



The Solari Report

June 11, 2020

The Pentagon Confirms UFO's Videos with Richard Dolan



Guest: Richard M. Dolan

WebPage: [Richard Dolan Press](#)

Bio: Richard M. Dolan, one of the world's leading researchers and writers on UFOs, as well as other thinkers dedicated to pushing back our boundaries and exploring new vistas of our world.

He is the author of two volumes of history, UFOs and the National Security State, both ground-breaking works which together provide the most factually complete and accessible narrative of the UFO subject available anywhere. He also co-authored a speculative book about the future, A.D. After Disclosure, the first-ever analysis not only of how UFO secrecy might end, but of the all-important question: what happens next?

Richard hosts a weekly radio show, The Richard Dolan Show, on KGRA radio, is a frequent guest on Coast-to-Coast AM. He is currently featured on several television series and documentaries, including Ancient Aliens, Hangar One: The UFO Files and Close Encounters.

In addition to his research, Richard's company, Richard Dolan Press, actively publishes innovative books by authors from around the world.

Summary: Something serious just happened. The Pentagon confirmed a series of UFO videos. Let's look at what this means.

First, the U.S. government does not have information sovereignty. The U.S. President cannot speak with a foreign leader without 17 intelligence agencies, their foreign counterparts, and perhaps a telecom company or two listening in and leaking highlights of the conversation.

Second, the U.S. government does not have financial sovereignty. The U.S. Treasury depends on a group of private banks who run their accounts to market trillions in debt, but whose real amount outstanding and ownership continue to be mysteries. With the adoption of FASAB 56 in late 2018, the Treasury shifted out of a sovereign model on a formal basis.

Now, the Department of Defense, where over \$20 trillion has gone missing over the last 20 years—an amount almost as great as the official U.S. debt—has stated publicly that it does not control U.S. air space. Many people have known about this for a long time—but the Pentagon just said it publicly. In other words, despite an annual official expenditure of \$738 billion and average annual ‘undocumentable’ adjustments of \$1 trillion, the U.S. Department of Defense does not control U.S. air space and is not saying who does.

This raises a vital question: Who does control U.S. air space, and what does that have to do with the rapid centralization of political and economic control underway? As a financial matter, there is another question: What is the value of a sovereign bond—such as a U.S. Treasury bond—if the country that issues it is not sovereign, despite receiving trillions of dollars a year in taxes and investment from pensions and retirement accounts?

This week, leading ufologist Richard Dolan returns to *The Solari Report* to describe the Pentagon's statement, discussing why it is important and what it may mean given the unusual events of 2020.

Who's really in charge here? Is it the guys with the high-tech spaceships? Or is it the guys who own a lot of our debt? Or are they one and the same? This is an important question. With incomes devastated by the politically engineered shutdown of the global economy, governments and citizens of the world are falling into a debt trap. This means that central bankers and invisible creditors will increasingly control. Contract tracing may be their vehicle to kidnap children and steal assets. Riots may be a way of getting rid of the police who protect you and me. It would be nice to know who these people behind the scenes are.

C. Austin Fitts: Ladies and gentlemen, it's always a pleasure to welcome Richard Dolan back to *The Solari Report*. He has a new video that is wonderful, and has a new subscription service and many things happening on his website. If you haven't checked it out recently, check it out.

After watching his new video, I said, "Richard, I have to have you back."

So, Richard, welcome to *The Solari Report*.

Richard Dolan: Catherine, it's always a pleasure. I'm so glad to be here with you.

Fitts: You have provided very rich, valuable resources to *Solari Report* subscribers and to your members and the public at your website. I want to go through what's available because we are going to have a high-level conversation. For the people who haven't developed the background or listened to enough of your material, I want to make sure they go to your website.

As you know, for many years we have had your book, *UFO's for the 21st Century Mind: A Fresh Guide to an Ancient Mystery*, as one of our *Top Books for the Year*. We keep recommending it. I want to do that again because I think it's the most complete treatment of the UFO phenomenon and how it integrates all different aspects of our world. I don't think that you can understand our world today without at least including a framework for the uncertainty that this phenomenon has created and many of the things that it has justified.

Let's just go through it. I don't know if you remember this, but our first interview was in 2010 on your wonderful series, *The National Security State*. Maybe you could tell us a little about it.

Dolan: You and I have been talking longer than that. I didn't realize that our first interview was that late because I've known you much longer.

I wrote two volumes of history called *UFO's and the National Security State*. This was really the way that I dove into the UFO subject. Many years ago, I did not know much about this, but I fell into it through my academic studies. I became obsessed with UFOs and wondered: If this is a real thing, where has it been in our history all these years? Why is it not in a single academic history book?

For example, if it were the case that fighter pilots have been chasing after these things, and leading Generals and intelligence officials had written concerned memos about this phenomenon – all of which is true – even if they had the whole thing figured out, why is this not part of our history? It's an interesting subject.

Back in the early 1990's, I thought that I would dive in and find out for myself to satisfy my own curiosity. That morphed into an obsession, and that morphed to me going through hundreds of sources.

Back in the 1990's, the internet was a little different. I did many inter-library loans and pencil checks in the margins of old books. That is really how I did it. But that ended up as a 500-page history called *UFOs and the National Security State*. It is a chronological narrative analysis and treatment of the UFO subject and its connection and intersection with the US government from 1941 to 1973. Then I followed up a few years later with a second volume covering 1973 to 1991.

For many, many years, I have had people saying, "What about Volume III?"

I've had several book projects in between, one of which you just mentioned, *UFOs for the 21st Century Mind*, but there will be a third volume.

The goal of all those volumes of history was for me to carefully document the actual history of this phenomenon in conjunction with US government policy, US intelligence community activities, and also more generally, the cultural history surrounding everything, including the people wanting to end UFO secrecy and the cultural attitudes and so on.

It's as comprehensive and complete a history as I could do. So, that has been my life's work. I can't say enough how lucky I feel that I get to do this. It's a fascinating subject, and not a day goes by when I'm not glad that I'm doing it.

There are difficult days, and there are annoying days, and there is more of that, but when I look at the alternatives, it beats them all. It's a fascinating subject, and it has opened up many, many new paradigms. I guess I should really say that it continually 'shatters' paradigms.

Fitts: I became convinced that you were looking. If the Federal government has a balance sheet, there is a vast portion of it that is secret. I was searching for the missing money. So I thought I was looking at one side, and you were looking at the side that matched up with the money I was missing.

Two of the things that I realized after diving into your work were that I thought that two important uses of the funds were underground bases and false flags. So, we had you back in 2015 to do *'What's Up Underground'*, which is an incredible *Solari Report*. Then we did *'False Flags'* also in 2015. I'm still waiting for your False Flag book and can't wait for that book.

Dolan: I will t jump in and say that I did the series for Gaia TV.

Fitts: Which is excellent. It was *Documentary of the Year* on *The Solari Report*.

Dolan: Thank you so much, Catherine. It was a lot of work.

Segueing that into a book, I'm not going to lie. I had a bit of nervousness about putting that into a book – only in part because of the government hammer coming down on me. Who knows? It would be really difficult to promote that work, but it is very important, I agree. Mainly, because I started feeling that I needed much more research to get into some of the contemporary false flag issues.

What I did was a history of false flag phenomena. I went back mostly through the 20th century, which is where I believe the false flag phenomenon really came into being. People talk about ancient false flags, but I think that is not really accurate.

The tools to create a real false flag did coalesce during the 20th century. There is an interesting history there. Definitely, as you get to the end of the 20th century, it is obvious that it is the US's game, and secondarily, Israel's game, and also secondarily, the UK's game, but really the US has been the king of false flags since the Cold War. There have been so many that it's a daunting subject.

People think that the UFO field is vicious; false flags are much more.

Fitts: That is true.

Dolan: I don't want to sound like I'm 'chickening' out; I'm not. I will do that book, but I've decided that I want to re-prioritize and go back to a UFO focus. I had left it for a little while, and I want to finish my third volume of *National Security State* before I do a false flag book, but it is on the list.

Fitts: In 2015 – and then we discovered it in 2016 – we had the 'mother' of all missing money. There was \$7 trillion going missing in fiscal 2015, the last year of Obama – which I refer to as, "Uh-oh. We're into the cut-and-run."

I had you back to talk about budgets because my vision of all this is that we are like the breadwinner who is financing two families out of one budget, and one family doesn't know the other exists. It's getting more and more difficult and more and more uncomfortable to do it within the existing governmental frameworks.

You and I did some talks on the budget. I have to say that of anyone who is a leader in the UFO field, you are the person who I think has really made an effort to delve in and understand the money. Steven Greer has, too, but you have really made an effort to dive in and understand the budget, and that is important.

Many of the pressures that we see are in and around the budget that caused some of the crazier things to happen are coming from the secret projects. So at the end of 2018; our last interview was in June of 2018. Then FASAB 56 passed, which allowed them to essentially run secret books. I always say that I could have told Epstein that he was a dead man as soon as they passed FASAB 56.

Suddenly now, the government can just do everything themselves. They don't need to launder the money; they can do everything direct in their own accounts. It's quite extraordinary.

Dolan: I have tried to understand – to my limited extent – the machinations of the budget, of the money, and of the finances. It's one reason I've been very interested in your work. I'll honestly tell you that every time that we talk about finance, I find the image that I have is you flying through the air and me just trying to hold on for dear life to your cape.

Fitts: That's the way I feel when you talk about the UFOs.

Dolan: It's really important. I haven't stopped trying to understand global finance; it's complicated for me, and I'm not going to lie. I don't have formal training in economics or finance, so I find that as an adult I'm doing my best to catch up.

Fitts: We had a luncheon for European subscribers in Amsterdam the year after you and I talked about underground bases. There was this very charming Brit who attended. I said, "How in the world did you become a *Solari Report* subscriber?"

He said, "I used to trade in the city of London, and I remember thinking, 'How are the elevator companies making all of this money?' Then I listened to you and Richard Dolan explain underground bases, and I thought, 'Oh! That's how they make that money!'"

When you start integrating with corporate earnings, you start seeing a great deal of very interesting things.

Before we go on, you've done some new things with your website. So, you now have a membership area. Tell us a little about what is going on. Tracy has been helping tremendously. She has taken you up to a whole new level.

Dolan: She is with me here now.

Fitts: Good! Tell us what you've been doing at Richard Dolan's website.

Dolan: For the past two years, my wife, Tracy, and I have been running a website called www.RichardDolanMembers.com that has been very active. I have to say that it has been the rejuvenation for my own interests and engagement in the UFO subject.

I don't want to say that I 'fell off', but I will say that I was definitely looking at other projects. So, we have reorganized this website or re-created this website. I create content multiple times every week for it. Tracey will often record me doing, what we call, 'off the cuffs'. I do quite a few of those. Sometimes I do video 'off the cuffs', but I also do a lot of formal material for it. I will do a weekly podcast – usually a video podcast – for members of the site. They are usually about an hour long. I also do quite a few articles and other things.

There is not much outside contributions. Many websites like yours and other great member sites will bring in contributors. I haven't yet gotten to that, so it's really my little game.

We have an amazingly active forum for the site. It's a closed group. What we discovered is that, just like with *Solari*, there are many brilliant members who are part of it. So, Tracy and I spent a lot of time to organize this and to create a viable forum for those individuals to post their articles, their thoughts, their ideas, and their exchange with each other – with proper moderation. So, we have a couple of moderators who do a great job, and I'm so pleased about that.

Fitts: You're dealing with a phenomenon which is so complex. Having feedback and input is important. I know on *Solari*, I am getting input and feedback, for example, on COVID-19 from doctors and nurses and scientists all over the world. It's so fantastic because these things are very complex phenomena. You can't do it all as one person; it's just too much.

Dolan: I have benefitted tremendously from the members of our site. It's been a really great experience for me. So, that is: www.RichardDolanMembers.com.

I still have www.RichardDolanPress.com, which is a website that essentially houses the books that I've written and has some of the authors that I have published.

Then we have a very active YouTube channel and we have actively been pushing the YouTube channel. For many years, I had it, and it was inactive. I looked at it, and I thought, "I haven't done a thing, and I have 8,000 subscribers to this." So, we decided to really work it a few years ago, and we are closing in on 90,000 subscribers.

Fitts: People are very interested in the UFO phenomena; they are very, very interested. They know something is going on, so they want to know.

Dolan: If I may mention one thing, I will let members of your site know that I'm about to launch a webpage for this. I am going to be doing an online virtual conference.

Fitts: Wonderful!

Dolan: I'm really excited about this! This is on June 6th, so it's coming up soon, and it's cheap. I've really worked hard to get the pricing down as much as possible. It's a couple of lectures that are \$29 a lecture, but a day pass is \$69.

Essentially, I'm doing one lecture on how COVID-19 is hastening humanity's lurch towards, what I call, 'our fourth stage of existence', and I will gladly talk about that. I definitely think that we are in a major civilizational and species transition, and I think that the COVID-19 pandemic is moving us along.

My other lecture will be on alien agendas and executive briefings. So there are multiple agendas. I've been trying my best to understand what I actually think is going on in terms of non-human intelligence presence on this planet. I've never in all these years been explicit in talking about what I think is going on. I've hinted here and there, but I am preparing it as an executive briefing.

Then a third part of it will include an interview between my research colleague, Alejandra Rojas, and me. She was able to get good information out of me. Then there will be a couple of exclusive AMAs interspersed within that as well. That is called 'An Executive Briefing with Richard Dolan', and that will be on June 6th.

I don't have a link for it yet, but I will probably be creating that link today.

Fitts: You have an email list. If we are signed up on your email list, will you send out a notification?

Dolan: Gladly.

Fitts: Just go to your website and sign up, and please give the URL again.

Dolan: It's www.RichardDolanMembers.com.

Fitts: Let's dive in. Something happened, you did a tremendous amount of work. You have even written a book envisioning what disclosure could be like. We've had a very significant event. It's what your video was about, and it's why I wanted you to come back.

It hasn't gotten much attention, and yet from my standpoint in the financial markets, this is an earth-shattering, life-changing event. I'll talk about the finances after you explain what it is.

The Pentagon has done something. They have taken a first step towards disclosure. Tell us what they did and what you think it means.

Dolan: Quite recently, less than a month ago, the Pentagon made an official admission and an actual formal release of three videos of UFOs or, as they politely call them, UAP.

For people who have been following the UFO subject for the past couple of years, these videos have actually been in the public domain since the end of 2017 and the beginning of 2018. They have actually been released. They are called the Tic Tac UFO video, the Gimbal UFO video, and the Go Fast video. These are all US Navy in their origin, and they are interesting. They are only snippets of what we know are the much fuller version of those videos which have not officially been released at all. In fact, I don't think that they are out at all, in any case.

They originally got out through the auspices of the organization TTSA, which is fronted by the singer, Tom DeLonge. With numerous intel people and government individuals, mostly retired, who are with him, they have their complete story.

What they ended up doing – and I'm quite convinced that I have this part right – they were able, very cleverly, during the latter part of 2017, to arrange for these three videos to be cleared for release by the Navy. One of their members, Luis Elizondo, is also quite prominent with the organization. He was really the one who was pushing to get the FOIA (Freedom of Information Act) request on these videos. The reason I think he got them cleared back then was that he was not really explicit that these were true UFO videos. They were described as 'Advanced Aerial Aircraft' and that type of thing. It was a bit hazy, and I also think that he had friends at FOIA within the proper channels to get this thing cleared.

The next thing you know, the videos are out, and the Navy and the Pentagon did not really own them for the longest time. They unofficially were allowing them out, but they didn't really own them.

What you had over the next several years was an army of skeptics coming up with all types of reasons these videos were fake or, conversely, why they were unimportant. There just wasn't enough data and so forth.

Fitts: Explain why the videos show technology which is beyond what we are considered to have publicly.

Dolan: They do somewhat, but they also don't because they are too short, but they are very interesting.

I would say that none of the videos are by themselves a 'slam dunk' that you would say, "Oh my goodness! This is ET tech!" However, they are in conjunction with the very authoritative witness testimony that the company is in.

For example, the Tic Tac video, which was the first one released and the most famous of them, dates back to 2004. This has to do with the fantastic encounter by the USS Nimitz and the USS Princeton and the battle group that was off the coast of San Diego at the time in 2004, where they encountered a multiple of these objects according to chief radar technician, Kevin Day. They were encountering these bizarre objects for weeks that could do maneuvers that would kill any ordinary human pilot. They were dropping altitude rapidly and changing direction and so forth.

On the day in question in November of 2004, two F/A-18 Super Hornets were sent to intercept this object which they caught again. The lead commander of the group was David Fravor. He has talked about this encounter many times. He saw it, which was near the water. It was either on the water or just below the water or just above the water, and the water was frothing.

He circled down to get it, and it rose up to meet him, and then shot off horizontally, and it was instantly gone from the line of sight within two seconds. The estimated speed can't be less than 10,000 miles per hour, but it could easily be more.

So along with that is a video of that Tic Tac UFO that was not recorded by Fravor's aircraft; it was recorded by a follow-up F/A-18 mission where they went to encounter this, and they reencountered it. In the video the object is there, and then it kind of moves off to one side of the frame.

You could take that out of context without any story to accompany it, and you would think, “Well, that is interesting, but I don’t really know what that is.” But in conjunction with everything that we know, and in conjunction with the fact that the Navy now – after more than two years – has said, “Yes, this is a genuine UAP/UFO video. We don’t know what this thing is.”

That is very powerful. The same applies to the second video called the Gimbal UFO video. This was in conjunction with the sightings off the US East Coast in 2014-2015, where there was a series of sightings in connection with the USS Roosevelt off the Atlantic Coast. That, too, is a very interesting video. You hear the pilots in one chattering among themselves saying, “What is that?” and, “What the hell is that,” and using all kinds of colorful language to describe this, but they are clearly baffled by it.

It’s absurd because for a couple of years, skeptics on various message boards would say, “The audio has to be hoaxed because the video only shows infrared of an ordinary commercial airliner. It’s ridiculous and not true.”

The Navy has owned it. They have said, “Yes, this is ours.”

Then a third video, known as the ‘Go Fast’ video, there isn’t as much information that I have about that, but it is an interesting video in and of itself. Again, you hear Navy people describing, “What is that? It’s moving very fast,” and they are laughing because they are just astonished by it. It’s a very, very fast-moving object.

Those are the three videos. TTSA, the private organization with ex-intel people, essentially had it released a couple of years ago. Over the last few years in the interim, the Navy particularly made a number of statements to authenticate all kinds of things about UFOs. It’s really an amazing thing.

For example, a year ago, they announced that they were creating new guidelines and protocols for their pilots when they encountered UAP.

Fitts: It’s so common that we need protocols.

Dolan: Absolutely! And several other statements that they made over the last year to support the idea that, yes, their pilots encounter UAP. In fact, they stated that several times last year.

It got a little news. But when you really think about this, for those of us older than 15, we grew up with the idea that UFOs did not exist or were completely explainable or conventional. Had any branch of the military or government even 10 or 15 or 20 years ago made such a statement, it would have 'blown' people away, and yet here we are now.

This is one of the themes of my video that you were commenting on, which is called 'The Pentagon's Latest UFO Admissions' which streamed recently in May of 2020. We would have been blown away any other time, but all of these little admissions, including this latest one by the Navy, just seem to be ho-hum. "Another UFO bit of information," and there is minimal follow-up.

The only major media follow-up that you see on a semi-regular basis is probably Tucker Carlson at Fox.

Fitts: Which I thought was great that he brought it up.

Dolan: Other than him, you get very innocuous, uninteresting, non-curious corporate establishment media coverage. It's astonishing. But it is coming out. One high level scientist who I chat with from time to time about this said, "Yup. It's more toothpaste out of the tube." I think that's a good way to look at it.

Fitts: Here is why I think that this is so significant. I'm thinking back: Do you remember when Greer brought together that huge group of military intelligence officers and did something at the National Press Club? It was in the summer of 2001, right before 9/11. It was a very powerful collection of documentation about this phenomenon. Of course, then 9/11 managed to put the 'kibosh' on it – as it 'kiboshed' many things, including more missing money.

The reason I think this is so significant is that the United States is the largest issuer of securities globally in the financial markets. It issues what people refer to as sovereign bonds, meaning that it's a bond in a taxing authority with a monopoly on physical force within its jurisdiction. We have been watching a steady progression of the US government admitting that it doesn't have sovereignty.

First, it doesn't have information sovereignty because you have 17 intelligence agencies and Israel and the Brits who can listen to the President have a conversation.

It goes onto the Amazon cloud, and everybody leaks it. So corporations are running the systems, and you have all sorts of parties. So, government officials can't have a private conversation to come to a policy conclusion because there is no information sovereignty outside of the deep state. So we have been steadily losing information sovereignty, and then financial sovereignty.

Now you are at a point where you are in a debt spiral up. So you borrow money from the pension funds, the money goes into Treasury, then it disappears out the back door, but you still have the debt and liabilities, and the debt is now going up.

I recently listened to a presentation, and CBO says that the deficit is expected to go to \$3.7 trillion, but Larry Lindsey says \$5 trillion, and I believe him. The debt is real. We don't have financial sovereignty anymore.

Dolan: Exactly.

Fitts: You are a prisoner of the bond market and the central banks. But now the US military has just announced that it doesn't control the air space.

They announced that at 9/11, but nobody believed them for obvious reasons. Now they are saying that whether it's a breakaway civilization or Nazis on the moon or ET, they don't control the air space. That is what they are saying.

Dolan: That is a really important fundamental insight that you are putting out there, and I'm glad that you are.

Fitts: Here is why it is fundamental: It is fundamental to the bond market. If we are paying you \$1 trillion a year but you don't have information sovereignty and can't even control your air space, what are we getting?

Dolan: The government issues the bonds. So, on the pretense that they are the sovereign entities able to do that, you are making a valid point here.

Fitts: The other thing is that we refuse to obey their laws related to financial disclosure. So, we are going to borrow this money from your pension funds, we are going to get all your taxes, and we will spend it in whatever way we want. It is going to be secret, and you won't know what it is.

So, you are really ‘pushing the envelope’ on what the government is. It’s not surprising to see an effort to use what I would describe as an excuse to certain very authoritarian controls because the system, from a financial standpoint, is in what I would call a cut-and-run. You can’t continue it on the same basis; it’s been operating for the past 60 or 70 years. The question then is: What’s next?

This brings me to the third thing that I want to talk with you about, which is that I have known you and listened to your work for many, many years. I find it to be completely grounded, very solid, and it has been an essential piece of what I needed to understand what was going on in the financial markets. I could not understand the financial markets without integrating what I’ve learned from you and what I’ve learned from Joseph Farrell with respect to all these different phenomena.

I’ll take you back to 2014. Every financial commentator I knew in the independent media was saying that the US dollar was going to collapse. I kept saying, “No, it’s going to go up.”

Dolan: I hear a lot about this again in 2020.

Fitts: I thought it was going to go up because I was looking at the black budget and what was going on behind the black budget and what the tools were available to extend the dollar system and project force. Despite the incredible quality of your work, I have never been able to get a complete realm of the financial world or independent media to come out of their trance and admit that we have to integrate this phenomenon. It’s part of reality.

If we are going to get to a sustainable civilization, we have to deal with reality. Just because I don’t understand something doesn’t mean I can’t integrate it.

So, we live on a planet where the government system is invisible. The only thing that I am sure of about the governance system is that they rule by force, which means that the plane that can go the fastest wins in the game of force. So whoever these people are, my big question on the economy is: Is it closed or is it open? In my experience, this economy behaves like an open economy. Everything I’ve said that has been right about a slow burn instead of a collapse is because I’m thinking that this is an open economy.

Dolan: I'm sure your subscribers get that idea of yours, but there are always people coming on board for the first time. I want to see if I can explain that very quickly. An open economy is like a house with the window wide open, and all the heat is 'flying' out. So in an economy that is open, there is a window or doorway by which money is being syphoned out to another economy, or even another society.

Fitts: Right. It's not only money being syphoned out, but you could be trading. You could be trading technology or goods and services.

The other thing is that I have tried for decades to estimate who owns our debt, and I cannot even come up with a theory of who owns our debt.

Dolan: Can anyone understand that?

Fitts: All that I can tell you is that the bond market controls the government. That is what James Carville said. "When I die and reincarnate and come back, I want to come back as the bond market because you can rule everything."

I don't know that the bonds are owned on planet; I just don't know that.

Dolan: It's not a Rockefeller-Rothschild-etc. ownership necessarily?

Fitts: I have worked at the very top, and everybody I ever met along the way – top to bottom – was an agent; they weren't a principal.

Dolan: Wow!

Fitts: Part of it is that it's a big planet, even if you're a Rothschild. You have to build consensus. They are complex operations.

Dolan: They were an agent of a known entity?

Fitts: I'll take that back. Once in my life when I was very young, I met someone who might have been a principal. But everyone that you think of, like Warren Buffet and Mark Zuckerberg or Jeff Bezos, are all agents; they are not principals.

Bill Gates is not a principal; he is an agent. And I will tell you why. Do you know what a company that is referred to as a proprietary is? A private CEO or entrepreneur starts a company, and he makes a deal with someone in government. It could be on the overt side or the covert side whereby he gets government contracts or government housing subsidies, but they are the basis of making the economics work.

Some of it could be contract, and some of it could be subsidy flows, or some of it could be rules and regulations. There is the old New Jersey street expression that I always use, “Make a law, make a business.”

So you do a deal with the CIA, and they rig some HUD contracts for you. The next thing you know, you have a property management and real estate development business, but you are a proprietary because you exist at their whim. Without their support you wouldn't exist. Without their support you wouldn't get income.

The allegation on Gates was always that he was allowed to roll up several things. IBM didn't want to be the frontman on the operating system, so they got Gates. But what he agreed to do was put in a back door for the NSA. So, he got all the government contracts and even created special aversions for government – so I'm told. That is because it was basically a way of engineering a back door into almost every computer in America.

Dolan: This was his way of vaulting himself into that select group, and making money while he did it.

Fitts: Here is the problem that I run into, and I used to run into this all the time. You would get a company that was run by a CEO, and it would be a proprietary. Basically, the CIA thought they owned it, the CEO thought he ran it, and the board and the top private investors, like at the Harvard Corporation, thought they ran it. So, you would have this multiple personality disorder where these three groups would fight and disagree all of the time.

Basically, if the equity was X, they all thought they owned 3X. So, there would always be this personality disorder and tug-of-war.

So the NSA and government grows Microsoft, and their way of justifying and explaining all of this is that, “Gates is a genius.”

Dolan: Of course, it's the same thing with Google.

Fitts: Yes, Google is the same way, and Facebook as well. I could tell you the whole Zuckerberg story.

They put these young people out there, and they say, "They're cool. They're hip. They're geniuses." This is their excuse because otherwise, the story would be obvious as to what is really going on.

Then they do the anti-trust deal because they have to get Gates back in the box, and I think on that deal, they basically said, "Here is the understanding. You are going to go out and do the Harvard Corporation number two with the Gates Foundation."

One of my questions is: How much of the missing money have they been laundering into the Gates Foundation? Basically, he is a member of the syndicate, and he does what he is told. So, whether it was putting the back door into all of our computers and now trying to put the back door into all of our brains, he is working for the syndicate, and he is not a principal; he is an agent.

Dolan: Despite his billions. Well said.

Fitts: It's not his billions. You have a syndicate behind him who think it's their money. I'm not saying he's not powerful because he's part of getting the work done, but I'll never forget this. I tweeted out one of his proposals when he went onto *Reddit* and laid out his vision of chipping us. One of my Twitter followers tweeted back, "Well, I guess he finally kept the anti-trust settlement bargain."

He was essentially saying that this was what he agreed to do.

Dolan: That is quite logical.

Fitts: What that means is that power on this planet is phenomenally centralized. That is compatible with an open, not a closed, economy.

I say this because I think it is so extraordinary that you and I live on a planet with these other well-educated, intelligent people, and we have no idea what the governance structure on our planet is; it's secret.

Dolan: You are now speaking my language. For the past 20-30 years, even before I wrote my first UFO book, I have been obsessed with trying to understand what the true structure of power is on Planet Earth. It seems like such a simple thing when you are in civics class in elementary school. You learn that you elect your member of Congress and your elected officials, and if you don't like their job, you 'throw the bums out' and elect new people.

Of course, the world is so different from that. I've been trying to understand that very complex and opaque structure of power ever since.

I want to get into this with you more. It's daunting for me. I have been very lucky. I had a fantastic formal education. I've continued to be very motivated for the remainder of my life to study this on my own. I have a good memory, so I am able to retain my facts and all that, and it is still hard for me.

I have thrown myself into this. So I think that for ordinary people who have day jobs, it's very difficult.

Fitts: It's overwhelming.

Dolan: We have a lot of work to do to understand this. When we find each other, when I see someone like you out there, I'm like, "Oh my God! There is someone else!"

Fitts: I believe that we have the power to know the answers to these questions, but you can't find them unless you create a framework for your uncertainty and you share it. Then we go to work together to figure it out.

I believe without having at least the unanswered questions on the table, the whole situation becomes unbearable.

For example, I am working on something now, and I will send it to you once it's done. It's called *The State of Our Currency*, and it's about the fact that we are in a transition state. The old system, which is the dollar system, is 'long in the tooth', and we are trying to keep it going. Then we are trying to bring up the new system, but the new system is absolutely unattractive and unacceptable to the broad group of Western society.

There is this huge transition going on. Because both the old and the new are unsettled, it feels very incoherent. But it would be unbearable because I'm constantly being asked, "What should the new system be?"

I keep saying, "Look, the financial system is only a component, a part of the governance system. What is important is what the governance system is going to be."

The current dollar system could work if you reform it; it could work just fine. But you would have to change the governance system. The problem is not with the financial system; the problem is with the governance system, and the governance system wants to go someplace that we don't want to go.

Dolan: Can the dollar work with all the debt that is being piled onto it?

Fitts: Absolutely. I'm not saying that is the best way to do it, but here is the thing: Right now, we are running the economy on a negative return on investment. We are using money to buy people and to control things centrally, as opposed to letting them optimize in a market or in a system that allows them to optimize.

The money is being organized for central control, as opposed to economic productivity because the goal is central control and not economic productivity.

Dolan: This will get us to move into the main section that you wanted to talk about. That seems a good segue.

Fitts: The question is: Where is the governance system going with this, and why are they going? If you look at where they want to go, it's the end of freedom. They want to go to slavery. That is what they are looking to implement – slavery.

I don't know if I ever told you this. I read a fabulous book that I keep talking about, and it comes up in many interviews. It's called *The Half Has Never Been Told* by a professor at Cornell who went deep into the economics of the slave trade and came back with a theory of how it works. My theory, after reading this book, is that one of the reasons they cancelled it was that the City of London banks kept losing money on the slave trade because they couldn't perfect the collateral. But as soon as they had digital technology that they thought they could use to chip people, for the first time in a couple of hundred years they had a way to perfect the collateral.

Dolan: To make slavery profitable – or at least better for them.

Fitts: If you think you can run everything with AI and quantum computing and software and cut out middle management – because I think that the people at the top are very frustrated with middle management – and you can download an operating system with a back door and mind control, there you are.

If you look at where they want to go, it's the ultimate psychopathy of the materialist model. A man is a machine, and the question is: Who is more efficient, robots or people?

It's going off into the final insane stage of that whole model. It comes down for the rest of us to say no, but before we can say no we have to look at the real risks that the people, whether at the top or middle management, are grappling with. That leads us to the question of: Do aliens exist and live among us? That is the very question that started my journey.

I am going to put up in the commentary a link to my telling the story of the Navy in 1998, trying to persuade people that aliens existed and lived among us.

It's something that I've talked about with you before. You 'rocked' the room at the 21 Club luncheon when someone asked you that. I don't know if you remember this: You told the story about your colleague in the Welsh train.

Dolan: I forgot that I told that story! That was a crazy and absolutely – for me – believable individual. She actually had the experience. She was a young student in Western England in the late 1990's, and she boarded a train going west. She got onto the car, and it was packed. She said it was absolutely packed; there was one open seat.

I won't go into all the details, but she had a lot of bizarre experiences with the people who were in her little cabin area. She became convinced that none of these people were actually human beings.

The coup de grace was when they hit one stop, and everyone got up and filed out of the train car in the most ultra-organized, record-speed method she had ever seen. She had never seen a normal human group leave the train car with such precision.

Fitts: It's like one intelligence; the Borg.

Dolan: It was exactly like that. She was not a researcher; she was a very intelligent, quiet person who went on living her life, and she approached me at the end of one of my lectures and told me this story. I have her name.

Fitts: It's always an anomaly that gives it away.

Dolan: There are a variety of these types of stories that I have collected over the years. It's not many, but enough. Then combined with other researchers, I am absolutely convinced that when we talk about the alien presence, some of these aliens don't look like us, but some do. In fact, when you really go through the long history of UFO sightings – of what I would call credible sightings of craft – enough of them have witnesses saying, “It was a totally human-looking occupant in this craft.”

You get these stories so many times. Researchers want to ignore them because it's easy to be committed to an ET hypothesis and that kind of thing. But the fact is that these are the sightings, and many of them include totally human occupants.

That has to be part of the picture.

Fitts: Sometimes I will make a list of every possible explanation from time travel to crypto-terrestrials to breakaway civilizations to ‘It's all mind control’. I have one subscriber who keeps saying that it is all a hologram and it's disinformation. There is a whole list, but whatever it is, I was criticized once by a financial person for involving this as a conspiracy theory. I said, “Wait a minute. We've got \$150 trillion of hardware flying around in the sky. Don't you want to know who is manufacturing that? Don't you want to know who is getting the contracts?”

He said, “Oh, I see your point.”

But you did an interview in 2018 or last year, and you recently re-ran it, with a retired professor from Temple University in Philadelphia, where I grew up. His name is David Jacobs, and I have always found his books fascinating, and I have always found him fascinating. I think that he is a very serious person who has worked very, very hard. His efforts absolutely command the most respect because it's tough to do what he does, and you know the kind of pushback you get when you get into the abduction phenomena.

Maybe you could tell us a little about David Jacobs and your interview with him.

Dolan: David is a retired professor of history at Temple University. He taught there for his whole career, and he became famous through his various books. He got into alien abduction research starting in the 1980's, and wrote a number of books on the subject.

In my opinion, David is probably the most perceptive of all the writers that ever existed on alien abduction, and that is saying something because there have been Budd Hopkins and John Mack. There are some very good writers and researchers today like Yvonne Smith, who stand out in my opinion.

I think that David has probably been the best. The reason is that of all the researchers, he is the only one who has tried almost to do, what you call, counter-intelligence on these beings. In other words, he tries to understand what they are actually doing.

He was the first person, 30 years ago, to develop – based on all the regressions that he did – an overview of the abduction process. “How long does this part take? How long does this part take?” He put them all in order, and no one had done that before.

Over the subsequent years, through the 90's and into the 21st century, he continued to try to understand the alien agenda – for lack of a better word. His interpretation of that agenda is very famously dark and as scary as ‘hell’ because that whole agenda can be summed up in two words: Planetary acquisition. In his view, that is what they are about.

In his view, all of the large craft are basically hybrid factories where they bring people up, they extract their sperm and ova, and they are creating a hybrid species with just enough of their DNA to send them back down to Earth. They look like humans, but they are not humans. They have the telepathy and they have mind control, and they are inserting themselves into our society. This is what he believes is happening.

It's a nefarious plan without a doubt.

Fitts: I do want to say that if you read his books, this is not something that he concluded quickly; this came from many, many years of meticulous study and judicious analysis.

Dolan: Absolutely. To listen to David, you realize immediately that you are listening to a highly intelligent, sophisticated, subtle man. He doesn't just jump into an idea because he thinks it's a cool idea. He is very measured. He is data driven, and he is working off of his data.

The whole critique could be, "When you are doing hypnotic regression, you are inducing confabulation in the individual and so on." I disagree with that. I think confabulation is always a danger. That is true. But I took the time to try to understand the nature of hypnotic regression and the process, and in my view, I don't think that is the primary worry. I think that it is something to be aware of, but when you listen to the way that the transcripts of these sessions go, it is very difficult to come to that conclusion.

He has evaluated over 1,000 individuals – or some ridiculously high amount – and he is getting consistent results. This is his conclusion.

I don't disagree with him, and I will talk a little more about the interview itself, but I do suspect that his conclusion is incomplete. I think there may be another element to this that he just doesn't focus on.

In terms of our interview, this might be the last interview or one of the last interviews that he will ever do. He said to me that he doesn't feel up to his old standards, and essentially he is retired.

Fitts: I think that he would like to enjoy life.

Dolan: I think so, but I was very proud of the interview that I was able to get from David. It is really superb.

He talked about these issues and how he is quite convinced that contemporary ufology and contemporary abduction research is really not looking carefully enough at these issues that he has been focused on in his career.

Fitts: One of the reasons I wanted to bring this up is that if you look at a many of the bizarre, controlled methods that have been applied and are being proposed right now, they are the bizarre, controlled methods that you would implement if you had a problem of interspecies management.

Dolan: Yes.

Fitts: They make much more sense viewed from that vantage point. I'm not saying that that makes it true.

Dolan: It would also be true, though, simply from the point of view of a purely human cabal. I would say that. It could be either way. But I would agree that it could fit in if there are others who have infiltrated themselves into our power structure and they want to consolidate control. What we are seeing now would certainly fit that.

Fitts: The other thing that I can tell you – because I went through a process for many years of driving all over America – is that there is a breeding program. That is a fact. What you would see is somewhat like the Cylons (a cybernetic civilization). I had one that I called the 'Jason model'. You would see the same boy, seven or eight years old, throughout 100 different cities. It basically looked like one father and 1,000 mothers. It was almost the same, exact child again and again and again, but you would only notice this if you were driving around.

I was saying, "Wait a minute, I just saw that kid in Tulsa, and I just saw that kid in Oklahoma City, and I just saw that kid in Kansas City. What is this kid doing in Denver? He looks almost exactly like his twin!"

Dolan: Good grief!

Fitts: If you go back to the secret societies, there were things called special breeding clubs where a very prominent person would have offspring with all the different families, and it was a way for families to get promoted. So, there is definitely a special breeding club going on, but not on this scale.

Dolan: The Nazis were working on that during their time.

Fitts: If you examined the children, it looked like a Nazi kind of breeding program. I mean, the kids very much looked either Scandinavian or Germanic.

When they called it 'Homeland Security' I said, "Uh-oh. This is not a good sign."

I don't know if you saw when Bill Gates referred to the vaccine as the 'final solution' and the interviewer said, "Maybe we shouldn't call it that!" I just tweeted it out. It's in a video and recorded.

Dolan: He actually said that?

Fitts: Yes, he said, "The vaccine will be the final solution," and the moderator was trying to make him look good. The moderator said, "Maybe we shouldn't call it that!"

Bill Gates said, "Oh, right, right."

Dolan: Raising Bill.

Fitts: The other thing that I wanted to bring up, and I would encourage people to watch that video because I think we need to open our minds to understand what the risks are that the leadership is dealing with here, and we need to think a little more creatively about who the leadership is.

I've always been concerned that we could have a false flag. We know that there has been planning by the military for false flags using aliens. We believe that. Maybe you could talk a little about the danger of an alien false flag.

Dolan: I definitely think there are dangers of false flags. I continue to believe that we live in an era of false flags. I think that because when you have the pretense of a false society, which we do have officially, but you still have the need to corral people like a herd of cattle, which they do, you have to find ways of manipulating them. False flags are one really good tool in the toolkit to do that. It is a powerful tool. So, that is a continuing need.

Regarding the alien false flag, there are ways that I believe it, and there are ways that I don't believe it. The way I don't believe that there will be an alien false flag is the kind of classic scenario that some people call 'blue beam' in which there are holographic projections of alien ships designed to 'scare the heck' out of people to make them run to the government for protection to implement a New World Order instantly. I'm just going to state that I have never been a believer in that scenario. That is a scenario that has been circulating for the entire 21st century. I am very familiar with the origin of that theory.

It started not as an alien invasion theory; it actually started in the early 1990's as a second coming of Jesus Christ theory that was supposed to be a fake second coming. When the originator of that theory died, it morphed into an alien invasion theory around the time of Y2K in the 20th century.

I don't find that credible, and I find the logistics of that to be a nightmare. Think about it. You have a world of scientists who are not simply skeptical of UFOs, but frequently are intensely hostile to the idea. To convince them that those holograms in the sky are alien is not going to 'fly'.

You might get some people, but I do think that there is a lot of opportunity for deception and lies on the UFO subject, and it can definitely be – in my view – that when we get to a point where a government (like the US government) is ready to announce the existence of extra-terrestrials in one form or another, we have to assume that of the mountain of information that is available on this, they are going to take a sliver of it. They are going to take the one sliver that promotes their interests in the best way, and that is going to be to instill just enough fear that it will work to their benefit.

The thing is that too much fear is not a good idea, either. Too much fear can prompt a situation to get out of control. I've never seen the benefit of a government trying to impose absolute terror like, "The aliens are invading!" I can't see that as realistic. But I can see a very judicious, select cultivation of certain facts. They don't have to lie about much of this; they can select their facts to promote their interests. I believe if we were to call that a false flag, fine, but I think that is more like spin and propaganda and that type of thing.

Fitts: There are two other things that I would like to bring up. One is that throughout the last 30 years, the central banks have been very aggressive in a very inflationary money supply and a very liberal monetary policy, but they have always been able to offset it with a variety of highly deflating sayings, whether it's globalization and labor deflation globally or with new technology – which is very deflating.

I think the ultimate deflater, which we haven't seen yet – is breakthrough energy technology.

Dolan: Exactly!

Fitts: So, yes, we are about to go up the hyper-curve. I always thought they wouldn't bring breakthrough energy out until they had control because they are terrified that if this technology gets out it could be weaponized. You want very tight central control.

Once again, I have all the financial people saying, "Hyper-inflation is guaranteed," and I'm thinking, "Not necessarily."

Talk a little about what the technology is on the other side of this fence which could come over and rock our world.

Dolan: I'm taking a book off my shelf written by a friend, Joel Garbon, called *Breakthrough Power*. I think that there are a couple of possibilities of what we would call 'free energy solutions'.

I'm not going to pretend that I have the solution which is the magical one. Still, I will say that I am familiar with some of these ordinary people in the public world who are working on what they consider to be free energy solutions – whether that is something like cold fusion, which was once laughed out of the room 30+ years ago and has not left the room. Cold fusion is still a viable candidate in my view for breakthrough energy.

There are other forms of genuine nuclear fusion that are safe, and then there are a variety of others.

I don't know what the solution is, but I do believe that we've had very strong evidence of genuine crash retrievals in terms of UFO phenomenon. This probably isn't the interview for me to go into all the reasons I think that is the case, but I will say that there is an unbelievable, consistent, and large amount of difficulty to get witness testimony, but researchers over the years have gotten it. It is very consistent, and it shows that through the 1940's and 1950's and beyond, the United States military came into possession of craft that we did not make. For all intents and purposes, they are ETs.

With that study, there are hints that have come out over time that showed human scientists studying this have been absolutely baffled. This is way, way beyond us, but it doesn't mean that they have not made any progress.

What I hypothesize – and this is my idea of a breakaway civilization – is that when you have teams of genius-level classified scientists working on this problem, at some point they are going to come up with some solutions and a couple of really interesting answers. That would include energy generation, but that would be an answer that you must never let out to the rest of the world. It's too destabilizing and too disruptive, particularly for the oil industry and the petroleum industry, which the US dollar has relied since the 1970's. It's just too disruptive.

Fitts: Right, so you can't bring it out until you have global lockdown, which looks to me is what they are trying to do right now.

Dolan: Global control, right, I agree with that, and I think that there are solutions. There are probably multiple energy solutions that are on paper and maybe in operation right now.

As far as the global lockdown, I'm not a believer in the 'plandemic' thesis yet, but I am a believer in 'the wolves come out during the right opportunity' thesis.

I think it is a strong case that the coronavirus was bioengineered, and I believe that it probably was. I don't believe it is a coincidence that it is so close to the Chinese level-4 biosafety lab. But I still suspect that that virus came out through some kind of 'screw-up', or some kind of accident.

Fitts: That is what I would assume if it wasn't for the trading patterns in the equity and currency markets. It was such a miraculous save; it was just miraculous!

Dolan: What do you mean by that?

Fitts: China went into lockdown in November over this. So, it was around then. But then at the end of 2019, the dollar had basically finished its rise, and the S&P had finished its rise. We were headed into a spiral down, which was when the debt was spiraling up.

You had three things happen. In Wyoming, at Jackson Hole, Carney said, "We can't tolerate the dollar anymore. We need to come up with a new system." For the Bank of England governor to say that, which was number one.

Then in September, Macron came out and said to a group of French diplomats after the G7 meeting, “The unipolar model has failed, and now we need to develop a new system.”

Then Putin at the BRICS said, “The dollar is about to collapse.”

If you look at where the trading was, the dollar was headed into a spiral down, and the debt was headed into a spiral up. Miraculously, a series of events happened in and around the ‘pandemic’, and suddenly the dollar was as strong as ever, and the Federal Reserve has Asia and China in a bear trap.

Two years ago, the trade deficit was \$50 billion or \$40 billion a month. In March, it was down to \$11 billion, and it is probably going to be half that for April.

A week ago, when I did the *Equity Overview*, the long Treasury ETF was up 31% for the year. That is a miracle.

Dolan: Is it worth it if the entire economy has tanked?

Fitts: Yes, because the procentralization team can buy up everything. They ‘love’ this.

You should sit among a group of rich people when they talk about how much they love a depression because there is no traffic, the roads are easy, people stay home and don’t bother them, and they can buy everything up for cheap.

It’s a longer conversation, but they needed to extend the dollar system and accelerate bringing in the new. They’ve run into so much opposition. That is why they have Gates trying to market the new system.

Remember, they are not coming up with a new currency; they are ending currencies.

Dolan: That is something for the poor, I guess.

Fitts: Right. Crypto is a system that allows everybody to transact with a credit in the company store, and if you don’t behave, they can shut it off. So, they are completely frustrated with middle management. They have had it with all these different populous movements.

They need to replace the dollar with the equivalent of a social credit system attached to a way to financially transact where they have complete transparency and control. The one-way mirror has complete control.

They are literally looking to replace the dollar system with a slavery system. That is what they want; this is the end of currencies, and that is what they are planning.

Needless to say, it is not an attractive thing to pitch, so they have been trying to make it fashionable by doing all these prototypes with cryptocurrency and pumping and dumping and getting the young software developers to prototype it and be all excited and think it's 'sexy and groovy'. They don't understand where this is going.

Normally, I would agree with you. I think that this is a very complex phenomenon. I think that you have areas of the world where you have bad pollution, where people's immune systems are more susceptible to the viruses that come around – whether they are engineered or real. We have all sorts of dirty warfare going on.

My concern is that if you are a governor or a mayor, from what I have dealt with with the targeted individuals, they have the ability with electromagnetic weaponry to turn these things on and off. They can do things with electromagnetic weapons that cause a group of people to have excited or depressed immune systems, and many more people get sick. My concern is that they can turn this thing on and off.

I definitely think there is some sort of dirty warfare going on, but the reason I do believe that it is a plandemic is that if you look at the financial patterns, it was too convenient, too miraculous, too perfect for words.

Dolan: Okay.

Fitts: If I wasn't watching the financial patterns, I would be with you.

Dolan: In terms of a plandemic, I haven't yet figured out what it is that is the mechanism by which this epidemic began. I believe this is the key. I agree with the hypothesis that you are saying – that it is too perfect – but was it done by the Chinese then? Did they do this in concert with the bankers? Or was this done by a US covert op team? Or was it done by an ET human hybrid team that has infiltrated and they are doing it?

Fitts: Let me make some wild guesses. My first guess is that whoever did this, it was above the US government – certainly above the White House.

Dolan: Agreed.

Fitts: The people who decided to do this was a committee of people who fundamentally take responsibility to run the dollar syndicate.

Dolan: So, they got into China's lab? I don't see any other explanation.

Fitts: This group and the Anglo-American Alliance was the group that made the deal with China. They moved all the money to China, and they have big positions in China. For all I know, they own China. I don't know, but here is my concern: I think that something happened in Wuhan that was very dangerous. It wasn't just the flu that we are seeing. If you look at what we are seeing in Tennessee, it's basically a bad flu.

Something happened in Wuhan. If you go through Charles Lieber's company, and you read the research that he has been doing, it is all nanotechnology with a brain machine interface. So one of my questions is: Were they doing a prototype there, and they turned it on and it went bad? Something happened that wasn't just a bad flu.

Dolan: There are elements of COVID-19 that still are not getting enough attention – other types of effects. One of the things that it seems to do – or at least I would like to see more follow-up on – is that it causes male infertility, among other things.

It is complex, and it definitely does appear that there are HIV-related elements of this virus in terms of how it attacks human hosts and so on. I'm not qualified to talk about the specifics of that, but certainly, I have read some of these studies.

So it is complex, it looks like it is bio-engineered, and the only question that I have is: Is it plandemic or accident with the opportunistic evil people like Bill Gates and the others coming out of the woodwork to implement and 'pounce' on what they want? I don't know what the truth is yet.

Fitts: Here is the interesting thing. Even if this wasn't a plandemic, it looked like it was hastily done. In other words, this doesn't have the quality of tight central control.

Dolan: It's a pandemic that also may take down 'huge chunks' of big oil and other major players. This is not something that is simply going to affect the little people; many powerful people are being damaged by this. That mitigates against me. I'm thinking, "Who is really doing this?"

Fitts: I think that the trading and the direction of the dollar put them in a position where they had no choice. In other words, they had to move.

Dolan: Okay, maybe that is it.

Fitts: You could say that it is more opportunistic, but they had to move forward. They had to do something to extend the dollar and accelerate getting the new in.

To a certain extent, Gates looks ridiculous and has looked ridiculous for weeks now trying to market this thing. I think it's because there is so much bad press, but it's nothing compared to what he is going to get; this has just begun. I think it's because there is tremendous urgency to get something to replace the dollar system.

I don't think this reflects a tight control at the top.

Dolan: I would like to move this into another version of this conversation and what I believe is happening here. This is an advance thesis of what I'm going to be talking about in my small private conference.

I think that the response to this pandemic, to COVID-19, is speeding up something that has been in the works for a long time. I think that when you look at all the different technologies coalescing right now in our civilization, we are moving to a new phase – not simply of human civilization, but a new phase of our fundamental way of being a species.

What I mean by that is if you hypothesize that humans have existed for a quarter of a million years as homo sapiens, people are going to have their own opinion on this, but roughly speaking, that is what conventional science is telling us. For about 250,000 years, people who have looked like us were in Africa, and they spread around the world and so on.

For most of that time – nearly all of that time – we have existed as hunter-gatherers. In fact, anthropologists and others who have studied the bone structure of those people have found that they had a larger brain, 20-30% greater bone density, 20-30% more muscle mass, and we would probably be embarrassed to stand next to them. But those were human beings during all that time until about 10,000 years ago – roughly speaking – when we developed sedentary agriculture and created our second stage of existence, which is civilization built on basically farming. That included the development of writing and the development of our first cities and all that. That was a fundamental stage of our existence.

It was remarkably consistent when you think of it, even despite development. A 15th-century peasant in France would actually be able to cope rather well if you dropped him back into ancient Sumer (southwestern Asia). The fundamentals of life were that close.

Things changed when we developed the scientific and industrial revolutions about three or four centuries ago, which created the third stage of human existence. It was radically different from anything that preceded it. And we are seeing how everything is speeding up.

We've had that for the past several centuries, and now we are moving into a fourth stage. I don't know what to call it except maybe the transhumanist stage. That is the best word that I can think of right now.

What we are seeing is a confluence of very, very smart AI algorithms, maybe the development of a singularity, but something more intelligent than what we've ever had in addition to the nanotech and quantum computing, and to something we call molecular computing. Also 5G, which is critical for sucking up big data to create online and digital monitoring of people, and smart grid surveillance and smart aerial drones and all the searchable and high-res video that is going in. China already has this down. They have mastered the facial and voice recognition and the behavioral analysis like *Minority Report*-type things, and on and on and on.

That is the world that we are moving into at the 'snap of a finger'. This creates the means for the kind of global police state that you were just talking about. So it is all coming together, and that is the frightening thing.

Any normal conventionally-minded person looking at this has to be scared and think, “What is to stop any government from creating a total, complete police state?”

Then on top of that, is what I might want to call the ‘unemployment revolution’, which some people may call the ‘robo-pocalypse’ or whatever. “The terminator is coming, not to kill you, but only to take your job away.” Everything is becoming automated to a degree.

Yes, we recognize that new jobs can come online. It always happens. From Thomas Malthus, to the people of today, people have predicted this. But the fact is that with these increasingly excellent algorithms that are coming into play, human beings are becoming redundant and irrelevant.

When you mentioned slaves, I thought, “Yes, but...” and the ‘but’ is: What are 30% or more of the world’s population going to do with themselves when there is not a job for them? What are they going to do with themselves? Is it going to be more than 30% when you have a structural situation where half of the people are literally incapable of getting employment because they are no longer smart enough to do the jobs? So they are all expendable. What will happen to them?

The one thing you have to do is control them because the last thing you want is a restive population of an underclass that is not happy with the situation. So you either have to drug them, or give them toxic TV, toxic food, toxic pharmaceuticals, which are legal and illegal. That is all part of it. And then you can give them little entertainment games and ‘crappy’ culture as aversions, and virtual reality and augmented reality and all the little fun games that will keep them locked into what will truly become a matrix.

I think that is the plan short of depopulation, which may be the ultimate plan.

Fitts: I think that depopulation is part of that plan, and that is what the infertility is partly about, but let me say this: I continue to be an optimist about all of that, although I don’t underestimate the pain that can be caused by the debate that is going to happen. I recently saw an excellent video by Rupert Sheldrake. It was a discussion called *The Rebirth of Nature*. He was talking about how for the last couple of hundred years, we have had this model of the economy, of the human, and the brain as a machine, and how completely antithetical it is to how nature actually works.

I agree with everything he says, but one of the things that you realize when you look at what is going on right now is that it's the machine model's ultimate effort to try to keep the machine model going, of which transhumanism is a part. The reality is that if you are going to keep it going, you have to go to these extreme levels of control. You have to use the AI and quantum computing and whatever. But the fundamental problem is that the machine is out of alignment with life and nature.

The big secret, which I won't go into now, is that if you were willing to try freedom and free markets and allow free trade and free transaction – not without some regulation – you can, in fact, have an infinite growth model, not necessarily in resource use, but certainly in intellectual capital use. There is plenty for everybody to do.

Have you ever had enough massages? I don't think so. There is plenty on this planet to do. There could be plenty of employment and economic value because the wealth potential is so fantastic.

Totalitarianism is the most wasteful system.

Dolan: I'm on board with you. This sounds like the economist, Friedrich Hayek, who I acquainted myself with over the last couple of years. This is exactly on board with him.

I believe in economic freedom as the ultimate gift that we should strive for.

Fitts: Right, but here is the problem: That is true if you are going to optimize human civilization. But, in fact, if there are one or more other civilizations in the mix that have a very different point of view, that is where it gets complicated, and that is why you cannot afford secrecy around this issue.

If we are going to come up with something a little more transformative than you and me getting chipped and getting our stipend from the government and going to Walmart where we eat poison, we have to come up with a better plan than what Mr. Gates has in store for us. That is why I think your work right now is so invaluable, so I would just ask you this: If you are trying to understand what in the world is going on with this crazy push for transhumanism and everything that we have been talking about, and you said, "Okay, I've got ten hours to watch and read and digest Richard's work that can help me understand what is going on now," what would I do?

Would I watch the false flag documentaries? Would I buy and read *UFO's for the 21st Century Mind*?

I should certainly go to your conference, and that is what I will do.

Dolan: I would love to have you there.

Fitts: We should certainly all go to the conference. Tell us what three things we could buy or watch from your work. What would you recommend?

Dolan: Thank you for asking that. I think the book, *UFO's for the 21st Century Mind* is still a really strong place to start. I was very grateful for your characterization of it. I tried to make that the single best one-volume study that could introduce an intelligent person to the UFO subject. That was explicitly what I set out to do.

Fitts: It's not only the UFO subject, but it is also how it integrates into all aspects of our lives.

Dolan: I took that for granted, but thank you for mentioning it. I believe it is integrating the whole thing.

The second thing that they could do is take out a membership to my website. I'm not trying to plug it shamelessly (maybe a little bit), but the fact is that is where all of my work is going these days. I can't stress it enough. I've been extremely active there at www.RichardDolanMembers. So, that is another thing.

Beyond that, if they are only interested in what I am doing, I do have an active YouTube channel where I do many videos with Tracy. I now do a biweekly livestream with Tracy called *Intelligent Disclosure*, and we are hitting some important themes in these last few months – which I will talk about in a minute.

Then I do a number of interviews with guests, and I do some solo livestreams as well.

Fitts: I think that for many *Solari Report* subscribers who don't know your work, you can help open their mind because I think it is very hard to explain what is happening right now geopolitically if you just think, "They're all bad people."

It's a much more complex issue.

Dolan: You have to get past that.

Fitts: Yes, it is complex.

Dolan: I would like to add that we started the whole conversation with the Navy and the UFO/UAP. One of the really important things for me these days is to counter the prevailing narrative that you hear coming from the Navy and even coming from groups like TTSA, which is, "We don't know what these things could be. These could be anything." You hear this a great deal.

Fitts: Then why are we paying you (the Navy) \$1 trillion a year if you don't know what this is?

Dolan: Exactly! This is one of the many enforced fictions that we live in in this world. People like Luis Elizondo or people like the US Navy will say this, "It could be Russian for all we know."

They are doing that for a specific reason, and I think we can understand the reason. They are playing a very careful political game, and they know just how far they are able to go. But the reality is that they know absolutely what these things are.

The people in TTSA absolutely know that there have been crash retrievals of these objects that we have been studying and studying and studying.

One of the areas that I have redoubled my efforts over the last year is reopening a study of the retrievals of crashed UFOs.

Fitts: Great!

Dolan: There are a number of people in the past in UFO research who have done this. There was a man named Leonard Stringfield who died in the 1990's. He really opened this up. There was Stanton Friedman, who, of course, most people know recently passed away. Stan did the Roswell case. There were other individuals who have studied a variety of these crash retrievals. There is a married couple named Scott and Suzanne Ramsey, who I am very fond of, and they studied some of this. There are many others.

I think that a deep analysis of crash retrievals is important because it emphasizes that this phenomenon is very well-known to elements within, what we would call, a black budget world. They know this.

The other thing I'll bring up again is a document that I helped to publicize a year ago when it was leaked. It's known mostly as the Wilson Document. This refers to an interview done with Admiral Thomas Wilson about 20 years ago by a scientist named Eric Davis, who was a very interesting man himself.

What ended up happening – and I will do this very, very boiled down – is, back in 1997 when Steven Greer was making the rounds in Washington, DC, he tried to talk to members of Congress and the Senate and the White House. He had astronaut Edgar Mitchell with him during all of this.

He was able to accomplish a couple of interesting meetings. One of them was with the head of intelligence for the Joint Chiefs of Staff at the time in 1997 named Thomas R. Wilson. This absolutely all happened.

I learned about this meeting in 2006. I had a very excellent source close to all this who showed me a few pages of a transcript of notes. The notes were from a scientist who was describing his interview of this man. I didn't know yet who they were, and it turned out to be Admiral Wilson.

Essentially, Eric Davis met with Wilson in 2003, a few years after the fact, and was able to sit with Wilson for a little over an hour in the front of a car. He got Wilson to talk about his failed attempt following his meeting with Greer to gain access to the black budget programs that dealt with reverse engineering ET tech from the retrieval of UFO technology. Wilson talked about this. He said that he was able to get a meeting with the private contractor who ran this. He named three of the people he met with the 'gatekeepers'. They were the corporate attorney, the program manager, and the security manager. They only met with him because he was 'stirring the pot' too much and becoming a nuisance, and they needed to tell him enough to shut him up and to inform him that he was never going to gain access to this program.

He said, "I'll complain."

They said, "Go ahead. Give it your best, and see how far it gets you."

He did complain, and was threatened with his career.

Fitts: It was like when I was Assistant Secretary of Housing, and I would demand to get the data on some of the Section 8 Housing programs from Lockheed Martin, and they would refuse to give it to me.

Dolan: The firm that he met with was probably Lockheed Martin. It could have been a couple of others, but Lockheed is the bet.

So, that is the Wilson Document. It was leaked, and I was shown several pages of it in 2006; there were 15 pages in total. They all came out last spring. They came to me and a few other select researchers before we leaked it. I was planning to leak it myself, but I didn't want to be the one to do it. Someone else leaked it in June of 2019.

I was the first person to do a public analysis of it and say, yes, this was authentic.

The amount of pushback that that document received has been extraordinary. I was shocked by it. People were saying, "Oh, it's a hoax. It's fake," and I kept thinking, "You have either not looked at this carefully, or you're just not that smart, or you are working for the other side – whatever."

But the document is legit, and it has come to my attention there may very well be a mainstream treatment of it that may not be terrible. I would like to see that come out.

What we need to do is not expect *The New York Times* or even CNN or Fox to do any great job of this subject, but if any of them are able to do a reasonable – even low-level job that isn't a hatchet job – on this subject, I think that is good. This is a very controlled media. But I remind myself that I don't believe they are 100% in control. They still have to respond to pressure coming from below. The internet has not been completely shut down. Communication among researchers and people like ourselves has not been shut down. We still have a voice, and the fact is that research continues to come out that the establishment has to deal with, and they can't always deal with it in the manner that they would like best to deal with it.

So they don't have complete power. They have preponderant power, but it's not 100%. That is the thing that we have to remind ourselves; we still have the ability to 'move this ball down the field'.

Fitts: The one thing that most concerns me about David Jacobs' work is when I left Washington, I was stunned because I would have bet you any amount of money that the society that included many of my friends and colleagues and coworkers in Washington would never have gone along with genocide. If you look at what we were doing, we called it 'private prison', but I believe it was slave labor camps.

We were rounding up innocent youths, throwing them in slave labor camps to work for the military making uniforms, and there was nothing that we were doing with slave labor camps that wasn't exactly what Hitler had done. Maybe it wasn't quite as brutal inside the camps, but I said to everybody, "If you do this, where do you think it's going to go?"

In a model which has a negative return on investment, ultimately, it has to 'eat' everybody and everything. If you let it go and you let it start to break laws in very serious systematic ways that puts some people into slavery, it's only a matter of time until it reaches you – which is exactly what it's doing now. I couldn't believe that everybody would go along with it.

During the litigation, I ended up dealing with a substantial amount of the phenomena that the targeted individuals deal with, including the mind control. So that is why I started to research, and why I finally got Adam Trombly on *The Solari Report*. So many people were being harmed with financial fraud in combination with mind control, and I really saw mind control as a way of exploiting people.

Dolan: He focuses on targeted individuals, right? Am I getting that right?

Fitts: He really focuses on environmental science, but he was the person who taught me and explained all of this. I had a couple of attempts by different fraudsters to defraud clients, and they were clearly using entrainment.

I went to him, and said, "Adam, look, I can't persuade these people that this technology exists. I find myself trying to have a war with my own client who is completely brainwashed."

The technology was really, really strong. I tell this story in *The Solari Report*. I experienced it at a conference with George Tenet. I don't know if you ever heard that story, but it's rather funny.

Dolan: He was the former Director for the CIA, right?

Fitts: I walked into a gold conference with 1,000 gold investors in New Orleans. I was late because I had a business call. I opened the ballroom doors, and when I was researching Enron, I couldn't understand it. I read the transcript of the analyst's presentations and look at their PowerPoint, and it didn't explain how the analysts had an inappropriately enthusiastic reaction to a 'bunch of bullshit'.

After I read a couple of them, I said, "I think that they must have been using entrainment," which would make sense if you looked at who they had there and what they were doing.

I had experienced entrainment on the telephone and on different kinds of media, but I had never experienced it in a conference room setting.

So, I opened the ballroom doors, and I was hit with this wave of very heavy entrainment. I thought, "Oh my God! They are using entrainment!"

So, I sat next to a person who ran a very successful auto repair shop in New Jersey who was very grounded. I thought, "I'll sit next to the most grounded person in the room."

I was taking notes, Dick Armev was talking, and everybody was clapping and saying, "Oh, isn't he wonderful!" and I was thinking, "No, he's terrible."

It's like the whole audience is high on this technology. So, they took questions after Armev talked, and then George Tenet came up. I thought, "Perfect! I can ask a question."

It was very hard to control my mind, even knowing that they were using this entrainment. At one point, I was taking notes and dropped my pen and started to clap for Tenet. I turned to the person next to me, and said, "Isn't he wonderful!"

Then I stopped and thought, "No, he's not wonderful. What am I saying? What am I doing?" So it was very hard for me to even manage it, knowing that it existed.

Tenet's whole thing was the problem with 9/11 was that the CIA and the Department of Justice weren't coordinating, so we needed this new legislation to help us coordinate better.

Dolan: More coordination.

Fitts: It was total 'BS', but it was Tenet's speech.

So, he thought that he was in a really friendly audience. Of course, he knew who I was. I walked up to the microphone and said, "Mr. Tenet, thank you so much for your speech. My name is Catherine Austin Fitts. Can you explain why the Air Force stood down four times on 9/11?"

He turned absolutely as white as a sheet, and froze. Then he did the one thing that you are never supposed to do in political speeches. What you always say if you get a question that you don't want to answer is, "Richard (or whomever asks the question), what a brilliant question!" and then you proceed to answer the question you wish you had gotten. You do a complete non sequitur, but you never attack.

He turned white, then turned bright red, and said, dripping with evil sarcasm, "Well, my dear, perhaps you didn't realize that I was the head of the CIA. Maybe you should call the Air Force."

The whole audience went into shock because it was so nasty. Everybody turned and said, "He's scared. What is he scared of?"

It's funny because a gold dealer came to me and said, "Thanks to that one comment, I sold more gold in one day than I've ever sold in my entire career."

Dolan: Seriously?

Fitts: Yes, because people said, "Oh my God! He's afraid. What is he afraid of?"

He didn't get the Roger Ailes training is all that I can say. For weeks Tenet was walking around saying, "We have to censor the internet. It's gone too far. The conspiracy theorists are worked up." It was rather amusing.

One of my big concerns is that I have seen – and you will certainly see this in your research on the flag – the official story sold far more successfully than I ever believed possible.

The number one complaint that I get from *Solari Report* subscribers is that they feel as though they are living inside a zombie nation. When David Jacobs talked about the telepathic and mind control powers of these individuals, I can't say whether it is true or not, but we are dealing with incredible mind control technology one way or the other – whether it's mass psychology or just propaganda or entrainment technology.

Dolan: We fully agree here. The only thing that I keep open is I do believe that there may be a cabal that is ET or beholden to off-planet interest. I think that is entirely possible. But the only hedge against that I have is the possibility that the very imperatives of this system are in place right now.

I have a quote in one of my slides of Carroll Quigley. I'm going to pull it up and read it from *Tragedy and Hope*. He writes of the goal, the means, and the controller. The goal is, "Nothing less than to create a world system of financial control in private hands able to dominate the political system of each country and the economy of the world as a whole." The means is, "To be controlled in a feudalist fashion by the central banks of the world acting in concert, by secret agreements." And as far as control, he calls that the 'national bankers'.

So, if you look at that system he was outlining many years ago, you could also conclude that everything that we are seeing is a result of those few people also motivated to create a totalitarian system. What do they care if there is no freedom for the rest of us any more or less than aliens do?

Fitts: Absolutely.

Dolan: It could be that in conjunction with an ET cabal. I don't rule this out because I believe that there is an ET group here, and I also believe that they are protected. They have protection from the formal power structure.

So, in all likelihood we can say that they have infiltrated. And if we are going to go the plandemic route; I was talking about this with one of the members of my site. I, too, have smart people on my site.

Fitts: You do!

Dolan: His opinion is that this was a plandemic, but it wasn't done by any government – as you said.

Fitts: It was above government.

Dolan: So the question then that I keep asking is: What is the mechanism by which it happened?

If there is a cabal that has its hooks into China, into the US, and into everything, then I would concede that this could be possible and this could be a plandemic for their purposes, but it's not the same purposes as even normal elite human civilization. In other words, it goes against the oil industry and it goes against a lot of other industries. Big pharma is going to win big, but big oil is going to be very unstable, and they are a huge actor.

So that is mitigated, for me, against thinking that it is a plandemic. However, there could be a bigger perspective here. It would have to be a bigger perspective for it to be a plandemic in my view.

Fitts: Sometime I would like to go through all the different ideas with you because I have all sorts of ideas as to how they intersect and interact with these different bureaucracies. But they see themselves as the investment class, and the corporations are a layer above them – the banks and insurance companies – and then there is a layer of equity investment above. They ultimately look at this on behalf of the equity investors and the people who have to pull out the equivalent of a dividend every year. It's a franchise.

There is a great line in *Jupiter Ascending* where the princess turns to the woman from Earth and says, "Earth is just a very small part of a much larger corporation."

Dolan: Tracey is here nodding her head.

Fitts: When she said it, I said, "That explains it." If you look at the financial patterns over the last 30 years, it is totally compatible with that moment, and that is why I always use that moment.

I very quickly want to mention one last thing to you,. I have always been fascinated by James Forrestal, who was a partner at Dillon Read where I was a partner. I spent my career looking up at his painting. He was always the reminder that Washington was a very dangerous place.

Dolan: That's right!

Fitts: Dave Martin wrote a biography and 'nailed' a great deal of the information. I don't agree entirely with his theory, but he nailed the assassination of Forrestal. At the same time, Joseph Farrell was writing his book on McCarthy. Then we had more coming out on Kennedy.

I'll never forget Joseph calling me and saying, "Oh my God! There is stuff in this testimony of the McCarthy hearings about Area 51."

Dolan: I'll have to become familiar with that.

Fitts: Oh yes, you have to read Joseph Farrell's McCarthy book; it's fascinating. You realize that we have all these official stories on all these different assassinations, but again and again, when you dive in, sure enough, there it is.

Dolan: Forrestal absolutely was murdered. I don't think there is anyone who looks at the details of his demise and doubts this. Of course, he was the first Secretary of Defense of the United States and did a 16-floor leap or fall out of Bethesda Naval Hospital in 1949.

Fitts: He wanted disclosure. I think they had to kill him to get the 1949 Act passed.

Dolan: I don't know if he wanted disclosure or if he had just become an unstable person and in on a secret. I think there are a couple of possibilities with Forrestal, but he definitely knew the truth about UFOs and other things. There is no question about it.

The other author, I am not familiar with his work. I haven't really looked into Forrestal for a few years. Is he concluding that Forrestal was murdered?

Fitts: Yes, he makes a very compelling case that it was an assassination. I somewhat disagree on who exactly was involved. I think the critical issue was that you had several factions agreeing that to get the 1949 Act passed, they needed to get rid of Forrestal. That was essential for creating the financial infrastructure to do the national security state that we know and love.

Dolan: I recall this. There was a huge financial crisis in 1948, and it had to do with the post-war demobilization. The US aircraft industry was about to go bankrupt. I think that is what you were referring to.

In Forrestal's case, the theories that I recall have to do with: a) Russian communists, and b) Israeli agents. Those are the two.

Fitts: Here is what I would love to do. Someday if you want to do this after your conference, we should have a conversation about Forrestal. I should share all my different ideas and theories. I don't begin to know anywhere near what you know about the national security at that time. So, we should just put all of our jigsaw puzzle pieces on the table and see what we come up with.

Dolan: I would love that.

Fitts: That would be great.

Dolan: I'm so glad we did this, it's been too long.

Fitts: Thank you so much for doing that video. I'll post the video with the commentary, and I will also put up links on *The Solari Report* to all of your materials that you have done so that we will have the complete collection there. I want to get this posted. It is either going to publish on June 4th or June 11th, and I will send it to you so that you can put it on your site.

I think that this was a wonderful update. The conference is on June 6th, correct?

Dolan: Yes. I still have to 'nail' all the details of this down, but that is the day. It is going to be an all-day event on Saturday.

Fitts: As soon as you have the sign-up material ready, let me know. I should put that up before this goes up. I'll get that to everybody as soon as you have it.

Dolan: I will definitely do that. Catherine, what a pleasure; I really enjoyed this.

Fitts: What a pleasure, and thank Tracey for me.

Richard Dolan, thank you for joining me on *The Solari Report*. Have a wonderful day.

Dolan: Thank you, Catherine. You as well.

MODIFICATION

Transcripts are not always verbatim. Modifications are sometimes made to improve clarity, usefulness and readability, while staying true to the original intent.

DISCLAIMER

Nothing on The Solari Report should be taken as individual investment advice. Anyone seeking investment advice for his or her personal financial situation is advised to seek out a qualified advisor or advisors and provide as much information as possible to the advisor in order that such advisor can take into account all relevant circumstances, objectives, and risks before rendering an opinion as to the appropriate investment strategy.