History Of Vaccination

“Without data, you’re just another person with an opinion.” —W. Edwards Deming, engineer, data scientist

Each book in the *History of Vaccination* series is accompanied by the same prologue. If you’ve already read the prologue, feel free to skip to the book original book. The 25 historical works I’ve restored and updated shed light on the nature of vaccination, as recorded by the most distinguished doctors and scientists of their time. Their statements are backed by historical statistics, which are presented throughout these books.

The first smallpox vaccine was conceptualized in 1796. Since that time, vaccination has been rife with controversy. Let’s review what writers, doctors, and scientists have observed about vaccines across three centuries—19th, 20th, and 21st.

**19TH CENTURY (1800s)**

“There does not exist one single fact, in all the experiments and improvements made in science, which can support the idea of vaccination. A vaccinated people will always be a sickly people, short lived and degenerate.” —Dr. Alexander Wilder, MD, “Vaccination: A Medical Fallacy”, editor of the New York Medical Tribune, 1879

“I have seen leprosy and syphilis communicated by vaccination. Leprosy is becoming very common in Trinidad; its increase being coincident with vaccination.” —Dr. Hall Bakewell, Vaccinator General of Trinidad, 1868

“Cancer is reported to be increasing not only in England and the Continent, but in all parts of the world where vaccination is practised.” —Dr. William S. Tebb, MA, MD, DPH, “The Increase of Cancer”, 1892

“Leprosy arose with vaccination.” —Sir Ronald Martin, MD, 1868

"Syphilis has undoubtedly been transmitted by vaccination." —Sir William Osler Bt., MD, FRS, FRCP
"To no medium of transmission is the widespread dissemination of this class of disease (syphilis) so largely indebted as to Vaccination." —Dr. B.F. Cornell, MD, 1868

“Every intelligent person who takes the time to investigate vaccination, will find abundant evidence in the published writings and public records of the advocates of vaccination, to prove its utter worthlessness, without reading a line of anti-vaccination literature. And if we could add to this all the suppressed facts, we would have a mass of evidence before which no vaccinator would dare to hold up his head.”—Dr. Robert A. Gunn, MD, “Vaccination: Its Fallacies and Evils”, 1882

“I have no faith in vaccination, nay, I look upon it with greatest disgust, and firmly believe that it is often the medium of conveying many filthy and loathsome diseases from one child to another, and it is no protection from smallpox." —Dr. William Collins, MD, London, 1882

“Vaccination has made murder legal. Vaccination does not protect against smallpox, but is followed by blindness and scrofula. Jennerism is the most colossal humbug which the human race has been burdened with by FRAUD and DECEIT.” —Mr. Mitchell, member of the British House of Commons

“Of these dogmas, I believe the practice known as vaccination to be the most absurd and most pernicious. I do not believe that a single person has ever been protected from smallpox by it; while I know that many serious bodily evils and even deaths, have resulted from its employment. The whole theory is founded upon assumption, contrary to common sense and entirely opposed to all known principles of physiology. Every physician of experience, has met with numerous cases of cutaneous eruptions, erysipelas and syphilis, which were directly traceable to vaccination, and if these cases could be collected and presented in one report, they would form a more terrible picture than the worst that has ever been drawn of the horrors of smallpox.” —Dr. Robert A. Gunn, MD, Dean of the United States Medical College of New York

"Vaccination is a monstrosity, a misbegotten offspring of error and ignorance; and, being such, it should have no place in either hygiene or medicine...Believe not in vaccination, it is a worldwide delusion, an unscientific practice, a fatal superstition with consequences measured today by tears and sorrow without
end.” —Dr. Carlo Ruta, Professor of Materia Medica at the University of Perugia, Italy, 1896

“Vaccination is a grotesque superstition.” —Dr. Charles Creighton, MD, MA

“Vaccination is a gigantic delusion. It has never saved a single life. It has been the cause of so much disease, so many deaths, such a vast amount of utterly needless and altogether undeserved suffering, that it will be classed by the coming generation among the greatest errors of an ignorant and prejudiced age, and its penal enforcement the foulest blot.” —Alfred R. Wallace, LLD DUBL., DCL OXON., FRS, etc., 1898

20TH CENTURY (1900s)

“The great epidemics of deadly diseases, in animals and mankind, are caused by vaccination.” —Charles M. Higgins, “The Horrors of Vaccination: Exposed and Illustrated”, 1920

“I believe vaccination has been the greatest delusion that has ensnared mankind in the last three centuries. It originated in FRAUD, ignorance and error. It is unscientific and impracticable. It has been promotive of very great evil, and I cannot accredit it any good.” —Dr. R. K. Noyse, MD, Resident Surgeon of the Boston City Hospital, “Self Curability of Disease”

“The chief, if not the sole, cause of the monstrous increase in cancer has been vaccination.” —Dr. Robert Bell; Vice President, International Society for Cancer Research, British Cancer Hospital, 1922

“Vaccination is the most outrageous insult that can be offered to any pure-minded man or woman. It is the boldest and most impious attempt to mar the works of God that has been attempted for ages. The stupid blunder of doctorcraft has wrought all the evil that it ought, and it is time that free American citizens arise in their might and blot out the whole blood poisoning business.” —Dr. J.M. Peebles, MD, MA, PhD, “Vaccination: A Curse and Menace to Personal Liberty”, 1900

“Cancer was practically unknown until the cowpox vaccination began to be introduced. I have seen 200 cases of cancer, and never saw a case in an
unvaccinated person.” —Dr. W.B. Clark, MD, Indiana, New York Times article, 1909

“At present, intelligent people do not have their children vaccinated, nor does the law now compel them to. The result is not, as the Jennerians prophesied, the extermination of the human race by smallpox; on the contrary more people are now killed by vaccination than by smallpox.” —George Bernard Shaw, 1944

“The English Ministry of Health omits to state that in 1872, when 85% of the infants born were vaccinated, there were 19,000 deaths from smallpox in England and Wales. While in 1925, when less than half the children born were vaccinated, there were only 6 deaths from that disease.” —Dr. Eleanor McBean, PhD, ND, “The Poisoned Needle”, 1957

“Vaccination causes miscarriage. A careful check showed that 47% of women who had been vaccinated in the second or third month of pregnancy, failed to give birth to a normal child.” — “Vaccination at Work”, The Consulting Pediatrician of Lanarkshire County Council, The Lancet (London), p.47, December 6, 1952

"My honest opinion is that vaccine is the cause of more disease and suffering than anything I could name." —Dr. Harry R. Bybee

“Vaccination, instead of being the promised blessing to the world, has proved to be a curse of such sweeping devastation that it has caused more death and disease than war, pestilence, and plague combined. There is no scourge (with the possible exception of atomic radiation) that is more destructive to our nation’s health than this monument of human deception—this slayer of the innocent—this crippler of body and brain—the poisoned needle.” —Dr. Eleanor McBean, PhD, ND, “The Poisoned Needle”, 1957

“The greatest LIE ever told is that vaccines are safe and effective.”—Dr. Leonard Horowitz, MPH (Master of Public Health), DMD, MA, Harvard University graduate

21ST CENTURY (2000s)
“The entire vaccine program is based on massive FRAUD.”—Dr. Russell L.
Blaylock, M.D., neurosurgeon, editorial staff of Journal of American Physicians and Surgeons

"Vaccinations do not work. They don’t work at all.” —Dr. Lorraine Day, MD

“Vaccinations are now carried out for purely commercial reasons because they fetch huge profits for the pharmaceutical industry. There is no scientific evidence that vaccinations are of any benefit.” —Dr. Gerhard Buchwald, MD,

“Vaccination: A business based on FEAR”

“Don’t get your flu shot.” —Dr. Raymond Francis, D.Sc., M.Sc., RNC, chemist, MIT graduate

“My own personal view is that vaccines are unsafe and WORTHLESS. I will not allow myself to be vaccinated again. Vaccines may be profitable but in my view, they are neither safe nor effective.” —Dr. Vernon Coleman, MB, ChB, DSc (Hon)

"Everyone who is vaccinated is vaccine injured—whether it shows up right away or later in life." —Dr. Shiv Chopra, B.V.S., A.H., M.Sc., PhD, Fellow of the World Health Organization, former senior scientist at Health Canada

“The pediatrician indoctrinates your child from birth into a lifelong dependency on medical intervention. The first stage of indoctrination is the ‘well-baby’ visit. The well-baby visit is a cherished ritual of the pediatrician that enhances their income and does nothing constructive for your child. It’s a worthless visit.” —Dr. Robert Mendelsohn, MD, board certified pediatrician

“Vaccines are the backbone of the entire Pharmaceutical Industry. If they can make these children sick from a very early age, they become customers for life. The money isn’t really to be made in the vaccine industry. The money is made by Big Pharma with all of the drugs that are given to treat and address all of the illnesses that are subsequent to the side effects of vaccines.”—Dr. Sherri Tenpenny, D.O. (osteopathic medical doctor)

“Studies are increasingly pointing to the conclusion that vaccines represent a dangerous assault to the immune system leading to autoimmune diseases like Multiple Sclerosis, Lupus, Juvenile Onset Diabetes, Fibromyalgia, and Cystic Fibrosis, as well as previously rare disorders like brain cancer, SIDS (Sudden Infant Death Syndrome), childhood leukemia, autism, and asthma.”—Dr. Zoltan
Rona, MD, “Natural Alternatives to Vaccination”

“The vaccine industry is itself a FRAUD. I spent my whole career studying vaccines.”—Dr. Shiv Chopra, B.V.S., A.H., M.Sc., Ph.D., Fellow of the World Health Organization, “Corrupt to the Core”

THE ONLY REASON FOR CONTINUED VACCINATION

“The greatest danger to your health is the doctor who practices modern medicine.” —Dr. Robert Mendelsohn, MD, board certified pediatrician

Follow the money. It will lead you to the truth. The primary reason for vaccination is the assumption that vaccines prevent diseases. However, if historical data demonstrates that vaccines do NOT prevent diseases, then what is the purpose of vaccination?

Moreover, you’ve probably heard stories of parents being coerced and bullied into vaccinating their children and themselves at the pediatrician and doctor’s offices. There are reasons behind the coercion and bullying.

“There is a vaccination ring in England, receiving millions of the public money. It is in their interest to favor the practice at all hazards and to falsify statistics in order to conceal its failure and its evils. There are also armies of public vaccinators in every large city all over Europe, who are supported from the public treasury, and every practitioner who does not oppose the practice, derives a considerable income from its continuance.” —Dr. Robert A. Gunn, MD, “Vaccination: Its Fallacies and Evils”, 19th century

“Drug companies are not here to bring health to the population but to SCAM them on one level for vast amounts of money.” —Sir William Osler, MD, FRS, FRCP, widely considered as the Father of Modern Medicine (1849-1919), 20th century

“Disease is more rampant because of commercial greed. When the Rockefeller-Standard Oil crowd muscled into the drug and pharmaceutical business in such a big way, ‘scientific medicine’ (if there is such a thing) was turned into a racket
which shortened many American lives from ten to twenty years.” —Morris A.
Beale, “The Drug Story”, 20th century

“Many doctors and some editors are making money by propagating the
vaccination curse.” —Dr. Thomas Morgan, MD, “Medical Delusions”, 20th
century

“Vaccination is not scientific. Many of the world’s greatest thinkers, scientists,
statesmen and even doctors have condemned vaccination as being a crime
against humanity, a FRAUD promoted for private gain, an insult to the race and
a blot upon the name of civilization. Yet, this treacherous practice of blood
pollution, which was cradled in the lap of ignorant savage tribes, has been
adopted by, supposedly, enlightened government of the present day and forced
on the protesting population—for profit.” —Dr. Eleanor McBean, PhD, ND,
1957

“Vaccinations are now carried out for purely commercial reasons because they
fetch huge profits for the pharmaceutical industry. There is no scientific evidence
that vaccinations are of any benefit.” —Dr. Gerhard Buchwald, MD,
"Vaccination: A Business Based on Fear", 21st century

“The vaccination myth is the most widespread superstition modern medicine has
managed to impose, but, being by the same token the most profitable, it will
prove to be also one of the most enduring, though there was never the slightest
of scientific evidence upholding it.” —Hans Ruesch, "The Great Medical
Fraud", 20th century

“Doctors are punished by insurance companies like Blue Cross and Blue Shield
if doctors don’t get a certain percentage of their patients to comply with the
vaccination schedule. If 63% are non-compliant, they don’t receive any of their
bonuses.” —Robert F. Kennedy, Jr.

“Medicine is no longer a calling. It is a downright cut throat business.” —
Professor Dr. Belle Monappa Hegde, MD, 21st century

"The current medical system is designed to create chronic disease. There is no
money in being healthy.” —Dr. Irvin Sahni, MD, 21st century

“The bottom line is that the medical systems are controlled by financiers in order
to serve financiers. Since you cannot serve people unless they get sick, the whole medical system is designed to make people sicker and sicker.” —Dr. Guylaine Lanctot, MD, 21st century

"It is difficult to get a person to understand something, when their salary depends on them not understanding it." —Upton Sinclair, “The Jungle”

In 1986, US President Ronald Reagan passed the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act (NCVIA). The act was drafted by the drug companies and shielded them from legal liability resulting from vaccine injuries and deaths. Basically, NCVIA prevented parents from directly suing the drug companies (vaccine makers). The parents have to file claims in the vaccine injury court that was established through the act. About $0.75 of every vaccine sold is used to fund the vaccine injury court. From 1986 to 2018, the court paid over $4 billion to parents with vaccine injured children. It is estimated that the court, due to budget constraints, dismisses about 66% of the cases, and some cases can take up to 8 years to settle.

Furthermore, in one report US and Human Services estimated that only about 1% of vaccine injuries are reported to VAERS (Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System). Most parents are unaware that the most common side effects of vaccines are allergies, asthma, brain damage, autoimmune diseases, cancer, and death. In addition, from 1986 to 2017, the drug companies were fined nearly $25 billion—these fines were unrelated to vaccines and most were for fraud, bribery, and false advertising.

"International bribery and corruption, fraud in the testing of drugs, criminal negligence in the unsafe manufacture of drugs—the pharmaceutical industry has a worse record of lawbreaking than any other industry. Data fabrication is so widespread that it is called 'making' in the Japanese pharmaceutical industry, 'graphiting' or 'dry labelling' in the United States." —Dr. John Braithwaite, MD, "Corporate Crime in the Pharmaceutical Industry"

Knowing how they operate, could you trust your child’s health to the drug companies?
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“Vaccination is a business based on fear.” —Dr. Gerhard Buchwald, MD

You’ve probably heard comedians, actors playing doctors and scientists, news anchors, and strangers online publicly proclaim,

–Vaccines are safe and effective.
–Vaccines prevented diseases and saved millions of lives
–Vaccines work. They’re a blessing and miracle to the human race.

Even your doctor or pediatrician might had proclaimed in private that “vaccines are safe and effective.” What some physicians state in private about vaccines, they’ll never do in public for fear of being sued for malpractice. This demonstrates that people can be brainwashed in three sentences, repeated over and over and over again by different groups, through different modes of media.

“A lie told often enough becomes the truth.” —Vladimir Lenin

Anyone who thinks vaccines are safe and effective has never read a book presenting the other side of vaccination. They believe vaccines are safe and effective through the carefully orchestrated advertising and marketing campaigns of the drug companies, who make tens of billions from vaccines each year.

If you’re busy, and don’t require a lecture on the history of vaccination, you only need to inspect the graphs and tables below. These tables and graphs, compiled from historical data, demonstrate that there is no reason for anyone to get vaccinated.

“Three things cannot be long hidden: the sun, the moon, and the truth.” —Buddha

BEFORE VACCINATION

People’s chances of dying from certain infectious diseases before vaccines were introduced were extremely rare. So rare that if it weren’t for the drug industry’s disease mongering, we wouldn’t be discussing this subject.
Before vaccination. As you can see, the chances of anyone being harmed by these “vaccine preventable diseases” are so small that it’s not even worth worrying about. In many cases, you have a higher chance of being struck by lightning or a meteorite than harmed by these “life threatening diseases”. Source: 1) CDC Reported Deaths from Vaccine Preventable Diseases, US, 1950-2011, 2) Vital Statistics in the United States 1940-1960, US Department of Health, Education, and Welfare.

**VACCINES DID NOT ERADICATE DISEASES**

The graphs below show the decline of infectious diseases in the US and England BEFORE vaccines were introduced. As evident as night and day, most diseases were nearly eradicated, then the drug companies introduced vaccines and took credit, when vaccines had no role in eradicating those diseases.
Before vaccines were introduced in the US. In the US, every “vaccine preventable disease” was nearly eradicated, then several years later the drug companies introduced vaccines and gave credit to them for what sanitation, hygiene, and nutrition achieved. Source: 1) Vital Statistics in the United States, 1940-1960, US Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, 2) Historical Statistics of the United States—Colonial Times to 1970, Part 1.
Before vaccines were introduced in England and Wales. Similar to the US, every “vaccine preventable disease” was on a sharp decline before vaccines were introduced for those diseases. Source: Record of Mortality in England and Wales for 95 years as provided by the Office of National Statistics, published 1997; Report to the Honourable Sir George Cornwell Lewis, Bart, MP Her Majesty’s Principal Secretary of State for the Home Department, June 30, 1860, p. a4, 205; Essay on Vaccination by Dr. Charles T. Pearce, MD, Member of the Royal College of Surgeons of England, Parliamentary Papers, the 62nd Annual Return of the Registrar General 1899 (1891-1898).
Figure 14.—Death Rates for Tuberculosis, All Forms: Death-registration States, 1900–32, and United States, 1933–60
(Rates per 100,000 population)
Death rates for tuberculosis in the US, 1900-1960. The Calmette-Guérin (BCG) tuberculosis vaccine was first used in 1921 in some countries. However, it was not used in the US until the late 1940s, and only used on a small scale. In the US, from 1900-1940, tuberculosis had declined dramatically without vaccination. Graph: Vital Statistics in the United States, 1940-1960, US Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
Figure 19.—Death Rates for Measles: Death-registration States, 1900–32, and United States, 1933–60
(Rates per 100,000 population).
Measles in the US, 1900-1960. Measles was mostly harmless and the death rate was extremely low in 1960, lower than being struck by lightning. In 1963, the drug companies introduced the measles vaccine and took credit for eradicating measles. It’s been shown that measles is beneficial to the immune system, particularly in fighting cancer later in life. Prior to 1963, measles was considered a benign illness (not a disease); parents would encourage their children to visit friends who had measles so their children could contract measles and get it over with. Measles, due to the drug industry’s disease mongering, is now a life threatening disease. Graph: Vital Statistics in the United States, 1940-1960, US Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

It wasn’t vaccination that saved humanity. The things that saved humanity were,

– clean-running water (sewer systems, indoor plumbing, toilets, sinks, showers)
– sanitation (garbage collection, modern building codes),
– hygiene (soap, paper towels),
– electricity (indoor heating, refrigeration),
– and nutrition (supermarkets) that saved humanity.

DISEASES that were eradicated by nutrition: scurvy, rickets, beriberi, goitre, hypoanatremia, anemia, kwashiorkor, marasmus, etc.

DISEASES that were eradicated without vaccines: scarlet fever, rheumatic fever, typhus, cholera, tuberculosis.

DISEASES that vaccines took credit for eradicating: smallpox, diphtheria, pertussis (whooping cough), polio, measles. As the data clearly shows, these diseases were never eradicated by vaccines.

NEW DISEASES that were unheard of by the public decades ago: cervical cancer, zika, ebola, swine flu, avian flu, bovine flu. Diseases, like wars, are manufactured for profit. For example, the Zika virus (small head birth syndrome) was caused by insecticides introduced into Brazil’s water system to kill mosquitos. This was widely reported by the Brazilian media and common knowledge in Brazil. However, according to the US media, Zika was caused by a virus of speculative origin. Nevertheless, the US drug companies were more than happy to provide the Zika vaccine to people around the world.

There are over 200 infectious diseases capable of causing death. However, only
the diseases with vaccines are presented to the public as life threatening and a public health risk. Moreover, in 2018, the drug companies use disease incident and mortality rates from developing and third world countries as part of their disease mongering campaigns. The more you study the history of vaccination, the more you’ll conclude that it is one of the biggest frauds in history. It’s certainly the biggest medical fraud in history—vaccines never saved a single life and never prevented a single disease.

AFTER VACCINATION: VACCINATED vs. UNVACCINATED

Let’s examine your chances of dying from certain infectious diseases AFTER vaccines were introduced.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vaccines (birth to 18+ years old)</th>
<th>Vaccine deaths</th>
<th>Chance of death</th>
<th>Natural death</th>
<th>Chance of death</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0.00002673%</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.00000629%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polio</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0.00002673%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00000000%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diphtheria</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>0.00002327%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00000000%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetanus</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>0.00002327%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00000000%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pertussis (whooping cough)</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>0.00002296%</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.00000440%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hib (Haemophilus influenzae type B)</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.00002170%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00000000%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influenza (FLU)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.00001667%</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.00000597%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis B</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.00001572%</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.00000409%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotavirus</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.00001478%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00000000%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measles</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.00000189%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00000000%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mumps</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.00000126%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00000000%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubella</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.00000126%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00000000%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varicella (chickenpox)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.00000126%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00000000%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meningococcal B</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.00000094%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.00000314%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis A</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.00000063%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.00000031%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**After vaccines were introduced.** Data gathered and tabulated from the CDC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention), and VAERS (Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System), 2014. When you vaccinate, you are 6.25x (625%) more likely to die from the toxins in the vaccines than the diseases those vaccines are supposed to prevent. Vaccination is all risk and no reward.

To put the tables and graphs into perspective: In the US, more people die from falling down the stairs (about 1 000 per year) than from “vaccine preventable diseases.” They are more than 100 000 times likely to die in an automobile accident. This was before the vaccines were introduced for those particular diseases (most of them are not even diseases but illnesses reclassified as
diseases). The deaths from these diseases are now caused by the vaccines themselves. For example, measles is a side effect of the measles vaccine. Polio is a side effect of the polio vaccine, and so forth. The side effects are the reason you are 625% more likely to die from the vaccines than the diseases they’re supposed to prevent.

“The further I looked into it, the more shocked I became. I found that the whole vaccine business was indeed a gigantic hoax. Most doctors are convinced that they are useful, but if you look at the proper statistics and study the instance of these diseases, you will realise that this is not so.” —Dr. Archie Kalokerinos, MD, PhD, AMM, MBBS, FAPM, pediatrician for over 30 years

It is through revising history, fabricating data, fear, and greed that the blood poisoning practice of vaccination continues into the 21st century.

Vaccination Is Based on Theories

“There is no evidence whatsoever of the ability of vaccines to prevent any disease.” —Dr. Viera Scheibner, PhD

In the words of the scientist Alfred R. Wallace, vaccines are “useless and dangerous.” If something is useless, it doesn’t work and has no benefit. If something is dangerous, it shouldn’t be used. Vaccines are useless because they never prevented a single disease. Not one. They are dangerous because they cause diseases and deaths—often the very diseases they are supposed to prevent. Through statistics across three centuries, the conclusion is resoundingly clear:

Vaccines only work in theory. In practice, they cause diseases and deaths.

In order for an idea to be universally accepted as a science, it must pass two stages:

1) Theory.
2) Observation.

Theoretical science and observational science are two sides of the same coin.

THE THEORETICAL SCIENCE OF VACCINES. The theory of vaccines is to
inject antigens (toxins) such as poisons, viruses, and diseases into the body. In turn, these antigens (toxins) should create antibodies (disease fighting proteins) to fight pathogens (diseases) in the future. In other words, the poisons, viruses, and diseases injected into the body are meant to trigger and train the immune system. Or to prepare the immune system cells to fight diseases in the future. In theory, this is possible because the immune system cells have memory. That is the theoretical science side of vaccines. At first glance, the vaccine theory has validity.

THE OBSERVATIONAL SCIENCE. Observation on the effectiveness of a product, as reported by the end consumers, is based on statistics and real world data, not what happened in laboratories and under microscopes. Observation has clearly shown that when you inject poisons, viruses, and diseases into the body, those antigens (toxins) cause diseases and deaths, especially among infants and children.

Antigen: A toxin or other foreign substance that induces an immune response in the body, especially the production of antibodies.

Antibody: A blood protein produced in response to and counteracting a specific antigen. Antibodies combine chemically with substances that the body recognizes as alien, such as bacteria, viruses, and foreign substances in the blood. (Source: Google Dictionary)
The antigen-antibody theory is similar the lock-and-key system. When antigens (something harmful to the body) is introduced into the body, it triggers the immune system to create antibodies to fight the antigens. The antibodies fit and bind with the antigens (toxins) like a lock and key.

The indirect end users of vaccines are parents, and millions of them have reported that their children have acquired diseases such as allergies, asthma, brain damage, autoimmune diseases, and cancer after being vaccinated. Thousands of parents have also reported that their children have died after vaccination. SIDS (Sudden Death Syndrome) is actually VIDS (Vaccine Induced Death Syndrome). Babies are not born to fall asleep and die in their sleep.

These diseases and deaths reported by parents are on the VAERS (Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System) database. What is horrifying is that the diseases and deaths reported by parents are actually listed on the vaccine inserts provided by the drug manufacturers. These product inserts are usually 10 to 30 pages long, and not the one page printout the pharmacies and doctors provide when you ask.

Furthermore, every independent study (those not funded by the drug companies),
without exception, has shown that unvaccinated children are far healthier than vaccinated children. In addition, vaccinated people, through the *shedding* process, are disease carriers up to 60 days of being vaccinated. Thus, vaccinated people are a threat to themselves and others.

**INFANT VACCINATION.** It is known that infants and children succumb to more infectious diseases than other groups. The reason is that newborns only fully develop their immune system when they’re 3 to 5 years old. The antibodies infants require to ward off diseases are passed to them from the mother through the placenta. The amount and type of antibodies the infant receives from the mother depends on the health of the mother herself, and the antibodies in her own immune system. At roughly 6 months old, the infant is capable of producing its own antibodies. However, again, a child’s immune system is only fully developed when it is 3 to 5 years of age.

The theory of vaccination is to trigger and train the immune system. However, if the infant lacks a fully developed immune system until it’s 3 to 5 years old, then vaccination is useless. Yet, babies are being vaccinated immediately after birth. As of 2018, the US has the highest infant vaccination rate, and it also happens to have the highest infant mortality rate among developed countries.

"Vaccination at its core is neither a safe nor an effective method of disease prevention...If an infant needs one vaccine that is 100% safe and effective—that would be breast milk." —Dr. Tetyana Obukhanych, PhD, immunologist, Harvard graduate

If vaccines cause a long list of diseases, how is it possible that they can prevent disease? By virtue of their antigen-antibody theory, vaccines cannot prevent disease. They never have and never will. Nor can there be a “safe’ vaccine. It is only through clever advertising, marketing, and bribery that the drug companies have convinced the public that vaccines prevent diseases and save lives.

In 2017, the drug companies spent $200 million bribing politicians, $6.4 billion on advertising, and $10 billion indirectly bribing doctors. Since 1796, doctors and scientists have called vaccines useless, worthless, poisonous, dangerous; a fraud, racket, and scam. And for good reasons.

Medical students thoroughly study books on germ, bacteria, pathogen, microbe, and vaccination theories. Only to have their worldview shattered when they’re introduced to parents whose children have been injured and killed by vaccines. The lesson with vaccination science is that results observed in laboratories and under microscopes cannot be duplicated in the real world. The human body is indemonstrably complex due to individual biochemistry.

“In our scientific research we have now advanced one step. Vaccination is the infliction of disease…We conclude, then, that Vaccination is NOT scientific; that it cannot be accurately defined; that it is completely useless for its assumed purpose; that fortification of the body by disease is a mischievous myth, and that the sooner the practice is discontinued the better it will be for the health of the community.” —George S. Gibbs, Fellow of the Statistical Society London, “Is Vaccination Scientific?”, 1884
The practice of vaccination is to inject poisons, viruses, and diseases into the body. Although vaccines come in oral and other forms, injection is the primary delivery method. Throughout history, millions have been diseased and killed by this “grotesque superstition.” More people have been killed by vaccines than the diseases they’re supposed to prevent.

Vaccines Cause Diseases
The first smallpox vaccine was conceptualized in 1796 by Edward Jenner (1749-1823) of England. Since that time, the ingredients (antigens, toxins) used in vaccines have changed dramatically. As the vaccine ingredients changed over the centuries, the diseases caused by vaccines have also changed. In other words, as you inject different poisons into the body, the body acquires different diseases.

VACCINE INGREDIENTS IN THE 1800s. From roughly 1800 to the early 1900s, the vaccine ingredients were primary from animal and human diseases. These diseases (vaccine ingredients) included animal and human pus, cowpox, ass-pus from rabbits, horsegrease, and sheep-pox.

Pox: Any of several viral diseases producing a rash of pimples that become pus-filled and leave pockmarks on healing.

Pus: A thick yellowish or greenish opaque liquid produced in infected tissue, consisting of dead white blood cells and bacteria with tissue debris and serum. (Source: Google Dictionary).
A pus on a hand.
Cowpox. **From the early 1800s** to the early 1900s, cowpox was the main vaccine ingredient in the smallpox vaccine. Cowpox, a cow disease, and smallpox, a human disease, had few physiological similarities. They were similar in that the words for both diseases ended with “pox”.
For centuries people believed that taking a disease from animals and inserting it into the human body prevented diseases. The vaccination theory was based on superstition.
**Crude instruments.** Human and animal diseases were inserted into the body by creating an incision in the body, usually the arm, with crude tools like the ones above.

When animal diseases such as pus and pox were used as vaccine ingredients, the diseases they caused were as many as they are now. The diseases caused by vaccines were recorded by J.T. Biggs, JP, sanitation engineer, in “Leicester: Vaccination versus Vaccination”, 1912, chap. 96:

“While not proposing to give a complete list, I append the principal of those vaccine-induced diseases which have already been published or come to my knowledge:
Furthermore,

"The most distinguished names in the profession have testified to vaccination being the certain vehicle for the dissemination of leprosy. These names include Sir Erasmus Wilson (sometimes called the father of dermatologists); Dr. John D. Hillis; Dr. Liveing; Sir Ranald Martin; Professor W. T. Gairdner; Dr. Tilbury Fox; Dr. Gavin Milroy; Dr. R. Hall Bakewell, formerly Physician to the Leper Asylum, Trinidad; Dr. A.S. Black, of Trinidad; Dr. Edward Arning; Dr. Walter M. Gibson, late President of the Honolulu Board of Health; Professor H. G. Piffard, New York; Dr. A. M. Brown, London; Dr. Frances Hoggan; Dr. Blanc,
Professor of Dermatology, University of New Orleans; Dr. Bechtinger, of Rio; Professor Montgomery, of California; Dr. Sidney Bourne Swift, late Medical Director, Leper Settlement, Molokai, Hawaii; Dr. P. Hellat, St. Petersburg; Professor Henri Leloir, Lille; Dr. Mouritz; Surgeon Brunt; Dr. John Freeland, Government Medical Officer, Antigua; Dr. S. P. Impey, Superintendent Leper Asylum, Robben Island, Cape Colony; and many others. On the subject of leprosy there are no higher authorities.” —Dr. William Tebb, MD, MA, DPH, “A Century of Vaccination and What It Teaches”, 1898
Eczema from vaccination.

“When Jenner died in 1823, three kinds of smallpox vaccines were in use: 1) cowpox promoted as ‘pure lymph from the calf,’ 2) horsegrease promoted as ‘the true and genuine life-preserving fluid,’ and 3) horsegrease cowpox...Following Jenner’s death the vaccine establishment used one excuse after another to
explain the failure of vaccination: the number of punctures was incorrect, or that revaccination was necessary or that the lymph was impure. The smallpox deaths of vaccinated patients in hospital were recorded as ‘pustular eczema.’” —Dr. Jennifer Craig, BSN, MA, PhD, “Smallpox Vaccine: Origins of Vaccine Madness”, 2010

In the 1800s, vaccination was associated with “blood poisoning.”

Edward Jenner, credited with inventing vaccination, borrowed the idea from dairymaids. Therefore, vaccination was founded upon superstition. This subject is discussed in detail in the books of the “History of Vaccination” series. One of the most prominent physicians at the time did not have nice things to say about Edward Jenner.

“Now this man Jenner had never passed a medical examination in his life. He belonged to the good old times when George III was King, when medical examinations were not compulsory. Jenner looked upon the whole thing as a superfluity. It was not until twenty years after he was in practice that he thought it advisable to get a few letters after his name. Consequently he communicated with a Scotch university and obtained the degree of Doctor of Medicine for the sum of £15 and nothing more...What Jenner discovered, though hardly original in its general principle, was that it pays far better to scare 100% of the fools in the world, the vast majority, into buying vaccine than it does to treat the small minority who really get smallpox and who cannot afford to pay anything. It was indeed a very great discovery worth thousands of millions. That is why this kind of blackmail is still kept going.” —Dr. Walter Hadwen, JP, MD, LRCP, MRCS, LSA

**Louis Pasteur and Attenuated Vaccines**

Louis Pasteur (1822-1895) co-developed the anthrax vaccine in 1881. The vaccine supposedly worked in cows, goats, and sheeps, but was not successfully tested in humans at the time. In 1885, Pasteur created the first human vaccine. This vaccine used attenuated (weakened) viruses as the primary ingredient.

**Virus:** An infective agent that typically consists of a nucleic acid molecule in a protein coat, is too small to be seen by light microscopy, and is able to multiply only within the living cells of a host.
**Anthrax:** A notifiable bacterial disease of sheep and cattle, typically affecting the skin and lungs. It can be transmitted to humans, causing severe skin ulceration or a form of pneumonia (also called wool-sorter's disease).

**Attenuate:** Reduce the virulence of (a pathogenic organism or vaccine). (Source: Google Dictionary).

**Louis Pasteur (1822-1895) of France.** He created the first attenuated (weakened) live virus vaccine. A few decades after his invention, cowpox, a disease from cows, would no longer be used as the main ingredient in the smallpox vaccine. Instead, weakened live viruses from animals would be used instead.

Louis Pasteur originally took a live virus from a rabbit’s spinal cord and attenuated the virus in a lab. This was the first rabies vaccine. This attenuated virus was supposedly maintained with preservatives and stabilizers such as formaldehyde and mercury, which are two of the most poisonous substances to the human body. Then the preserved attenuated live virus was later injected into
the human body to “prevent” disease—inject disease into the body to prevent disease. This defies common sense and logic.

Louis Pasteur’s theory of attenuated viruses opened the floodgates for the drug companies to create a multitude of other vaccines. Thus, began the modern era of vaccines for the drug companies. In 2018, Sanofi Pasteur was one of the largest vaccine manufacturers in the world.

**MODERN VACCINE INGREDIENTS.** Modern vaccines ingredients are very similar to each other. The few differences in vaccine ingredients depend on the type of vaccine. There are four main types of vaccines:

1) Live, attenuated vaccine.
2) Inactivated/killed vaccine.
3) Toxoid (inactivated toxin).
4) Subunit/conjugate.

**Live, Attenuated vaccine:** An attenuated vaccine is a vaccine created by reducing the virulence of a pathogen, but still keeping it viable (or "live"). Attenuation takes an infectious agent and alters it so that it becomes harmless or less virulent. These vaccines contrast to those produced by "killing" the virus (inactivated vaccine).

**Inactivated vaccine:** An inactivated vaccine is a vaccine consisting of virus particles, bacteria, or other pathogens that have been grown in culture and then killed using a method such as heat or formaldehyde.

**Subunit/conjugate vaccine:** A conjugate vaccine is created by covalently attaching a poor antigen to a strong antigen thereby eliciting a stronger immunological response to the poor antigen. Most commonly, the poor antigen is a polysaccharide that is attached to strong protein antigen. (Source: wikipedia.org)

**VACCINE TYPES AND VACCINES**
Modern vaccine ingredients contain some of the most poisonous substances to the human body. Many of these toxins are summarized below.

### MODERN VACCINE INGREDIENTS AND THEIR EFFECTS ON THE BODY

**ALUMINUM.** Known to cause brain damage at all doses, linked to ALZHEIMER’S DISEASE, dementia, seizures, autoimmune issues, SIDs and cancer. This toxin accumulates in the brain and causes more damage with each dose.

**BETA-PROPIOLACTONE.** Known to cause CANCER. Suspected gastroin- testinal, liver, nerve and respiratory, skin and sense organ POISON.

**GENTAMICIN SULPHATE & POLYMYXIN B [ANTIBIOTICS].** Allergic reactions can range from mild to life-threatening.

**GENETICALLY MODIFIED YEAST, ANIMAL, BACTERIAL AND VIRAL DNA.** Can be incorporated into the recipient’s DNA and cause unknown GENETIC MUTATIONS.

**GLUTARALDEHYDE.** Poisonous if ingested. Causes BIRTH DEFECTS in animals.
FORMALDEHYDE [FORMALINE]. Known to cause CANCER in humans. Probable gastrointestinal, liver, respiratory, immune, nerve and reproductive system POISON. Banned from injectables in most European countries.

LATEX RUBBER. Can cause life-threatening allergic reactions.

HUMAN AND ANIMAL CELLS. Human DNA from aborted BABIES. Pig blood, horse blood, rabbit brains, dog kidneys, cow hearts, monkey kidneys, chick embryos, calf serum, sheep blood & more. Linked to childhood leukemia and diabetes.

MERCURY [THIMEROSAL]. One of the most toxic substances known. Even if a thermometer breaks, the building is cleared and HAZMAT is called. Tiny doses cause damage to the brain, gut, liver, bone marrow, nervous system and/or kidneys. Linked to autoimmune disorders, and neurological disorders like AUTISM.

MONOSODIUM GLUTAMATE [MSG]. A toxic chemical that is linked to birth defects, developmental delays and infertility. Banned in Europe.

NEOMYCIN SULPHATE [ANTIBIOTIC]. Interferes with vitamin B6 absorption which can lead to epilepsy and brain damage. Allergic reactions can range from mild to life-threatening.

PHENOL/PHENOXYETHANOL [2-PE]. Used as anti-freeze. TOXIC to all cells and capable of destroying the immune system.

POLYSORBATE 80 & 20. Known to cause CANCER in animals and linked to numerous autoimmune issues and infertility.

TRI(N) BUTYLPHOSPHATE. Potentially toxic to the kidney and nervous system.

Source: www.LearnTheRisk.org
DO YOU KNOW WHAT'S IN A VACCINE?

NONE OF THESE SHOULD BE INJECTED INTO YOUR BODY

**Aluminum**
Known to cause brain damage at all doses, linked to ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE, dementia, seizures, autoimmune issues, SIDS and cancer. This toxin accumulates in the brain and causes more damage with each dose.

**Gentamicin Sulphate & Polymyxin B [antibiotics]**
ALLERGIC reactions can range from mild to life-threatening.

**Betapropiolactone**
Known to cause CANCER. Suspected gastrointestinal, liver, nerve and respiratory, skin and sense organ POISON.

**Genetically Modified Yeast, Animal, Bacterial and Viral DNA**
Can be incorporated into the recipient's DNA and cause unknown GENETIC MUTATIONS.

**Glutaraldehyde**
Poisonous if ingested. Causes BIRTH DEFECTS in animals.

**Formaldehyde [formalin]**
Known to cause CANCER in humans. Probable gastrointestinal, liver, respiratory, immune, nerve and reproductive system POISON. Banned from injectables in most European countries.

**Human and Animal Cells**
Human DNA from aborted BABIES. Pig blood, horse blood, rabbit brains, dog kidneys, cow hearts, monkey kidneys, chick embryos, calf serum, sheep blood & more. Linked to childhood leukemia and diabetes.

**Mercury [thimerosal]**
One of the most toxic substances known. Even if a thermometer breaks, the building is cleared and HAZMAT is called. Tiny doses cause damage to the brain, gut, liver, bone marrow, nervous system and/or kidneys. Linked to autoimmune disorders, and neurological disorders like AUTISM.

**Monosodium Glutamate [MSG]**
A toxic chemical that is linked to birth defects, developmental delays and infertility. Banned in Europe.

**Neomycin Sulphate [antibiotic]**
Interferes with vitamin B6 absorption which can lead to epilepsy and brain damage. Allergic reactions can range from mild to life-threatening.

**Phenol/Phenoxyethanol [2-PE]**
Used as anti-freeze. TOXIC to all cells and capable of destroying the immune system.

**Polysorbate 80 & 20**
Known to cause CANCER in animals and linked to numerous autoimmune issues and infertility.

**Tri(n) Butylphosphate**
Potentially toxic to the kidney and nervous system.

www.LearnTheRisk.org
**DISEASES CAUSED BY MODERN VACCINE INGREDIENTS**

We’ve seen the diseases caused by vaccines when their ingredients were diseases from animals—mainly pus and pox. The diseases caused by modern vaccine ingredients are also extensive. These diseases are the side effects of many vaccines, and are listed on the product inserts provided by the drug companies. These product inserts are usually 10 to 30 pages long, and not the one page printout pharmacies and doctors provide when you ask. Furthermore, these diseases, even death, are corroborated by millions of parents who’ve reported their experiences with vaccines. They’re listed on the VAERS (Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System) database.

"Everyone who is vaccinated is vaccine injured—whether it shows up right away or later in life." —Dr. Shiv Chopra, B.V.S., A.H., M.Sc., PhD, Fellow of the World Health Organization, former senior scientist at Health Canada
The MMR *(measles, mumps, rubella)* combo vaccine product insert listing all the known side effects (adverse reactions) of the vaccine. Used under the Fair Use Clause.

The Dtap *(diphtheria, tetanus, and whooping cough (pertussis))* vaccine insert listing all the known side effects.

Due to their similar ingredients, most modern vaccines have similar side effects.
Let’s look at the adverse reactions (side effects) of the MMR combo vaccine.

**ADVERSE REACTIONS (SIDE EFFECTS) ON DIFFERENT BODY PARTS**

**BODY AS A WHOLE.** Panniculitis; atypical measles; fever; syncope; headache; dizziness; malaise; irritability.

**CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM.** Vasculitis.

**DIGESTIVE SYSTEM.** Digestive system.

**ENDOCRINE SYSTEM.** Diabetes mellitus.

**Hemic AND LYMPHATIC SYSTEM.** Thrombocytopenia (see WARNINGS, leukocytosis).

**IMMUNE SYSTEM.** Anaphylaxis and anaphylactoid reactions have been reported as well as related phenomena such as angioneurotic edema (including peripheral or facial edema) and bronchial spasm in individuals with or without an allergic history.

**MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM.** Arthritis; arthralgia; myalgia.

Arthralgia and/or arthritis (usually transient and rarely chronic), and polyneuritis are features of infection with wild-type rubella and vary in frequency and severity with age and sex, being greatest in adult females and least in prepubertal children. This type of involvement as well as myalgia and paresthesia, have also been reported following administration of MERUVAX II.

Chronic arthritis has been associated with wild-type rubella infection and has been related to persistent virus and/or viral antigen isolated from body tissues. Only rarely have vaccine recipients developed chronic joint symptoms.

Following vaccination in children, reactions in joints are uncommon and generally of brief duration. In women, incidence rates for arthritis and arthralgia are generally higher than those seen in children (children: 0-3%; women: 12-26%),{17,56,57} and the reactions tend to be more marked and of longer duration. Symptoms may persist for a matter of months or on rare occasions for years. In adolescent girls, the reactions appear to be intermediate in incidence between those seen in children and in adult women. Even in women older than 35 years, these reactions are generally well tolerated and rarely interfere with normal activities.

**NERVOUS SYSTEM.** Encephalitis; encephalopathy; measles inclusion body encephalitis (MIBE) (see CONTRAINDICATIONS); subacute sclerosing panencephalitis (SSPE); Guillian-Barré Syndrome (GBS); acute disseminated encephalomyelitis (ADEM); transverse myelitis; febrile convulsions; afebrile convulsions or seizures; ataxia; polyneuritis; polyneuropathy; ocular palsies; paresthesia.

Encephalitis and encephalopathy have been reported approximately once for every 3 million doses of M-M-R II or measles-, mumps-, and rubella-containing vaccine administered since licensure of these vaccines.
The risk of serious neurological disorders following live measles virus vaccine administration remains less than the risk of encephalitis and encephalopathy following infection with wild-type measles (1 per 1000 reported cases).\{58,59\}

In severely immunocompromised individuals who have been inadvertently vaccinated with measles-containing vaccine; measles inclusion body encephalitis, pneumonitis, and fatal outcome as a direct consequence of disseminated measles vaccine virus infection have been reported (see CONTRAINDICATIONS). In this population, disseminated mumps and rubella vaccine virus infection have also been reported.

There have been reports of subacute sclerosing panencephalitis (SSPE) in children who did not have a history of infection with wild-type measles but did receive measles vaccine. Some of these cases may have resulted from unrecognized measles in the first year of life or possibly from the measles vaccination. Based on estimated nationwide measles vaccine distribution, the association of SSPE cases to measles vaccination is about one case per million vaccine doses distributed. This is far less than the association with infection with wild-type measles, 6-22 cases of SSPE per million cases of measles. The results of a retrospective case-controlled study conducted by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention suggest that the overall effect of measles vaccine has been to protect against SSPE by preventing measles with its inherent higher risk of SSPE.\{60\}

Cases of aseptic meningitis have been reported to VAERS following measles, mumps, and rubella vaccination. Although a causal relationship between the Urabe strain of mumps vaccine and aseptic meningitis has been shown, there is no evidence to link Jeryl LynnTM mumps vaccine to aseptic meningitis.

RESPIRATORY SYSTEM. Pneumonia; pneumonitis (see CONTRAINDICATIONS); sore throat; cough; rhinitis.

SKIN. Stevens-Johnson syndrome; erythema multiforme; urticaria; rash; measles-like rash; pruritis.

Local reactions including burning/stinging at injection site; wheal and flare; redness (erythema); swelling; induration; tenderness; vesiculation at injection site; Henoch-Schönlein purpura; acute hemorrhagic edema of infancy.

SPECIAL SENSES—EAR. Nerve deafness; otitis media.

SPECIAL SENSES—EYE. Retinitis; optic neuritis; papillitis; retrobulbar neuritis; conjunctivitis.

UROGENITAL SYSTEM. Epididymitis; orchitis.

OTHER. Death from various, and in some cases unknown, causes has been reported rarely following vaccination with measles, mumps, and rubella vaccines; however, a causal relationship has not been established in healthy individuals (see CONTRAINDICATIONS). No deaths or permanent sequelae were reported in a published post-marketing surveillance study in Finland involving 1.5 million children and adults who were vaccinated with M-M-R II during 1982 to 1993.\{61\}

Under the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, health-care providers and manufacturers are required to record and report certain suspected adverse events occurring within specific time periods after vaccination. However, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) has established a Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) which will accept all reports of suspected events.\{49\}
A VAERS report form as well as information regarding reporting requirements can be obtained by calling VAERS 1-800-822-7967.

**2018 MMR vaccine insert, Merck & Co—used under the Fair Use Clause.**

Vaccine adverse reactions affect every part of the body. It is estimated that only a fraction of adverse reactions are reported since pediatricians and doctors advise parents that side effects are a coincidence or are “normal”.

In their 8 to 12 years of medical education, medical doctors (MDs) and pediatricians receive only a few hours of vaccine training. They are not educated on vaccine ingredients or vaccine side effects. Those few hours are spent “educating” them on how to get parents to adhere to the CDC childhood vaccine schedule, which as of 2018, recommends that a child receive 74 vaccines (some are combos) by the time they’re 18 years old.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>CDC recommended vaccine doses</th>
<th>Autism rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1 in 5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1 in 2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>1 in 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>1 in 36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

That’s a lot of poison in a child. As vaccine doses increased, so did the autism rate (brain damage). The heavy metals in vaccines have been implicated in causing the autism epidemic.

“I am no longer ‘trying to dig up evidence to prove’ vaccines cause autism. There is already abundant evidence. This debate is not scientific but is political.”

—Dr. David Ayoub, MD, radiologist

“The CDC is not an independent agency. It is a vaccine company. The CDC owns over 20 vaccine patents. It sells about $4.6 billion of vaccines every year...Four scathing federal studies, including two by Congress, one by the U.S.
Senate, and one by the HHS Inspector General, paint the CDC as a cesspool of corruption, mismanagement and dysfunction with alarming conflicts of interest suborning its research, regulatory and policymaking functions...Doctors are punished by insurance companies like Blue Cross and Blue Shield if doctors don’t get a certain percentage of their patients to comply with the vaccination schedule. If 63% are non-compliant, they don’t receive any of their bonuses.” — Robert F. Kennedy, Jr.

Furthermore, medical doctors receive roughly 8 hours of nutrition training. Medical doctors and pediatricians have been indoctrinated into the medical industry. They are no longer independent healers, but merely clerks and salespeople for the drug companies.

**DO VACCINES CAUSE AUTISM?**

Demanding "scientific studies" to question vaccination is a method of sophistry (the use of fallacious arguments, especially with the intention of deceiving), particularly whether vaccines cause autism. Heavy metals cause brain damage. Heavy metals (aluminum, mercury derivatives) are in vaccines. Once injected into the muscles, the heavy metals are absorbed into the bloodstream and reach the brain. Children are injected with heavy metals. Children have a high rate of autism. Do vaccines cause autism? No. The heavy metals in vaccines cause autism.

Autism is a form of brain damage. Whether the drug companies reclassify or rename autism, at its root autism is still brain damage. Like polio, the drug companies may decide to reclassify or rename autism in the future. The drug industry often play a game of semantics:

1) Reclassify a disease by adding or removing symptoms. This gives the appearance that the disease was eradicated. Also, reclassify an illness as a disease to make it more menacing (eg, reclassify measles as a disease).

2) Rename a disease. This also gives the appearance that the disease was eradicated.

The most common adverse reactions of most vaccines are allergies, asthma, brain damage, cancer, autoimmune diseases, and even death. However, there are more than 100 autoimmune diseases. Some of the more common autoimmune
diseases are:

Immune system disorders, Rheumatoid arthritis, lupus, Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), Multiple sclerosis (MS), Type 1 diabetes mellitus, Guillain-Barre syndrome (paralysis), Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy, Psoriasis, Graves' disease, Hashimoto's thyroiditis, Myasthenia gravis, Vasculitis.

“Vaccines are unavoidably unsafe.” —US Supreme Court, March 2011

From 1986-2017, the vaccine injury court has paid over $3.7 billion dollars to vaccine injured parents, proving vaccines are not safe. The historical data shows vaccines were ineffective at preventing diseases. Therefore, the only rational conclusion is that vaccines are unsafe and ineffective.

**HOW VACCINES CAUSE DISEASES IN DIFFERENT PARTS OF THE BODY**

Vaccine ingredients are *not* injected directly into the bloodstream—they are injected *indirectly* into the bloodstream. The ingredients are injected into the muscles (intramuscular injection/intramuscularly). Then the ingredients are absorbed into the bloodstream. Through the muscular system and bloodstream (circulatory system), the toxins in vaccines reach every part of the body.
The bloodstream is part of the circulatory system. When vaccine ingredients are injected into the muscles and absorbed into the bloodstream, the toxins are capable of reaching every part of the body through the muscular and circulatory systems.

–Through the bloodstream (part of the circulatory system), the toxins can pollute the blood cells (blood poisoning), causing cancer and autoimmune diseases.

–Through the muscular system, the toxins can cause paralysis (Guillain-Barré syndrome, GBS) and other muscular abnormalities.

–Through the bloodstream, the toxins can travel to the brain and cross the blood-brain-barrier, causing brain damage.

These are the mechanics in which vaccines cause various diseases throughout the body. Vaccine ingredients have constantly changed since 1796. The only constant is the theory of vaccination: inject poisons, viruses, and diseases into the body to prevent disease.

As bizarre and unbelievable as it sounds, the theory of vaccination is to inject poisons, viruses, diseases into the body in order to prevent disease. How can something that causes a long list of diseases be used to prevent disease? Something intended to prevent disease shouldn’t cause more diseases than it’s supposed to prevent. It defies common sense and logic.

SMALLPOX, INOCULATION, VACCINATION

To understand why vaccination came about, we need to examine the most horrific and feared disease in history: smallpox.

The first vaccine was conceptualized in 1796 by Edward Jenner of England to prevent smallpox. Prior to vaccination, inoculation (very similar to vaccination) was used to prevent smallpox. Thus, smallpox, inoculation, and vaccination are intertwined.
Smallpox was the most feared disease in history because of the distinct bodily marks (pox) it left on victims. Photo: www.wikipedia.org

SMALLPOX

1) “An acute, highly contagious, febrile disease, caused by the variola virus, and characterized by a pustular eruption that often leaves permanent pits or scars: eradicated worldwide by vaccination programs.” —www.dictionary.com

2) An acute contagious viral disease, with fever and pustules usually leaving permanent scars. It was effectively eradicated through vaccination by 1979.” —Google Dictionary

3) “Thousands of years ago, variola virus (smallpox virus) emerged and began causing illness and deaths in human populations, with smallpox outbreaks occurring from time to time. Thanks to the success of vaccination, the last natural outbreak of smallpox in the United States occurred in 1949. In 1980, the World Health Assembly declared smallpox eradicated (eliminated), and no cases of naturally occurring smallpox have happened since...Smallpox research in the United States continues and focuses on the development of vaccines, drugs, and diagnostic tests to protect people against smallpox in the event that it is used as an agent of bioterrorism.” —www.cdc.gov

Consider this: There were roughly 200 nations on Earth when smallpox was supposedly ravaging the planet. Of those, only about 30 nations were ever vaccinated for smallpox. But it was declared eradicated by vaccination when about 170 countries never used the smallpox vaccine. If they did, it was only in the vast minority of their populations. Furthermore, smallpox was foreign to the North American Indians. The Natives lived in open spaces and managed to avoid the dreaded smallpox. Only when the Europeans arrived in the 16th century was smallpox introduced to the Americas. In the next three centuries, the Europeans used smallpox as a biological weapon to nearly wipe out the North American Indians.

As you’ll soon discover, every historical data has shown that vaccination never eradicated smallpox. In fact, vaccination increased the incidence of smallpox wherever it was practiced.

INOCULATION
Inoculation is the practice of creating a cut in the body, usually the arm, to insert animal pus, human smallpox, or another disease into the cut. This was done in hopes of preventing disease, particularly smallpox. The ancient Hindus purportedly practiced inoculation several hundred years prior to the introduction of vaccination in 1796. Inoculation was the predecessor to vaccination, both are based on the theory of homeopathy: In small doses, like cures like. For example, rubbing small doses of smallpox into a person to prevent smallpox.

"Dhanwantari, the Vedic Father of Medicine, and the earliest known Hindu physician, who lived about 1,500 B.C., is supposed to have been the first to practice inoculation for smallpox. It is even stated that the ancient Hindus employed a vaccine, which they prepared by the transmission of the smallpox virus through a cow." —“History of Inoculation and Vaccination”, p. 6-13
introducing it into another person through a cut in the arm.

“The practice of inoculation spread like a noxious weed, from the savage tribes of the forgotten past into the civilizations of Africa, Arabia, Tibet, India and finally into Europe and America.” —Dr. Eleanor McBean, PhD, ND, “The Poisoned Needle”, 1957

**VACCINATION**
The practice of introducing, often through injection, poisons, viruses, and diseases into the body to prevent disease. The first vaccine (smallpox vaccine) was conceptualized by Edward Jenner of England in 1796 and later used on the English in the early 1800s. The first smallpox vaccine primarily used cowpox, a cow disease, to vaccinate against smallpox, a human disease.
Vaccination against smallpox. A painting of Edward Jenner applying the smallpox vaccine (cowpox in a needle) to a child.

When Louis Pasteur created the attenuated (weakened) live virus vaccine in 1885, it opened the floodgates for drug companies to manufacture all sorts of vaccines: flu (influenza), measles, chickenpox, polio, etc.

The question is, “Did vaccination prevent or eradicate smallpox?” According to official statistics, the answer is NO. Vaccination did not prevent or eradicate smallpox.

“It is clear that the mortality from both causes fell very remarkably, and that in the case of smallpox as well as in the case of ‘other zymotics’ the decline had set
in before the end of the eighteenth century—in other words before the beginning of the vaccination era.” — Dr. C. Killick Millard, M.D., D.Sc., “The Vaccination Question in the Light of Modern Experience”, 1914, chap. 2

Mortality from smallpox and other zymotic (infectious, contagious) diseases in London, 1760 to 1910. Official statistics from the Registrar General, England 1760-1910. From this historical data we know that vaccines had no role in preventing zymotic (infectious, contagious) diseases. Vaccines did not eradicate smallpox.

“Vaccination is utterly useless as a preventive against smallpox, that millions of vaccinated persons have died of smallpox.” —Dr. J.W. Hodge, MD, New York

“I know of one epidemic of smallpox comprising nine hundred and some cases in which 95% of the infected had been vaccinated, and most of them recently. I have had in my own experience on very small epidemic comprising 33 cases, of which 29 had vaccination histories a ‘good’ scar, and some of them vaccinated within the last year. There was no protection there.” —Dr. William Howard Hay, 1937
“Vaccination has not protected us; it could not do it, because the smallpox had already left us and the non-vaccinated world, before its introduction...Vaccination proves itself, in the history of humanity, to be the greatest crime committed in this last century!” —Dr. C. Charles Schieferdecker, MD, “The Evils of Vaccination”, 1856

“Smallpox attained its maximum mortality after vaccination was introduced. The mean annual mortality for 10,000 population from 1850 to 1869 was at the rate of 2.04, whereas after compulsory vaccination, in 1871 the death rate was 10.24. In 1872 the death rate was 8.33 and this after the most laudable efforts to extend vaccination by legislative enactments.” —Dr. William Farr (1807-1883), Compiler of Statistics of the Registrar General of London

**A BRIEF HISTORY OF SMALLPOX**

One of the medical profession’s greatest boasts is that it eradicated smallpox through the use of the smallpox vaccine. I myself believed this claim for many years. But it simply isn’t true.” —Dr. Vernon Coleman, MB, ChB, DSc, FRSA, GP, *Anyone Who Tells You Vaccines Are Safe And Effective Is Lying. Here's The Proof*, 2011

Smallpox had been mentioned in different civilizations, from the ancient Egyptians, Aztecs, and Chinese. However, there were no smallpox epidemics recorded in ancient times that could be verified. Smallpox epidemic numbers were only accurately recorded in England from the 1700s to the 1900s. Therefore, because of the lack of official smallpox records and statistics in the English-speaking world, only the records from England are considered reliable. Anything else is, without official data, is pure speculation.

“It is a matter of pure speculation as to when the condition first appeared, but it is unlikely to have done so prior to man’s establishment of large townships coupled with poor nutrition, overcrowding, lack of sanitation and inadequate hygiene. Keeping people, such as slaves and prisoners, in disgusting and sub-human conditions may have been the necessary ingredient for the establishment of the virus but there is virtually no doubt that the aforementioned adverse conditions were responsible for the epidemics of smallpox as well as for its endemic nature in certain areas until its recent demise. It was recorded in Chinese history and was certainly prevalent in the west by the sixteenth century.” —Dr. Michael Nightingale, Traditional Chinese Medicine
The deaths caused by smallpox were greatly exaggerated (disease mongering), even fabricated, in medical textbooks and in general. For example,

“Queen Mary II of England died of smallpox in 1694. In the century following her death 60 million persons in Europe died of smallpox.” — Howard Haggard, “Devils, Drugs, and Doctors”, 1929

However, Mr. Haggard’s assertion is refuted by Dr. Jennifer Craig (BSN, MA, PhD), “The population of Europe was 130 million in 1762 and 175 million in 1800. The death rate from smallpox in that period was 18.5%. If 60 million deaths occurred with an 18.5% death rate then it would require 319,148,936 cases of smallpox in Europe and that would be 144,148,936 more cases of smallpox than there were people living in Europe at the close of the 18th century.”

Again, vaccination is a fraud based on fear, greed, and revisionist history.

The Eradication of Diseases

In the 21st century, there should be no need for anyone in developed countries to fear catching diseases that people contracted in the 1700, 1800, and early 1900s. Back then, the living and working condition of the masses were breeding grounds for diseases. They lacked clean-running water, electricity, garbage collection, and modern buildings. They defecated and urinated in their backyards. It wasn’t vaccines that eradicated diseases but sanitation, hygiene, especially the modern amenities that we take for granted today. As examples, soap, toilet paper, paper towel, toothbrush, shampoo, washing machine, shower, and supermarket. In developed countries, all these conveniences were available to the masses in the 1960s. These modern amenities significantly contributed to the increased standard of living and especially to the eradication of diseases.

You do not live like people used to, therefore you should not worry about contracting diseases that people used to contract.
Infectious diseases spread predominantly in overcrowded, unsanitary conditions. People used to defecate and urinate in their backyards. They fetched dirty water from rivers for drinking and washing. They buried potatoes in the ground in winter to preserve them. Animal manure was common in the streets. They burnt wood and coal for heating and breathed in the fumes. These were the perfect breeding grounds for diseases. Disease rates in children were high because they worked in fields and unsafe factories.
Working and living conditions were inhumane and breeding grounds for diseases in the 18th and 19th centuries. Workers were known as peasants and
serfs. Debtor prison and indentured servitude were common. The conditions were so horrific and unjust that communism was invented to create workers’ rights.

The eradication of diseases was primary due to sanitation and hygiene. For those who think otherwise, ask them to live without clean-running water, electricity, and garbage collection. They will not do it because they cannot imagine life without them—because it was those amenities that eradicated infectious diseases.

“Sanitation did for Prussia what 35 years of compulsory vaccination was unable to accomplish. At the present time in Prussia, smallpox is almost extinct. It is not that people are being vaccinated more; they are vaccinated less.” —Dr. Walter R. Hadwen, MD, 1896, “The Case Against Vaccination”

“There is no question that perfect sanitation has almost obliterated this disease (smallpox), and sooner or later will dispose of it entirely. Of course, when that time comes, in all probability the credit will be given to vaccination.” —Dr. John Tilden (1851-1940), MD
Sewer systems, plumbers, electricity, garbage men, architects, engineers, and advances in manufacturing technology extended lives and eradicated diseases. Graph compiled from: Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW) 2010. GRIM (General Board of Incidence of Mortality) Books; Original author Dr. Paul Jelfs, updated by Karen Bishop.

“The most widespread and lethal diseases in the last 200 years were reduced due cleaner drinking water, improved sanitation, nutrition, less overcrowded areas, and better living conditions. Vaccines were introduced at the point were every single disease was already declining. To give vaccines credit for global reductions in disease is like giving a band-aid credit for healing a wound that was already closing.” —Dr. Dave Mihalovic, ND

“The largest historical decrease in morbidity and mortality caused by infectious disease was experienced not with the modern antibiotic and vaccine era, but after the introduction of clean water and effective sewer systems.” —The Journal of Pediatrics, December 1999, Vol. 135, No. 6, p. 663

The modern amenities (mainly clean-running water, electricity, gargabe collection, modern buildings) that eradicated diseases also extended our life expectancy. Modern medicine, despite what the drug companies claim, had no role in eradicating diseases or prolonging life. If anything, synthetic drugs and vaccines have shortened the lives of millions. Doctors and hospitals are the 3rd leading cause of death in the USA. Some have claimed that the medical system is actually the 1st leading cause of death because the vast majority of those who have died of heart attacks, cancer, and diabetes were on medication or chemotherapy—they were involved in the medical system. The reason is that the ingredients in drugs, vaccines, and chemotherapy are toxins and poisons to the body.

THE DEADLIEST DISEASES WERE ERADICATED WITHOUT VACCINES

The deadliest disease epidemic in history, the Black Death (Plague), was eradicated without vaccines. The second deadliest disease epidemic in history, the Spanish Flu, was believed to be caused by vaccines.

Many diseases disappeared on their own, without the need for vaccines. The
deadliest infectious diseases in history were eradicated through prevention, quarantine and isolation, and removing the causes. As examples, the Black Death (Plague) and Spanish Flu.

“The Black Death was one of the most devastating pandemics in human history, resulting in the deaths of an estimated 75 to 200 million people in Eurasia and peaking in Europe in the years 1346–1353...In the Late Middle Ages (1340–1400) Europe experienced the most deadly disease outbreak in history when the Black Death, the infamous pandemic of bubonic plague, hit in 1347, killing a third of the human population.” —www.wikipedia.org

THE BUBONIC PLAGUE was believed to be caused by rodents, particularly rats, transferring their diseases to humans. These rodents were moved freely between countries during wars, trades, and travels. The rodents, unknown to humans, contaminated the food and water supplies. Today, we have rodent control programs administered by public health departments and the movement of animals are strictly controlled when travelling between countries. In summary, one of the worst pandemics in history was eradicated without vaccines. Diseases are eradicated when their causes are removed.

THE 1918 INFLUENZA PANDEMIC (Spanish Influenza). There are many speculations as to what caused the 1918 flu pandemic.

“The 1918 flu pandemic (January 1918–December 1920) was an unusually deadly influenza pandemic, the first of the two pandemics involving H1N1 influenza virus. It infected 500 million people around the world, including remote Pacific islands and the Arctic, and resulted in the deaths of 50 to 100 million (three to five percent of the world's population), making it one of the deadliest natural disasters in human history.” —www.wikipedia.org

The Spanish blamed it on the French and called it the French Flu. Some say it originated in China, some say in German as a biological weapon. However, the most credible theory was that the 1918 flu pandemic was caused by vaccines, most likely the experimental typhoid or flu vaccine.

“It was a common expression during the war that ‘more soldiers were killed by vaccine shots’ than by shots from enemy guns.” —Dr. Eleanor McBean, PhD, ND, “The Poisoned Needle”
“In 1918, the US Army forced the vaccination of 3,285,376 natives in the Philippines when no epidemic was brewing, only the sporadic cases of the usual mild nature. Of the vaccinated persons, 47,369 came down with smallpox, and of these 16,477 died. In 1919 the experiment was doubled. 7,670,252 natives were vaccinated. Of these 65,180 victims came down with smallpox, and 44,408 died. In the first experiment, one-third died, and in the second, two-thirds of the infected ones died.” —Dr. William F. Koch, MD, PhD, “The Survival Factor in Neoplastic and Viral Diseases”

“The 1918 ‘Spanish Flu’ started in American military Camp Funston, Fort Riley, USA, amongst troops making ready for WWI—taking on board vaccinations, recruit training and all. It eventually killed about 40,000,000 people worldwide. That flu strain only appeared briefly once again, according to the US Atlanta CDC. This was in 1976 and again it struck at the US army camp Fort Dix, USA, amongst recently vaccinated troops (and no one else EVER); Fort Dix is known to have been a vaccine trial centre. Was the world’s greatest ‘influenza’ scourge another well-hidden vaccine disaster?” —John P. Heptonstall, Director of Morley Acupuncture Clinic and Complementary Therapy Centre, West Yorkshire
Influenza and Pneumonia death rates spiked between 1918-1920. World War I was the first war in which US service men were required to vaccinate. The high vaccination rate before the flu pandemic of 1918-1920 was the most likely cause of the flu pandemic.

“Typhoid vaccines were available by World War I, and the U.S. Army made getting those shots mandatory for all its enlisted soldiers.” —Susan Perry, “Medical lessons from World War I underscore need to keep developing antimicrobial drugs”, 2014
Typhoid fever began its sharp decline after World War I, when US soldiers were no longer vaccinated.

Despite all the evidence, one infectious-disease epidemiologist, Dr. G. Dennis Shanks, stated that typhoid vaccination “was thought to be a genuine medical success story.” Add his opinion to the Vaccination Nuttery pile.

The Spanish Flu should had been called The USA Flu. The Americans probably called it the Spanish Flu to scorn Spain for the Spanish-American War of 1898. In any case, the flu pandemic disappeared on its own without the need for vaccination (or more vaccination). Again, history has shown that when the causes are removed, diseases are eradicated. In the 21st century, people living in developed countries should have no fear of polio, smallpox, measles, whooping cough, and other infectious diseases. Vaccines are not the natural causes of infectious diseases; therefore, they cannot prevent them. Prevention and eradication can only be attained by removing the causes.
DEATH BY MEDICINE. Healthcare (deathcare) is a business. Drug companies, hospitals, medical doctors, and pediatricians are all part of the "sick care" system. As Bill Maher commented, "There's no money in healthy people, and there's no money in dead people. The money is in the middle: people who are alive, sort of, but with one or more chronic conditions." The poisons in vaccines are remarkably efficient at creating chronic illnesses and diseases.

"Of recent years, many men and women in prime of life, have dropped dead suddenly. I am convinced that some 80% of these deaths are caused by the inoculations or vaccinations they have earlier undergone. These are well known to cause grave and permanent disease of the heart. The coroner always hushes it up as ‘natural causes’. I have been trying to get these cases referred to an Independent Commission of inquiry, but so far, in vain." —Dr. Herbert Snow, MD, 25 year staff surgeon of the London Cancer Hospital, 1954

“What miserable fellows our descendants are; each of them requires more of medical attendance in one year, than I had in my whole life!” —Dr. C.G.G. Nittinger, “The Evils of Vaccination”, 1856

"Medical science has made such tremendous progress that there is hardly a healthy human left." —Aldous Huxley, 1894–1963

WHAT ABOUT POLIO?

"Polio is NOT even contagious or infectious (never proven to be). There is NO proof Polio is caused by a virus. There is NO evidence that anyone caught polio from another person in the family. There is NO evidence that any nurse or doctor caught polio from a patient." —Sheri Nakken, RN, MA

Polio is disease used to describe the effects of poisoning from manmade chemicals, especially those found in pesticides and vaccine ingredients. Therefore, polio is a manmade disease caused by pesticides and vaccines. This is how the vaccination nuttery works: the polio vaccine causes polio and the drug companies insist everyone get vaccinated with the polio vaccine to prevent polio. But they don’t tell you that the polio vaccine causes polio. Furthermore, they credit the polio vaccine for eradicating polio, when the vaccine actually caused polio.
A distinct symptom of polio is paralysis. In all of history, there has never been a case of an infant born severely paralyzed that can be verified. If you read drug company literature, it points to ancient Egyptian and Aztec paintings depicting paralyzed individuals. This is not proof that polio has been around since ancient times. There are many causes of paralysis: accidents, injuries in war, surgery, mutilation, neurotoxic chemicals, and so forth. Polio was not an infectious disease but a manmade disease.

Three polio facts:

1) Nearly all recorded polio cases between 1940 and 1970 were caused by the Salk polio vaccine, the pesticide DDT, and other pesticides. Wild polio was and is extremely rare. Polio was not an infectious disease but a manmade disease.

2) The Salk polio vaccine was discontinued in the early 1970s because it was causing polio, cancer, and death in children. Today, the drug companies insist that the Salk polio vaccine saved humanity from polio. In 1972, before a Senate Committee hearing, polio vaccine inventor Jonas Salk testified that nearly all polio outbreaks since 1961 resulted from or were caused by the oral polio vaccine.

3) There is no such thing as a polio vaccine that can prevent polio. And no such thing as a vaccine that can prevent disease. There are over 150 years of data that proves vaccines are useless and poisonous.

Nearly all recorded polio cases in history were caused by manmade chemicals and the polio vaccine. From 1940 to 1972, the surest way to contract polio was to be exposed to the pesticide DDT or get vaccinated with the polio vaccine—the Salk polio vaccine caused polio, one reason it was discontinued. DDT was made by Monsanto, the same company responsible for Agent Orange, Aspartame, RoundUp, PCBs, Saccharin, and recently GMOs.
It could be said that the drug and chemical companies (specifically Monsanto) colluded to conceal the deaths caused by DDT by using polio as a cover.

For over 150 years, common words that independent doctors and scientists have used to describe vaccination are: useless, dangerous, scam, fraud, racket. A
glaring example is polio. Polio (or the symptoms associated with polio) was not an infectious disease in the traditional sense as the vast majority are miseducated to believe. Many recorded polio cases between 1940 and 1970 were manmade, caused by the pesticide DDT (Dichloro Diphenyl Trichlorethane) and other pesticides. The remaining polio cases were caused by the polio vaccine. Wild polio was and is still rare.

Before the large scale use of DDT in the early 1940s, the word "polio" appeared 0 (zero) times in epidemiological (large population disease) studies between the 1700s to late 1800s. In other words, polio was rare in the USA until DDT's predecessor was used after 1874, then when DDT was widely used in the 1940s. After which, the polio epidemics started.

As the use of DDT significantly increased after 1940, the polio rate also increased proportionally. The largest polio epidemics in history occurred in the 1940s and 1950s. This timeline coincides with the DDT's wide scale use and the introduction of the Salk polio vaccine. DDT is a poison and a neurotoxin. It causes paralysis and brain/spinal cord disease—both are distinct symptoms of polio.

As the use of DDT decreased, the polio rate also decreased proportionally. DDT was banned in the USA in 1972 by the EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). After which, polio was reclassified—polio is magically a new disease now. Medical students are taught that the polio people had contracted in the 1940s to 1970s was an infectious disease. It wasn't.

Polio: "1789, British physician Michael Underwood provides first clinical description of the disease. 1840, Jacob Heine describes the clinical features of the disease as well as its involvement of the spinal cord."

There are many secondary causes of polio (the primary cause is the poliovirus). One secondary cause of the poliovirus was DDT and other pesticides. Another is unsanitary conditions, "Polio is usually spread via the fecal-oral route (i.e., the virus is transmitted from the stool of an infected person to the mouth of another person from contaminated hands or such objects as eating utensils). Some cases may be spread directly via an oral to oral route." Contaminated water was also cited as a secondary cause of the poliovirus. However, up until chemical pesticides were commonly used and the introduction of he Salk polio vaccine, wild polio was extremely rare.
The predecessor to DDT was first synthesized in 1874 and was used as a pesticide. Its successor, DDT, was commercialized in 1939 when the invention was credited to Paul Muller.

The first polio outbreak in the U.S. was in 1894 in Vermont, with 132 cases. Another in New York in 1916. The polio outbreaks of 1894, 1916, 1940s, and 1950s have an eerie commonality: they occurred in the summer, when DDT and other pesticides were being sprayed, especially in apple orchards. In addition, of the nearly 200 countries in the world, only countries that used DDT had polio outbreaks. And the higher the DDT usage, the higher the polio rate.
“So as DDT peaked, six months later, polio peaked. DDT comes down, six months later polio comes down. DDT flatlines, polio flatlines. It follows the contour. It’s like taking the same graph and just displacing it by six months.” — Dr. Rashid Buttar, DO
Texas, USA, 1950s. DDT was used as an insecticide, mostly to kill mosquitoes. The big difference in body mass between insects and humans explains the different effects of DDT on both species. DDT kills insects, which have significantly less body mass than humans. In equal doses, DDT isn’t potent enough to kill humans but causes paralysis, which is a distinct symptom assigned to polio.

1953: Dr. Morton S. Biskind writes: “It was known by 1945 that DDT was stored in the body fat of mammals and appears in their milk...yet far from admitting a causal relationship between DDT and polio that is so obvious, which in any other field of biology would be instantly accepted, virtually the entire apparatus of communication, lay and scientific alike, has been devoted to denying, concealing, suppressing, distorting and attempts to convert into its opposite this overwhelming evidence. Libel, slander, and economic boycott have not been overlooked in this campaign.”

DDT was banned in 1972. Coincidentally, the Salk polio vaccine was discontinued in the same period because it was causing polio, cancer, and death in children.
The Cutter Incident, 1955. Polio vaccine manufacturer Cutter Laboratories caused 40,000 cases of polio.

“In April 1955 more than 200,000 children in five Western and mid-Western USA states received a polio vaccine in which the process of inactivating the live virus proved to be defective. Within days there were reports of paralysis and within a month the first mass vaccination programme against polio had to be abandoned. Subsequent investigations revealed that the vaccine, manufactured by the California-based family firm of Cutter Laboratories, had caused 40,000 cases of polio.”

From these timelines and events, it could be concluded that polio (or the symptoms associated with polio) was a manmade disease and not an infectious disease that medical students are taught. In other words, nearly all cases of polio were caused by pesticides, specifically DDT, and the Salk polio vaccine.

The polio vaccine might have caused cancer in millions of Americans. “SV40 is a virus found in some species of monkey...SV40 was discovered in 1960. Soon afterward, the virus was found in polio vaccine...More than 98 million Americans received on or more doses of polio vaccine from 1955 to 1963 when a proportion of vaccine was contaminated with SV40; it has been estimated that 10-30 million Americans could have received an SV40 contaminated dose of vaccine...SV40 has been found in certain types of cancer in humans...” —CDC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention), “Simian Virus 40 (SV40), and Polio Vaccine Fact Sheet”, 2013

RE-NAMING AND RE-CLASSIFYING DISEASES TO ERADICATE THEM

If DDT and the Salk polio vaccine caused nearly all cases of polio, and they were banned in the early 1970s, why is there still polio after DDT and the Salk polio vaccine were discontinued? Polio has been given new symptoms (polio has been redefined and reclassified). It's an entirely new disease with new symptoms. Some of these symptoms include fever or severe fatigue. Drug companies often reclassify or rename diseases to give the appearance that they’ve been eradicated, or they’re still a menace—depending which one meets their financial interest.

“The idea of re-naming a disease to suit the records is not new. Hadwen also said in his address, that in 1886, although there were 275 cases of smallpox, only one vaccinated child died. In addition, 93 children died of chickenpox. Given the mild nature of chickenpox and the fact that few deaths from it had previously been recorded, this diagnosis is highly unlikely...Re-naming the disease did the trick. They didn’t die of smallpox, they died of the re-named disease: spurious
cowpox...The re-naming practice continues today.” —Dr. Jennifer Craig, BSN, Ma, PhD, “Smallpox Vaccine: Origins of Vaccine Madness”, 2010

Re-naming and re-classifying diseases is a scheme the drug companies often use to suit their needs.

—You can remove major symptoms of a disease and it’s magically eradicated.

—Or you can call it a different name and it’s magically eradicated.

In 2017, autism affects 1 in 36 children. Don’t be surprised if the drug companies re-name or re-classify autism so it’s no longer a problem to parents. At its root, autism is a form of brain damage, regardless of its name or assigned symptoms.

In the 21st century, nearly all infant and childhood illnesses and diseases can be traced back to vaccines. However, the drug companies are blaming those illnesses and diseases on genetic/congenital factors. This is an attempt to absolve the drug and chemical companies of legal and financial liabilities. Another way the drug and chemical companies attempt to absolve themselves of wrongdoing is to revise history (outright lies). These are not the people you want to trust with your children's health.

The chemical companies create diseases and the drug companies sell products that supposedly prevent those diseases. In reality, those drugs and vaccines (ingredients from chemical companies) actually cause more diseases—the left hand and right hand work together.

The Anti-Vaccination Movements
The anti-vaccination movement started when parents noticed that their children became diseased and dead after vaccination. Thus began the anti-vaccination movement in 1853 in England—1853 was also the first year of compulsory vaccination in England (also in 1867 and 1871). Each compulsory vaccination year was followed by an outbreak of the diseases the vaccines were supposed to prevent.

Formally, The Anti-Compulsory Vaccination League was launched in England in
1867. Then The London Society for the Abolition of Compulsory Vaccination. As vaccination moved to the US and Canada, the anti-vaccination movement also followed.

“The anti-vaccinists are those who have found some motive for scrutinizing the evidence, generally the very human motive of vaccinal injuries or fatalities in their own families or in those of their neighbours. Whatever their motive, they have scrutinized the evidence to some purpose, they have mastered nearly the whole case; they have knocked the bottom out of a grotesque superstition. The public at large cannot believe that a great profession should have been so perseveringly in the wrong.” —Dr. Charles Creighton, MA, MD, “Jenner and Vaccination: A Strange Chapter of Medical History”, 1889
England, 1853. An anti-vaccination poster from the 1850s. The anti-vaccination movement began in England in 1853 and continues into the 21st century. Vaccines exist to serve the drug companies, doctors, pediatricians, and hospitals.

“The vaccination practice, pushed to the front on all occasions by the medical profession, and through political connivance made compulsory by the state, has not only become the chief menace and gravest danger to the health of the rising generation, but likewise the crowning outrage upon the personal liberty of the American citizen.” —Dr. James Martin Peebles, MD, MA, PhD, “Vaccination a Curse and a Menace to Personal Liberty”, 1913
The USA, 1902. As vaccination spread across the Atlantic, the anti-vaccination movement also followed. In the US, it was headed by The Anti-Vaccination Society of America. In Canada, it was The Anti-Vaccination League. Prussia (part of modern day Germany) also had compulsory vaccination, and so did Austria, Japan, Philippines, and Switzerland. These countries (except for the Philippines) were among the first to undergo the Industrial Revolution, in which people congregated into cities and overcrowding was the norm. Children worked long hours in factories and fields. Factories had no ventilation and workers had to re-breathe dirty air.

The disease rates exploded for each successive year of compulsory vaccination. In other words, disease epidemics followed compulsory vaccination. Thus, every country eventually abandoned compulsory vaccination.
England, 1907. “About fifty Croydon fathers have gone to prison rather than have their children vaccinated or pay monetary penalties imposed.”

As Dr. Jennifer Craig, BSN, MA, PhD, summarized in her article, “Smallpox Vaccine, Origins of Vaccine Madness”:

“One of the worst smallpox epidemics took place in England between 1870 and 1872, nearly two decades after compulsory vaccination was introduced. Leicester, with nearly 200,000 inhabitants, boasted a 95% vaccination record but it suffered more deaths than less-vaccinated London. Faced with this obvious
evidence of the uselessness of vaccination, Leicester’s citizens rejected the program in favour of cleaning up the city. Under the leadership of James Briggs, Town Councillor and Sanitary Inspector, clean streets, clean markets and dairies, efficient garbage removal, sanitary housing and pure water supply replaced vaccination scars. In 1892-3 Leicester had 19.3 cases of smallpox per 10,000 population; similar-sized Warrington, with 99.2% vaccinated, had 123.3 cases.

“In Japan, in 1885, 13 years after compulsory vaccination, a law was passed requiring revaccination every seven years. From 1886-1892, a total of 25,474,370 revaccinations were recorded. Yet during this same period, Japan had 156,175 cases of smallpox with 38,979 deaths, a case mortality of nearly 25%. Slow learners, the government passed another act requiring every resident to be vaccinated and revaccinated every 5 years. Between 1889-1908, the case mortality was 30%. Prior to vaccination the case mortality was about 10%.

“During a ruthless campaign by the US in the Philippines in 1905, the native population were forcibly vaccinated several times. In 1918-1919, with over 95% of the population vaccinated, the worst epidemic the Philippines had ever known occurred. In the Congressional Record of December 21, 1937, William Howard Hay, MD, said, ‘The Philippines suffered the worst attack of smallpox, the worst epidemic three times over, that had ever occurred in the history of the islands and it was almost three times as fatal. The death rate ran as high as 60% in certain areas where formerly it had been 10-15%.”
Canada, 1919. STOP THE SLAUGHTER OF INNOCENTS. The anti-vaccination movement in 1919 (20th century), Toronto, Canada. In Canada, the main group was the Anti-Vaccination League. The Anti-Vaccination Society of America was the main group opposing mandatory (compulsory) vaccination in the USA. The society was founded in 1879.
The USA, early 2000s (21st century). Outspoken vaccination critics such as Jenny McCarthy, Dr. Andrew Wakefield, and other doctors and celebrities were blamed by the media for starting the anti-vaccination movement. As noted above, the movement has been around since 1853. Drug companies are one of the largest advertisers on TV, Internet, newspapers, and magazines. According to Robert F. Kennedy, Jr., the drug industry contributes up to 70% of advertising revenue to media companies. In 2017, the collective stock market capitalization of the drug companies (vaccine manufacturers) exceed $1 trillion. As actor Jim Carrey noted, “A trillion dollars buys a lot of expert opinions. Will it buy you?”

Mainly because of these movements, the public became aware of the dangers of vaccines. The lunatic idea of transferring animal diseases to humans to prevent diseases didn’t work. Compulsory vaccination was later repealed in every country because vaccines were found to be useless and poisonous. Several decades later, the drug companies began their mass advertising and marketing campaigns to “educate” the next generation on the benefits of vaccination.
Vaccination has been a menace to each generation since 1796.

**Disease Theories**
Most medical students are taught Louis Pasteur’s *Germ Theory of Disease*, which is partly true. We have little understanding of what germs are healthy or unhealthy for the body. We know that some germs do cause disease, in excessive amounts. However, it’s the unsanitary conditions of the environment and the unhygienic terrain of the body that create those germs—like rats are attracted to filthy places.
Germs do cause diseases, but more importantly it's the unsanitary environment and the unhygienic condition of the body that cause those germs. For example, if you don't want to get lung cancer, 1) Smoke and find a way to kill the cancer cells caused by smoking, 2) Don't smoke.

THE CELLULAR THEORY OF DISEASE (TREAT THE PERSON, NOT THE INFECTION).

“In 19th century France, while Pasteur was advocating the notion of germs as the cause of disease, another French scientist named Antoine Bechamp advocated a conflicting theory known as the ‘cellular theory’ of disease.

“Bechamp’s cellular theory is almost completely opposite to that of Pasteur’s. Bechamp noted that these germs that Pasteur was so terrified of were opportunistic in nature. They were everywhere and even existed inside of us in a symbiotic relationship. Bechamp noticed in his research that it was only when the tissue of the host became damaged or compromised that these germs began to manifest as a prevailing symptom (not cause) of disease.

“To prevent illness, Bechamp advocated not the killing of germs but the cultivation of health through diet, hygiene, and healthy lifestyle practices such as fresh air and exercise. The idea is that if the person has a strong immune system and good tissue quality (or “terrain” as Bechamp called it), the germs will not manifest in the person, and they will have good health. It is only when their health starts to decline (due to personal neglect and poor lifestyle choices) that they become victim to infections.” —www.MaroneWellness.com

Again, THE ONLY WAY TO PREVENT DISEASE IS TO REMOVE THE CAUSES. For example, smallpox was caused mostly by overcrowding, contaminated water, closeness to feces and urine, and food spoilage. Overcrowding has been solved by modern buildings and urban planning. Contaminated water was solved with sewer systems, plumbing, and water filtering systems. People no longer defecate or urinate in their backyards or buckets, thanks to toilets and indoor plumbing. Food spoilage was solved with electricity (refrigeration). Because of sanitation and hygiene, smallpox was eradicated in developed countries.
Louis Pasteur (1822-1895) was wrong, Antoine Bechamp (1816-1908) was right. Pasteur even admitted this in his dying days.

"Bernard was right, the germ is nothing—the milieu (the environment within) is everything." —Louis Pasteur

**VACCINATION IS NOT IMMUNIZATION**

Despite what the drug companies’ marketing machines claim, vaccination is NOT immunization. Immunization can only be attained when the immune system has encountered a natural infection and successfully fought it off. For example, those who had the natural measles are immune from it for life. Vaccine induced infections are vastly different than the wild infections. In infants, the antibodies required for immunization are passed from the mother’s breast milk. Vaccination destroys immunization.

There is a significant difference between theoretical science and observational science. With vaccines, observation contradicts theory. Vaccines work in controlled, sterile laboratory settings but not in the biological human body. The immune system exists for a reason. Nature is smarter than man. In vaccination, the most reliable source of observational science (data) is through the millions of parents who have vaccine injured children.

**THE GREAT HOMO SAPIENS**

The human body is the result of nearly 4 billion years of evolution, starting with the first prokaryotic cells (single-celled organism without a nucleus). Modern humans, Homo sapiens, as a distinct species have been around since 200 000 BCE. For the vast majority of that time, our ancestors had to struggle daily to obtain their physical needs: water, food, and shelter. They risked drinking contaminated water from streams, rivers, and lakes. They had to hunt and grow their own foods. Their nutritional profile was limited to what they were able to hunt and grow locally. They risked dying from exposure to the harsh weather.
For millions of years, humans and their common ancestors, struggled daily to obtain their physical needs: water, food, shelter. Since 1960 CE, those needs are effortlessly provided for us. The amount of energy expended to obtain our physical needs is minimal, allowing us with unprecedented leisure time.

In 1960 CE, those living in developed countries risk none of the dangers of obtaining their physical needs that their ancestors did. We simply walk to the sink and turn on the faucet to get drinking water. We drive to the supermarket, or even order online, to get a variety of foods around the world. We live in heated buildings with sanitation and hygiene safeguards as part of the building code.

In other words, as a distinct species, humans have had to struggle more than 99.999999% of their existence to obtain their physical needs: water, food, and shelter. In the 21st century, due to advances in technology, the energy required to acquire our physical needs has reduced dramatically, to the point that some are dying from sedentary lifestyles and not from securing their physical needs.

The great failure of vaccination is that it fails to addresses the underlying causes of diseases. It has been unequivocally demonstrated that when the causes of diseases are known and removed, those diseases can be prevented and eventually eradicated. Diseases have always thrived when our physical needs are unmet, or met in a way unnatural to the body. The body does not need the toxins in vaccines.

"As a retired physician, I can honestly say that unless you are in a serious
accident, your best chance of living to a ripe old age is to avoid doctors and hospitals and learn nutrition, herbal medicine and other forms of natural medicine unless you are fortunate enough to have a naturopathic physician available.

"Almost all drugs are toxic and are designed only to treat symptoms and not to cure anyone.

"Vaccines are highly dangerous, have never been adequately studied or proven to be effective, and have a poor risk/reward ratio.

"Most surgery is unnecessary and most textbooks of medicine are inaccurate and deceptive.

"Almost every disease is said to be idiopathic (without known cause) or genetic —although this is untrue.

"In short, our main stream medical system is hopelessly inept and/or corrupt. The treatment of cancer and degenerative diseases is a national scandal. The sooner you learn this, the better off you will be." –Dr. Allan Greenberg, MD, Dec. 24, 2002

Trung Nguyen
Edmonton, Alberta, Canada
January 2018
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Compulsory Vaccination—Illegal and Criminal and Non-enforceable Upon the People

How to legally defeat this medical evil which now kills more children than smallpox

Advice to parents and school officials with legal defenses against all compulsory vaccination

INTRODUCTION

THE FUNDAMENTAL LEGAL AND MEDICAL FACT AGAINST ALL COMPULSORY VACCINATION

The Public Letter of Advice to a parent of school children forming the first part of this pamphlet, as well as the supplement forming the final part, are both specially addressed to parents and school officers in our own State of New York and this address is intended to be an exhaustive consideration of our new school vaccination law, passed in 1915 and now in force in this State, known as the Loyster-Tallett Law, with the special purpose of showing the evil and illegal features of this particular law and the legal defenses by which its enforcement in
our schools may be frustrated, or on which it may be wholly invalidated in our courts if tested there.

The advices given and defenses suggested in this pamphlet are, however, not confined to the vaccination law now in force in New York State, but apply equally in all other States where similar laws attempt to force vaccination in any form on any section of the people against free will and consent or as a condition for the exercise of any inherent or fundamental right of the citizen or his child.

The fundamental legal and medical facts now set forth in these advices and defenses may be briefly epitomized here by stating that all compulsory vaccination is essentially illegal and criminal in its very nature from a truly logical, legal and constitutional basis, and cannot be validly forced on any person, for the reasons expressed in these simple propositions

**First:** No valid law can be based on what is essentially and demonstrably a falsehood, but must be based on actual truth.

Now all compulsory vaccination law is based on this triple falsehood, namely, that compulsory vaccination of a part or the whole of the population is necessary to prevent smallpox epidemics, that nothing else prevents smallpox epidemics but general vaccination, and that vaccination is perfectly safe and harmless and never causes injury or death. All of these propositions are absolutely and demonstrably false, and therefore any law based on them is absolutely invalid.

**Second:** To inflict a bodily wound on any person, forcibly or against the will of that person, and to inoculate into that wound an infectious disease which may infect the whole body and destroy health or life, as occurs in the act of vaccination, is an illegal and criminal act in fact and law under the simplest fundamental principles of common law, statute law and constitutional guarantees; and any law allowing or authorizing such an act is, of course, absolutely invalid.

**Third:** The medical profession, interested in vaccination, constantly represents that this medical and surgical operation known as vaccination is actually necessary for the health of school children and is perfectly safe and harmless for them and never causes injury or death. This false representation greatly aggravates the legal offense of the coercive vaccinator and the crime of forced vaccination, because for any doctor to represent and recommend to a patient a
surgical operation like vaccination as actually necessary to his health and perfectly safe and harmless, which is not at all necessary for health and which is essentially and potentially dangerous and deadly in every case and now actually kills more children than smallpox, is in itself obviously a grave medical malpractice and is believed to be actually a positive crime under our present laws and indictable and punishable as such.

Under these fundamental legal and medical facts, therefore, which we believe to be impregnable as a matter of legal, medical and statistical demonstration, no parent of school children or local or general school officer, high or low, in this State or other states, need pay any attention to any attempt to force compulsory vaccination upon them, except to denounce it as a form of child slaughter, medical barbarism and legal crime, and refuse to have any of the children in their charge forcibly vaccinated or excluded from school or denied their fundamental right to education and to health; but parents and school officers must insist upon the free legal right to education for every healthy child in this State without having first to endanger its health or life by compulsory disease, as fully set forth in the advices and defenses given in this pamphlet.

CHAS. M. HIGGINS, Member of Anti-Vaccination League and League for Medical Freedom.

271 Ninth St, Brooklyn, N. Y. October 4, 1915.

THE CRIME AGAINST THE SCHOOL CHILD

An Expose of the New Loyster-Tallett Law in the State of New York which Now Forces Compulsory Vaccination on Every School Public and Private, in the Ten Leading Cities in the State, namely, New York, Buffalo Rochester Syracuse Albany, Yonkers, Schenectady, Utica, Troy and Binghampton, but Removes it [From the Country Districts.

How Our Country Politicians, in a Deal with Medical Interests in the State, Put Compulsion More Heavily on Our Big Cities but Took it Off their Own Country Districts, and How the State Commissioner of Health Saved His Own Official Head in the Deal.

How to Legally Defeat this Evil Law Shown. Vital Points for Lawyers and
Laymen.
Note.—This letter of advice to one inquiring parent is now published as an open public letter for the benefit of other parents of school children and for all school officers in the State and for the public generally.

Mr. Leroy A. Mershon
55 Cedar Street
New York City

Dear Sir:

Your letter of August 17th was duly received but was not answered before this on account of absence from town.

**THE NEW VACCINATION LAW FOR CITY AND COUNTRY**

I note that you wish to get your boy admitted to public school No. 152 in Flatbush in the Borough of Brooklyn without vaccination, which you strongly object to. I understand that the boy has never been vaccinated but has heretofore attended the Staten Island Academy in the Borough of Richmond, which I believe is a private school, where vaccination was not required under the old law, which applied to public schools only. This year, however, a new law was passed known as the ‘Loyster-Tallett Law’ which is much worse than the old law and applies to all schools, public and private, in this State. It is called the Loyster-Tallett Law because it was drawn by Mr. James A. Loyster of Cazenovia, Madison County, in consultation with the State Department of Health, and apparently to suit this department and other medical interests, and it was introduced in the Legislature by Mr. Loyster's local representatives, Assemblyman Tallett of Madison County and Senator Jones of Chenango County.

Notwithstanding vigorous opposition to this evil law from different parts of the State, it was finally passed under the false representation that it did not apply to New York City at all, and many of our legislators from New York City actually voted for it, being misled by this false idea by somebody, whereas this law applies particularly to New York and to the four other big cities in the State, namely, Albany, Syracuse, Rochester and Buffalo, as well as to the five smaller
cities of the second class, namely, Yonkers, Schenectady, Utica, Troy and Binghampton, making vaccination necessary for admission to any school, public or private, in any of these, ten cities which contain 2/3 of the population of the whole State; while in the country districts in all the rest of the State outside of these ten big cities children can be freely admitted without vaccination unless a case of smallpox should some time arise in the town or district, when the unvaccinated children may be excluded, but not until then.

This peculiar Loyster-Tallett-Jones Law is obviously another glaring instance where the country politicians seem to control the making of laws for New York City; and in this shameful piece of medical legislation the country politicians seem to have actually succeeded in making a deal with some medical interests in the State, which seem to control our legislation, to take compulsory vaccination off the schools in their own country districts for the price of inflicting it more heavily than ever on the big cities of the State where the profit to the vaccine and medical interests will be obviously greater.

Another feature that seemed to figure in this deal relates to the status of State Health Commissioner Biggs himself, against whom a special bill was introduced to legislate him out of office for the alleged reason that he gave only a part of his time to the public business of the State and most of his time to his own private practice, at the big salary of $8,000 per year. This bill was introduced by Mr. Hinman of Albany in the Assembly, March 23rd, and provided that the Health Commissioner must give all his time to the business of the State, and it was passed unanimously on April 8th without a dissenting vote, but was not forced in the Senate for some reason which the country politicians can tell us if they will. Coincidentally Commissioner Biggs approved the bill of the country politicians to take compulsion off their own country schools but to put it heavier on all the city schools, although this bill was strongly opposed by the medical societies of the State who are opposed to any relaxation of the dangerous but profitable practice of vaccination in either city or country.

Nevertheless the country politicians got their bill through, taking compulsory vaccination off their own country schools, and Commissioner Biggs saved his official head by the defeat of the bill to make him either resign or give all his official time to the business of the State, for which he is so well paid. I make no comment on these legislative, medical and political facts, which seem to speak clearly for themselves and show how some of our laws are made and what influences sometimes determine their passage and what a dangerous grip the
medical powers—the most dangerous in our body politic—now have on the people of this State to be able to dictate or determine our laws, as will hereafter more fully appear.

**MR. LOYSTERS PECULIAR WORK—CAN ANYONE EXPLAIN IT?**

Mr. Loyster, who seems to be quite something of a country politician himself, being a member of the State Republican Committee, and evidently having considerable political influence in the Legislature, excused the passage of this shameful and cowardly law on the ground that it was the only concession he could force from this dangerous medical power which, as I have just said, seems to have such a sinister grip on the legislature and people of this State.

**POLITICAL COWARDICE AND MEDICAL CRAFT**

That it was a most cowardly piece of political work, whoever was responsible for it, to be satisfied with getting the evil of compulsory disease taken off the country schools in their own political districts for the price of putting it heavier on all the schools, public and private, in all the big cities of the State and for the further price of giving the vaccinating doctors double pay for this evil work, is so obvious and “raw” in its moral and political ugliness that it needs no further comment here. But perhaps there was some special reason for this peculiar piece of work, which some of our politicians can explain to us if they will.

**MR. LOYSTERS’ PAMPHLET**

Now with regard to Mr. Loyster, it must be specially noted that this intelligent man was a most grievous sufferer himself from the medical crime of compulsory vaccination, having lost his own precious boy through this medical evil last summer, and he has since published a striking pamphlet as a memorial to his lost boy, showing the deaths of about thirty children from coercive vaccination in this State in 1914. A copy of this remarkable pamphlet will be mailed upon request, accompanied by ten cents to cover costs of printing and postage. The title of this pamphlet is “Vaccination Results in New York State in 1914” and it is illustrated with photographs of many children killed by vaccination in this State in the great vaccination raid of 1914 improperly forced upon the schools of the State by the
Department of Education under the illegal dictation of the Department of Health.

In this pamphlet Mr. Loyster shows what our League has been impressing on the public for years, that vaccination is killing more children than smallpox and is now not only more dangerous than smallpox.

1) but is quite needless as an alleged remedy for its prevention. In his "conclusions" he manfully took the same ground that we have been urging for years, demanding the complete repeal of all compulsion as the following extracts from his pamphlet will show, namely,

“Vaccination has been the cause, directly or indirectly, of the death of at least fifty children in New York State in 1914.”

4) “Vaccination of children is wrong in principle, is not in harmony with the trend of the best medical practice and should be abandoned.”

8) “A new section of the Public Health Law should be enacted which should provide for the repeal of compulsory vaccination as a prerequisite for an education.”

Notwithstanding this clear re-echo of the principles of our League from a man who is not a member of it, and, shameful and strange to say, this man drew up a bill in connection with the State Departments of Health and Education which violated every one of these principles and gave this bill to his local legislators to introduce, using all his own political influence to rush it through the legislature. This shocking bill, as first drawn, instead of reducing or repealing compulsion, actually gave the medical interests everything they had been trying to get for years past and which our League had so far prevented, namely,

1) Compulsory vaccination on every school child in the State, public and private.

2) Forced vaccination of every school child repeated every year!

3) It changed the discretionary enforcement of the law from the school officers who have no pecuniary interest in vaccination, as in the old law, to the mandatory enforcement of the health officers having a direct pecuniary interest in this enforcement and,

4) It actually gave the vaccinating doctors extra or double pay for every
vaccination performed, as you will note in paragraph 3 of this law annexed, which is in gross violation of the State Constitution, Article III, Section 28. This remarkable piece of medical craft and graft was probably either the result of Mr. Loyster’s own innocent ideas or the crafty work of the medical or vaccination interests in this State into which he was unconsciously misled by his unfamiliarity with and newness in this whole subject. After drawing this bill he submitted it to us for approval and actually had the simplicity or effrontery to expect that the members of our League were such fools, cowards, stultifiers and traitors that we could be expected to cooperate with him in the advancement of such a stupid and dangerous measure instead of fighting against it to the end as representing every evil against which we had been working steadily for years and as a complete surrender to the advocates of medical compulsion of everything for which they had been long striving and which they now get in this Loyster Law.

We therefore believe that for a, man of Mr. Loyster’s intelligence, with his experience of acute suffering from vaccination and his evident political influence in the State, that for him to have drawn and advocated any such bill, or to work for or accept any reform less than a full repeal of all compulsory vaccination, which by his own showing killed his own son and forty or fifty other children in the State in one year, was nothing less than a most contemptible piece of political cowardice which makes a most shocking anti-climax to his remarkable pamphlet and a most sinister monument to his martyred boy, which must forever haunt and mock at him for the rest of his life unless he immediately repents of this mistake by working for the absolute repeal of the present shameful law at the earliest possible moment.

From what has been already shown it is almost needless to now say that such a law as I have just described—the present Loyster-Tallett-Jones Law—is a moral crime on the people of this State and is, I believe, clearly illegal and unconstitutional on broad legislative principles, but, of course, it will take a great deal of hard work and much expense to defeat such laws in our courts, no matter how bad they are, a work which I presume men like yourself are not anxious to tackle or able to undertake.

I enclose one of my pamphlets, “A Heart to Heart Talk/” which will show you how our League has fought this evil law to the very end.

It was finally passed, however, and signed by the Governor about
April 1st, with a serious change which we helped to compel, namely, cutting out the condition for vaccination every year, but the evil of separate laws for city and country districts was added, which, backed by the country politicians and the State Department of Health, we were not able to defeat but which will yet kill this law in our courts, if not repealed before this legal test is made, as this arbitrary local discrimination against the cities makes this enactment an illegal class law and not a “law of the land.”

**SMALLPOX TEN TIMES MORE FREQUENT IN COUNTRY THAN CITY**

The alleged reason for taking rigid compulsion off the country districts and putting it on the cities was the false and stupid reason that smallpox is less frequent in the country than in the city, whereas the very reverse is the fact, smallpox being actually more of a country disease than a city disease and being usually from five to twenty times more frequent in the country than in the city. For example, in 1914 the total number of smallpox cases in our whole State of ten millions was 791 with only 3 deaths! The 3 deaths were all in the districts outside of the 5 big cities; and only 24 of these cases occurred in New York City, with over half the population of the State, and only 41 of the 791 cases occurred in the ten leading cities, containing 2/3 of the whole population of the State. On the other hand, 749 cases occurred in the country districts, having only 1/3 of the total population, or nearly thirty times the absolute number in New York City or sixty times the relative number! This remarkable showing of statistical facts is sufficient proof of the folly and falseness of our vaccination laws and the gross ignorance or dishonesty of some of their advocates or promoters and beneficiaries.
CHAPTER 2
HOW TO LEGALLY EVADE AND DEFEAT THE LOYSTER LAW

FIRST DEFENSE: DOCTOR’S CERTIFICATE OF DANGER TO HEALTH OR LIFE

Under the present circumstances, therefore, the best advice I can now give you for legally defeating or evading this evil law and getting your child admitted to school without the serious or fatal danger of vaccination is to get a doctor’s certificate that vaccination will be injurious to your child in his present state of health, upon which certificate he can be admitted to any public school under existing rules of the Department of Education. You will find that there are several good doctors in the city strongly opposed to child vaccination who are fully aware of its great dangers to the health and life of children and who know that there are many children so susceptible to this form of blood poisoning as to make it highly dangerous or possibly fatal to them.

DEATHS OF 30 CHILDREN FROM VACCINATION IN 1914

We have now on hand positive and circumstantial evidence, sufficient to convince any ordinary jury, of nearly thirty deaths of school children from vaccination, by lockjaw and other blood infections introduced in the vaccination wound, all in 1914 in this State alone. Three or more of these deaths occurred in Brooklyn or its vicinity, whereas there were only three deaths from smallpox in the whole State in the same year! As supplemental to this irrefragable evidence against vaccination, the yearly reports of the highest statistical authority in the world, the Registrar General of England, show that for several years past the deaths of children from vaccination greatly exceed deaths of children from smallpox, and yet our health officials and vaccinating doctors in this City and State constantly deceive the public mind with the outrageous medical and statistical falsehood that vaccination is perfectly safe and harmless and never causes any serious injury except that brought about by the fault of the patient
THE GREATEST SIN IN THE MORAL CODE COMMITTED BY VACCINATORS

This awful falsehood of our vaccinators constantly uttered that vaccination is perfectly or relatively safe and harmless, may therefore be said to come under the classification of the highest sin in the moral code of Christianity known as “the sin against the Holy Ghost”—the Spirit of Truth—which consists in brazenly “impugning the known truth” for the motives of some concealment of error, pride of opinion or professional profit. This is the gravest of all sins which The Greatest Hebrew Prophet has solemnly told us will not be forgiven either in this world or the world to come!

SECOND DEFENSE (FOR CITY PARENTS):
CHANGE RESIDENCE TO COUNTRY DISTRICT

Another good suggestion I can give you for the defense of your children against this barbarous law which makes compulsory disease a precondition for education, is to change your residence to one of the suburbs on the outer edge or beyond the limits of New York City, where children can be admitted under the present law without vaccination.

THE THIRD DEFENSE—PRIVATE SCHOOLS AND CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS.

A further suggestion is to send your child to any of the big private schools in the City, the Friends School or the Berkeley Institute for instance, which are opposed to compulsory vaccination and in which it is believed that the Health officials or medical interests back of them will not attempt to enforce the present evil and illegal law and where this law will be readily broken down if legally tested there. My own children go to the Friends School and to the Packer Institute, and I am prepared to legally defend them from this almost criminal law and defeat it legally in the courts if any attempt is made to deprive the children of these schools or their parents of any of our inalienable and constitutional rights—the highest and most indispensable of these rights being obviously the
right to education—which our medical despots will find cannot be taken away from any citizen in this great State “unless by the law of the land or the judgment of his peers” (see State Constitution Article 1st).

COMPULSORY VACCINATION LEGALLY A CRIME

The present law is not a “law of the land,” as already shown, but is the law of a political and medical clique which controls our legislation applied arbitrarily to one section of the people only and passed under conditions which I believe will not stand the light of day and are illegal, as I showed in my protest at the hearing before the Governor. This law, in fact, impresses an illegal and criminal condition on the citizen and parent, that he must first endanger the health or life of his healthy child as a condition for education by deliberately inflicting upon him a disease of blood poisoning, which is now demonstrably more dangerous to public health and human life than smallpox itself and actually kills more children every year than smallpox and has also been proved to be the cause of our great epidemics of foot and mouth disease of cattle, which have caused the slaughter of hundreds of thousands of farm animals all over this country in 1902, 1908 and 1914, and have already cost the State and National governments and our farmers, dairymen and stockmen many millions of dollars. Of course, no such evil practice capable of such deadly results can be forced legally on any person in this State under our fundamental laws and charters.

FOURTH DEFENSE: CRIMINAL PROSECUTION OF VACCINATORS UNDER COMMON LAW AND PENAL CODE

You will see that I have described forced vaccination under the present Loyster Law as a crime on the people, which I insist upon with all legal soberness and seriousness because it is actually a crime under common and statute law to maim, wound or assault the human body or to inflict upon it any medical malpractice or infectious disease capable of causing serious injury or death, and particularly is it a crime to inflict such a malpractice on children under section 483 of the Penal Code, which prohibits “Endangering Life or Health of Child”, and it is therefore high time that all persons who may be legally or morally responsible for the killing of the thirty or more children in this State by forced vaccination in the last year were tried before a Common Court and Jury under
these laws.
CHAPTER 3

FIFTH DEFENSE: NO LEGAL POWER EXISTS TO COMPEL VACCINATION

VACCINATION LAW CONFLICTS WITH EDUCATION LAW AND DISTRICT SCHOOL OFFICERS HAVE POWER TO SUSPEND VACCINATION LAW AND ADMIT UNVACCINATED CHILDREN, ACCORDING TO DECISION OF DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION BY COMMISSIONER DRAPER IN 1912.

This is a very important point of legal defense against the vaccination law which I wish to particularly impress upon and make plain to you and other parents and especially to all school officers in public or private schools.

NO LAW OR LEGAL POWER IN THE STATE TO COMPEL VACCINATION

Contrary to the general false idea there is absolutely no law in this State now and never was, directing, requiring or compelling any one to be vaccinated or directing or compelling any parent to have his child vaccinated to attend school or otherwise. In fact, some of our higher courts have decided that there is no power in the Legislature itself to make vaccination compulsory or compel any one to be vaccinated. See decision of Judge Gaynor against Health Commissioner Emery of Brooklyn in 1894, and the decision of the Court of Appeals sustaining Judge Gaynor. See also decision of Judge Woodward of the Appellate Court in the case of Viemeister in 1903.

The Supreme Court of Massachusetts in the case of Jacobson in 1903 decided that it was not in the power of the law to compel vaccination of any one who objected to it, but only to collect the fine of five dollars. The Supreme Court of the United States, in this same case of Jacobson, decided in 1904 that the fine as an alternative to vaccination was constitutional, but Judges Brewer and Peckham dissented, evidently holding that not even this fine of $5 was constitutional as an effort to compel vaccination. This great court, however, agreed unanimously that
vaccination could not be forced on any one who could show that it was dangerous to their health or life. Judge Bartlett of the New York Supreme Court in the case of Walters in 1894 decided that to compel vaccination by intimidation or force was criminal assault.

The only school vaccination law we now have or ever did have in this State does not compel any one to be vaccinated but merely authorizes the school officers to exclude or refuse admission to any child or other person who does not wish to adopt vaccination, but there is no power in the school officers or even in the law or legislature itself to compel any one to be vaccinated against will and consent. Now, therefore, while we have no vaccination law compelling or directing any one to be vaccinated, we have an Education Law which very clearly and positively compels all children between six and fourteen years of age in proper physical and mental health to "attend upon instruction at a school of some kind, public or private. There is also a distinct law applying to all parents, or others in parental relation to children, compelling them to cause all healthy children of school age to “attend upon instruction” under positive penalties for neglect to do so. Now please note this important point, namely:

There is a distinct penal law compelling parents to send their children to school and also a law binding on the school officers compelling education for every healthy child, but there is no law whatever compelling any parent to have any child vaccinated to attend school or otherwise.

Consequently every parent who sends his healthy child to school, whether vaccinated or not, obeys this law, and the school officers who refuse to admit such healthy child and give him education—his most indispensable and inalienable right which no one can take away from him—break this law. Therefore, the parent who will persist in sending his child to school and persist in refusing to have his health or life endangered by vaccination breaks no law whatever but faithfully performs his parental duties and fully obeys the laws as they are and cannot be prosecuted for failing to have his child vaccinated, as there is no such offense under any of our existing laws. On the contrary, the parent can properly plead that there is one penal law that he would violate if he had his child vaccinated, to the endangering of his health and life, namely, Section 483 of the Penal Code, on this very point already referred to.

DECISION OF COURT OF APPEALS IN EKEROLD
CASE EXPLAINED

There is one recent decision of the Court of Appeals in the case of the parent Ekerold which has been grossly misrepresented by the vaccinators who try to make it appear that Ekerold was fined for not having his child vaccinated. He, of course, could not be legally prosecuted or fined for this act or omission because there is no law whatever compelling any parent to vaccinate his child under penalty of fine or otherwise, and it is, of course, as true in the laws of the State of New York as in the Epistle of St. Paul to the Romans that “where no law is there is no transgression.” Ekerold was fined simply for not sending his child to school and not for failing to vaccinate him, and the whole decision turns on that point and the Court clearly pointed out that if Ekerold objected to vaccination he could easily have sent his child to private school, where vaccination was not required under the old law under which this decision was rendered.

If, therefore, Ekerold had been properly advised in the first place he would have persisted in sending his child to the public school and demanded education for him there under the compulsory education law, and also would have persisted in his legal right to refuse to have the health or life of his child endangered by vaccination, and if the school officers then refused to give him education they would thereby be the breakers of the education law and not Ekerold, who could not, of course, be prosecuted under this law, which simply requires that parents cause their children to “attend upon instruction.”

Now to show how sound and safe this point of law is I will simply state that there have been about half a dozen cases already tried before courts and juries in different parts of the State where it was attempted to convict and fine parents for violation of the education law under these very circumstances where parents persisted in sending their children to public school, but refused to have them vaccinated, and the jury failed to convict in every case and freed the parent.

DECISION OF COMMISSIONER DRAPER OF DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION IN THE CASE OF THE TOWN OF OLEAN

If, therefore, you and other parents will combine and adopt this legal course and refuse to have your children vaccinated but persist in sending them every day to
your local public school and demand instruction for them under the compulsory Education Law you will see that the school officers will soon find a good legal reason to admit them. This reason is in the law itself, as just explained, and in the decision rendered in the Department of Education at Albany by Commissioner Draper in 1912 in the case of the town of Olean, where hundreds of parents refused to have their children vaccinated on account of danger to health and life but insisted on their right to public education under the education law and where two thousand children have ever since attended school unvaccinated.

The schools in Newburgh, Niagara Falls, and several other towns in the State have also admitted unvaccinated children freely on this decision. In this case Commissioner Draper decided that the vaccination law conflicted with the education law and that, as both laws could not be enforced, the school officers should suspend the vaccination law and enforce the education law until the Legislature should remove the conflict in the two laws. The Legislature has not yet, however, removed the conflict, as the new law is just as stupid and conflicting in this respect as the old law and therefore the decision of Commissioner Draper still holds as a binding authority on the Department of Education and on all public schools under it and it should here be fully understood that a decision of the Commissioner of Education is final and unappealable and binding on every public school officer in the State.

Under these legal principles, therefore, the officers of every public or private school in the State have power at their discretion to admit any child unvaccinated with or without a doctor’s certificate, as mentioned in the First Defense.

REVACCINATION ILLEGAL—ONE VACCINATION SUFFICIENT

Another point that I wish to particularly impress upon you is that if your child has been once vaccinated, whether “successfully” or not, and no matter how many years ago, he cannot be legally required to be revaccinated under section 310, paragraph 1 of the present law applying to cities but must be admitted to school without revaccination. It may be that your child has been already vaccinated, although a long time since, and, if so, you can get him admitted to any public school in the cities under the present law without further vaccination.
ANOTHER VITAL LEGAL POINT

EDUCATION WHEN PAID FOR IS A RIGHT AND NOT A “PRIVILEGE”

The cases of Walters in 1894 and of Viemeister in 1903 were instances where parents attempted to force the admission of their children into the public schools without vaccination under the old law of 1893. In both these cases our courts decided that public education “at the expense of the State”—which means to a person who pays no school taxes or costs of education—is a “privilege” and not a “right.” Walters and Viemeister it appears were not taxpayers and this point was actually raised against them in court. To a parent, however, who is a taxpayer and pays his school taxes or other costs of the education of his children, it is obvious that the case is quite different and that this legal point made in these court decisions does not apply to him and that paid for education, public or private, is not a mere “privilege,” like free board for a pauper, but is clearly a citizen’s “right” and cannot, we believe, be legally refused under the Compulsory Education Law to any tax paying citizen or healthy child merely because the parent will not expose his healthy child to the serious or fatal danger of disease inoculation, which is in itself an illegal requirement, as before shown. We have already shown that the court in both of these cases admitted that there was no power in the law to compel any one to be vaccinated and that to force vaccination on any one was criminal assault.

These decisions, therefore, in no way refute the right of a taxpaying citizen to get his healthy child admitted to school without vaccination and particularly not where the school officer elects at his discretion to so admit him under the decision of the Commissioner of Education in the case of the town of Clean in 1912, as before cited, which is final and binding in the schools of the State, as has been shown.

Under these several decisions, therefore, there is everything to authorize and nothing to prevent any school officer, in public or private school, from exercising his discretion and admitting any healthy unvaccinated child, notwithstanding the present Loyster Law actually legally non-enforceable in the case of every school officer who thus acts within his legal rights, as already indicated.
I will finally here point out a fundamental, vital and legal reason to justify any school officer in suspending the vaccination law in any school at any time, which is this:

The school vaccination law was passed by the Legislature under a medical deception and is now maintained on the people by a gross medical deception that this operation is entirely beneficial, necessary for the prevention of smallpox epidemics and perfectly safe and harmless, and never causes any injury or death, whereas, in fact, by government record, it now kills more children than smallpox, as shown by the reports of the highest statistical authority in the world, the Registrar General of England. This positive fact, therefore, entirely alters the aspect of this medical operation before the Public, the Courts and the Law, so that there is sufficient solid ground in this legal, hygienic and statistical fact alone to justify any local school board in at once suspending vaccination in any school or district where this fact becomes apparent to their official minds. Our highest court in this country, the U.S. Supreme Court, in the case of Jacobson, appealed from Massachusetts, decided positively on this very point that vaccination could not be legally forced on any one who can show that it is dangerous to health and life, and two judges of this court by dissent held that this Massachusetts law to enforce vaccination by a fine was not constitutional.

DEATHS FROM VACCINATION GREATER THAN DEATHS FROM SMALLPOX
A PUBLIC CHALLENGE RENEWED

To prove the positive statement made in the previous paragraph as to the demonstrated fatality of vaccination and therefore the consequent illegality of its enforcement, I will now refer to the report of the Registrar General of England and Wales for the recent year of 1911 where this most significant comparative data is given as to deaths from smallpox and vaccination for that year:

| Total deaths from smallpox for all ages | 23 |
| Deaths from smallpox under 5 years | 6 |
| Total deaths from vaccination, all ages | 14 |
| Deaths from vaccination under 5 years | 14 |

SPECIFIC DISEASES OR CAUSES OF
DEATH IN SAID 14 FATAL VACCINATIONS

| From “Vaccina” or “Cowpox” directly | 6 |
| From vaccination resulting in Fatal Septicemia | 5 |
| From vaccination resulting in Fatal Erysipelas | 3 |
| TOTAL | 14 |

Here we will see from one of the latest published annual records of the highest statistical authority in the world that for the year 1911 in England and Wales, in a population of about 36,000,000 the total deaths from vaccination were 14, or more than half the total deaths from smallpox, which were 23! On the other hand, the deaths from smallpox in little children were only six, and exactly equal to the deaths caused directly by “vaccinia” or cowpox, pure and simple, in children of the same age, whereas the additional deaths from wound infections in the vaccination sores, namely:

from Septicemia and Erysipelas, added eight more fatalities, thus making the deaths from vaccination in little children over twice as many as the deaths from smallpox!
To show that deaths from vaccination in this year of 1911 in England, as compared to deaths from smallpox, are nothing unusual and that such deaths occur more or less constantly every year, and that the total yearly deaths from vaccination exceed total yearly deaths from smallpox, particularly in children, I can give this further impressive data from the reports of the Registrar General for several years previous to 1911, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total deaths from smallpox</th>
<th>Total deaths from vaccination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1906</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1907</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1908</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total deaths from smallpox for six years 1905 to 1910 | 199 |
| Total deaths from vaccination for six years 195 to 1910 | 99  |
| Deaths from smallpox in said period under 5 years | 26  |
| Deaths from vaccination in said period under 5 years old | 98  |
This awful record of fatal vaccinations thus speaks very clearly for itself and forms an absolute indictment of the whole barbarous and murderous system of compulsory vaccination, particularly for little children, as being both illegal and criminal in its very nature, and needs no further comment here. It can be further proved that an equal or greater fatality from vaccination, as compared to the English records, occurs in our own State of New York, but these fatalities are denied and concealed in our death certificates and yearly reports by our vaccinating doctors and health officials of city and state, as I have repeatedly and publicly charged and have challenged these doctors and officials to deny or disprove this charge if they can or dare, and I now hereby renew this public challenge on this most serious point.

**COMPULSORY VACCINATION NOT NECESSARY TO PREVENT SMALLPOX**

In England, the home of vaccination, no compulsion is placed on the school age but only on the infant class, under five years, this age being the most susceptible to smallpox, the adult age (over 20) being the next most susceptible, whereas the school age (from 6 to 19) is the least susceptible to smallpox of any part of the population.

Even in this infant age any child can be exempted from vaccination by a special law known as the “conscientious clause,” which allows their parents to file a declaration of conscientious objection within the first year of life, under which law nearly half of the children born in England are now exempted from vaccination as a recognition by parents of its great danger to health and life.

Notwithstanding this great decline in English vaccination and its almost entire absence on school children, smallpox has steadily declined in England with the corresponding increase of sanitation and hygiene, so that the English Minister of Health, Hon. John Burns, made this public declaration in the House of Commons on April 12, 1911, that: “Just in proportion as in recent years exemptions (from vaccination) have gone up from 4%, to 30%, so deaths from smallpox have declined.”

In the third greatest state in our country, Illinois, with the second largest city, there is no compulsory vaccination on school children and yet no increase of
smallpox has been shown after many years of trial. In the large state of Minnesota there is also no compulsion on school children, and in the small state of Utah compulsion is absolutely prohibited; yet in all of these instances of small and large states it has not been found that any increase of smallpox has resulted from the decline of vaccination.

In fact, the English city of Leicester, of about 300,000 population, is a brilliant example of the uselessness of vaccination to prevent smallpox and the value of its abolition to health and life. This city has practically abandoned the use of vaccination for the last 35 years and has relied almost entirely on sanitation and isolation and yet has had no trouble in controlling smallpox, but has had one of the lowest figures for smallpox mortality, general death rate and infant mortality in the world. See the remarkable acknowledgment of these facts from opposite sides, namely, from the anti-vaccination side in the book by Mr. J. T. Biggs, former town official of Leicester, entitled “Leicester—Sanitation vs. Vaccination," London, 1912, and from the pro side in the recent convincing work of Dr. Killick Millard, present health officer of Leicester, in his book, “The Vaccination Question," London, 1914.

THE LEICESTER METHOD PREVENTING SMALLPOX WITHOUT VACCINATION

This is the gist of what Health Officer Millard—a pro-vaccinist says of the success of the Leicester method of preventing smallpox without vaccination:

“The two crucial and outstanding facts which I wish to lay stress upon, are:

“a) The unexpected and remarkable experience of the town of Leicester, which for thirty years has abandoned infantile vaccination, yet has shown an enormous decline in smallpox mortality.

“b) The fact that although infantile vaccination is falling more and more into disuse throughout the whole country, yet smallpox, contrary to all pro-vaccinist expectation and prophecy, continues to decline and has almost disappeared.

“The striking facts that in Leicester, without infantile vaccination the decline has been greater than in most places, and that throughout the country smallpox has continued to decrease in spite of the falling off in vaccination, should surely be
sufficient grounds
for legitimate doubt.

“If it can be shown that “sanitation” thoroughly carried out, is alone sufficient for the effective control of smallpox in this country [as in Leicester] why inflict upon the community universal vaccination with all its inseparable drawbacks? Moreover, what justification can there be any longer for compulsion?

“It cannot be denied that vaccination causes, in the aggregate, very considerable injury to health, most of it only temporary, but some permanent.

“We must never forget that vaccination is an evil. Vaccinia is just as much a disease as smallpox, though a less serious one, and all diseases must be regarded as evil and to be avoided if possible. There is not the slightest evidence that vaccination apart from its effect in preventing smallpox is of the least value or anything but detrimental to the human race.

“During the last decade the deaths from vaccinia have several times outnumbered those from smallpox, whilst if we have regard to the amount of ill health caused by the two diseases (and putting aside for the moment the question of the alleged effect of vaccination in lessening smallpox) it looks as if vaccinia were becoming, so far as the community is concerned, the more serious disease of the two.

“Infantile vaccination has one serious drawback; whilst mitigating smallpox it also disguises it, and in this way tends to spread the disease.

“I regard this proposition of so much importance, and it has received so little attention, that I propose to devote a separate chapter to its consideration.”

A MOST IMPORTANT POINT VACCINATION ADMITTEDLY SPREADS SMALLPOX

Dr. Millard in this last paragraph makes a point which seems to be novel to pro-vaccinists but has been well known to anti-vaccinists for a long time, and it is very important that this should be clearly understood. This point really is that the majority of cases of smallpox always occur among the vaccinated and the majority of cases are usually very mild, whether among the vaccinated or
unvaccinated.

It is in fact just because smallpox is usually such a mild disease, instead of the very severe disease popularly supposed, that most epidemics are allowed to spread.

Ordinary smallpox may simply and briefly be described as like an ordinary or severe cold, with pimples breaking out on the face and hands, and the average person and even the average doctor being unacquainted with the disease does not recognize it until some time after the infected person has exposed many others to the disease. Smallpox is often confounded with measles, scarlet fever, chickenpox, scurvy and some other diseases, and this confusion by non-expert doctors is one of the chief causes for the spread of the infection in most outbreaks of the disease.

Now on account of the ignorance and incompetence of the average doctor in recognizing smallpox and by his false faith in vaccination as a sure preventative a false rule of diagnosis has been generally adopted by the profession which is actually this: If the patient has been vaccinated, recently or otherwise, the disease is diagnosed as not smallpox, but if not vaccinated, it is declared to be smallpox! The result is that the vaccinated person with actual but “disguised” smallpox is not isolated but is allowed free range until he has infected several others and spread an epidemic in his own vicinity. On the other hand if this infecting person had not been vaccinated at all his disease would promptly be declared to be actual smallpox and he would be at once isolated and further spread of the disease prevented. It is for this reason therefore that Dr. Millard so wisely and truly says that vaccination actually serves to spread smallpox, a fact long well known to our anti-vaccination leagues but now clearly admitted by a pro-vaccinist.

OUR TEN CITIES OF FIRST AND SECOND CLASSES COMPARED TO LEICESTER

Before leaving this subject of the Leicester method of preventing smallpox without vaccination, it may be proper to call attention to the fact that of the five big and five small cities in this State on which compulsory vaccination is now placed by the Loyster law, eight of these cities, namely: Albany, Syracuse, Rochester, Yonkers, Schenectady, Utica, Troy and Binghampton, are all much
smaller in population than Leicester, and only New York and Buffalo are larger, and yet this large city of Leicester, larger than eight of our ten largest cities, has proved how practical it is to prevent smallpox by sanitation and hygiene, without vaccination, which result can, of course, be equally effected in our cities, large or small, without any of the coercive vaccination which is now forced on these cities by this evil and illegal Loyster Law.
CHAPTER 4

VOLUNTARY VACCINATION NOT OPPOSED BY OUR LEAGUE

VOLUNTARY VACCINATION NOT OPPOSED BY OUR LEAGUE

HIGHEST MEDICAL AUTHORITY TODAY OPPOSED TO COMPULSION

At this point we might emphasize the fact that while our League is absolutely opposed to all compulsion, we do not oppose voluntary vaccination, although we believe that in most cases the operation is needless and dangerous and will yet be prohibited by penal law, just as its dangerous predecessor smallpox inoculation was so prohibited, although approved for over a century by the highest medical authorities of the time.

It will therefore be seen that we agree with the most advanced and intelligent pro-vaccinists of today, as represented by Dr. Millard in simply opposing all compulsion and leaving voluntary vaccination free for every one who desires it. This fundamental attitude which Dr. Millard and our leagues take on freedom from all compulsion, is that also taken in the latest and highest work of medical authority today, namely: the latest edition of “Modern Medicine” by Doctors Osier and McCrae published in four volumes and dated 1913. In Vol. 1, P.848, this latest and highest medical authority states as follows as regards vaccination: “With the greatest care, however, certain risks are present and so it is unwise for the physician to force the operation upon those who are unwilling, or to give assurances of absolute harmlessness.”

Now this is substantially the attitude which our Leagues take in this controversy and if it is good enough for the highest and latest written authority on medicine today it is good enough for our medical societies, our health departments, our school officers and our legislators in this state to take, and therefore all compulsory or coercive vaccination should be at once abandoned, repealed and prohibited as being absolutely a gross medical barbarism and danger, particularly
on school children, and a violation of fundamental personal rights of parent and child as already demonstrated.

RECAPITULATION

COMPELLING VACCINATION BY FORCE OR INTIMIDATION A CRIME UNDER PENAL CODE AND DECISIONS OF OUR COURTS.

CAUTION TO PARENTS AND SCHOOL OFFICERS AND WARNING TO VACCINATORS, DOCTORS AND HEALTH OFFICERS.

As a condensation or recapitulation of the advices already given, I will now say that parents and school officers must not for one minute lose sight of these four most important legal points:

1) There is no law in this state to actually compel or require any one to be vaccinated.

2) Parents have an absolute right to refuse to have their children vaccinated, or deliberately diseased, as endangering their health and life.

3) Parents have an absolute right to have their healthy children educated when they pay for the education.

4) Every school officer in public or private school has the full right to admit, at his discretion, any child or person unvaccinated, where he believes vaccination will be dangerous to health or life, with or without a doctor’s certificate.

With a clear recognition, therefore, of these four impregnable legal points, parents and school officers have the matter of school vaccination securely in their own hands and can suspend vaccination in any given case or in any particular school at their own discretion as they shall best determine, and no power in this State can compel any child or other person to be vaccinated against will and consent or force any school officer to exclude from school or deny education to any healthy child against the will and discretion of such school officer.

Any person in this State has the right to be vaccinated or to refrain from vaccination, as he may see fit, and any person attempting to compel the
vaccination of any other person by any form of force, coercion or intimidation, no matter how high he may think himself in either of our two Departments of Health and Education, will simply be committing a crime against the people under Section 530 of the Penal Code on “Coercion,” which is in these words:

“A person who, with a view to compel another person to do or to abstain from doing an act which such other person has a legal right to do or to abstain from doing, wrongfully and unlawfully uses or attempts the intimidation of such person by threats or force, is guilty of a misdemeanor.”

In case, therefore, any child, parent, teacher or school officer is thus coerced or intimidated by any doctor or health officer or by any misinformed school commissioner in thus forcing vaccination illegally upon any such person against free will and consent or with a view to deny to any healthy child his inalienable right to education under the laws of this State, I would advise that a complaint be at once entered before the nearest Magistrate for the arrest and prosecution of such intimidator under this Section of the Penal Code.

CIVIL DAMAGE SUITS

I might here cite two civil damage suits that were tried in Brooklyn against doctors and health officers for illegal vaccination by intimidation or force, as a civil warning to our present vaccinators in addition to the criminal warning already given.

One of these suits was the case of Smith vs. Health Commissioner Emery, tried before Judge Brown and a jury in 1896, where a verdict of $489.00 was given in favor of the expressman Smith for false imprisonment and illegal quarantine while Dr. Emery was trying to force him to be vaccinated against his will and consent. The other instance was the case of Schaeffer vs. Schelling, in which a judgment for $1,500 was entered Nov. 19, 1895, in the Supreme Court in Brooklyn against Dr. Schelling, Commissioner Emery’s Assistant, in favor of Mr. Schaeffer for forcible vaccination of himself and his family, and the record in the County Clerk’s Office shows this judgment was duly paid to the injured Schaeffer.

These instances of the illegality of all actual compulsory vaccination given by our own Brooklyn courts and juries should be sufficient warning to any of our
would-be medical despots who may now attempt any illegal intimidation under the false cover of the new Loyster Law.

CONCLUSION

From what has been already shown it now rests entirely with parents, teachers and school officers themselves to simply assert their legal rights most firmly and positively along the lines fully stated herein to make this present Loyster Law a non-enforceable absurdity in Law and Equity, as it really is, and thus lead to its early repeal in the next Legislature or its invalidation in our courts if any confident vaccinator desires to test it there. In this case, therefore, the old motto of Civic Liberty applies here most emphatically, “He who would he free, himself must strike the blow.”

Strike boldly, therefore, all ye parents, teachers and school officers, against this evil law forced on the people by the medical interests, and thus secure your freedom from the greatest barbarism ever inflicted upon a free people by the most dangerous form of coercive dogmatism now existing in our body politic, namely, compulsory medicine, which is far more dangerous to human liberty, health and life than compulsory religion ever was but which must now be explicitly prohibited by law as fully and completely as all compulsory religion is now prohibited.

Hoping, therefore, that these facts, suggestions and advices will be of some help to you and other parents in securing your constitutional and inalienable right to the education of your children without having to surely poison them, or possibly kill them in advance, as a precondition for such education, I remain,

Yours very truly,

CHAS. M. HIGGINS, Treasurer Anti-Vaccination League of America.

271 Ninth St., Brooklyn, N. Y.,
August 27, 1915.
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FULL TEXT OF THE LOYSTER-TALLETT VACCINATION LAW NOW IN FORCE IN NEW YORK STATE.
Laws of New York—By Authority
CHAP.133

AN ACT to amend the public health law, in relation to vaccination. Became a law March 30, 1915, with the approval of the Governor. Passed, 3/5 being present.

The People of the State of New York, represented in Senate and Assembly, do enact as follows:

§ 310. Vaccination of school children

1. A child or person not vaccinated shall not be admitted or received into a school in a city of the first or second class. The board, officers or other person having the charge, management or control of such school shall cause this provision of law to be enforced. The board of health or other board, commission or officers of such city having jurisdiction of the enforcement of the chapter therein shall provide, at the expense of the city for the vaccination of all pupils of such school whose parents or guardian do not provide vaccination for them.
2. Whenever smallpox exists in any other city or school district, or in the vicinity thereof, and the state commissioner of health shall certify in writing to the school authorities in charge of any school or schools in such city or district, it shall become the duty of such school authorities to exclude from such schools every child or person who does not furnish a certificate from a duly licensed physician to the effect that he has successfully vaccinated such child or person with vaccine virus in the usual manner or that such child or person shows evidence by scar of a successful previous vaccination. Whenever school authorities having the charge, management and control of schools in a district or city cause this provision of law to be enforced, the local board of health shall provide for the vaccination of all children whose parents or guardian do not provide such vaccination.

3. The expense incurred, when such vaccination is performed under the direction of the local health authorities, shall be a charge upon the municipality in which the child or person vaccinated resided, and shall be audited and paid in the same manner as other expenses incurred by such municipality are audited and paid. The local boards of health or other health authorities may, in their discretion, provide for the payment of additional compensation to health officers performing such vaccination.

§ 311. Vaccination how made; reports

1. No person shall perform vaccination for the prevention of smallpox who is not a regularly licensed physician under the laws of the state. Vaccination shall be performed in such manner only as shall be prescribed by the state commissioner of health.

2. No physician shall use vaccine virus for the prevention of smallpox unless such vaccine virus is produced under license issued by the secretary of the treasury of the United States and is accompanied by a certificate of approval by the state commissioner of health, and such vaccine virus shall then be used only within the period of time specified in such approval.

3. Every physician performing a vaccination shall within ten days make a report to the state commissioner of health upon a form furnished by such commissioner setting forth the full name and age of the person vaccinated and, if such person is a minor, the name and address of his parents, the date of vaccination, the date of
previous vaccination if possible, the name of the maker of the vaccine virus and the lot or batch number of such vaccine virus.

§ 2. This act shall take effect immediately

THE LOYSTER LAW LEGALLY AND LOGICALLY ANALYZED POINTS FOR LAWYERS AND LAYMEN
We think that any competent lawyers who carefully consider this vaccination law will agree that it is a rich piece of medical craft and graft and of legal fatuity from beginning to end.

FIRST POINT: ILLEGAL DISCRIMINATION AGAINST LARGE CITIES
It will be noted that the country legislators who fathered this bill discriminated in favor of themselves and against citizens in other parts of the state—the ten big cities—so as to admit unvaccinated children freely to all schools in their own country districts, as in section 310, paragraph 2 of the law, whereas in paragraph 1 no unvaccinated child can be admitted to any of our schools whatever in the ten cities of the first and second class! And yet smallpox is ten times more frequent in country districts than in cities and vaccination is therefore needed ten times more in the country than in cities if the theory of the vaccinators is correct!

Such law is therefore unreasonable, arbitrary and discriminating and of course no law making such arbitrary, class, and local distinctions can be legal or constitutional as before stated. See interesting opinion of Justice Woodward on this very point on “Magna Charta” and the “law of the land” in the decision of the Appellate Court in case of Viemeister in 1903.

SECOND POINT: ILLEGAL AND EXCESSIVE PENALTY
The “penalty” which this law ostensibly applies for failure to submit to vaccination is to deny the school child his most inalienable right to education, and to the school teacher his inalienable right to earn his living and follow his most important profession of teaching the child. This we believe to be absolutely unconstitutional on two principles, namely,

1) That cruel and unusual punishments cannot be inflicted and,

2) that no “franchise,” “privilege” or essential “right” of any citizen can be taken
away “unless by the law of the land or the judgment of his peers.” Both of these prohibitions are in the very first article of our state constitution, showing their primal importance in all valid law.

We therefore very much doubt whether the violation of any mere rule of so-called “police power” or “public health” can be penalized in this extraordinary fashion by taking away some fundamental or primal right of the citizen. Such rule can obviously be legally enforced only by some penalty which is not “excessive,” “cruel” or “unusual,” such for example as that of a specific fine which is not in itself “excessive.”

The law of Massachusetts for example is a specimen of such a rational or constitutional law where it is attempted to enforce the vaccination rule only by a fine of five dollars. And it will be remembered that the highest court of that state in passing on this law decided that while the fine might be legally enforced and collected, yet the state could not compel or enforce the actual vaccination of any person who objected to the operation.

And remember also that the learned court gave this decision under the false idea, into which it was misled by false medical authority, that vaccination was ordinarily perfectly safe and harmless and only “theoretically” capable of the “possibility of injury.” What would this learned court have said on this law if it realized the easily demonstrated truth that vaccination is actually more dangerous than smallpox and now kills more children every year than smallpox?

It may be further noted that the Supreme Court of the United States passed on this case—Jacobson vs. Massachusetts—in 1904, with a divided opinion, the majority holding that to enforce a rule for vaccination by a fine was constitutional, but Judges Brewer and Peckham dissented to this evidently holding that all vaccination must be free and voluntary under our national constitution. The majority of the court also decided that vaccination could not be enforced if it were dangerous to health or life.

THIRD POINT: VACCINATION CANNOT BE LEGALLY FORCED EXCLUSIVELY ON ONE SPECIAL CLASS OR PART OF THE POPULATION WITHOUT ANY SPECIAL REASON OR JUSTIFICATION

It must be here specially noted that the aforesaid law of Massachusetts applies to all classes of the population generally and is not arbitrarily limited to one or two
classes, as in our absurd vaccination law, which is limited to pupils and teachers in our schools, as if school children and teachers were the only part of the population that were subject to smallpox and therefore must be specially protected from it by forcing them to be vaccinated under penalty of abandoning their primal rights—a penalty which is not applied to any other part of the population! I have already shown that the school age to which this absurd law specially applies is, on the contrary, the very section of the population which is least subject to smallpox and has the greatest natural immunity against this disease and all other causes of death, so much so that it shows regularly every year only about 5% of the total mortality, although it constitutes 1/4 of the whole population.

SCHOOL CHILDREN MOST VITAL AND IMMUNE PART OF THE POPULATION

To prove this most significant point, I herewith annex a diagram from the annual report of our State Dept, of Health for 1912, showing the total deaths from all causes in the ten million population of this state and the proportional deaths in each age class.

DEATH RATE AND PERCENT OF DEATHS AT DIFFERENT AGE PERIODS
State of New York, 1912
It will be here noted that the vitality of the school age, 6 to 19, is so extraordinary that its actual mortality from all causes of death is only 5% of the total deaths, although this age class constitutes 1/4 of the whole population, there being about two and 1/2 million children of school age in New York State. This astounding fact alone, when properly grasped, is absolutely overwhelming and convincing in proving the absolute absurdity and needlessness of compulsory vaccination on the school age and the invalidity of the present law, because not only has this age the lowest natural susceptibility to death from smallpox, but also to all other causes of death, which are one hundred times more fatal and frequent than smallpox; and therefore this age should not be arbitrarily and needlessly oppressed by any class law.

**SCHOOL AGE MOST IMMUNE TO SMALLPOX**

To show that the school age has the greatest relative immunity from smallpox, as a particular disease, as it also has from all causes of death, I will here give the
list of total deaths from smallpox in our last greatest epidemic year of 1901 in New York City, with the actual number of deaths in each age class as given in the report of the Health Department for that year.

Total Deaths from smallpox, all ages, in New York City in 1901, last greatest epidemic year: 410

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Class</th>
<th>Percentage of Total</th>
<th>Number of Deaths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deaths under five years</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deaths in school age, five to fifteen</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deaths over fifteen</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>410</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It will thus be seen that in our last great epidemic in our largest city infants and adults are shown to be most subject to smallpox while the school age is least subject, not only relatively but absolutely and to an extraordinary degree, as it shows only 8% of the total smallpox deaths while it constitutes 1/4 of the population, whereas the infant age under five forms only half this proportion, or about 1/8 of the population, and yet shows 48%, or nearly half of all the smallpox deaths!

We have heard a good deal of false nonsense from time to time from the vaccination doctors about vaccination changing the “age incidence” of this disease from the infant to the adult age; that, whereas before the days of vaccination smallpox was almost purely an infant disease, but in our times vaccination has so saved the infant age from smallpox that it has now transferred the “age incidence” from infants to adults and made modern smallpox chiefly a disease of poorly vaccinated adults! We can now see how false and stupid this claim is when in our very last epidemic year of 1901 in our greatest city nearly 1/2 of all the smallpox deaths were among infants and the least among children of school age, just as it was before the days of vaccination, which has made no serious difference whatever in the “age incidence” or the mortality of the disease.

In attempting to answer some of my press articles of last year on the falsehoods and fatalities of vaccination, Dr. J. Dana Hubbard, of the City Department of Health, undertook to use this false and stale old argument of change of “age incidence,” etc., in a special article in the New York Sun of June 21, 1914, in
defense of vaccination. I have now shown what nonsense and fallacy this defense is and that Dr. Hubbard seems to be grossly ignorant of the easily accessible records of his own Department, which fully refute him and show that he has been simply fooling himself and the public with old moss covered fallacies of the vaccination cult, which any up-to-date doctor familiar with the real facts of this complex subject should be ashamed to any longer repeat.

The false argument may just here be attempted by vaccinators that the school age is relatively immune to smallpox because it is more vaccinated than any other age, but this is false and absurd for the reason that the school age shows even a higher immunity against all other causes of death which are a hundred times more fatal and frequent than smallpox, and therefore the natural immunity and vitality which protects it from these more frequent and fatal diseases also protects it from smallpox, which is, perhaps the most insignificant of all our causes of death, being usually exceeded by death from lightning! And furthermore, we find in communities where little or no compulsory vaccination is placed on the school child, such as in England, that this age shows the same extraordinary relative immunity against smallpox and all other causes of death.

To fix this striking point of the great vitality and immunity of the school age firmly in the mind it may be here said, that, while the school age forms 1/4 of the whole population and has only 5% of the total deaths, the infant age under five years constitutes about 1/8 of the population and has about 30% of the total deaths, whereas, the adult ages over 20 constitute about 5/8 of the population and have about 65%, or 5/8, of the total deaths. In other words, the mortality of the adult age is normal, or directly proportional to its numbers in the population, while the mortality of the infant age is abnormal or far beyond its proportion in the population, whereas the mortality of the school age is most remarkably subnormal or much less than its proportion in the population.

**RELATIVE DANGERS FROM SMALLPOX, LIGHTNING, AND LOCKJAW**

It may be worth while here to show the relative insignificance of smallpox as a cause of death in this State by comparing it with deaths from Lightning, Murder and Lockjaw, and I here give the actual fatal figures on these four causes for the last four years, as reported in the official State Reports:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Smallpox deaths</th>
<th>Lightning deaths</th>
<th>Homicide</th>
<th>Lockjaw deaths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1910</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1911</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1912</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1913</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It will be readily seen from these figures that there is six times more danger of being struck by lightning than of being killed by smallpox, and one hundred times more danger of being killed by murder and over 25 times more danger of being killed by lockjaw. Lockjaw is therefore many times more dangerous than smallpox, and to this serious danger every vaccinated person in this State is subjected, for, as a matter of demonstrable fact, at least 10% of all lockjaw deaths are caused by vaccination wounds. These facts and figures therefore clearly prove that lockjaw is a much more serious danger and more frequent cause of death in this State than smallpox, and that a person who remains unvaccinated in this State of New York and province of Long Island, where the lockjaw infection is so widely diffused, stands far less chance of death than the person who foolishly allows himself or his child to be scared into the greater danger of vaccination as an alleged protection from the rare disease of smallpox of which there is six times less danger of death than of being struck by lightning and 25 times less danger of death than of being killed by lockjaw!

**VACCINATION FOR VOTERS**

In closing this point I will finally ask: What would any lawyer, jurist or citizen think of a law which would make the “right” or “privilege” to register and vote to depend on a “certificate” of “successful vaccination” and which would take away the right of suffrage unless the voter would consent to be vaccinated and produce such doctor’s certificate, at so much per head, to add to the profits of the doctors and the vaccine makers? Yet if the theories of the vaccinators are correct such a law is needed five times more for voters and adults than for school children, as voters and adults are at least five times more susceptible to smallpox and all other diseases than the school age, which is the most vital and immune age in the population and the least in need of any vaccination, as already proved.
FOURTH POINT: THE THEORY THAT VACCINATION PREVENTS SMALLPOX, WHETHER RIGHT OR WRONG, DOES NOT JUSTIFY ANY LAW WHICH FORCES VACCINATION ARBITRARILY AND UNREASONABLY ON ONE CLASS ONLY OF THE POPULATION.

On this point it may be proper to quote the highest Court decision so far rendered in this State on the law of school vaccination and which has been much misunderstood, namely: the decision of the Court of Appeals in the case of Veimeister in 1904. This court did not construe the several points considered by the Appellate Court in this same case, as before referred to, but gave its decision on practically one point only, which is expressed in its concluding paragraph as follows:

“While we do not decide and cannot decide that vaccination is a preventive of smallpox, we take judicial notice of the fact that this is the common belief of the people of the state, and with this fact as a foundation, we hold that the Statute in question is a health law enacted in a reasonable and proper exercise of the police power.”

I do not intend to here criticise this decision in any way but will only now call close attention to the remarkable legal doctrine therein stated, namely: that if a majority of the people of this state believe in a certain medical theory, or doctrine of public health, they can force that doctrine through the “police power” of the state upon a minority that does not believe it. Now assuming this doctrine to be true, in a limited measure, surely it is not true, and was not intended by the court to be held true, in an unlimited measure or to the extent of invading or denying essential rights guaranteed explicitly by constitutions and charters or distinctly implied in any of the great Declarations of the people?

And furthermore, if such medical or health doctrine or dogma can be legally forced by a majority of believers upon a minority of unbelievers, it surely cannot be legally enforced on one class only of these believers or unbelievers, but must be put on both believers and unbelievers justly and evenly. I have already shown that our vaccination law has never been placed on a majority of the believers or unbelievers and yet the learned Court of Appeals has assumed that the majority of the people of the state believe that vaccination prevents smallpox and is necessary to prevent it.

Now if they really believe this, how is it that this “majority” has never placed a
law of coercive vaccination on all the people of the state or even on a majority of either believers or unbelievers, but have placed it only on 1/4 section of the people—the school children—which actually need this protection least of all; whereas the 3/4 of the population which are most subject to smallpox—5 times more so by actual statistical record of all epidemics—are left entirely without this alleged protection of coercive vaccination? If coercive vaccination is therefore necessary to prevent smallpox, as alleged to be the actual belief of the people of this state, this purpose will surely never be accomplished by any absurd and partial law which puts this alleged protection on the 1/4 of least susceptible and leaves it off the 3/4 of most susceptible!

There is therefore something radically wrong with this whole legal and medical theory, which surely does not fit the facts, so that notwithstanding the fact that coercive vaccination—the only alleged remedy to prevent smallpox—has never been placed in this state on the 3/4 of our population which is most subject to smallpox, yet smallpox has steadily declined in this State and has declined even more in other states and cities where no coercive vaccination has been enforced on any part of the population.

Even if we admit freely that vaccination prevents smallpox, and is necessary to prevent it, yet this would not justify any partial law that puts coercive vaccination arbitrarily on one section of the population only and that section the very one that least needs it but this, we submit, would be, per contra, a good reason for condemning and invalidating that law as a matter of legal and constitutional propriety, which point our courts have yet to pass upon.

It is, however, really irrelevant to the main issue—the validity of coercive vaccination—whether vaccination is or is not a preventive of smallpox, and we might admit that it is a preventive, to a certain limited extent, or even more so, and yet coercive vaccination might be absolutely illegal and non-enforceable on other grounds, and one of these grounds is expressed in the next point.

FIFTH POINT: INFlicting A Wound AND DISEASE, COERCIVELY, IS AN ILLEGAL ACT

This important point is: that vaccination consists in the bodily infliction of a wound and disease which is per se, dangerous to life and health and frequently kills and therefore can not be legally forced on any person. As an historic and
legal example of this point we might cite the practice of smallpox inoculation, which preceded vaccination, and which flourished over a century under the approval of the highest medical authorities of the time, and which it was freely admitted gave considerable protection against natural smallpox, like the bovine vaccination of today, yet this practice of inoculation was finally declared to be illegal and was prohibited by penal law because it was found to be very dangerous to human health and life and really more dangerous than natural smallpox, and actually increased smallpox, which declined rapidly as soon as this inoculation was abandoned.

It will be noted that this point is fully supported by the decision of the highest court in the country, the U. S. Supreme Court, in the case of Jacobson vs. Massachusetts in 1904, which clearly declared that vaccination can not be forced on any person who can show that it is dangerous to health or life, which it is, per se, in every case.

**All Vaccination Potentially Dangerous or Deadly**

Vaccination is nothing more or less than “Purulent Infection and Septicemia,” which is a regular cause of death in our yearly death lists and shows about 300 deaths every year in this State. Under this head and under the head of Lockjaw or “Tetanus” and some other septic diseases many deaths from vaccination are included and concealed every year by our falsifying doctors, who so foullly tell us that vaccination is perfectly safe and harmless.

To show that vaccination is authoritatively recognized as essentially equivalent to Pyaemia and Septicemia (which names mean general and local blood poisoning), I will mention this most significant fact that in the reports of the Registrar General of England, which is the highest statistical authority in the English speaking world, that many vaccination deaths are regularly acknowledged every year, and these deaths are now classified under a sub-head of Pyaemia-Septicemia which is numbered 20 in the International List of Causes of Death, and the deaths under this general head are divided and classified as follows: Pyaemia No. 20A,

Septicemia No. 20B, Vaccinia No. 20C. And under this latter sub-head, and other heads, more deaths of children are recorded from vaccination almost every
year than from smallpox itself! (See page 19 herein.)

SIXTH POINT: FALSE DOCTRINE OF “POLICE POWER” TO INFlict DISEASE OR DEATH IN THE FALSE NAME OF “PUBLIC HEALTH.”

INHERENT AND CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS OF THE PEOPLE SUPERIOR TO ALL POLICE POWER OF THE LEGISLATURE WHICH CANNOT INVADE THESE RIGHTS.

We have heard a good deal, both in and out of court, of the alleged “Police Power” of the Legislature to infict compulsory vaccination in some form or other upon the people, but we have yet to find any decision of any court—certainly not from our State courts or from the U. S. Supreme Court—which declares that the Legislature has any “police power” to compel any one to be vaccinated, but the courts have decided the very reverse, as already shown, and particularly if it can be proved that vaccination is dangerous to health and life, which danger I have already demonstrated potentially exists in every case beyond question.

Now it is obvious that true and legal “Police Power” can only be exercised to save the public from harm or danger, to keep disease or harm from the people, to protect them in their undoubted rights, to promote public health, safety and welfare and not to force disease, injury or death upon the people or to invade any of their rights in any serious way and it needs no argument to show that to infict a wound upon the healthy human body and to inoculate a dangerous disease into this wound which may infect the whole body and destroy health or life is the most flagrant invasion of the most sacred and important public and personal rights conceivable, which surely cannot be legally justified or sustained in any way, no matter what is the alleged motive or purpose of this wounding and diseasing.

And, of course, this evil of compulsory vaccination would never have had the least toleration in our courts were it not that the minds of our courts have been grossly misled from the first by medical falsifiers to regard vaccination as a purely beneficial and entirely harmless operation which is absolutely necessary for public health, both of which propositions are most stupid and dangerous falsehoods, as I have already demonstrated.

Modern Bovine Vaccination is, in fact, not essentially different from Smallpox
Inoculation which, as already shown, was prohibited by penal law as being highly dangerous to public health after over a century of use and approval by the highest medical authorities of the past century, and it would perhaps not be difficult to now prove that our modern vaccination is actually more dangerous to man and beast than the old inoculation was, as it has never been recorded that inoculation was responsible for great cattle plagues of foot and mouth disease, which vaccination has already caused several times in this country in 1902, 1908 and 1914, as before shown. See Year Book, U. S. Dept, of Agriculture, 1914, p.20.

SEVENTH POINT: SEVERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS INVADED BY THE LOYSTER LAW

It may be proper in this point to briefly consider the several sections of our national and state constitutions which are clearly violated by this evil law of compulsory disease placed arbitrarily on one mere section or class of the population, namely, on school children and other inmates of schools.

Article IV. of the National Constitution states: “The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, paper and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures shall not be violated.” Surely to search for and seize a healthy and harmless school child, who is unvaccinated, and force him out of school and deny him his unalienable right to education unless he will submit willingly or by force to this barbarous act of compulsory wounding and diseasing of his body—surely this act or law of forced or coercive vaccination is clearly forbidden by this Article IV. of the U. S. Constitution.

In Article VIII. another distinct prohibition is given, which applies clearly to compulsory vaccination as a condition for the exercise of this inalienable right of education:

“Excessive bail shall not be required, nor excessive fines imposed, nor cruel and unusual punishments inflicted.” This same provision is repeated in Article I., Section 5 of the State Constitution, and has been already partly considered in our Second Point.

Now it will be noted that this evil school vaccination law punishes the refusal to submit to vaccination—which all our courts have decided the citizen has full right to refuse and which the state or legislature has no power whatever to compel—by denial of the indispensable and inalienable right of the child to his
education, which is obviously his most important and essential right and which cannot be legally or morally taken away from him by any power whatever in this State, and the attempt to take it away would obviously be the most flagrant and stupid violation of sound public policy conceivable.

Is it not therefore a most cruel and unusual and stupid punishment—worthy only of the most fanatical and barbarous ages of human slavery and compulsory religion to punish refusal to vaccinate (which every citizen has the right to refuse and no power in the state can compel), by denial of the right of education to the child and the right of the parent to have his child educated? Is there not, in fact, an inalienable and self-evident right in the parent to educate his child and in the child to get an education and that this right of parent and child cannot possibly, under our fundamental law, be taken away from them by any medical dogmatism which strives to force upon them any medical operation whatever as a condition for education, whether this operation be considered sometimes beneficial or frequently doubtful, dangerous or fatal.

In this connection it is very significant that the Declaration of Independence refers to “certain” unalienable rights “among which” are life, liberty and pursuit of happiness. This clearly intimates that there are other individual rights inherent in the people besides those stated, which are equally unalienable and cannot be violated by any power but must be respected and protected by all governments; and this point is clearly restated and emphasized in Articles IX. and X. of the U. S. Constitution, which say

“The enumeration in the Constitution of certain rights shall not be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people.”

“The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people.”

Surely, therefore, the right of the child to an education and the right of the parent to educate his child is one of these inherent rights in parent and child which cannot be taken away by any evil law which forces a dangerous medical operation upon the school child or his teacher and which is not forced on any other part of the population; and it is therefore believed that, for the several reasons here stated, our courts, if properly appealed to on the proper issues herein suggested, will have no hesitation in invalidating this Loyster Law as a
most flagrant violation of inherent and constitutional rights which may be briefly expressed and condensed into these two propositions.

PROPOSITION FIRST

The right of every child to an education and the right of every parent to have his child educated are inherent and unalienable rights and cannot be legally made dependent on the acceptance of any medical dogma or operation, even if beneficial, and particularly not when dangerous to health and life and frequently a cause of death.

PROPOSITION SECOND

Any law which forces a compulsory wound and inoculable disease on the human body against free will and consent or, as a condition for the exercise of any right or privilege of any citizen, which is dangerous to life, obviously violates clear constitutional provisions and is also clearly criminal under common law and the Penal Code and is therefore absolutely against private right and public policy and consequently invalid and non-enforceable on broad legal and equitable principles.

EIGHTH POINT: ILLEGAL MEDICAL GRAFT IN DOUBLE COMPENSATION TO HEALTH OFFICERS

It will be noted on reading paragraph 3 in section 310 of the Loyster law that an unblushing provision of illegal medical graft appears boldly and stupidly in gross violation of the State Constitution, in these words: “The local boards of health may provide for the payment of additional compensation to health officers performing such vaccination.”

In other words, the vaccinating doctors or health officers may, in addition to their regular salaries or compensation, be paid extra for every public vaccination performed Medical graft is thus clearly streaked all through this bill for, in addition to taking the enforcement of the vaccination law from the discretionary hands of the school officers, who have no pecuniary interest in enforcing vaccination, it is now turned over to the mandatory power of the health officers, who can get up a smallpox scare and vaccination raid at any time on the alleged appearance of one little case of smallpox or pseudo-smallpox in any town or city, and thus reap a rich reward of double pay for every vaccination performed!
Was there ever a more disgraceful, “raw” or illegal form of medical graft forced upon the people than in this clause, for which Mr. Loyster and his bill drafting allies in the State Department of Health seem to be wholly responsible? The suffering people of this State, still grieving for the loss of their precious children killed in the last vaccination raid, can rest easy, however, in the assurance that after this exposure they probably need fear no further menace now from this stupid law which we believe no Court will allow to be enforced in this State by reason of its many illegal and unconstitutional features, already pointed out, including this latter point of medical graft and double compensation, which is clearly prohibited in Article 3, Section 28, of the State Constitution. See also Article 10, Section 9.

NINTH POINT: OTHER POSSIBLE MEDICAL GRAFT IN EXCLUSIVE USE OF SPECIAL VIRUS

Not only does the Loyster Law give the vaccinating doctors double fees for every public vaccination performed, which means an enormous sum for the doctors, with forced vaccination inflicted on all school children in this State, but also gives the State Health Commissioner sole power to prescribe the particular brand or brands of virus to be used in the State! See paragraph 2, section 311. He could therefore, for example, allow only the Lederle brand of virus, which is made in this State by, or under the name of, a former Health Commissioner of New York City, Dr. Ernst J. Lederle, under whose administration the present State Commissioner of Health, Dr. Biggs, was a subordinate officer for many years in New York City. Commissioner Biggs could therefore order that only the Lederle, or some other favorite virus, could be used for vaccinating any one of our ten millions in this State, and he could forbid the use of the Mulford or Parke-Davis viruses, which are made in other States and are the viruses now most used in this State and have been used, as testified by Mr. Loyster, at the legislative hearing on his Bill, in most of the fatal cases of vaccination in this State in 1914, and were also the viruses which have caused some of the great epidemics of foot and mouth disease in cattle throughout this and other states in 1908, as shown in U.S. Government reports, Bureau of Animal Industry, of 1908. This is a rather needless or dangerous power to give to any State Commissioner, particularly after the U.S.

Government has already passed upon each brand of virus and inspected and approved it; and if this double inspection and approval by the Nation and State is
necessary, this fact will only go to show how dangerous, indeed, these products are to the health and life of man and beast. It will be readily seen, however, that this exclusive power to prescribe the use of any particular virus in this State, notwithstanding the Government approval, might lead to great medical graft, and, with a compulsory vaccination law in all schools in this State, public and private, could make a fortune for a favorite brand of virus, like the Lederle brand for instance, and for the doctors or health officials who might be interested in the stock of such a company. The possible graft, therefore, in this feature of the Loyster Law is obvious and, we believe, needs no further comment, except to here suggest that the people of this State have a right to know whether any of our health officials are now, or have been, interested in any vaccine companies, directly or indirectly.

TENTH POINT: ONLY ONE GOOD FEATURE OF THE LOYSTER LAW—RECORD OF VACCINATIONS

We are glad that there is at least one feature of this law that is not bad and can be approved, and this occurs in the third and last paragraph in section 311, which provides for a record of all vaccinations made and compels the doctors to record not only the name and address of the child and its parents, but also the date of last and previous vaccinations, the brand of virus used and its lot, mark or number, so that it can be readily identified if trouble is afterwards caused by it in the vaccination of the child.

Like a vicious dog, therefore, this Loyster Law seems to be harmless only at the tail end but very dangerous in front. This provision at the tail end, however, for a record of the virus used is itself of very little value, and does not go far enough, as this record to be really valuable should provide for a true and full report of the results of the vaccination on the child and the mention of the fact of any sickness, injury or death following the vaccination and particularly the mention in every death certificate of vaccination as a primary or secondary cause of death whenever it actually or evidently exists as such in any instance, which at present is usually shamefully denied and concealed by vaccinators.

For example, it is a common thing where children die of vaccination, either as a direct result of the cowpox infection or by some secondary infection of lockjaw or septicemia conveyed in the virus itself or from some external source in the environs or habitat of the patient, that no mention whatever is made in the death certificates of the infecting act of vaccination itself as a primary or secondary
cause of death, which of course it is in every such case, but the cause of death will usually be attributed solely to the secondary infection of “Tetanus/Septicemia,” etc., without any mention whatever of the primary disease or infection of vaccination, without which the secondary infection and death would never have occurred. This is, of course, in direct violation of standard statistical rules governing death certificates and yet is commonly practiced in this State and tolerated by our health officials, interested in one way or another in vaccination, but this wrong should be rigidly prohibited and penalized by the immediate passage of a special law.

Now, if the Loyster Law had provided for some such record as this it would have been worth while, whereas the present record of the virus only is of very little use without the record of the results produced by the virus on the victim of the vaccination.

Our League had a special bill introduced in the Legislature a few years ago to provide for this full record of virus and its results, but it was defeated by the same dangerous medical and political power which seems to control our medical legislation and has given us all our evil compulsory medical laws and which we believe has misled Mr. Loyster into supposing that he has made a great reform in the present law, but which we think we have now shown to be a tissue of dangerous medical illegalities and of medical craft and graft from beginning to end, with which our courts will doubtless deal effectually in due time to protect the most sacred rights of the people from such dangerous medical domination and compulsion.

THE LOCKJAW LIE, AND DR. ANDERSON'S REPORT, ANSWERED. FIVE CHILDREN KILLED IN ONE WEEK

It will be noted that the present pamphlet is confined to the legal aspects of compulsory vaccination and to the facts which clearly prove its dangerous and deadly nature, per se, and therefore, the illegality and criminality of its enforcement on any person. In supplementary second and third pamphlets now in press, entitled respectively, “The Story of a Continental Lie," and, “Vaccination Horrors and Lies," extensively illustrated, we will treat other aspects of this great and complex subject.
In the second pamphlet we will refute the stupid medical falsehood given great currency by some of our deluded daily newspapers that “Those who are vaccinated do not get smallpox," and we will prove that the majority of smallpox cases are among the well vaccinated and the minority among the unvaccinated.

In the third pamphlet we will fully answer the more recent falsehoods which we term, “The Lockjaw Lie," and, “The Philippine Falsehood," to which some of our big deluded editors have so stupidly and confidently committed themselves within the last two months, on the basis of certain government reports which state that millions of persons can be and are vaccinated, as alleged, in the Philippine Islands, without a single injury or death, and that fatal lockjaw infection is never conveyed in vaccine virus itself or caused by vaccination. The latter conclusion has been based on a recent report by Dr. J. F. Anderson, of the U. S. Public Health Service, which the falsifying vaccinators have made much use of in attempts to sustain their favorite and shocking falsehood that vaccination is perfectly safe and harmless and never causes death by lockjaw directly or indirectly, but which report we will show is most futile, and absurd as any defense of vaccination against the impregnable proofs of its deadly effects through lockjaw and many other septic infections caused both by the virus and the vaccination wound.

As we now go to press the daily papers of the last week (September 30 to October 7), as if in fateful reproof of these shocking medical falsehoods, report the deaths of five children from lockjaw following vaccination in the usual periods of incubation. These cases are the two little boys, 5 and 7 years, of Charles Perks, of Burlington, N. J.; Dorothy Klemm, 5 years, of 148 Smart Av., Flushing; Martha Markusson, 5 years, of 766 41st St., Brooklyn, and Eleanor Fredericks, 6 years, of Fort Wadsworth, Staten Island. Thirty children were killed by vaccination in New York State about this time last year, so that this is now evidently the “open season" for this medical slaughter of the innocents by compulsory disease, which we believe will have to be ultimately stopped by criminal proceedings against the men, high or low, who can be convicted as responsible for these medical homicides before our courts and juries.

LETTER TO MR. LOYSTER FROM MR. HIGGINS EXPOSING THE EVILS OF THE LOYSTER LAW

DEATH OF THE STILLWAGGON BOY FROM VACCINATION CITED AS
AN EXAMPLE OF MANY OTHERS. VACCINATORS WHO KILL CHILDREN COMPARED TO PRESIDENT McKinley’S ASSASSIN. ABSURDITY AND WORTHLESSNESS OF THEIR “REPORTS” ON SUCH DEATHS DEMONSTRATED. WARNING AGAINST THIS CHILD SLAUGHTER AS BEING A CRIME UNDER THE PENAL CODE.

Mr. James A. Loyster, Cazenovia, N. Y.

Dear Mr. Loyster:

I herewith enclose a copy of an article from the Brooklyn Eagle of March 7th, showing the death of another little victim of vaccination on the thirteenth day after the operation, namely, Nathan H. Stillwaggon, son of John H. Stillwaggon, of 317 Ward Street, Richmond Hill, Long Island, N. Y., aged six.

The doctors, as you will see, deny all responsibility of vaccination for the death which is the usual medical falsehood in such cases, which cries to Heaven for punishment and must make the angels weep while the devils laugh; and this is another case to add to your collection in the next edition of your pamphlet, which will now make 27 deaths of children from vaccination in this State in less than one year.

ENDANGERING LIFE OF CHILD A CRIME UNDER PENAL CODE

As to the “report” of the doctors in this case, you know what a farce these “reports” and “investigations” usually are and what an absurdity they are from a legal and judicial aspect, that is, the offender reporting on and trying himself and acting as judge and jury in his own case! We might as well accept an exculpatory report from Czolgosz on the killing of McKinley as accept a report from our vaccinating doctors on a vaccination death. These doctors are all employees of the city and the city also makes this virus which they use in their operations. Now, does any man of common sense expect that any one of these city employees will legally and publicly acknowledge, under his own signature, that these coercive medical operations, which they perform on our little frightened children against the wish or desire of their parents, actually kill these children?

And does any one expect that these men will legally and publicly admit that this virus, made by the city, which they put into the children’s bodies in this entirely
unnecessary and dangerous operation of vaccination, is actually, per se, a virulent, active blood poison, a purulent infection and septicemia pure and simple, which is capable of killing any child at any time within a few days or weeks, faster than the worst smallpox ever kills them, depending almost altogether on the constitution and present condition of the child vaccinated? If they made any such horrible but true admission as this it would probably not be difficult to convict the doctor guilty of any such act in about ten minutes before any jury in this vicinage, under Section 483 of the Penal Code on “Endangering Life or Health of Child,” and under Section 1050 on “Manslaughter.”

In addition to such criminal prosecution, the ground would be clearly laid for a civil suit for heavy damages by the parents against the city for the loss of their child caused by such dangerous acts of medical malpractice by its agents and employees and by the use of its infecting manufactured product on the body of such child, which they so falsely and foully represent as perfectly safe and harmless.

We will, of course, never get these doctors to admit these facts and stop their dangerous practices until we either put able and eminent laymen at the head of our health departments, who will compel them to tell the truth, or until we prosecute them under our civil and criminal laws for any dangerous and illegal acts of which they may be guilty.

THE LOYSTER BILL ANALYZED AND CONDEMNED

I also enclose the latest copy of your amended Bill which, as you probably know by this time, has already passed the Assembly. As you look over this bill you will readily see what a fine piece of work it is in favor of the vaccinators and against the life of school children in this State and against the sacred rights of their parents to the sanctity of the bodies, health and life of their children, who are the most sacred possession of the parent.

In your address to the legislators, which you will see I have had printed for public circulation under the title of “A Heart to Heart Talk,” you ask them in a manly way, “Do you want to stand up for this old law? Are you going to sit here and let this law kill more children?” They have now virtually answered you in the amended bill which your legislative friends in the Assembly have just passed as an evident honor to you: “Yes. We are not only going to stand up for this old law, but we will make this old law four times worse, so that it will apply to every
school, public or private, in this State, and leave no school which any child can enter unvaccinated, so as to make the possible slaughter of the children by this law and the possible profits of the vaccinators and vaccine mongers four times more."

I now therefore ask you to carefully look at this shocking piece of legislation, which has been drawn by yourself and manipulated by your coadjutors, the health officials of this State, into whose scheme you have fallen (against all my warnings), and passed by your legislative friends, who do not seem to know when they are made the victims of medical craft and graft, and who have now perpetrated one of the most shameful and dangerous pieces of legislation that has ever been inflicted on the people of this State by our medical autocrats.

You can therefore see what a splendid monument this Bill will be to your memory and to the memory of your precious boy, slaughtered by coercive vaccination, if we should not be able to defeat it and it should be passed by the Senate and signed by the Governor.

You will see that there are two laws in this bill, one for big cities and one for the rest of the State, and that by this cowardly and illegal division you have got a law for your own country districts which is a little more favorable to the country children than for the city districts. For the city districts the law gives no escape whatever for our city children but forces this murderous practice of coercive vaccination on every school child, public or private. It therefore now threatens to put this evil on my own children, who go to private school. While I have never yet been injured by vaccination, because I knew enough to know that almost all the grounds upon which compulsory vaccination is based are outrageous falsehoods, yet I have fought day and night for years, exhausted my health, and have spent a fortune in money for the benefit of others who are not rich enough to be able to send their children to private school and escape this damnable doctor made law; and yet you and your friends now come in with a contemptible and cowardly bill which will relieve their own children in the country districts to a certain extent from the evils of vaccination, for the price of putting this barbarous evil 4-fold stronger on all the children of the big cities, where the profits of the doctors will be four times more.

Now, all I have to say here is that, if you stand for this kind of thing, you are an entirely different man from what I believed you to be. And, of course, it is unnecessary for me to tell you that I shall oppose this evil bill to the very end,
with my last ounce of strength, last idea of logic and my last dollar of money, until all this kind of legislation is buried out of sight of every decent man in this State and a law passed which will repeal the crime of compulsory medical disease on our school children and make it as great a curiosity of a past barbarism as our State and National constitutions now make the old barbarism of compulsory religion, from which barbarism the people suffered so much in a past age, but not half as much as they now suffer from the much more dangerous barbarism of compulsory disease, forced upon us by our gigantic medical societies and interests, of which our health departments, as now directed, are part and parcel.

Yours very truly,

(Signed) CHAS. M. HIGGINS, Treasurer Anti-Vaccination League of America.
271 Ninth St., Brooklyn, N. Y. March 10, 1915.

MR. LOYSTER’S ANSWER

It is not necessary that we here publish all of Mr. Loyster’s answer to this letter, which is very long and somewhat involved in his effort to justify what cannot be justified, but I think it is proper to publish the first paragraph of his letter to show that he takes full responsibility for this law as his own special work and that I therefore do him no injustice in putting the chief responsibility for the passage of this evil law on the man who seems to take such pride in this work and seems to be so sure that the organized coercive vaccinators in our health departments and medical societies have not fooled him in his advocacy of their pet profitable and illegal schemes, which they have fully secured in this lawless law.

James A. Loyster,
Member Republican State Committee, Madison County.
Cazenovia, N. Y., March 15, 1915.

Dear Mr. Higgins:

Your letter of March 10 is received. From its tenor it is apparent that it is useless for us to discuss the Tallett Bill.

As I have repeatedly written you, this bill is in the nature of a compromise, and
is not exactly the bill I would place on the statute books if I could have my own way. It is my creation, however, and with one exception every item in it has had my approval. I do not think you can expect me to listen to the flood of billingsgate that you are pouring out against a bill that I am more largely responsible for than any other person without a feeling of resentment. I do not feel at all flattered at your assumption that I have not sufficient brains to know whether or not I am being hoodwinked by any of the doctors connected with the department of health. I have for many years flattered myself on the possession of an ordinary amount of intelligence, and naturally it wounds my vanity to find out that I am.

Very sincerely yours,
(Signed) James A. Loyster

A FEW WORDS FOR MR. LOYSTER AND HIS COLLEAGUES

It will be seen from the above how Mr. Loyster resents the idea that he or his colleagues, Mr. Tallett or Senator Jones, have been in any way hoodwinked by the medical powers in this State in getting measures enacted in the Loyster-Jones-Tallett Law which just suit the vaccination doctors and which they have been trying to get through for years past against our successful opposition. Does Mr. Loyster, therefore, mean to coolly tell us that these sinister measures were and are his own spontaneous ideas solely and purely?

And does he mean to tell us that to take compulsory vaccination off the school children in his own little town of Cazenovia, of two thousand population, and in Mr. Tallett's little town of De Ruyter, of six hundred population, and to put this medical evil on every school, public and private, in the ten leading cities of the state, with a population of six millions, and with double pay to the doctors for every public vaccination performed, is his own particular idea of a proper law to be passed in this State in the interest of the people? And does he really think that this is good and noble and proper political work for him to do in this State as a Republican politician or Statesman, whichever he may regard himself?

If he does think so, then I am very sorry for Mr. Loyster; and I thought I had done him an honor in supposing that this was not really his own work but was the work of the dangerous organized medical powers which commonly fool and
tool our legislators and also control our medical legislation and our Departments of Health and Vital Statistics in this State, but which system must be soon driven out of power by the people of the State by a radical reform which will place able laymen at the heads of these departments as they now have in Democratic England, and not the pompous doctors and members of great medical societies, as we now have here, who are professionally interested in many dangerous and profitable medical practices and in denying and concealing deaths and disasters from such practices and not in giving the ten millions of people in this great state either Medical Freedom or Medical Truth.

GIGANTIC MEDICAL INTERESTS BEHIND OUR VACCINATION LAWS
MOST DANGEROUS POWER IN BODY POLITIC

To show what a gigantic medical interest is behind all our vaccination laws and is constantly exerting both an open and concealed influence on our Legislature and our State and City Departments and on public opinion, it will be sufficient to give a few facts on this head to make this point obvious.

There are nine concerns licensed by the U. S. Government to manufacture vaccine virus in this country, with a combined capital of about twenty millions! The largest of these concerns is the Parke-Davis Co. of Detroit, with a capital of about ten millions, and the next largest is the H. K. Mulford Co. of Philadelphia, with a capital of about two millions. These nine licensed manufacturers include the Health Department of the City of New York, which is actually engaged in the commercial business of making and selling vaccine virus, not only to doctors here for private use, but to other cities in the country for public use, in addition to its use for public vaccination in New York City and State. This list of licensed manufacturers also includes an ex-Health Commissioner of New York City, Dr. Ernest J. Lederle, or his company, the Lederle Co., of 170 William Street, New York City, which makes vaccine virus and anti-toxins.

It will thus be seen that the vaccine interest is very close to our department officials, and that they actually have a positive official bias or interest in denying and concealing injuries and deaths occurring, directly or indirectly, from the medical products made by their own departments and actually put into the body and blood of our children in operations performed by their own subordinates or doctors, all employees of the City or State!

Now, in addition to this gigantic manufacturing interest of nine vaccine mongers,
with nearly twenty millions of capital, there are the gigantic medical societies, both state and national, with tens of thousands of members, which are in practical alliance with these vaccine manufacturers as purchasers and users of their products and also as the originators, advocates and maintainers of all our compulsory vaccination laws, which are obviously worth millions of dollars every year to these vaccine mongers and the vaccinating doctors who use their products, which are constantly being forced upon the people under our evil and illegal vaccination laws. It will be sufficient to give the names and numbers of these medical societies to show what a dangerous power we have in the body politic, which is solely responsible for placing upon the people this most dangerous and illegal oppression of compulsory medicine, an oppression much more evil than compulsory religion ever was, and which is now represented in the evil practice of compulsory vaccination and other schemes of medical compulsion, such as compulsory medical examinations, etc., now improperly forced upon the people.

There is a national association of doctors, known as the “American Medical Association,” which has a membership of 40,000 doctors! The head office of this society is in Chicago but it has a large membership in the City and State of New York. In this State we have the following medical societies:

“Medical Society of the State of New York,” 7,390 members; “Medical Society of the County of New York,” 2,515 members; “Medical Society of the County of Kings,” 950 members; “Associated Physicians of Long Island,” 503 members. There are, of course, many other medical societies, but these are the chief ones, more directly influencing our own City and State; and any one can see at a glance what a menace these societies are and what a dangerous power they are in our body politic when they are in fact united as one body in the advocacy of compulsory medicine in its various dangerous and illegal forms and particularly in the evil form of compulsory vaccination, and it may be set down as a fact that to these medical societies, in connection with the great vaccine mongers, we owe all our compulsory vaccination laws. Experienced legislators have informed me that this gigantic medical power has the most complete organization and lobbying system for influencing legislation of any interest in the State, as we have seen partly illustrated in the passage of the evil Loyster Law.

The history of our compulsory vaccination law shows that this was first passed in 1860 and has ever since been on our statute books with some slight changes until it was superseded by the present Loyster Law, and it was originally passed
simply on petition from the Medical Society of the State of New York and was rushed through the Legislature and signed by the Governor in a few days without any public consideration or hearing whatever. Numerous efforts have been made by the people of this State at different times during the last ten or more years to have this evil law repealed, but it has been constantly opposed by this same gigantic medical power, which profits immensely by such laws, and which power has been generally able to continue such evil laws by hoodwinking not only our legislators, but also our courts and a large part of our people with its three great falsehoods,

1) That compulsory vaccination is absolutely necessary for the prevention of smallpox epidemics and is the only known remedy therefore.

2) That Sanitation, Isolation and Hygiene have no influence whatever in preventing or controlling smallpox.

3) That vaccination is perfectly safe and harmless and never causes any injury or death.

I have already demonstrated what dangerous and criminal falsehoods all of these propositions are and need say nothing further on that point here, but any person of common sense can see that when we have the same set of men in full control of our health departments and vital statistics who are also engaged in the practice and profit of vaccination, and when these men have thus full power and a direct interest to deny and conceal injuries and deaths from vaccination and other medical operations in death certificates and yearly reports we obviously cannot expect much reform in this great medical evil and menace until we put able laymen at the heads of these departments, who will have no interest in these medical denials and concealments, and who will administer these departments purely in the interest of the people and not in the interest of medical manufacturers, medical societies and medical theories, as I have already suggested.

To show what a gigantic interest the doctors and medical societies have in our own city government it will be sufficient to consider these figures, which have been given by the President of the Board of Aidermen in a medical journal. There are 8,100 registered doctors in this city of New York, and out of this number nearly 1/4 or 1,632 are in the employment or service of the city which pays a million dollars a year for this service.
It is therefore obvious from all the figures already given what a powerful medical control the people are under unconsciously to themselves, and what a powerfully intrenched and organized medical force we actually have in public office in our body politic in City and State which, under the forms and appearances of looking after the public interest only, is in the strongest possible position to look after the interests of its own profession first and to be safely able to deny and conceal any of the injurious or fatal effects of any of the medical practices, theories, experiments or fads, which it may be regularly practicing or favoring for the time being.

This allegation is, of course, the obvious fact because this organized medical force controls all our health departments, vital statistics, death certificates, autopsies, medical reports, etc., etc., and can, of course, make any of these records or reports to suit their medical dogmas, theories or interests and conceal their mistakes; and there is no element now in our City or State governments to adequately check this dangerous, evil and onesided power, and will not be until we put able laymen in office over this gigantic medical interest, with honest doctors under them of whom plenty can be found in this great profession who can quickly relieve the profession from the disgrace which the oppressions, falsehoods and malpractices of medical compulsion and domination have already brought upon it all over this State and Nation.

LETTER TO GOVERNOR WHITMAN ASKING VETO OF LOYSTER-TALLETT BILL SINISTER FACTS IN CANVASS AND PASSAGE OF THIS BILL EXPOSED AND CONDEMNED

Subject: Final appeal to veto the scandalous and dangerous Jones-Tallett Vaccination Bill.

Hon. Charles S. Whitman, Governor Albany, N. Y.

I again solemnly urge upon you the veto of the Jones-Tallett Vaccination Bill, as being a most shameful and dangerous piece of medical legislation, full of medical craft and graft in the interest of vaccinators and vaccine dealers operating in this State, and worth millions of dollars to this big medical interest.

This bill puts compulsory vaccination on every school child in this State, whether in big cities or country districts, and whether in public, private or parochial schools, whereas the present law applies to public schools only. It has
been falsely and foully represented from the first as applying only in case of actual epidemics and as not applying to the City of New York at all! Many members of the Senate and Assembly from New York City seem to have voted for the bill on this false representation, into which they have been misled by some person or persons. Such fraud, and deceit, I believe to be a crime under Section 1327 of the Penal Code, and whoever may be guilty of such a crime should be convicted and punished, if possible, under due process of law. Some evidence on this head has already been placed before you, and I believe that this fact alone of gross deception in the canvass and passage of this bill should cause you to veto the bill as a legal outrage and scandal in our legislation.

In addition to this evidence of probable fraud or deceit in the passage of this bill, its enforcement, if it is made a law, on our little school children would be most dangerous to health and life and would result in many deaths every year. I have already clearly proved to you that the school age is most immune to smallpox and has the highest vitality and lowest death rate from all causes of any age class in the population, and therefore needs alleged protection from smallpox less than any other class, and that men of voting age, legislators and voters, are five times more subject to smallpox and five times more in need of vaccination than school children, yet no one has attempted to put compulsory vaccination on this manful age, able to bear it with less danger than children; but, with shameful medical and legislative ignorance and cowardice, this evil has been put only on our little school children, under the false teachings and urgings of our medical societies, health officials, vaccinating doctors and vaccine mongers, who profit immensely by the enforcement of such evil laws.

I have also shown you that vaccination is simply the infliction of a dangerous disease which, for children, is more dangerous to health and life than natural smallpox and now actually kills more children than smallpox, which fact is shamefully concealed in our vital statistics, but is clearly proved in every yearly Report of the highest statistical authority in the world, the Registrar General of England.

This shameful bill also pretends to make the enforcement of vaccination less rigid in our country districts than in our big cities, under the false idea that cities are more subject to smallpox than country districts as a whole. But this is another shameful falsehood of the men—some of whom are in our State Department of Health—who are responsible for the drafting of this false and evil bill. On the contrary, the country districts, which contain less than half the
population of this State have almost always many more cases of smallpox than the City of New York, which contains more than half the population of the State. So much is this the fact that the cases of smallpox in the country districts outside of New York City are usually five or ten times more every year than in New York City.

Furthermore, smallpox is, in fact, more of a country disease than a city disease, occurring most where there are paper and rag mills and industries dealing with animal textures, wool, hair, and hides, and with farm filth and domestic animals, etc., and where there are lumber camps and “bunk houses” of railroad and other laborers, with great human overcrowding and gross unsanitary conditions which are absent in the cities; and in fact, most of the cases of smallpox found in the cities come from the country. The shameful falsehood here refuted is therefore only one of many similar falsehoods constantly forced by the ignorant and reckless vaccinators in our health departments and medical societies on the Legislature and the People of this State; and on such falsehoods all vaccination legislation like that in this evil Tallett Bill has been based.

Under these facts and circumstances I therefore submit to the Governor of this State, as a last appeal for Right and Truth and Justice in this matter, that the enactment of this false and evil Jones-Tallett Bill into Law would be a medical crime on the people of this State; and I shall therefore hope that the Governor will be in no way responsible for this crime by signing or approving this scandalous piece of legislation.

Respectfully submitted,

CHAS. M. HIGGINS
Treasurer Anti-Vaccination League of America
271 Ninth Street, Brooklyn, NY
March 31, 1915

THE HEARING BEFORE THE GOVERNOR (March 29th, 1915)

To show how this evil Tallett Bill has been falsely represented from the outset so as to win votes on this false basis to rush it through the legislature, as referred to in the above letter and at the hearing before the Governor, it may suffice to state that from the first it has been boldly represented as providing for enforced
vaccination only in case of actual epidemics and only in such epidemic districts, and that the law did not apply at all to big cities like New York, whereas it was not at all limited to epidemics and applied constantly in all big cities. To prove how well this gross deception has worked, I here give a verbatim copy of a letter written by my own local Assemblyman, Hon. William T. Simpson, of the Twelfth Assembly District in Brooklyn, where I reside, to a neighbor in the same district.

ASSEMBLY CHAMBER
State of New York
Albany
March 8th, 1915.

Mr. Stephen McNamee
225 14th Street,
Brooklyn, N. Y.

Dear Sir:

Your favor of the 4th instant at hand and was received my me on my return to Albany today. In reply thereto beg to say that the Tallett Bill, to which you refer, did not affect the City of New York in any manner and for that reason was not opposed by City members.

I thank you for your favor and will place the same in my file. Yours very truly,
(Signed) Wm. T. Simpson, Assemblyman.

From this shocking letter it is plain that Mr. Simpson and many other members from the city, in both Senate and Assembly, voted for this bill with the idea that it had no reference to the City whatever and that they did this evidently on the mere statement and request of somebody to vote for the bill without ever having read or studied the bill or the letters sent from constituents warning them of the real nature of the bill. Now surely the making of law is the highest and most sacred function that can be exercised by any man or official, much more sacred and important even than the work of the Judiciary in the interpretation of law or the work of the Executive in the enforcement of law, and surely here is a shocking sample of how some laws are passed and how the most sacred of all official functions is sometimes exercised under political “deals,” “combinations,” or sinister influences, and particularly through gross neglect of
legislators to clearly know what they are voting for before they vote, as seems to have existed in the case of Mr. Simpson and others.

MR. TALLETT'S SHOCKING LETTER

A more shocking example yet of blind or deceptive legislation is found in this letter from Mr. Tallett himself to a voter in Brooklyn, in which he shows complete ignorance of the real nature and scope of his own bill, or rather Mr. Loyster’s bill, which he introduced in the Assembly, and in which it will be seen he states in a postscript, written in by his own hand, that the bill does not apply to New York City at all, whereas it, of course, applied, as any tyro in legislation could see at a glance, positively and particularly to New York and other big cities.

ASSEMBLY CHAMBER
State of New York
Albany
January 29, 1915

Mr. Percy Gianella
608 Fifth Street
Brooklyn, NY

My Dear Mr. Gianella:

I have your favor of the 28th instant and enclose copy of Assembly Bill No. 125, which will explain itself. This bill is introduced for the purpose of modifying the present vaccination law relative to children in public schools.

Yours very truly,
(Signed) Morrell E. Tallett

MET’EFG

Enclosure (Written in by hand)
This law does not apply in Greater New York. New York City has its own Public Health and Public Education laws.
When Mr. Tallett was confronted with this letter at the hearing before the Governor this is what he said, according to the record of the Hearing:

“When it (his Bill) was introduced I was told that New York City had its own law in regard to the education business and that this wouldn’t affect it.”

Now, who it was that misled Mr. Tallett and other legislators with this stupid and dangerous falsehood does not yet appear, but I think it is evident from the disgraceful facts above shown how easy it may be for the highly organized medical powers in this State not only to fool but to tool some of our legislators into possibly getting most any kind of a bill through to suit these medical interests, without the actual knowledge or consciousness of these legislators as to what they are really doing, as seems to have been actually the case with the Loyster-Tallett Bill.

To add to this shocking exhibit, I now give an extract from the manly letter of the Senator from my own local district, Hon. William B. Carswell, of Brooklyn, which shows another evil feature in the passage of the Loyster Bill, in that his own vote was actually recorded for this bill while absent without his knowledge or consent and positively against his intentions and orders, and I have reason to think that this is not the only example of such false record in the vote on this Bill.

William B. Carswell
6th District
THE SENATE OF The State of New York, Albany
61 Broadway
New York City, NY
June 10th, 1915

Mr. Charles M. Higgins
271 9th Street
Brooklyn, New York

Dear Mr. Higgins:

I am in receipt of your favor of the 8th inst., in regard to my vote on the Tallett Bill. From it I learn for the first time, that I am recorded in favor of that measure. I am and was opposed to the measure and my views underwent no change. I not
only advised you and several others that I was against the' bill, but Senator Jones, who handled the matter in the Senate, was informed by me on the several occassions he solicited my vote for the bill, that I was against it. I am erroneously recorded in favor of the measure through some mistake of the clerk at the desk, or the copy of the roll call has been incorrectly made out.

Trusting I make myself clear in the foregoing, I am, with best wishes.

Very truly yours,

WBC/D (Signed) Wm. B. Carswell

All these facts as given above surely make a most disgraceful record of improper legislative methods under which the Loyster Bill was passed which speak loudly for themselves and need no further comment here, and I now submit these facts for the information, criticism and judgment of the people of this State as a public duty under the circumstances.

GOVERNOR WHITMAN’S MISTAKE

I now have to add, with regret, how I believe the Governor neglected or shirked his clear duty to consider all the facts we placed before him at the hearing on this evil Loyster Bill, and how he failed to use his own judgment on these facts but deferred judgment on the whole subject to the Commissioners of Health, who, of course, are professionally interested in and committed to the whole subject of vaccination and cannot possibly be considered impartial or proper judges in the matter. We will now let the Governor’s own words speak for him, as taken from the official records of the Hearing, in answer to our counsel, Hon. Charles F. Murphy, of Brooklyn.

THE GOVERNOR: Don’t you think the Governor is obliged, or rather justified in looking to the Health Department of State and City in a matter that is so peculiarly within its jurisdiction as this matter? I am not in a position myself to decide as to whether vaccination is a good thing or not. It didn’t work very well with me.

MR. MURPHY: And that is just why I agree with you. It didn’t work well with me, either, Governor.
THE GOVERNOR: Here is the Health Department of the City, at the head of which is a man of national reputation, and whose opinion on questions like this ought to carry great weight anywhere; and the Health Department of the State, whose Commissioner is a man of standing and a man who has done a great work there, and is doing great work there now. I do not feel as though I ought to pass on that question.

The Health Department should do it.

This illustrates clearly how the average legislator and the average executive treats the whole subject of vaccination whenever it is brought officially before them. Instead of considering the actual facts of the matter as the highest possible authority, and considering the whole subject carefully on their own account from these basic facts, they will usually, in a thoughtless, cowardly or shirking way, defer to so-called medical authorities which have a professional interest and bias of the strongest kind on one side only of this subject. Now, how an eminent lawyer like Governor Whitman could make such a logical and judicial mistake as this would be very strange did we not know by long experience that it is so very common with Governors and Legislators who are good lawyers to act like very bad lawyers and poor judges when this complex subject of vaccination comes up, which they will persist in failing to study for themselves and get the real facts of the matter on both sides into their “lay” and unprejudiced minds, as should be the case with every true “juryman” sitting on any contested matter of facts.

And surely Governor Whitman is enough of a lawyer to know that, under our fundamental laws, our whole legal system is based on the proposition that the common layman or juryman is perfectly competent to consider facts and give his legal decision on them and that the ordinary intelligent layman having no personal, selfish, business or professional interest in the subject at hand is, as a matter of law, supreme in his judgment on these contested facts, and that, on the contrary, the man who has a business or professional interest in a subject like vaccination (as our doctors and health officials have) is just the very man who should not be asked to judicially or legally decide any such subject; and yet that is the very mistake that the eminent lawyer, Governor Whitman, made in this case, which is contrary to all the legal logic and equity on which all our great jury and court system is based.

To therefore defer the question of the propriety of any vaccination law to the
men directly interested in forcing vaccination on the people is just about as logical and judicial as if an ordinary trial judge and jury should conclude they were “incompetent” to pass on the “law” and “facts” involved in the case before them and should abdicate and turn over the whole case to the lawyer for the defendant to settle as he thought best 1 If Thomas Jefferson had submitted his great Declaration of Liberty to the lords and aristocrats of England for approval before he submitted it to the people of this country, or if Abraham Lincoln had first submitted his Emancipation Proclamation to Jefferson Davis and the slave holders of the South for their approval, it would have been just about as reasonable, logical, judicial and lawyer-like as for Governor Whitman to defer any question of compulsory vaccination law for final decision to Commissioner Biggs or Commissioner Goldwater, or any other vaccinating doctor in this State.

We have great hope and faith, however, that the Governor will never again make the mistake that was made in this case, if he once studies and absorbs the medical, statistical and legal facts now given to the public in this little pamphlet on this great and complex subject of vaccination.

NEW LAW SUGGESTED FOR REPEAL AND PROHIBITION OF ALL COMPULSORY VACCINATION

The next Legislature should make a full repeal of the present evil Loyster Law by passing some such law as that suggested below, which prohibits all compulsory vaccination, and the parents of the two and a quarter millions of school children in this State should strongly urge the passage of this law by their representatives in the next Legislature.

PROPOSED LAW PROHIBITING AND PENALIZING COMPULSORY, COERCIVE OR FORCED VACCINATION OR INOCULATION IN THE STATE OF NEW YORK

No form of vaccination or inoculation shall be imposed upon any person by any form of force, intimidation, or coercion, against free will and consent, and no child or minor shall be subjected to any form of vaccination or inoculation without the free consent of parents or guardians. All doctors, health officers or other persons, in attempting or offering to vaccinate or inoculate any child or other person, must first carefully explain to such person, or to the parents or guardians of such child or minor, that the proposed vaccination or inoculation is in no way compulsory, and will not be performed if objected to by such person,
parent or guardian.

No form of vaccination or inoculation shall be made a condition for admission to any public or private school or college in the State or for the exercise of any other right or privilege of any citizen, taxpayer or other person in the State.

A violation of any of these provisions shall be punishable by a fine of from five hundred to one thousand dollars, or imprisonment for from one to two years, or by both fine and imprisonment at the discretion of the Court.

All existing laws or parts of laws inconsistent with these provisions are hereby repealed.

This Act shall take effect immediately.

OTHER REFORMS SUGGESTED FOR ATTENTION OF PARENTS AND SCHOOL OFFICERS

The parents of the two or more millions of school children in this State who pay the taxes for the support of the most extensive and most expensive function of our State and City governments, namely, Public Education, should now unite in making a general demand upon or remonstrance to our educational officials of State and City for a proper protection of the most sacred rights of our most precious possession, the children of school age, who form 1/4 of our whole population, which remonstrance should take some such form as stated in the following propositions.

First: To give expression to some proper criticism of our State Department of Education for its mistake in blindly accepting gross and dangerous medical and statistical falsehoods issued by the State Department of Health in its bulletin of February, 1914, on the subjects of smallpox and vaccination and adopted in the vaccination order of Deputy Commissioner Finegan, sent to all school districts, dated March 20, 1914, and for the failure of this great Department of Education to properly protect the two millions of school children in this State from this great medical superstition and danger of compulsory disease as an alleged mode of producing public health, but which is far more dangerous to school children than natural smallpox, and has killed 30 or more children in this State in 1914.

Second: To express a proper censure of Deputy Commissioner Finegan for his
apparently illegal and intimidating order of March 20, 1914, to the local trustees throughout the State, forcing them to compel vaccination of school children, contrary to their own legal discretion in this matter and in violation of the decision of Commissioner Draper recognizing this discretion in the local school officers in the case of the town of Olean in 1912, which order has resulted in the deaths of many children all over the State from this forced vaccination.

Third: To carefully consider the legal question whether the Department of Education or the State government is not liable to parents for the deaths of their children killed by vaccination thus coercively forced upon them; and,

Fourth: To consider whether doctors and health officials performing these fatal vaccinations—under the false representation that the operation is safe and harmless, instead of being actually, in all cases, the infliction on the healthy human body of a potentially dangerous disease of blood poisoning known as purulent infection and septicemia—are not liable for both civil and criminal prosecutions.

Fifth: To secure a public investigation of our health departments to expose their constant denial and concealments of deaths from vaccination and consequent falsification of our vital statistics.

Sixth: To consider the passage of a special Act of the Legislature to indemnify parents for the destruction of health or loss of life of their children caused by compulsory disease illegally forced on them by agents of the State or City under the false representation and criminal malpractice that such act of compulsory disease was necessary for their health, or the health of the State, and that it was entirely safe and harmless, or, as expressed in the actual words of the State Department of Health in its Bulletin of February, 1914, that “There is not the slightest risk in the process of vaccination,” etc., which false and dangerous statement we believe to be actually a crime on the people of this State and would justify a public request for the removal of its authors from office.

Seventh: To urge a proper public investigation of the medical graft in the practice of vaccination and sale of virus in this State, to show how much is paid for vaccination and for virus by the State or by towns and cities or school districts, and who get this pay.

Eighth: To secure the passage of a new law which will remove all vaccinating
doctors and members of medical societies from the heads of our departments of health and vital statistics and put in their places able and eminent laymen having no interest in medical practices or concealments, same as now exists in England, where the Registrar General and Minister of Health are both eminent laymen, and where the vital statistics are known to be the most full and reliable in the world and where deaths from vaccination are not concealed but are reported regularly every year, showing in many years greater mortality than from smallpox.

PROTEST OF PARENTS TO BOARD OF REGENTS AND DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

All parents of school children in the State who are opposed to compulsory vaccination, and particularly all parents whose children have been injured or killed by vaccination forced upon them within the last two years, should write a strong personal letter of remonstrance to the Board of Regents, or to the State Department of Education, at Albany, protesting against the longer continuance of this barbarous medical evil of compulsory disease on our State schools as a condition for education therein, and particularly for the failure of our high school officials to protect the children from this evil long ago and for supinely accepting the dangerous and stupid medical falsehoods on which this evil has been based. The blind acceptance of such falsehoods is an actual disgrace to the mental acumen of men capable of any independent judgment or of investigating facts for themselves, by which they could soon be convinced of the needlessness of compulsory vaccination for school children and of its awful danger to their health and life. This great danger is clearly shown when at least ten times more children are killed every year, directly or indirectly, by vaccination than by smallpox, against which the vaccination is directed and to which disease children of school age are naturally more immune than any other element in the population.

These parental letters of protest should be addressed, “To the Board of Regents, Albany, N. Y.,” or, “To the State Department of Education, Albany, N. Y.”

It should be remembered that the Board of Regents (twelve in number) control the entire Public School System of the State and appoint the Commissioner of Education, the chief officer of the Department of Education, who serves at the pleasure of the said Board of Regents.
PAMPHLETS FOR SALE

We have bought several thousand of Mr. Loyster's valuable pamphlet entitled “Vaccination Results In New York State in 1914” for circulation at cost. This pamphlet shows the deaths of nearly thirty children from vaccination in 1914, including Mr. Loyster's own son, with portraits and detailed description. These deaths were the result of the illegal vaccination crusade forced on the schools of the State by the ill-advised and untenable order of Dr. Finegan, Deputy Commissioner of Education, dated March 20, 1914, issued under the illegal dictation of the State Department of Health, and upon its false and dangerous advices that such forced vaccination was necessary for the health of children and was perfectly safe and harmless, which dangerous orders and false advices, with their fatal effects, should become the subject for public investigation and rebuke of all the State officials responsible for them.

Price of the Loyster pamphlet by mail, ten cents each Copies of the present pamphlet, “The Crime Against The School Child,” will be mailed upon request. Price, ten cents each.
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