he told me that it is getting more so. We're talking about the land of George McGovern. I thought, "That's a change!"

So, there is something very big going on politically and demographically as all of these people are moving. They seem to be changing, not just their party affiliation, but their cultural affiliation, which to me is much more interesting.

**Fitts:** I think there are many really wonderful people who don't understand why someone like me would want to own a gun. They didn't understand and they couldn't fathom it. Now they are beginning to understand.

It's somewhat like learning to see things from the other person's point of view because now they are in a similar position.

**The Trump Report Card.** I'm going to do this in alphabetical order starting with 'Accomplishments to Date'. We've given him grades since 2017.

**'Accomplishments to Date'.** In the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter you were C and C, and I was B- and C.

**Farrell:** I'm going to go with a B-. In spite of some blunders along the way, I think he has done a fairly good job with the judiciary, although I have some major questions about Amy Coney Barrett.

**Fitts:** The last thing I saw was that she was big on lockdowns.

**Farrell:** I'm seeing all sorts of things, not just that. I have some major questions, but in general, I think he has done a good job. I think that I have perhaps been too hard on him given the fact that he has faced this four-year long temper-tantrum from the media and the DOJ and Nancy Pelosi and Chuck Schumer and the whole crowd.

**Fitts:** I'm going to give him a C because he shut down Main Street.

**Farrell:** That is not good, but I think there may have been some design in that. We'll see.

**Fitts:** We hope. It's not going to help the 200,000 or so people who lost their business or went bankrupt. I think the number is much higher than that.

**Farrell:** I think it's much bigger also.

**Fitts:** **'Building a Team'**. Your last grade was an F+. You were a D- and an F+, and I had D- and D-.

**Farrell:** I'm going to raise him to a D for the reason that he seems to be getting back to his original premise of appealing to the general population. That is his base.

I'm not raising it any further because of his handling of COVID, from a purely optics point of view, with Fauci and Birx and all their dirty connections to Gates and Wuhan, and all that was not good and it cost him support. He was not clear on whether he would push for a national mandate on vaccines, which is why I think he still has some soft support.

The support has hardened for him largely because the other side is so 'wacky-crazy'. The police unions have basically abandoned the Democrats and thrown in their towel with him, but it could be better.

At a local and state level, I'm not seeing him take charge of the party itself and stamping his ideas on it, and that concerns me a great deal.

**Fitts:** **'Building Bottom-Up Support'**. You were a C and C-, and I was a C and a C.

**Farrell:** I'm going to give him a B there. Again, the way that he is trying to campaign right now, it looks like he is going back – to a certain extent – on his original formula. So, he is trying to appeal directly to the bottom and build it up. Whether that translates into actual restructuring of the Republican Party, and whether he is able to retain the gains that he has made with the Hispanics and the blacks and so on remains to be seen. Much of that 'squishiness' is Mike Pence. The people I talk to are not terribly keen on Mike Pence.

**Fitts:** I'm going to stick with a C because he shut down Main Street. I'm telling you, I'm very irritated about the shutdown on Main Street.

**Farrell:** I am, too.

**Fitts:** **‘Building Bridges Domestically’**. I was a B- and C, and you were a B- and C.

**Farrell:** At this point, I’m wondering whether I can assign a grade because in the political climate here, it’s impossible to build bridges domestically.

**Fitts:** That’s true. I go back to Main Street, and I’m going to give him a C-.

**‘Building Bridges Internationally’**.

**Farrell:** I think he’s been much more successful there.

**Fitts:** I was a C and C, and you were a C and B-.

**Farrell:** I’ll raise him a bit to a B. In a certain sense, I think it was a very good stroke to bypass the Palestinian issue with this recent issue happening in the Middle East, which could have been done years ago instead of remaining in this deadlock. I’m a little iffy on that, too, because it panders to the Israeli crime syndicate. But the other reason, I have a strong suspicion that behind the emergence of this Quadruple Entente, you have a fair amount of very quiet diplomacy being conducted out of Foggy Bottom (neighborhood in Washington D.C.).

**Fitts:** I agree. You’re a B, I will move him up to a B-.

**‘Communication’**. I was an A and a B, and you were an A- and a B-.

**Farrell:** I think I’ll keep him at a B- for now. The reason is the way that he has handled COVID. He needs to make very clear that a national vaccine mandate is not going to happen under his Administration. So far, he has not done that adequately to my mind.

**Fitts:** I’m going to keep him at a C+. I think the way he handled COVID was dreadful, and it has destroyed millions of people in his base. People who voted for Trump have had their businesses destroyed so that he could win an election.

He tried to say that this was not worthy of a shutdown, but he should have ‘stuck to his guns’.

**‘Effort’.** I gave him a B and a C, and you gave him a B- and a C.

**Farrell:** I’ll keep him at a C.

**Fitts:** We’re both a C.

**‘Grand Strategy for America and Our Role in the World’.** I was a C+ and a C+. You were a D- and a C.

**Farrell:** I’ll raise him to a C+ because of the diplomacy.

**Fitts:** I’ll keep him at a C+.

**‘Growing in the Job’.** You were a B+ and a B-. I was a B+ and a B-.

**Farrell:** That is a hard one. I think one thing that has happened because of the COVID is that he has grown in it quite a good deal. I’ll raise him up to a B.

**Fitts:** I’ll stay at B-.

**‘Introducing Important Change’.** You were a C and a C, and I was a B and a C.

**Farrell:** It depends on what kind of important change we’re talking about. I think I’m going to keep him C.

**Fitts:** We’re both at C.

**‘Managing the Executive Branch Bureaucracy’.** I was a D and a D, and you were a D and a D-.

**Farrell:** I’ll raise him to a D.

**Fitts:** We're both at D.

**'Managing the Federal Budget and Contracting Budget'**

**Farrell:** I think I had him at an H or something.

**Fitts:** I had him at an F and an F, and you had him at an H and an H.

**Farrell:** I'm going to go H- and I'll tell you why. The latest stimulus package that they've been talking about includes a direct deposit for everybody. So, sorry, no.

**Fitts:** You can't get good grades if you implement the Mark of the Beast.

**Farrell:** That's it.

**Fitts:** **'Recruiting a Team'**. I was a D and a D-, and you were a D and a D. I'll stay at D-.

**Farrell:** I'll lower it to a D-. I have deep misgivings about Amy Coney Barrett.

**Fitts:** That's too bad because in my initial review, she looked okay.

**Farrell:** I am having some deep misgivings. Let's just put it that way. She strikes me as someone who has imbibed certain things from the progressive culture that she is not even aware are going to influence her decisions on the bench.

**Fitts:** Really? Okay, I have to look at her carefully.

**'Staying Physically and Emotionally Healthy'**. I gave him an A and an A, and you gave him an A and an A (considering his bout with COVID).

**Farrell:** I'll stick with that.

**Fitts:** It's remarkable.

**Farrell:** Given what he's been through, yes.

**Fitts:** **'Vision'**. I gave him a B- and a B-, and you gave him a C and C-.

**Farrell:** I'll stick with the C- because I can't quite figure out where he is philosophically anymore.

**Fitts:** I'll give him a B because if you look at how he looks at the key financial points and what needs to be done, he does put out a vision. You just need to be able to follow the financial flows to make sense of it.

**'War Games and Mapping the Swamp'**. I gave him a B- and a C, and you gave him a B and a C-.

**Farrell:** I'll stick with a C-.

**Fitts:** I'm going to give him a D- because on COVID, he shut down Main Street. That tells me that he's not good at war games.

That's the **Trump Report Card**. So the question is: Regarding the election, I think that Trump wins, and you think that Trump wins.

Many people have made clear that they are not going to agree to a Trump victory even if he wins.

**Farrell:** The important thing is that if he wins, what states does he win? That political map, I think, is a long-term projector. He is unbelievably polling well in Minnesota according to the public polls, but we don't know what the internal ones are showing.

What is interesting is that you had six Democratic mayors in the Iron Belt in Minnesota come out and endorse him. I thought, "Whoa! That is a big, huge sea change for that state."

People don't get the significance of that. The Iron Belt is the part of Minnesota up in the finger that stretches out over Lake Superior. That area is an iron

mining part of Minnesota where 3M Company has much of its installations. That is a huge thing in Minnesota, but time will tell.

I suspect that his support is much stronger in California than people are letting on, although I don't think that it will be enough to overcome the fraud that always happens in that state. So, it is going to be an interesting map if he wins.

The other side of the equation is that both sides are putting out the narrative of a contested election. So I'm very wary. If Biden wins, 'all hell breaks loose'. There are just no two ways about it.

**Fitts:** Here is the thing: If Biden wins, how is he going to govern?

**Farrell:** I think there is something to the scenario that is being talked about. He is the front candidate, and the real plan is that he resigns for health reasons shortly after he takes office, and Kamala takes over and does their bidding.

**Fitts:** But she can't be the President.

**Farrell:** I know, but that is my point. That would be the perfect thing to trigger a country-wide split. I think that if she tries to put AOC or any of that agenda into law, or do a gun grab or anything like that, that is when you see 'all hell break loose'.

**Fitts:** We have a military that, more or less, has run the planet since World War II. If we split apart the country, where is the military going to go? Are they going to go to the world government?

**Farrell:** I think that the upper echelons of the military will do that because you have to remember Obama's purges in the upper echelons of the military. I think they will, but out of the upper echelons of the military; it is 'iffy'.

Plus, the other thing that most people forget is that you have numerous veterans in this country who are not happy with the way things have gone, and they are not happy about all the wars that 'Bush the Stupid' started in the Middle East. That is what they have to worry about.

**Fitts:** As a financial matter, you have a whole world of sovereign bonds, a whole world of national defense arrangements, and countries and investors all around the world depending on it. You can't just throw it up in the air like a house of cards. You are talking about major adult responsibilities. The question is: Who is going to manage those adult responsibilities? It's not going to be Kamala Harris; nobody would trust Kamala Harris.

Kamala Harris is an order taker, so she can't manage that. Somebody has to manage that.

**Farrell:** This is why I think that Trump will win. Like it or not, he has shown himself as willing to play ball with the 'Bushies'. Just look at William Barr.

So, you have to have somebody in there who is at least astute enough to do that. That's his Administration.

If there is any fraud that is necessary to win the election, it will be as much on his side as on the Democrats' side. Still, that depends on who Wall Street is really backing. Are they backing another Bolshevik regime? If so, I think it is going to blow up in their face – literally.

**Fitts:** Here is the question that we have: Most of the people who are members of my site and your site are either in North America or Europe.

**Farrell:** I also have many Australians.

**Fitts:** I do, too. We have Europe, and we have down under, and we have North America. So, it's the Anglo-American Alliance and Europe. So, the question is: In the next three months, you are waiting here. What should people do to navigate? There are Americans and Canadians and Europeans and down under. What do people do to navigate this?

**Farrell:** The first thing that I would absolutely recommend is, and I think I said this the last time, figure out whom you can trust; determine who your friends really are, and stay in contact with them.

The second thing is, quite literally, to have cash on hand and food on hand – whatever form that cash may take. That is what I would do.

The third thing that I would do is, if you are not already doing it, absolutely start finding some alternative news sites that you find to be trustworthy and informative. Quit relying on ‘propatainment’ media.

**Fitts:** My vision of how we need to do this, and my vision of *Solari*, is an intelligence network where we are helping each other. One of the greatest things that happened this year was, for the last six months, I was forced to get a crash course in health sciences. Let’s face it; I received a C in biology in high school.

The reason we were able to cope with it is that we had incredible colleagues all around the world and subscribers who were nurses and doctors and scientists and whatever, and once everybody realized that the media was ‘toast’, we had to communicate directly with each other and were seeing unbelievably rich conversations at *Solari* and Giza Death Star and Saker’s website. It was unbelievably rich, and that intelligence is creating its own world.

**Farrell:** It’s creating its own mass.

**Fitts:** It’s making us very, very smart.

**Farrell:** The other thing that I would urge people to do (most of my website is community driven), and what I blog about are articles that people are sending me. I go through those and try to spot trends and pick out stories that seem to be the most important at the moment.

I would urge people, not only to keep doing that with the websites, but directly with each other. Exchange information directly with each other – family, friends, church, whatever. That, I think, is crucial.

In other words, build your own networks. In the process of building your networks-I’m going back to a webinar I did on my website in the ‘Members’ area about conspiracies and how conspiracies are actually networks. The old model of a conspiracy – the Bavarian Illuminati model – was a cell structure where you had cells, but the thing that Adam Weishaupt (founder of the Order

of the Illuminati) did not do was build laterally. So, if you took out a node or a cell, it took out everything beneath it in that tree.

Robert Heinlein, the science fiction writer, wrote a marvelous book in the 1960's called *The Moon is a Harsh Mistress* where he pointed out that what you need to do is, not only build a vertical structure, but build lateral structures so that communications can still occur when a node is taken out. It goes up the tree and down the tree through a different route. In other words, it bypasses the roadblock.

That is the other thing: You need to make sure that you are building those kinds of multi-centered lateral relationships, as well as vertical ones.

They can take you and me out and they can take the website out, but not the network if you have those kinds of lateral networks.

**Fitts:** I want to remind everybody to check out the *News Trends & Stories* section on the website. You can peruse and disagree with our **Trump Report Card** grades.

This time we've put up TablePresses. So, for all the headlines you can really play dynamically with the whole list. It's a great presentation; our *News Trends & Stories* editors worked very hard.

Check out the web presentation, and soon, Joseph, we have **Part II** and the **Unanswered Questions**. I look forward to talking with you then.

**Farrell:** Alright. We will see you then.

**Fitts:** 'Tip of the hat!'

**Farrell:** 'Tip of the hat'.