

Actionable intelligence to live a Free & Inspired Life



The Solari Report

June 17, 2020

**Contact Tracing
&
the HEROES Act
with
Mary Holland**



Special Solari Report:
Contact Tracing & the HEROES Act - with Mary Holland

C. Austin Fitts: Ladies and gentlemen, welcome to *The Solari Report*. Rejoining me from the last interview is Mary Holland, vice chairman and attorney extraordinaire from the Children's Health Defense. Mary, thank you so much for being with us.

I asked you to come back, but I know you are in the middle of some very important work, and I wanted to explain to everybody what contact tracing is and why this is something that we all have to do something about.

So with no further ado, why don't you introduce to us what contact tracing is and why we should understand this and why we should care?

Mary Holland: The concept of contact tracing has been around for hundreds of years. The core here is a very rational idea. Suppose you have an outbreak of a dangerous, infectious disease, especially early on in an outbreak. In that case, it makes all the sense in the world to isolate people who are sick and to quarantine people who have come into contact with the person who is infectious to make sure that the disease doesn't spread further. That has been used for a couple of hundred years once people really understood how viral and bacterial diseases travel.

That's rather basic. In the United States, it's at the state level that is the authority for public health. So, states have very wide-ranging authorities to be able to do things like contact tracing.

One irony is that, for instance, over the last five years, when we had these 'horrible' measles outbreaks in Disneyland and Rockland County and Brooklyn, there was no contact tracing whatsoever used – none; there was no quarantine applied. There, the states' objective was clearly to absolutely inundate people with fear about measles to get rid of religious exemptions and personal belief exemptions to foster the vaccines.

Here, the contact tracing that they are talking about now – at least six months past the start of this viral infectious epidemic, if not longer – makes very little sense. What we know is this is on par with a severe seasonal flu. We also know that there are some very good treatments that, unfortunately, are being very actively suppressed by the powers that be.

This dimension of contact tracing looks like a way to keep the fires burning so that people will feel absolutely desperate to get a vaccine.

So, what is the contact tracing that is being used now? The idea is that, as in certain Asian countries like China and South Korea and Singapore, they use contact tracing apps. That seems to be the backbone of what they are going to be doing in this country.

Apple and Google have both jointly come up with an app downloaded into people's phones. Suppose these contact tracers who are being funded at the state and Federal levels identify one person who has become infectious. In that case, they will look at your location tracker on your phone, see if that person came into contact with anyone, and ask that person on a phone call, "Who else did you come into contact with within the last ten days?"

Then all those people will be contacted, and all those people will be voluntarily asked to quarantine. So if you are a healthy person but you came into contact, the idea is that you might have become infectious, so you would have to be quarantined in your home for 14 days. If you were sick, they would require you to isolate for at least ten days and have three days of no fever after it. These are the guidelines that Johns Hopkins has put out there for contact tracers.

In some states, Washington State for example, if you only have one bathroom, they say that it is not good enough if you are sharing that bathroom with other people. So, that may then require the person who has been exposed or the person who is sick to go into some kind of quarantine facility, which I have grave reservations about these facilities. But this is what is being rolled out.

California has 20,000 facility jobs and New York State is hiring them. In an era right now where tens of millions of people have been thrown out of work, it is really fascinating to see all the perquisites that are being advertised about these contact tracing jobs.

Fitts: The pay scales in this environment are quite plush.

Holland: They are quite high. In New York State, for instance, I am looking at

\$21 an hour. There is paid sick leave, medical and dental coverage, and full-time or part-time work. There are tips and commissions and bonuses and store discounts. It's really quite fascinating.

In particular, they are looking for contact tracers, many of whom are personal care associates. The ones in New York have a license. These are people with no medical training per se, and these are going to be potentially good jobs in a terrible economy.

Fitts: Let me point something out. I was reading a quote that Sofia Smallstorm used in a book about the perfect enemy and how the perfect enemy is an enemy that does not exist. If you want perpetual war, then you can't get rid of the enemy, and the war can go on forever. That means that you need an invisible enemy.

They were referring to the war on terrorism, but when you look at the coronavirus, it's something that most of us have in our body already. Most of the people who test positive are asymptomatic; it doesn't mean that we're sick.

Holland: Right, it does not.

Fitts: So, then there's a question of whether or not you are infectious if you have it, but we will put that aside. We also know that the tests are very unreliable. We know that in most cases, the virus does not cause a serious disease.

Holland: Correct.

Fitts: So, we have something that almost everybody is positive with that doesn't make many people sick and we don't have reliable tests.

Holland: I don't know that everybody is positive yet, but that is an interesting point. With coronavirus, there are over 200 viruses in the coronavirus family. Coronavirus is associated with the common cold. It can also be associated with flus. This particular one is from the SARS family, which was a more serious illness ten years ago. There are plenty of questions on the origin of this coronavirus.

But you are 100% correct that the testing so far is highly unreliable. The CDC's test that came out was 'garbage'; they rejected better tests that were available elsewhere in the world, and that likely did contribute to some of the problems that we've had here in the United States, and we have no idea what these tests really mean. We have no idea if you have antibodies it means that you will never get sick again, or if you don't have antibodies, you are more susceptible. These mostly aren't antibody tests; they are PCR tests to see whether you are infectious. These tests are also not reliable.

The whole thing is the perfect enemy. It is shifting, it is unclear, it is uncertain, and yet there is enormous fear around it. The meta-analysis I mentioned to you is really an outstanding article about what's been happening for the last few months. It's an article called *Lockdown Lunacy* by J.B. Handley.

Fitts: It's very good.

Holland: It's on J.B. Handley's blog, and he points out that a scientist at Stanford University, Dr. Ioannidis, did an initial study saying that it really looks like the infection fatality rate is about 0.2%. So, of all the people who get infected with this particular virus, as far as we understand it, 0.2% of the people are going to die from it; it's very, very low. It's people who are elderly and people with co-morbid conditions. It is not something that is going to fell most people.

Then he did a meta-analysis of 12 other studies on what the infection fatality rate is. Again, it came out to about 0.2%.

Even Tony Fauci on the Coronavirus task force, who is much in the news, said in an article in the *New England Journal of Medicine*, that it is probably more akin to a severe seasonal flu; it only kills 0.2%.

So, would we do this for the flu that comes around every year? Would we do this for common colds? We don't do this for anything else, and we know that this isn't very serious.

You and I have done our homework, and we are independent thinking. So, we

are not afraid to say that this is way overblown. This is serving other purposes.

I don't know about you, but I do have many friends and family who don't read what I read, who don't watch what I watch, and they are living in panic. They are genuinely living in panic. If they only watch mainstream news and watch TV, they are living in panic. They have been afraid to leave their homes, they genuinely believe that if somebody doesn't wear a mask they are at tremendous risk from that person, and they believe that the most casual contact could lead to their death.

I try to gently send them the J.B. Handley article and so on, but fear is very powerful. Fear is what has always been used to induce change. So, this fear is very, very effective. I am concerned that for people with low information about what is really going on, if they are contacted by a contact tracer on their cell phone or at their front door and the person says, "We believe that you have recently been exposed. I know that you as a good citizen don't want to infect others with this deadly virus. We don't want you to infect your family members," I think there will be people who are genuinely going to welcome that.

The political mantra is, "Testing, tracing, isolate." This is how we will overcome this invisible enemy.

Fitts: So here is the question: Are they really going to say, "It's fine if you take my ten- and twelve-year-old children away and put them in a camp that you've created"?

Holland: That was interesting, and we saw this on a website for Washington State. A public health official in Ventura, California came out and talked about taking people out of the home, and he walked that right back. There was a huge amount of pushback.

Washington State also had on its job board that they were looking for people to supervise quarantine centers for children. Similarly, they received a huge amount of pushback. Then they put up on their website, "We want to clarify that that was not for everybody; that was just for children who are already under supervision of the state. This was for children who are in foster care or in

juvenile facilities.”

So I’m not saying that this isn’t possible, but it is clearly possible. It is interesting to me that some of the trial balloons – the first states that are moving forward rapidly – have been pulling that back somewhat.

At least some of them, the ones in Washington for instances, have been talked about. If you only have one bathroom, that will not suffice for isolation if you live with other people.

I watched a video, which I think you’ve seen. It sounds like she was a young woman, and she attended nine hours of contact tracing education provided by Johns Hopkins, who is very much in the thick of all of this. They said, “You can’t even be with you pets.”

It’s insane; you cannot be with your pet! I don’t know how you would take care of your pet.

I heard Governor Inslee of Washington State say, “We hope that your friends will take care of your pets, otherwise, we will bring people to help you and get your food and get your pharmacy.”

As I’ve said before, I think the great silver lining of this is it’s completely unenforceable. I think that at the county and city level, there will be some people with common sense in their public health departments who say, “This is insane. There is no way we can make this happen. This is not solving our problems.”

I think that this is unenforceable. I don’t think that it’s not a threat, but I don’t think that this is doable.

Fitts: Let me play devil’s advocate. As you know, I litigated with the Department of Justice for many, many years. I watched them lie, I watched them break the law, I watched them falsify evidence, and I was quite lucky for a variety of reasons. I was able to put many layers between them and me.

I worked the situation and had a General Counsel who had absolute integrity

and was fearless. So I came out relatively unscathed. But I know how intimidating that process can be if you don't have my kind of training and my kind of resources. That is number one.

Number two, given that, I've seen the law misused to that extent as a political tool,-the ability to use contact tracing as a political tool-if you are coming into a community and you want to take over a particular market share and you want to make sure that those five businessmen have to get quarantined in their house while you are doing whatever you need to do. The uses of this to poach assets are limitless.

Holland: I totally agree with that. The opportunities for abuse here are enormous.

One of the points that I want to make is that this is really a conversation about the police power; this is about the state's police power. We are having a broader national conversation, and there is much to say about it. We are having a conversation about abuse of the police power. So, I am completely in accordance with you. This is a new police power that has very few strictures, a great sense of emergency, and there will be petty tyrants in this. There are people who couldn't get jobs elsewhere who are going to use their power to the fullest.

Is this ripe for abuse? Absolutely; 100%, this is ripe.

Fitts: If we were talking about an infectious disease where you had highly reliable tests, and if you had a politically motivated effort against a person who said you were positive, it would be fairly easy for your general practitioner to prove that you weren't or to prove that it didn't matter.

If we had a highly distinct disease with highly reliable tests, that would be a totally different fact pattern. But from what I've seen of the fact patterns so far, it's going to be relatively easy to get away with murder.

The other thing that disturbs me is, if you look at the people who first introduced this whole concept and were promoting it, it was some of the most lawless people I've ever dealt with, which are Clinton and Dershowitz. These are

the persons who flew Epstein Air. The people who flew Epstein Air are putting into place a construct where they are saying that they can come into your home and grab your children.

That immediately ‘sends the hackles up on the back of my neck’ because there are certain political people who I don’t put anything past in the game of economic warfare. So, you add these police powers with people who were clearly very experienced and active in economic warfare, and that starts to really scare me.

Let me bring up another thing: I was watching an interview with Judy Mikovits where she was talking about how dangerous ‘vaccines’ (which I don’t even think are medicine) are.

If a whole series of experimental concoctions are rolled out and given to people, Mikovits was talking about why she believes 50 million people could get killed as a result of this kind of experiment. If you are going to do that kind of experiment on people, wouldn’t you want the power to do contact tracing to pull the people who’ve been made sick or gotten killed so you could blame it on an invisible, mysterious virus but have the ability to intercede?

Holland: I’m not sure that I followed that last point.

Fitts: I’m assuming that Operation Warp Speed creates a whole series of injectable materials, which they are going to argue is a vaccine. There is probably not going to be only one because you have many companies, and it’s politics. So maybe you are going to try ten.

If you give this to humans before you go through the complete process, or you go through animal trials, I am assuming that you can do plenty of physical damage and harm to many people.

Holland: Sure, I think that what you are suggesting is correct. I believe that what happens with these health forces and this health police that is essentially being set up is it is quite likely that this then becomes the front group for the vaccination. I think that these become the ‘vaccine squads’. I think that is correct.

I think that as soon as Operation Warp Speed comes up with these ten poorly-tested vaccines-for ten years they have been working on coronavirus vaccines unsuccessfully- what actually happens is somebody gets the vaccine, they have this virus in them, are exposed to it, and it's called pathogenic priming. Basically, they get sicker than they otherwise would have, and they are more likely to die. So it could potentially be extremely dangerous, and that's not even talking about all the vaccine add-ons that are absolutely not medicine, and you and I completely agree on that.

So, do I think that there is enormous risk here? Absolutely. President Clinton wants to make these contact tracing forces permanent; he wants this to be AmeriCorps, and he wants them to be operational indefinitely.

I think that the new normal is trying to get people to subject themselves to regular vaccination, whether they call it 'COVID-19' or 'COVID-25' or whatever, it is having digital surveillance and digital certifications for your vaccination status.

Fitts: I don't know if you saw this. I did an interview with Dr. Sherri Tenpenny, and she asked me what I would call the COVID-19 vaccines. We were talking about the gene manipulation aspect and the bioelectronics and nanotechnology. I said, "I don't know what I would call them because we are talking about a cocktail of different kinds of things, and I think that we really need to understand the 'creepy technology' to come up with a name."

So, I was basically saying that just like they put Microsoft operating systems in your computer with a back door, now they want to put it in your brain with a back door. Dr. Tenpenny laughed and said, "That's why they called it 'Windows'."

So one of the viewers emailed me and said, "I think we should call it 'Windows 1984'."

Holland: I love it, and I think that's terrific. When I think about the Windows' platform, I think of it as being a window out, but, of course, it is really a window in. I think that these vaccines are absolutely 100% a window in. As

Gates would have it, based on his patents, it is brain-machine interface. It is looking in at the most intimate level – your blood rate, your brainwaves. It's extraordinary.

Fitts: I think I sent you this, which was from *The Financial Times*. When Operation Warp Speed was announced, I was trying to figure out, “What's this about?”

For the subscribers who don't know about this, Operation Warp Speed is a public-private partnership between the US government and a series of private companies, and it includes the Department of Defense to come up with COVID-19 injectables.

The person they put in charge of it was the head of research at GlaxoSmithKline, and his lead expertise is on bioelectronics and brain-machine interface. I thought, “That's not subtle at all.”

Holland: There is nothing subtle about this. One of the things that you mentioned was talking with Dr. Sherri Tenpenny. She wrote an excellent piece that we put in at the Children's Health Defense website and newsletter. We have no idea what any of these tests mean. It's exactly what you are saying: They have no scientific significance today. The infection doesn't tell you accurately whether you are infected or not, the antibody tests are not accurate, and we really don't know what antibody status means. Even if it were an effective test, clearly the vaccines are going to be based on this idea that they generate antibodies and those are protective, but we don't know that to be a fact at all. Actually, they make you less protected against what, in some people, is a serious disease.

Fitts: Regarding the history of testing vaccines before they are rolled out, if I understand it correctly, we have never had real proof that any of this is safe or effective.

Holland: What we have on the childhood schedule, which is the population that gets vaccinated the most, as well as healthcare workers and elderly for flu shots, is that none of these vaccines that are federally licensed and then federally recommended have ever been tested against true saline placebos – which is astonishing. What is happening right now with these coronavirus vaccine

candidates is they skipped over animal trials in the Moderna public-private partnership with the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases. These are especially scary vaccines.

We have bad vaccines that cause enormous amounts of unacknowledged injury that were tested for tens of years, and now we are going to go out with something that's been tested for a matter of months?

It's interesting. One of the points about the landmark case that allows for vaccine mandates was to mandate the smallpox vaccine. Smallpox was a seriously deadly disease. Thirty percent of people who contracted the disease at that time, died from it. But even with that, it was a vaccine that had been around since the 1700's; it was not an unknown vaccine.

There is discussion of vaccine rights for something that has never existed, but when worked on for decades, and something they will have had a testing on for a couple of months. It's really an astonishing thing that we are living through.

Fitts: I think that this is all about police power, and I think that you are absolutely right. My guess is that the police powers will be effective at dealing with two things: The mess you make after everybody gets the experimental vaccines, and you will be able to clean up the mess and blame it on the virus. Part of that is the clean-up of doing a giant experiment on a large general population.

The other is that you are going to be implementing all sorts of very unpleasant economic activities, and reengineering the economy and the government at the same time. This is an unbelievably political weapon.

Let me mention one other thing: I'm not current on the state of municipal services contracting, but when I was still active with government contracting, one of the things that we were seeing was the defense contractors who were the deepest black budget in Washington, in my experience, were picking up social service contracts around the country, including doing child services.

At the time I was working with one reporter, trying to bring transparency to the fact that one of these contractors had two pieces of litigation against them for

sex slave trafficking in Eastern Europe. They were a 'piece of work'. They were picking up contracts on social services and with children.

My concern is as you watch this push to defund the police, which doesn't have much popular support, to the extent that you can get it done and swing that money over to social services, I am assuming that this is where the contact tracers will be. So, this is not necessarily going to be a group of public employees who are trained as social workers who are going to get that money, but it will be these defense contractors who pop up overnight.

If they have continued to be as aggressive about getting those contracts, my fear is that you already have a Stasi network in place that is ready to pop up as soon as you get the apps, the police power, and the money.

Holland: I think that those are very real concerns, but I know much less about those defense contractors.

One of the things that I'm seeing is that there has been an army around this whole public health/Federal money trough for a long time. That infrastructure around HIV, malaria, tuberculosis has been around for a long time. The Clinton Foundation, the Gates Foundation, and those entities are already scooping up all this money that is being generated with our taxpayer money at the state and the Federal level. So, they are already there and are 'buzzing around', and getting these contracts. It's the Partners in Health and the EcoHealth Alliance and many others like them.

I'm sure that you are correct that there are new forces that have a more military cast that are coming into this space.

Fitts: Whenever the spigot turns on, you are always amazed at who shows up. It's an equal opportunity trough.

I want to talk about the effort there is to now consolidate contact tracing from a state-controlled function into the Federal government. We see a bill called, interestingly enough, H.R.666. I'm holding it up because if somebody told me this, I wouldn't believe it.

Holland: I know! It's outrageous!

I did a little video on that several weeks ago. It's very interesting, and we can talk about that. It was proposed by Bobby Rush. There are questions about how he seems to potentially have come up with this idea last summer at a conference in Rwanda where it just so happened there were people from the Clinton Foundation and the Gates Foundation and the Rockefeller Foundation and so on.

What is really interesting about that bill is that it is an extraordinary bill about contact tracing, and it is \$100 billion to make it permanent. It is extremely vague, and it could be used for vaccination ultimately, or could be used for many things. But what is fascinating is that it has 52 or so cosponsors who have had one Republican. The one Republican from southern New Jersey withdrew his name from it. That bill has gone nowhere; there is no cosponsor, it has gone nowhere, and it is going nowhere.

What has happened is it's almost as if that were a decoy. The provision about basic contact tracing was moved over to H.R.6800, sponsored by Nita Lowey of New York. That bill has already passed the House and had in it \$75 billion for contact tracing.

Speaking to contacts that I have on Capitol Hill, they say that it is called the HEROES Act for the healthcare workers and for infrastructure around health. That bill is dead on arrival in the Senate. Mitch McConnell has said, "We are not doing anything with that," but my intel from people on the Hill is that at some point, that bill will have to move through the Senate. It will likely be at a far lower level, but there is probably going to be something like \$25 billion 'sloshing' around for contact tracing. That was my contact's prediction.

There is also money that we know that has been generated particularly in blue states – California, New York, Washington – and those states have already put this money forward. Democratic politicians are saying, "Testing, tracing, isolating," and creating a tracing army. They are using very militaristic vocabulary and are saying, "This is the only way out of our crisis."

I do think that it's fairly clear that, assuming this virus has spread far and wide

around the world, and it is frankly impossible to contain it at this point, it has already mutated since it started in China. Scientists have already shown this.

If it follows the course of SARS and MERS, two years from now this is completely done. If you look at that article which I mentioned, *Lockdown Lunacy*, viruses have a certain pattern they follow called Farr's law. What it looks like for this is that it peaks at 40 days, and it is essentially gone after 70. So, it keeps circulating, but it follows a very clear trajectory.

What is going to happen if they use this contact tracing, which makes no sense because this virus has already spread so broadly, is that some people will be quarantined repeatedly. They will use their phone app, they will come in contact with somebody, and a contact tracer will either say, "Do this voluntarily or we are going to put you under a detainment order and we are going to basically penalize you \$2,000 a day if you violate your quarantine order."

So if somebody goes into quarantine three or four times, maybe it's picked up by California Workman's Compensation. Who knows? I'm sure that would not be fun to try to fight for. After being put in quarantine four times, you are going to say, "Just vaccinate me. Let me go. I'm done with this."

I think this is wearing people down. This is not the global war on terror; this is the global war on the virus. It's the invisible enemy; it's completely a disproportionate response, but it is one that really is going to change the way people behave, and we are already seeing that.

Fitts: Here is the thing: Death is not the worst thing that can happen.

I was stunned when I looked at Bill 666 to see that Marcy Kaptur was on it. I thought, "What in the world?" So I checked, and they were selling this as making resources available to protect minority communities.

Holland: Bobby Rush is an African-American from the south side of Chicago. That to me, is so appalling that this is being sold as a bill to protect minority communities. There is absolute truth that people in the minority communities of the United States have been more susceptible. They have poorer health, poor access to care, and the vaccine program is disproportionately harmful to people

of color. We know that based on statistics.

It's tragic, and these are the people who are going to be prioritized by this contact tracing. These are the people who are going to have one bathroom for many family members. So, this is going to be targeted at people in the inner city, first and foremost, who live in relatively close quarters, and who don't have the option to have a separate bathroom.

Fitts: And also, who can afford to stay home and not go to work?

Holland: Right. This is just a new form of policing. This is a new form of over-policing minority communities in the United States. This is the 'new and improved' policing, if you will.

Fitts: I'm assuming that your sheriff has the ability to override anything that contact tracers do as a matter of law.

Holland: I don't have a sheriff, but I think that is correct. Law enforcement is still law enforcement. So the law enforcement, whether it's the police force or the sheriff, can either issue detainment orders or they can say to their contact tracers, "Go to hell! We're not having it."

I said to you earlier that I think this is the saving grace of this. I think that there are many people in this country with common sense, and I think they are going to say, "This is a waste of our money. I'm not going to let you harass our citizens with this. This doesn't make sense. If somebody is sick, they should stay home. If they're not sick, they don't have to."

The data on asymptomatic transmission is very weak. It does not appear that people who are asymptomatic are transmitting this disease. It's people who are sick that transmit the disease.

Fitts: I became very involved with what was going on in asset forfeiture in the 1990's. I hired the attorney who had written the asset forfeiture law to help me, and then I spent a good deal of time researching and dealing with what was happening at state and local government levels because the whole asset forfeiture bureaucracy would move state and locally and do plenty to buy people

into the system.

If you look at the places where serious shenanigans occurred, the pressure to buy off the local persons – to buy off the sheriff and to buy off the police and to buy off enforcement – was very heavy, and they had large amounts of money to do it with. So, some places went the wrong way.

What I've been telling our subscribers is, "Look, you have to organize and network locally to make sure that your area and your sheriff go the right way."

Holland: I am 100% behind you there. All politics is local and all law enforcement is local.

Yes, one needs to have one's own network and one's own safe system. Absolutely.

Fitts: You said one thing that I want to finish on before we talk about Children's Health Defense. I thought it was the most intelligent comment I'd heard on contact tracing. You said, "We cannot go into court on this unless we have a groundswell of popular support."

Holland: Courts are not separate from the society at large. There is quantities of good data on that. The Supreme Court doesn't flip on a culture war issue – whether they are reading polls or not – until polls show that there is some support for it.

They had their comeuppance with abortion rights in the 1970's. They're not going to flip a switch on a culture war issue anymore until they see that, essentially, popular opinion has shifted.

Judges are conservative by their nature. These are not firebrands. They would not be judges if they weren't conservative in their temperament.

So, yes, it's not going to be only legal issues. It will be because there is some popular support that this has gone too far. And the contact tracing issues are going to be tough because states do have robust authority around public health. There is no question that states have public health authorities to isolate and to

quarantine for serious infectious diseases.

One can argue, but judges are not scientists. Judges are going to have a hard time saying, “No, this isn’t really deadly.”

Although in New York, for instance, last year it received no mainstream media attention, but we got a judge to say that the measles outbreak was not an epidemic, and that it was not the basis for the county executive to use emergency powers and to force house arrest on children who were not vaccinated, and it was upheld on appeal.

So, some judges are willing to do the right thing. But pushing back against these agendas and ideas that are being floated is essential. Let me give you just one example that happened recently. In May, the state bar association came out and advocated for a coronavirus vaccine, not only for every man and woman and child in New York State, but also at the Federal level. They said, “We all need to get this.” It was clearly a wish list of the pharmaceutical and healthcare industries.

The great thing is that we at Children’s Health Defense had a ‘click to take action’. They got 10,000 emails and thousands of phone calls, and they had their annual meeting on a Saturday. The fantastic thing was that they radically changed their recommendation. They walked it back 1,000 miles saying, “There has to be testing, there has to be science, there has to be evidence, there have to be phase three trials, and we have to include minorities, and a mandate should be the last result, and only if public health authorities think that it’s absolutely necessary.

But even better than that was the entire annual meeting voted not to endorse the health report they had written about COVID-19. They postponed it until November because it raised really serious questions. Many of them said, “We don’t want to go out there recommending a vaccine that doesn’t exist.”

So they were posturing. This was creating the sort of groundswell for the agenda for contact tracing and mandates, and there was a little posturing on the other side. We held a demonstration and sent emails, and they walked it back.

With all of this push on contact tracing, people need to stand up and say, “I’m not going to comply with that.”

Fitts: It’s wonderful to hear what you said about Ventura County and the State of Washington.

Holland: They walked it back because there was an abundance of public outcry. And I have to say that I’m really new to the world of social media – which you are obviously more expert with. Politicians watch Twitter. Politicians are following that information in particular, and if they see what is a groundswell, they take it under advisement.

I’m not saying that they are going to pull back, but they are going to manipulate and go underground and find other methods.

They don’t ever have the resources in terms of numbers that we do. They have money and they have the infrastructure, but they are never going to be able to mobilize people of real passion.

Fitts: People who care.

I have to tell you one story. The funniest thing I ever saw on social media was after the bailouts. It was around 2012 or some time in that period after the bailouts, and everybody was furious. A very young, clever person at JP Morgan Chase decided that Jamie Dimon should do a chat on Twitter.

So, this young man from JP Morgan Chase and the Chairman of JP Morgan twitted on Twitter. Of course, everyone in the independent financial media had been preparing. They had been preparing their tweets, and they were gunning for them. It went on for 24 minutes. It was supposed to go on for an hour, and after 24 minutes they just disappeared – they ran.

If you read them, there were hundreds of tweets from the smartest people in the financial world, and it was the most comical thing. That Twitter feed is the most amusing thing I’ve ever read. They ‘killed’ him; they just ‘killed’ him, and he went running with his ‘tail between his legs’.

Holland: That is exactly right.

Fitts: So, let's talk about the Children's Health Defense. You've heard this story before: A couple of times I have blown up at subscribers or former clients who are asking me in this environment, "What is the best way to position your wealth?"

I say, "If you don't have an army, there is no point in having more assets."

If you look at the police powers that are being used and enforced, and what is going on in the financial markets, people are coming to steal your assets. I've spent plenty of time in financial fraud, and this is the first time that I've seen the perfect integration of what I would call 'health fraud' and financial fraud operating together, which is very scary.

So I said, "You have to fund an army. Unless you fund an army, you're not going to have assets".

One of the things we've done is put up something called the *Take Action Crowdfund*, and the first two groups that we asked to support was to go directly to Children's Health Defense and one other. We also have a general crowdfund.

What I want everyone who is listening or reading this, go to Mary's website and join. She has a great list, and posts great content. If you want to understand what is going on and why they come out with a lie, you need to go to her website and hear why it's 'ya-ya', and it is understandable. She makes it possible for someone like me who doesn't have a science background to really understand what is going on.

I want you to become a member, I want you to support her, and donate if you can afford to donate. Even if you only give a dollar, numbers count. If a million people came onto her website and gave a dollar, that is political power. That really counts. So don't think, "I don't have enough money to make a difference." You do, you have enough money to make a difference.

So, tell us a little about what Children's Health Defense is doing now and how you can use more resources to really make a difference in this time.

Holland: Thank you so much, Catherine. We are so grateful for your support and for that of your members.

Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. is our chair, and he is our general. He is in this fight for the long haul. There are things worse than death, and he is going to fight this fight to his last breath.

We have an amazing team of scientists and lawyers and advocates, and our mission is to protect children's health, to expose those harmful exposures that are causing our children to be sick, and to hold the culpable actors accountable, and to prevent this from ever happening in the future.

Candidly, we can't really protect children at this point without protecting their parents and adults, and they are coming after all of us now. Maybe up until January or February, they were mostly gunning for children when it came to the vaccine compulsory program, but they are now gunning for everybody worldwide.

For sure, our rubric includes adults to the extent that they are necessary for children's health.

We do research, we do advocacy, we do education, but we also do litigation. They are not separable. We have to have the information, we have to be cultivating our membership, and you can become a member for life for \$10. It's really important for us to be able to show the world that we have hundreds of thousands of members. We don't speak for ten people; we speak for hundreds of thousands.

We have millions of people looking at our website, and we are in the process of translating it into a number of different languages so that we can reach people around the world. We are creating state chapters and international chapters. But a very important part of what we do in terms of being the sphere and being the army to push back against the billionaire's war against people, – which is really what it is – we litigate.

I'm an attorney, Bobby Kennedy is an attorney, and we have other attorneys on

staff or that we work with around the country. We are fighting back against the repeal of the religious exemption in New York; we are fighting back on the narrowing of the medical exemption in New York, and we are fighting back against the mandate that was imposed in four zip codes in Brooklyn for measles vaccines last year. That is the prototype for what they are going to do with the coronavirus. We are fighting back against censorship in California, and we are fighting in Tennessee on behalf of a severely injured child from vaccines. That case is really going to expose that vaccines absolutely do cause autism.

Fitts: Where is that?

Holland: It's in Jackson, Tennessee.

Fitts: Really? My father's family is from Jackson.

Holland: I'll be there. It's going to be a big trial, which will be in November. We are doing numerous things.

The biggest case that we have not yet filed, which we are working on now. That is a case that really exposes the overarching fraud that we have been living with for decades. We are working very intensively, and we genuinely believe that this is a syndicate. This is a mafia-like organization that is public and private, and it's only getting stronger right now in the era of COVID.

Fitts: Regarding this game of the invisible enemy virus along with the vaccination: I cannot tell you how profitable this is – not only to make money on injections, but to cover up so many different financial liabilities, and to protect the insurance industry from all sorts of financial liabilities, let alone when we talk about what a brain-machine interface could do.

So, the profits here are phenomenal.

Holland: One of the things that I have learned from you and from *The Solari Report* is to add the financial dimension to this. I think that we focus on the harms from the physical vaccines, which are enormous and the lack of adequate legal infrastructure for that and the liability protection.

But we don't, first and foremost, focus on the money flows. I think what you make so clear is that this is a money machine with the invisible enemy. It's the 'Vaxsiah', the messiah in the form of a vaccine. And this is a forced market – a captive market.

Following the money is another thing that people of common sense really understand.

We are working hard, and we are also working on other issues besides vaccines. We are working on 5G and the harms from radio-magnetic frequencies. So, we have a major lawsuit right now against the Federal Communications Corporation on behalf of Children's Health Defense. We are also suing a baby food manufacturer, Beech-Nut, because they claimed that their baby food was natural, but in fact, it contained glyphosate, which is extremely damaging to infants.

So we are busy, and we are enormously grateful for anyone who signs up for our newsletter. You can sign up for free, and we invite you to do that. We think that it is excellent information that we are putting out. It is full of references and citations. But we also would invite you to join and become a lifetime member for \$10.

As you point out, those \$10 contributions really add up. We get significant money every month from people who have signed up for a lifetime membership, and we are profoundly grateful. We are a nonprofit organization, so we survive on contributions from people.

Fitts: I'm going to tell you another reason to join, and I've heard this more than a few times. We have a subscriber, and I was dying to hear about how things went in Albany. I emailed her and said, "We will pay your expenses if you will go up and cover it."

She came back, and said this again and again, "What a great bunch of people! You want to meet great people? They're a great bunch of people!"

Holland: I have to say that I was there, and I was proud to have been part of the team that put that together. It was a beautiful day. There were people from

all walks of life, all parts of the state, all political persuasions and religious beliefs, all different colors. It was peaceful, it was fun, and what was amazing to me is that it was livestreamed on *The Highwire* with Del Bigtree's website.

We have over 300,000 people who have watched that rally already.

Fitts: That doesn't surprise me.

Holland: We really do have numbers. I think it is very important that we get the word out to the powers that be. You are not going to pull this off without people standing up and fighting back.

Fitts: I'm always telling people that you have to hang out with people who have great integrity. My feedback is that any time everybody gets involved with a group that is doing something locally with Children's Health Defense, they come back and say, "I found my people. I found my tribe. I found my family."

Holland: It was a very happy day, and it also was a sad day because it was the anniversary of the year that 26,000 children were thrown out of school in New York State. There were parents who were outraged, and there were many children there. But it was a happy day that we had come together, we were standing up for our rights, and we were sending a message.

Sending our message actually seems to have had a major result.

Fitts: Right, and you are not alone.

Holland: It was a good day.

Fitts: Give everyone your website and your URL.

Holland: Our website is www.ChildrensHealthDefense.org. You can sign up there for the newsletter, and you can donate there and become a lifetime member. You can send questions and comments to the contact button. We are really grateful for any engagement.

Fitts: The last thing that I want to say, because you have been a hero on *The*

Solari Report, and Robert Kennedy has been a hero; both of you have. Everyone who knows me knows that if you want me to lose my temper and attack you, all you have to say is that nobody is doing anything.

You and your colleagues are my proof that somebody is doing plenty.

Holland: We are working hard and we know that you are, too. We are so grateful for your work.

As I told you, I am learning so much from your report and from your website and from the videos that you have done. I think that you are doing remarkable work.

Fitts: If we can help, we are here to support. We are willing for things to work out.

Holland: I do believe that we can win this. One of the things that I was mentioning to you before we started was that you were talking with James Corbett. One thing I think would help our movement – and I think you are really well-poised to do this – is to help people imagine what it looks like after we beat the billionaire bullies. What does that look like?

If we are not buying into their globalist ideology, what does that picture of human freedom and greater local control really look like?

Fitts: I talked about this with James, and we would need a longer conversation to thoroughly discuss it here, but it is so hard to imagine the destruction of wealth that occurs as a result of central control, let alone tyranny.

Once you have grappled with what happens, life happens with living things; people, animals, plants, and environments. They operate in ecosystems – in places. If you could realign your financial and economic system around the optimization of life within places-the first time that I realized how big it was, I couldn't stop laughing.

We have forgotten how shrunk down individually we have become. Imagine America where 54% of the children do not have a chronic disease.

Holland: Oh my God! Exactly!

Fitts: Remember the day when children could run out and play safely?

I don't know if you ever heard the different comedy routines about street football in Philadelphia, but we would roam free; we had room to roam. That was a very rich and wonderful world.

It's very sad because children today don't remember what that kind of world it could be.

If you look at the financial wealth potential, get ready for everybody to be a billionaire. It's astonishing what could happen if we could achieve civilization. That is why I am a perpetual optimist. I don't underestimate the expense of this fight.

The one thing that I will tell you, having been through a legal and financial fight where it was considered hopeless that I could win, is that I came to learn that regularly I was asked to choose between freedom and my life, and I chose freedom. Then suddenly, everything would reorganize, and an option that wasn't there before would open up.

In Christendom we call it 'standing'. We have to stand for the values and principles that we want to have. That is why I keep saying that death is not the worst thing that could happen here – at all. We have to determine where our line is, and we have to hold that line. I think we can if enough people stand.

It doesn't take everybody, but if you look at the membership that you have and what they have been able to accomplish, every time I see what you are accomplishing – because I know how hard and expensive litigation is – I think, "God, they have a fantastic return on investment!"

Put some more money in their gas tank.

Mary Holland, thank you for educating us. Any time you think we need to get smart about something, let us know and we will do this again.

Holland: I will, Catherine.

Fitts: You have a wonderful day.

Holland: You, too.

MODIFICATION

Transcripts are not always verbatim. Modifications are sometimes made to improve clarity, usefulness and readability, while staying true to the original intent.

DISCLAIMER

Nothing on The Solari Report should be taken as individual investment advice. Anyone seeking investment advice for his or her personal financial situation is advised to seek out a qualified advisor or advisors and provide as much information as possible to the advisor in order that such advisor can take into account all relevant circumstances, objectives, and risks before rendering an opinion as to the appropriate investment strategy.