



The Solari Report

February 27, 2020

Coronavirus, Epidemics, and Vaccine Terrorism with Jon Rappoport



Catherine Austin Fitts



Jon Rappoport



Guest: Jon Rappoport

WebPage: <https://nomorefakenews.com/>

Bio: The author of three explosive collections, *THE MATRIX REVEALED*, *EXIT FROM THE MATRIX*, and *POWER OUTSIDE THE MATRIX*, Jon was a candidate for a US Congressional seat in the 29th District of California. He maintains a consulting practice for private clients, the purpose of which is the expansion of personal creative power. Nominated for a Pulitzer Prize, he has worked as an investigative reporter for 30 years, writing articles on politics, medicine, and health for *CBS Healthwatch*, *LA Weekly*, *Spin Magazine*, *Stern*, and other newspapers and magazines in the US and Europe. Jon has delivered lectures and seminars on global politics, health, logic, and creative power to audiences around the world.

Jon is the publisher of **No More Fake News** and has decades of experience covering health as well as epidemics—including SARS, swine flu, Ebola, AIDS, and more

Topics of Discussion: All main topics are highlighted throughout the report.

Summary: Over the last month, the world has watched as the Wuhan coronavirus and related quarantines, deaths, and travel and trade restrictions have rocked Asia and world relations with China.

I have covered these events in each weekly *Money & Markets*, and I have encouraged subscribers to read Jon Rappoport's coronavirus coverage. One of my concerns is that these events coincide with unprecedented global pushback by the public against aggressive and unethical efforts to market and mandate vaccines despite rising vaccine injuries and the absence of vaccine safety studies and evidence—all in a manner clearly in violation of the **Nuremberg Code**. Subscribers asked me to invite Jon back to The Solari Report, so I did!

The challenge of covering epidemics is the same as covering numerous health enigmas in our world. The extraordinary history of falsehoods fronting for multiple political and economic agendas makes it difficult to trust global health care institutions and governments. I believe we have seen biological and chemical warfare portrayed as natural disease. We have seen disease outbreaks sold as terrifying global pandemics that fizzled out quickly after an election was won.

We have seen poor sanitation, malnutrition, environmental pollution, and unhealthy living conditions whited out by blaming a "deadly virus" that sells news and creates opportunities for pharmaceutical companies.

Governments have poured billions into dirty weapons, including bio and chemical warfare that have the potential to be deadly and dangerous on both a local and global scale. There are now allegations that EMF radiation adds another harmful influence to this disease cocktail. Ascertaining the facts is difficult—and often takes time. In the meantime, whatever the facts of a given health phenomenon, the immediate economic and political implications can be profound.

To appreciate the divergence between reality and official reality when dealing with health crises—and the importance of exercising independent thinking—I asked Jon to join me this week. My goal is to help you understand how important it is to ask questions and to beware "solutions"—including the push for vaccine mandates.

C. Austin Fitts: Ladies and gentlemen, it is always my pleasure to welcome back to *The Solari Report* Jon Rappoport, a man who needs no introduction.

Finally, a subscriber, after I posted different links to Jon's coverage of some of the latest healthcare developments around the planet, said, "Will you please get Jon Rappoport back on The Solari Report? We need to hear from him?"

She was exactly right. Jon, you are very kind, and I want to thank you for joining us on The Solari Report.

Jon Rappoport: Oh, it's great to be here with you as always.

Fitts: You have done extensive coverage for many, many years of the healthcare industry and the use of epidemics – whether real, fake, psyop, natural, bio-warfare. I don't know of anybody who has more extensively looked at the 'real deal' on health and the 'real deal' on epidemics and the 'real deal' on vaccines.

One of the things that we are going to talk about is **why the solution is often more dangerous than the supposed problem**. Obviously, what we are inspired by is the latest occurrence of the **coronavirus in China**. You have been covering it extensively.

I know you have a new development that you want to tell us about. Then I want to talk about your **coverage of epidemics historically** and what it is that you have learned.

Why don't you take us where you want to take us?

Rappoport: I want to start by saying: Try to imagine this. You have a number of scientists in a bio-warfare lab somewhere, and it doesn't matter where. It's very expensive, and there is a large amount of money invested in the program. They are, of course, among other things, tinkering with viruses and trying to weaponized them and so on.

They are working on this virus at the present whatever-it-is. But unknown to them, here is the 'kicker': There is no virus, and they don't know that. They are completely unaware that this virus they are trying to make into the greatest weapon in the history of the world doesn't even exist – or at least, it's never actually been found by anybody. But the scientific literature has been built up step by step to such an incredible degree with paper after paper and report after report and so forth, that everybody who is 'in the know', the professionals, are quite sure that, "Of course, the virus exists, and of course, it can cause illness, and we are trying to make a weapon out of it."

But the truth of the matter is that, at the bottom, that at some point in the recent history of medical-biological research, scientists who were unable to find new viruses by the best possible authentic method of doing it, invented a whole series of, what they called, '**markers**'. These were somewhat clues that would give indirect evidence of the existence of a given virus, and they relied on those markers and did tests to find those markers, after which they would say, "Of course now, we know that the new virus exists. Now we can create a genetic sequence for it," which they rapidly do, still not knowing that the virus has never been found.

They are sequencing something else completely. They have no knowledge of what that is. Now they are starting to 'tinker' with it to try to make it into a weapon far more dangerous than any hydrogen bomb, and they have no clue about any of this.

So, I am giving you the most extreme example to show you where my research has been heading. One footnote on this is that it all began in 1987 when I started writing my book, *AIDS Inc.*, which was my first book. It didn't start that way, but it ended up disputing the idea that HIV was the cause of AIDS.

I gave many reasons for my conclusion, and I showed how in every so-called ‘risk group’ as they were called, that the at-risk group for AIDS there were other factors among these groups that had nothing to do with viruses that would explain all the signs, symptoms, and destruction of the alleged AIDS. Each number or collection of these reasons and causes was different in each group.

Then after the book was published, I began in the ‘dissident research’ AIDS community, hearing reports that I followed up on that they never proved that HIV existed to begin with, which was a giant step further than I had been able to go in my book.

Since I’ve been looking into that, and recently with the coverage of this whole China ‘deal’, I decided to take as deep of a dive as I could. I think we are publishing at www.NoMoreFakeNews.com part of an interview that journalist Celia Farber did with the late actor of electron microscopy, Etienne De Harven, who spells out in great detail how and why they never discovered HIV to begin with and pretended that they did by use of these indirect markers, which to say the least, is incredibly startling. Then there are other supporting documents that I have published in the past.

In other words, behind the headlines and behind the stories and behind the alternative stories and behind those stories, as you go deeper and deeper and deeper, you get into the whole question of: What is going on and has been going on in the **research community** that allows the mainstream researchers to say, “Aha! We found a new virus! Aha! Here’s another new one. Here’s one that causes an epidemic. Here’s another one that causes an epidemic,” and so on?

The deeper you go, the further you are drawn inevitably into the whole question of: How do they say they discovered a new virus in the first place, and is this legitimate, or are they inventing an entire branch of **fake science**?

I have been coming more and more to that conclusion.

Fitts: I want to stop you here because this is one of the areas where, when you really dig in and you see the difference between **reality and official reality**, the separation is so extraordinary. It takes a ‘bungee rope’ in your mind to deal with it. You think, “They can’t have engineered the official reality to go along with this ‘B.S.’ to this extent,” and then you see, “Yes.”

I want to use an example because I have read through this. You have covered so many different phenomena – SARS and the West Nile virus and whatever. I've seen you dig in and go deep on many of these, but the one that was extraordinary was around 2014 when the Republicans were considered to be at risk of losing their senate majority. It was 2014, and there were the senate races. The Republicans tried everything, and the polling kept showing that they were going to lose the Senate.

Then about eight or twelve weeks out, all of a sudden, they started with the Ebola. The Ebola went from nothing to front page news of every paper in the country. The whole implication was, “This black President has engineered these black people to come to this country and give you this disease, and everybody is going to die.”

I've never seen the ‘shriek-o-meter’ turn on that loud or that fast. At the peak, about two weeks out from the election, sure enough it swung the polls and was very effective; it absolutely worked.

I was getting emails from the different business media saying, “Don't worry about this. This will have no impact on your life or business. Don't worry about it.”

Even the front page of *The Wall Street Journal* was shrieking this from the ‘rafters’ as though we were all going to die, and this epidemic was going to take America by storm. The Republicans won the election, and they held the Senate. Literally, the next day it went from page one on 20 of the different major corporate media to the 7th page, and then was completely gone the next day. It was amazing.

When you look at the different government agencies and different experts and academic university experts who were all opining to this ‘B.S.’, it was incredible. You thought, “They have this whole ‘shriek-o-meter’ infrastructure of government and international agencies and academics and universities and research labs.” You press a button, and they all go into a ‘chorus of poodles’. It's incredible, and you cannot believe that a lie can be this effective and go this far.

People who should know better get scared because this is invisible; you can't see it. A germ or a virus spreads invisibly.

My *Blast From the Past* earlier was Bill Cosby's routine on the ‘chicken heart that ate up New York City’. I don't know if you remember that. It's the playbook; the chicken heart that ate up New York City is the playbook! “This scary thing is coming, and it's coming to get you.”

Can you give us one example that you've gone into deeply, in addition to where it really was a **PsyOp**, and they 'scared us to death' with this epidemic that was coming, and then the whole thing turned out to be only an op?

Rappoport: Yes, let's look at the Swine Flu in 2009. This was another one that was going to kill everybody.

I recently published a piece, and I think it's already been posted, but I can't keep track. A person in New York is posting them for me as I turn them out.

I went back into some of these **epidemics** like SARS and Swine Flu and Ebola and Zika, etc. I found old articles from various levels of sources, each time saying 'horrendous global threat' and 'bio-weapon' and 'labs' and 'leaking', 'accident', 'on purpose', and each time these were complete 'duds' – just like that incredible example you just gave.

“Oh, Ebola is going to kill everybody. We are all going to bleed to death on the streets,” and then the election ends, “Okay, let's cover celebrity gossip in New York and put Ebola on page 53,” and that kind of thing.

Swine Flu was the same deal. In that case, if I am remembering correctly, and I believe I am, the World Health Organization declared it a global pandemic with about 20 cases, or 20 deaths, that they claimed were caused by the Swine Flu virus, which they said was ultimately coming from 'here or there' – Mexico or China or whatever. They declared a global pandemic, and they **changed their definition of pandemic** at that point so that if you read it carefully, it didn't even need to be what it once was.

Widespread death? No, we don't have to have that anymore. People keeling over every day? No, whatever we say it is is the new meaning.

So they declared the threat. So, the Center for Disease Control in the US (**CDC**) and the World Health Organization (**WHO**) are the two big megaphone public health agencies that stir up all of the fear and plant all the articles in the press and so on.

Now we come to the summer of 2009 in the United States. The **CDC is claiming that there are thousands of cases in the US**. Sharyl Attkisson, who at the time was a start investigative reporter for CBS news in New York, discovered a very strange fact. The CDC had secretly stopped counting the number of Swine Flu cases in America.

The reason they originally existed was to do that – to count cases and report. Every week they have a morbidity and mortality report that they publicly issue about whatever diseases they are onto, and they secretly stopped counting cases in the US while continuing to blare forward that there were ‘thousands of cases of Swine Flu in the US’.

So naturally she asked herself, “I wonder why they stopped counting?” So she began to dig deep, and she found out why. It was because the overwhelming number of tissue samples from Swine Flu patients that were routinely sent out to labs for testing and analysis – which is ongoing – were coming back in an overwhelming percentage with no sign of Swine Flu or any other kind of flu.

Of course, they had to hide this fact. They had to conceal it and they had to pretend that it was not happening, but she knew. So she wrote an article about it. Naturally, it had to go through all kinds of scrutiny at CBS. The lawyers had to come in, and her bosses had to look at it. They all looked at it, and they all said, “Okay, we can go with this on our website.”

Her boss told her that it was ‘a hell of a story’ and he ‘never saw a story like this before about the Swine Flu’ and they ran with it on the website, and it was published in the late summer of 2009.

So now, the next step would be to put it on television, which is the ‘real deal’ as far as the public is concerned, and to put it on the nightly CBS news, and to put it on all the affiliate CBS television news broadcasts, the details of what Sharyl had found out. But something happened at CBS. Now suddenly, the ‘kibosh’ is put on. “We are not going to put this story on television. We are not going to do this. We are not going to show this, and there is not going to be any follow-up.” So the whole thing was shut down, there were no more stories about this anywhere, and no other major news outlet in the country or in the world or the wire services like The Associated Press and Reuters and so forth picked up on this and followed up on the story that was published on the website.

Fitts: Not nobody, because you did.

Rappoport: Right, eventually I did. I talked to Sharyl, and I was going to go on some sort of a television roundtable that CBS was hosting in L.A. I was living in San Diego at the time, and I said to her, “Can we do this in San Diego or can I do it remotely?”

Then she got back to me and said, “The whole thing has been cancelled. It’s not going to happen. It’s too bad because it would have been fun to have you on. I can see that you have a sense of humor, and it would have been very interesting.”

I said, “You bet it would have been interesting!” But the whole thing was cancelled.

I did interview her by email, and I published the interview at www.NoMoreFakeNews.com, revealing exactly what I just said. Still, nobody in the mainstream picked up on it whatsoever.

Fitts: I don’t call it ‘mainstream’ anymore; I call it ‘corporate’. So, nobody in the corporate media picked up on it.

Can I interrupt for a moment? There was a group of us who were fighting very hard against the Swine Flu vaccine. In fact, the CDC makes money off their vaccine patents, and they are in business with the pharmaceutical industry. I think they make about \$4 billion a year according to Kennedy (Robert Jr.). So, they have a **serious conflict of interest** because they can’t get the Swine Flu vaccine adopted and mandated unless there is a Swine Flu, right?

Rappoport: Exactly. I will add one more little ‘tidbit’ here – the capper on this whole story. About three weeks to a month after CBS shut down the whole deal on Swine Flu, I found an article at *Web MD*, which I printed the citation and the quotes and whatever. The CDC at this point, had the official word that, “The epidemic is starting to tail off, and it’s now time to reflect a little and say what the effect of it all was.”

So in this *Web MD* article, the CDC estimated that there were – get this – in the US alone, 22 million cases of Swine Flu.

In the back of my mind, little bells were ringing and all sorts of things with unconscionable questions which were: If all of this is true, was there a virus to begin with? Did they actually find anything to begin with that was real or not? An overwhelming majority of the tests that are coming back from labs are saying that there is no sign of any kind of Swine Flu virus or any virus whatsoever, and all these cases are being diagnosed as Swine Flu.

I will give you one other ‘quickie’ on SARS 2003, which was another sort of respiratory flu epidemic that was going to kill everybody. A big travel advisory was issued by the World Health Organization, “Don’t fly into Toronto because there are lots of infected people there.” This cost the merchants \$2 to \$3 billion, and they were going to file a lawsuit, but they never did.

I found out that at the height of this so-called SARS epidemic, – another bio-weapon of ‘we are going to kill everybody on the planet 100 times’ – that a World Health Organization biologist in Winnipeg, Canada named Frank Plummer, who died recently, went to the press. He wandered off the ‘reservation’ because he was somewhat of a ‘loose cannon’. They were talking about SARS, and he said, “There is something really strange going on. It’s really puzzling; fewer and fewer SARS patients are showing up with the virus. In fact, that number is approaching zero.”

So, you are saying that they have **SARS, which means they have the coronavirus**; otherwise it’s just an ordinary flu or whatever you want to call it. But he was saying, “SARS patients have no signs of the causative virus whatsoever.” The press printed this, and, of course, the story died and went away. Nobody paid any attention to it; it floated off into the air.

Again, the ‘bells’ went off. Did they ever really find a virus to begin with, or are they cooking it up as a fiction on purpose?

What is really happening in the entire medical research complex is in the **sophisticated tests** that they are doing now, – which are very complex and genetic and taking a tiny sample of a piece of something from a human that is far too small to even observe under a regular microscope and blowing it up a million times so that they can see it under an electron microscope –we think there may be something there. With all of this incredibly high-tech equipment that they do to find a new virus, the main researchers can’t come back and say to their bosses and the government funders and all that, “We can’t find anything. Nothing is there.” No, they can’t do that.

So, they have to invent these so-called ‘**markers**’ that they ‘cook up’ that will give indirect evidence of the presence of a virus. Then they can say, “We found the marker.”

“Well, you just invented the idea of the marker, and you claim that it is evidence in direct presence of the new virus. So naturally, you found it”. Everybody is buying this.

If you come out as a researcher with any sort of mainstream ambitions and question this whole thing, you are 'off the reservation'. You are blacklisted, and you can't get published; nobody is going to pay any attention to you again, and it is the end of your career. So they control that whole area, and this happens over and over again.

Fitts: There are multiple **fact patterns**, and I want to go through some of them because one of the hard things when you deal with these situations, is that there are many different options for fact patterns.

One that you have talked plenty about is the **absence of proper water and sewer systems** throughout many parts of the world, and the conditions of a low immune system that it makes. I remember when you were covering AIDS, you went into that a great deal.

Another is **chemical warfare**. You have pointed out that if you are going to do bio-warfare, viruses are hard to control. It is much easier to use chemicals, especially when you can combine it with EMF and sell your systems. Maybe you can talk a little about that?

Rappoport: Absolutely. Chemical warfare, in the largest sense: Let's say that you have a town somewhere in a poverty-stricken area of Africa. The corporations have bought up all the good farmland so that the people can't grow their own food; they are hungry and starving.

The corporation or some spinoff thereof, have industry factories producing whatever, so all the locals are working for the corporation, and pollution is running out like rivers all over the place. This is chemical warfare. Workers are getting sick and are dying, so new workers are brought in and so on.

Let's say that this is the broadest definition of chemical warfare, but it is real because it keeps the population controlled, it kills off people, new people are brought in, and they have to work there; otherwise they make nothing whatsoever. They are sick, debilitated, and they die. So the new ones come in, and on and on it goes; it is chemical warfare in the broadest sense.

On top of that, the bosses, the dictator of the country, and the corporations need a cover story. They need a cover story to explain why these people are dying. They say, "What can we do?"

“Ebola!”

“Okay, now we’re talking.”

Fitts: Or AIDS.

Rappoport: In the apparatus that you just mentioned, you press a lever, and suddenly, everybody springs into action. This story starts appearing and breeding hemorrhagic fever: “People falling down with blood everywhere, it’s frightening, and, it’s going to overtake the world.”

The main reason for ‘floating’ that cover story was to conceal the real chemical warfare that was taking place. So that is at one level.

The other level that I spelled out as a **fictional example**, which you could adjust to a town, a city, or whatever, would be, “Hey, we don’t know about germs. They are just too unpredictable. They could work on some people, and others, nothing, we just don’t know. Plus, if it does work, maybe we could get infected.”

Who knows when it comes down to it. They can make all sorts of pronouncements in the medical literature, but if it comes to warfare, suddenly everybody is walking away. “Hey, we don’t like that.”

Then some wise old psychopath says, “Let’s do a chemical, and we will say it’s a virus. This will work because we know about chemicals – how long it’s toxic, how many people it is going to kill, how long it lasts, and then when it disperses, we can say that it is all over. This is good; nobody is going to look for it. It is undetectable in that sense because we are going to promote the idea of a virus. So, let’s spread this chemical wherever we want to – small towns, big cities – it doesn’t matter. Then we will come in with the story that it is suddenly an epidemic.”

Then the CDC sends their virus hunters, and that is an entire other story. The CDC has these people on ‘tap’. They send them in with blinders because these are all true believers. Whenever these doctors and researchers get sent out by the CDC, they are going to find a virus – or they will pretend that they do.

So they go to the town, and are the heroic doctors. They are in their HAZMAT suits, and they go into town. You have a big periphery set up with soldiers, and troops come in. The press is there with their elite anchors on the periphery 24/7 covering this story.

The world press and the public are all glued to this. People inside the town are dying, and stories are coming out about that. The doctors are ‘heroically working to find the virus that is causing the epidemic’ and they finally do, of course, in record time. Yes, it’s X377, and, “We never saw it work like this, and we are rushing to develop a vaccine against it, which we will produce before you can turn around. It will be toxic, and more people will get sick and die, but that is not our problem.”

So, two or three weeks elapse with intense coverage, and the chemical that has actually been put there, which is what has been causing all the destruction and loss of life is now dispersed. It is no longer there, and it is no longer toxic. Everything dies down; the story dies down; the heroes and the doctors are suppressed. The government, the soldiers, and everybody – even the victims and families – are ‘tuned up’.

This is very important: The global population is further tuned up or, as you would say, ‘entrained’ and psychologically modified to accept all these epidemics and all these vaccines and all the mandates about having to get vaccinated and all the fear about viruses – the ‘whole nine yards’ – so that the next time that one of these appears, there will be more and more entrainment.

Meanwhile, the state legislators are busy trying to pass laws mandating the complete CDC schedule of vaccines for all children and adults, and that is the op. It just goes on and on and on and on.

Fitts: Let me bring up a couple of issues. I want to go back and say that **bio-warfare is real**, and it has been going on for centuries – not only against humans, but against food. I think that there is a process underway to try to get control of the seed and food supply. They tried GMO’s, and that didn’t work. The Doha Round (trade-negotiation round of the WTO) stalled in India, but I think there is a real effort now to reassert central control of the food supply. I think it very much has to do with the currencies that they want to roll out because oil and gas is not going to work anymore. I think they need something new, and I think food is part of it.

We’ve seen bio-warfare against control of food and livestock for centuries. So, we are not talking about anything that is new, although we have made it very high-tech, so it looks new. But I keep bringing up to the subscribers the story of trading with the Indians for blankets that had smallpox infections in them. That is a very famous example of old-time bio-warfare.

I tell the story in *Deep State Tactics* about when I was in Washington and I kept trying to hire these very expensive, fancy, private intelligence agencies to help me with security. They would be all excited because I had a beautiful house and at the time, I was very wealthy, so they would check me out. Then they would come back and say, “It’s too dangerous. We can’t help.”

So, the third one that I interviewed came back and said, “We can’t help.” They had brought along with their team a person who was a biological warfare expert. I thought, “Why do I need a biological warfare expert?”

So they came back and said, “Lady, it’s too dangerous. We can’t do it.” But the biological warfare person clearly felt sorry for me. He walked back in and said, “Look, lady, do yourself a favor.”

I said, “What’s that?”

He said, “Buy birds.”

I said, “Birds?”

He said, “Yes. Buy birds.”

I said, “Why?”

He said, “If the birds die, get out of the house.” He said, “They are going to drill holes in your wall, and they are going to introduce low-grade biological warfare.”

I didn’t know what to think about it. I was on the Stairmaster because I had an area at the bottom of this old carriage house where I built a gym. I was on the Stairmaster, and was looking to the left early in the morning, and as the sun was coming up, the sunlight was peeking through these holes that weren’t there the week before. As predicted, they had drilled holes in the brick.

I had the flu for a year and a half straight. It was the strangest thing because it was so synthetic. It wasn’t like any flu I ever had before or since. It was synthetic. As soon as I got over it, - bam! – it would start again.

Finally, I realized, “I have to sell the house and get out of here.” That is when I went living as a nomad just to make it prohibitively expensive for them. But I’m sure they were using low-grade biological warfare. They used poisoning. This is common, and it’s been going on for centuries, and it’s common.

The thing that most frustrates me about what happened during the last month with the coronavirus is that right now, anybody listening to this is the target of biological warfare. It’s called ‘aluminum and nano-particles in the spray that is spraying overhead’. It is called ‘bio-warfare burgers made with plant goop’, and God only knows what is in this, including 18 million times more estrogen. And there is fluoride in the water.

All these things are bio-warfare, and we are the targets every day.

Rappoport: Let me add one little ‘tidbit’ here about the animals. The story in the press is going to say something like, “Millions of hogs have been slaughtered someplace because of ‘Zip-a-Dee-Do’ Disease.”

Fitts: African Swine Fever this year – in China.

Rappoport: But the thing is – and people have to recognize this – if you were to visit in La Gloria, Mexico the actual 900,000 pig farm factory, you would be amazed. You could even see it clearly from a satellite these giant feces lagoons. It’s feces and urine from all these pigs, and these lagoons bake in the sun. Then they spray a ‘whipped cream’ (sometimes peak) toxic chemical on top of it. Then workers get sick.

Then they bring in people with even more toxic chemicals to spray, and workers get sick and they die. Of course, the pigs are getting sick too, so some pigs die. Now the edict comes down, “We have to slaughter all the pigs that are here.”

So the press picks up on this, and the public thinks, “Well, the virus spread to 800,000 pigs in two weeks, and they had to kill them all.”

No, only 16 pigs died. They decided it was the virus instead of looking to all of what I just said. “So let’s kill all the pigs,” and they do; they kill them all because they can be replaced.

You have to understand that factor, too.

Fitts: So you are in the middle of economic warfare, covert warfare, and all sorts of other issues. That is one of the reasons the lies get so incredibly separated from the truth of what is really going on.

I think that one of the reason I wanted to discuss this with you now is because it is very important when you look at these things – whether it is what is said to be the problem or what is being proposed as the solution – you have to be very, very careful about what you believe because what is being reported can be far off from the facts.

Let me turn to **vaccines** because it happened in December that there was a huge vaccine summit in Switzerland. All around the world, the pushback that is coming in the national programs; parents are pushing back, healthcare providers are pushing back, and what we saw in Switzerland is that the people who were supposed to be running the programs that are forcing the adoption of vaccines are starting to agree with the parents and the healthcare practitioners in saying, “Wait a minute. We don’t have safety studies, and we certainly don’t have safety studies that say that if you combine these 80 vaccines into one little body is safe.”

So, the global vaccine mandates were stalling seriously. We also saw in December 2019 China adopt a national vaccine mandate.

We have huge wars in the United States because they have tried to mandate state by state. It’s what they tried to do during the Swine Flu, and now they are back trying to get them pushed state by state, and they are getting incredible wars including recently getting stopped in New Jersey.

So, one of my concerns here is that they are looking for a breakthrough pathway on vaccine mandates. Of course, we have seen announcements from up to six pharmaceutical companies that they expect to have a vaccine shortly for the coronavirus – whether or not it exists. For all we know, this is a chemical bio-warfare attack, and the idea of a vaccine is absurd.

Rappoport: Let me say a few things about that because this is extremely important. Actually, the legislature in New Jersey recently was ready to mandate vaccines for all schoolchildren. Then all of a sudden, legislators looked out the window, and there were hundreds of protestors – maybe more – from all over the place. There were parents and so forth saying, “No, no.”

Then the whole debate was shut down. Now it has been reopened, and I just read that the new proposal in New Jersey seems to be on the ‘other side of the coin’. They are now saying that parents are sent the package insert of the vaccine, and parents have to be given 48 hours to look at it before they give permission for their children to be vaccinated. The whole thing has been turned around to some degree – precisely to what degree I’m not sure of at the moment.

What started out as a mandate that was rubber-stamped, “Let’s give all the kids all the vaccines,” is now turning around. Now in South Dakota, a new bill has been introduced into the legislature which is basically saying that no human can be forced to take medication. This is stating it as a Constitutional principle.

Fitts: It’s a violation of the Nuremberg principles. We were all raised that this was the code laid down at Nuremberg, and only a Nazi would violate it. And now here we are at, what you call, ‘Hotel Auschwitz’.

We daily have people proposing to violate this thing that was supposed to be the unthinkable – that Western society never could and never would do.

Rappoport: Exactly, and as far as the vaccines are concerned, that could be rushed into production against the ‘coronavirus’. I’ve published about that and laid out the basic facts.

There are at least two types of experimental vaccines that are under development. We cannot say at this point whether either of them will be approved for use against the so-called ‘coronavirus’. This is an opportunity for the vaccine companies and the CDC – which is in the vaccine business to the tune of billions of dollars a year – to suddenly bypass the usual lax standards to go even more lax and introduce new vaccine technologies that have never been approved by anybody for open public use before and say, “This is the new latest and greatest vaccine, and we have to take it because it’s the China epidemic in the coronavirus.”

The first one is called the DNA vaccine, and I’ve written about this before. I’ve quoted *The New York Times* very sober and very exact that this vaccine actually is gene therapy. They are injecting synthesized genes into the body, which they claim will provide protection, etc.

It permanently alters the genetic makeup of the recipient of the vaccine permanently in ways that are unknown, and they asked a Nobel laureate for his opinion in the *Times* article. I think that the date on this was March 15, 2015.

David Baltimore says, “Yes, this is a very interesting idea, but I could see how some people might be leery about the idea of having their genetic makeup altered.”

Really?

So, this is DNA vaccine technology that they could be launching.

The other is the RNA vaccine technology, which is another one that has never been approved openly for public use.

The main worry and challenge there would be, what they call, ‘auto-immune reactions’. If you ‘drill down’, it simply means that the body begins to go to war against itself. The immune system is confused, misdirected, and begins to attack various parts and processes and organs of the body, believing that these parts and processes are invaders that should be rejected.

On that ‘cute’ little note, we could be seeing the introduction of that technology here. In other words, this provides an ideal opportunity for everybody who is going to make ‘a piece of this pie’ and wage warfare against the population to sneak through some new unapproved, very dangerous technology and say, “Well, it’s just the necessary vaccine against the coronavirus.”

Fitts: Let me bring up my worst fear: The Department of Justice, as the coronavirus epidemic was progressing, arrested a professor of chemistry who is a world leader in nanotechnology, Charles Lieber, who has a company called Lieber Research. He was arrested for not reporting his earnings from the Wuhan laboratory, but if you go to Lieber Research, he has extensive leadership publications in brain machine interface using nanotechnology which is small enough to pierce the cell membrane.

It’s not clear what that arrest was about. Are they trying to get the man to shut up, or what?

Let me ‘connect some dots’ here. If you can include in a vaccine a **brain machine interface**, then you have the potential to link up the global population to the new DOD cloud.

I don't know if you have been following the JEDI contract, but the Department of Defense has now hired Microsoft to build a huge cloud. It's a \$10 billion contract, and everyone was very surprised that Microsoft beat Amazon because Amazon has been doing the cloud for all the intelligence agencies and has more credentials in this area, but Microsoft has one extraordinary credential, and that is Bill Gates' presence in the vaccine world.

So, if Google and Facebook are not good enough and you want to get more direct, here is one way to go.

Let me bring up one other phenomenon: At the end of 2019, in December, every investment group globally was saying, "Okay, 'King Kong' dollar is over. It's time to sell the dollar. The **dollar is not going to keep rising**. We have had a really strong dollar, but that is over. The US stock market is so high it's time to rebalance into the emerging markets."

The China market was starting to pull ahead, and the dollar was falling. Then suddenly, the coronavirus happened, and it was 'a miracle'. The dollar started to rise again, and suddenly, the US market started to pull ahead, and money which had been flowing out of Treasury started to flow back into Treasury. So, refinancing the deficit was not a problem, the dollar was not spiraling down, and the US stock market immediately started going strong.

If you look at the turn in the charts of the timeline of the turn up for the US compared to the coronavirus, it's a miracle! But no stock went flying as high and as strong as Microsoft.

Rappoport: Just like your Ebola story in the senate elections.

Fitts: Right, it was a miracle!

That is not proof of anything, but at this point, I am so suspicious of what the vaccine agenda is really about. I will make no mistake about it. I fought very hard against the Swine Flu vaccine, and then my dearest friend – who had cancer for about seven years – took the flu vaccine every year. Then when they couldn't get the mandates done on the Swine Flu, (and they really tried; I don't know if you remember how hard that fight was) they finally decided, "We give up on trying to get the mandates."

Then they announced, “We are going to integrate the Swine Flu vaccine with the regular flu vaccine,” and I begged Georgie (my friend), “Please don’t take the flu vaccine this year. Please don’t take it.”

But she took it, and – wham! – and her immune system was wiped out. The cancer took over, and she was dead within three months.

I spent the whole time with her, and I was sure that it was that Swine Flu vaccine.

At this point, I don’t know what was in the vaccine, whether it’s DNA or RNA or nanotechnology, but to me, the solution here is very, very dangerous. If you look at what they are doing with nanotechnology and how it can hookup to their clouds and satellites, they are looking to digitize all reality and hook it up to their control grid.

Rappoport: I understand; I definitely understand.

I would say that there are **two levels** here. One is ordinary, straight-ahead vaccines that are composed of exactly what is listed on the package inserts and so on. In my not-so-humble opinion, that is dangerous enough. You don’t have to do anything extraordinary in order to affect people in a very, very bad way over a long, long period of time because most of these vaccines keep on delivering, and they keep on injecting them and new ones show up, and the schedule of recommended mandated ‘whatever’ keeps bulging and increasing.

Then there is the level two, which is what you are talking about with little, tiny nano machines or add-ons that would escalate this whole ‘deal’ into something much larger. It’s all about concealment because who is going to find out? Who is going to look?

Let’s say that I am a scientist working at the National Institutes of Health USA, the biggest medical research facility in the world. It receives \$30 billion a year in Federal budget money. Nobody is worried about getting investors; they have it. They have the money and the researchers, and all these researchers are vying to get published, to get noticed, to get status, to get promoted, to keep their jobs, and not get fired, etc.

Let’s say that I am sitting there one day, and I am working on some kind of a vaccine journal paper. I’m in a lab, and I see something that I can’t believe that is in the vaccine. It’s a nano-machine or a chemical that is not supposed to be there, or genes of a strange origin – whatever it is.

Now I look around, and who am I going to talk to? Who am I going to tell? I have a buddy who I trust. We go out for coffee and I mention it, and he looks at me and says, “Okay, man. If you want to commit professional suicide, go ahead.”

I say, “Before we get to that, what about what I actually found?”

He says, “I don’t care what you found. In fact, I wish we weren’t having this conversation. I’m going to forget it as soon as I walk out of here, but I am just trying to advise you as a friend to think about the consequences,” which, of course, I do because I have a wife and family and student loans and a mortgage and a reputation – the whole deal. What am I going to do?; I can’t get published.

If I were to suddenly submit a paper based on what I found in this vaccine, who am I going to submit this to? And what about my boss at work? He is going to know what I am looking at. I’m done; I’m ‘toast’.

That is the situation that all ‘regular’ researchers are in. They are all in the same ‘boat’.

Fitts: It’s dangerous; many people have been killed. We’ve gone through periods where whether it’s natural healthcare doctors or when the microbiologists were killed. We certainly go through periods of bankers being killed.

One way to delete a database that you don’t want lying around is to kill the person who has it in their head. This is very dangerous.

Rappoport: Let’s go back to 1987-1988 when I was writing *AIDS, Inc.* At the time, I was gathering evidence that they had never proven that HIV causes AIDS.

If you look back at that time and compare it to now, it is astounding. Back then I was able to talk to researchers at universities. I was able to have regular conversations with the public communications people at the CDC. He knew what I was angling on, and yet he would forward my questions to researchers there at the CDC and get back with answers which I could quote.

I had a flimsy contract with a very small publisher who was not going to get any significant distribution on the book, and yet I could talk to these people. Forget it now; you can’t even get within 100 miles of them now.

I talked to a very well-known virologist in California on the phone, and I said to him, “This is what I am researching. Is HIV really the cause of AIDS?”

There was a pause, and then he said, “Well, let me put it to you this way: There are those of us,” meaning in the profession, “who recognize that there is a definite political agenda here. We have decided that we are going to step back and take a pass on this one.” That was his comment.

In other words, just like the fictional person at the NIH that I described, there he was at a major university. There was no possible way that he was going to try to ‘buck the tide’, but he knew that if you really looked at this whole thing scientifically about HIV causing AIDS, that from his perspective – which was completely mainstream –there was an incredible amount of doubt as to whether or not you would go along with that proposition. He was saying, “Consequences be damned. We are just going to shut our mouths and close our eyes and move along and let these people do what they are going to do.”

Fitts: Let’s turn to the **coronavirus**. The dollar is falling, and the US stock market is in trouble. Right now on the planet, the number one war occurring– which is physical and covert – are currency wars. All of a sudden, right in the middle of this, we have the US and China reach a trade agreement, but you can tell that the message from the trade team on the US side is, “We are now in a win-lose war with China. Forget the war on terror. We are going to go into a Cold War with Russia and China because Russia has teamed up with China on the de-dollarization, and the US is clearly struggling.”

Immediately, the coronavirus happens, and we see frightening pictures from China of everybody walking around in ‘Dustin Hoffman bio-warfare suits’, and 400 million people are in quarantine, and the global supply chain completely ‘hiccups’.

So the question is: What do we know factually about what is really going on? Something is going on, but what?

Rappoport: There are some things that we know, and some things that we can try to infer. Let’s look at this: Let’s assume that China is ‘taking it on the chin’. If you look at it from that point of view, and I’m not saying that is the whole story or anything, but let’s just say that this is a blow against China on some level. Well, they have all these ghost cities that they have built, which I have written about and other people have covered with photographs.

Fitts: Right, it's real.

Rappoport: There are giant cities in China that have no people in them, and the cities are already there. A new Reuters piece, which I just finished reading, is talking about how they are going to be building more and more smart cities. They are underway. These smart cities are all going to be linked up with the Internet of Things, 5G, and everybody is going to be surveilled all the time. The article very much spells it out.

There are cross-references of data, public health, safety, where are you located, medical records, whatever. The whole thing is going to be completely under control of the government – presumably to guard against future outbreaks and epidemics so that they can catch it all early.

Talk about **'making lemonade out of lemons'**! I can foresee the relocation of vast numbers of people right up the line in China into these empty cities and the new smart cities because the Chinese government is saying, "This we can certainly do, and this will be good for us because we will have electronic control over gigantic numbers of people. Let's just keep building these smart cities because we can do it. If we need money, we just pretend we have it. That's what we've been doing all along anyway – making up a currency. Let's just keep on doing that."

So, that is one massive factor here that is underway. Another 'lemonade glass' is the fact that last summer there were significant protests in major Chinese cities against very dangerous **air pollution**, which is chronic because of factories, electronic waste, and a combination of early industrial age manufacturing with modern manufacturing.

I quoted a review that said, "Never before in human history has the combination that is present in the air of Chinese cities been seen. The combination of toxic elements is unprecedented, and their health effects are unknown."

You had street protests, especially in Wuhan, and these were not only peasants and low-level workers; these were middle-class people. Wuhan has 11 million people. They are responsible for about \$220 billion worth of business every year. There are major Fortune 500 companies there and everything else.

The protests were worrying the government because these protests were not the usual thing in China. "We have to clamp down on this. We don't want another Tiananmen Square. What can we do here?"

Now they have it; they've locked down everybody; there are no more protests; there is no more anything. Of course, you have many pneumonia cases throughout China. My estimate on reading the studies is that in China, roughly 300,000 people a year die from pneumonia. Coincidentally, pneumonia is the epidemic coronavirus disease. What do you know about that?

“We don't even need to look for the ‘coronavirus’ anymore,” China has announced. “We are not doing the tests anymore. We are just doing CT scans and CAT scans. If we see pneumonia on the scan of a patient, we just say that it is the coronavirus case.”

So, there is an out for the pollution. “Hey, it's a virus. It's always a virus. It has nothing to do with chemical warfare generated by companies or landfills burning horrible, polluted air, etc.” So, those are just a couple of the ‘lemonades’ that are involved.

Fitts: It's very hard to tell. Let's imagine that it is **intentional bio-warfare**. You could come up with multiple theories of who did it and why. Not only that, but in addition, a large number of people can take advantage of it for a whole range of purposes.

So, if you look at the number of potential hypotheses, one is how it happened, and second, how various parties are taking advantage of it; it gets very complicated. That is why it is so frustrating to deal with it; so many different possibilities are there.

What I still have trouble understanding is if you look at the number of people who are dying right now, it's less than global traffic accidents or less than what the normal annual flu does, and yet most people are watching this as though it's a big global spectacle and ignoring the fact that they are a target of biological warfare now and have been for decades.

Rappoport: Yes, that is always the case with these so-called epidemics. If you read the World Health Organization's own figures about annual flu cases around the world – just ordinary flu – they say that between 3 and 5 million cases a year and somewhere around 400,000-500,000 deaths or nearly that every year ordinarily.

“But we're not calling that an epidemic; it's not a pandemic; it's not a problem. But 100 people are dying in China; no, it's 200; no, it's 1,000; no, it's 1,500; no, it's 2,000.”

This we are calling a global pandemic.

So then you say, “Well, come on. You have an agenda here. Obviously, you are deciding that this is the way we are going to go, but not that way. So, what is the deal here? What are you trying to do?”

Of course, there are **multiple motives** for doing this sort of thing, but there are many players here who are getting their ‘piece of the pie’ from this kind of thing. There is no question about it, and this has been the case for every one of these – SARS, West Nile, Zika, Ebola, ‘this, that, and the other thing’. Every time there are players getting a piece of the pie and covering up what is actually causing people to get sick and die.

Then the **financial intersections** here – the financial warfare and economic warfare under the surface, and other stories that people are not paying attention to because they are fixated on the latest epidemic – I’ve been writing and continue to write about the psychological angle here, which is the virus. This is programming of an enormous success.

Fitts: Right, it’s the ‘chicken heart that ate up New York City’; it’s fabulously entertaining.

Rappoport: And **fearful and exciting** and all these kinds of things at the same time. People have this kind of programming that reacts instantly to the sound of epidemic virus. They say, “Turn on the TV. Let’s just watch this; let’s talk about it; let’s read about it; let’s experience the gamut of emotions about it.”

That is all that you have to do. Just say ‘epidemic’ and ‘virus’ and you have a few billion people ready to roll to be entertained, scared, and excited. It’s amazing, and it works.

It’s one of those things where the PR propaganda and PsyOp prose know a good thing when they’ve found it. They know a good thing when they’ve created it. They don’t just say, “Okay, that was a lot of fun. Let’s move on to something else.”

No, they put that away, and say, “Pretty soon we are going to do this story again because this has legs. People were interested right away, and look at how it’s multiplying. Look at all the things that are spawning from this and the stories; look at this story. This guy says that the virus actually came from Andromeda, and he has 16,000 followers! Amazing! We are going to run this ‘sucker’ again and again and again because this is like a giant magnet the size of Alaska that is pulling in all the dust particles of consciousness from all over the planet.”

We don't know exactly why it is. It's somewhat like the modern version of the devil from medieval times – the one source of all evil; now it's a virus. Maybe that is the kind of deep psychology that is involved here.

“Whatever it is, we are not going to leave this alone. We are going to keep coming back to it, and people are going to respond. They are never going to remember what happened the last time. That is another fantastic feature of this. Without even trying to, we can induce amnesia about the last dud.”

Fitts: It's amazing **how often the playbook comes back.**

I want to bring up two things. Let me start with a review I did about two months ago on a book called *The Autism Vaccine*. It's quite well-written, but it goes through the last 100 years of vaccines in the United States. It shows you how, for the first time in 100 years, we have reached a point where there is a tipping point of parents plus healthcare professionals who finally realize that the **whole thing is a complete lie**. They get it.

The hard thing about taking a sophisticated, intelligent parent whose child has been injured by vaccines is that you have to get them to the point where they realize, “Oh, the medical community is lying, the AMA is lying, the pharmaceutical companies are lying, and the government is lying.”

It's a big progression to get you to go through the process of learning and convincing yourself that the lie is that significant and that bad.

But the book is saying now that you have a critical mass of people who have made that progression, you can't stop them because they know that the whole pushback is complete 'BS'.

Here is the interesting thing: We are in a position now where you have a critical mass of people who understand that this whole thing is 'BS'. Bill Gates is 'BS'; the government is 'BS'; the CDC is 'BS'; and so, the entire thing has **no integrity**. But the problem is that then you can't trust any of these people, which means that the multiplicity of scenarios of what anything could be – who knows?

In other words, you have the Chinese government, the US government, the Japanese government, the Israeli government, and one is more untrustworthy than the other, and nobody believes anybody.

That is when things can get very interesting. Now you are talking about a **zero-credibility** world in terms of major institutions. That's rather interesting.

Rappoport: It is quite fantastic that this is all happening.

Fitts: Nobody believes 'Hotel Auschwitz'.

Rappoport: Let me insert my own 'package insert' here, so to speak, about autism, and the clever game. A child gets a vaccine, and tragically and horribly, brain damage occurs – bang! There it is, no question about it.

The parents don't know what to do. They take the child to the doctor, and the doctor says, "Oh, yes, this is a case of autism."

Now in that one step, a new **PsyOp has been invented**. It's not autism. What is that? It's vaccine damage, and that is what happened.

But now the doctor says, "This is a condition called autism. We are researching this condition to find the cause. We believe it's genetic. That is the most likely probability, and organizations are working very hard to try to come up with the answer, etc."

This is how the PsyOp lays out.

Fitts: Now it's like cancer.

Rappoport: You can call it whatever you want, and you can then say it's a 'condition' and, "We own the condition." The medical cartel owns that condition. "And we're going to tell you what it is and what causes it and what doesn't cause it."

No, no, this is **vaccine damage**. That is what it is called because that is what it is. That simplicity is honing in on more and more parents and people who realize that is what we are talking about.

Fitts: Let me bring up one other thing: This gets back to the many **different kinds of bio-warfare**. There is a new very interesting documentary that is going to be on *Let's Go to the Movies* for the week that we are doing this interview called *The Pharmacist*.

It's about a pharmacist in New Orleans. It's about six episodes, and it was done as a TV series on Netflix. The pharmacist decides that he is going to stop the oxycodone epidemic because he is watching these youths come in with pill mill prescriptions who have no business taking oxycodone, let alone in the dosages that they have been prescribed.

So, he gets it into his head to do something about it and traces it all the way back up through to Purdue Pharmaceuticals. He ends up fighting with the whole ecosystem.

It's very instructive to help you understand the opioid epidemic, but until I watched it, I had not understood the correlation that it follows. Back to the **money**, the budget deal that killed the establishment's hope for working within the system in 1995 is the one where the largest pension fund president said to me, "You don't understand. It's too late for the country. They have given up on the country. They are moving all the money out in the financial coup d'état."

That was when the money started to go missing. That budget deal and fight was in November of 1995. Oxycodone was approved by the FDA in December of 1995 – a month later. If you look at how it was rolled out with significant support from enforcement and the complete governmental line, that was not an epidemic; that was a plan.

If you look at where the opioid epidemic hit, it hit in the same places where they were doing predatory lending and illegal narcotics trafficking because those were the areas that they wanted to move people off the land. It was coordinated with the **predatory lending**. It was coordinated with the housing bubble; it was coordinated with the sweeping of people into private prisons – the whole thing.

You are talking about 'moving the Indians off the land'.

Rappoport: Let me add a footnote to that which corroborates exactly with what you are saying. Here is another case similar to Sharyl Attkisson. *The Washington Post* about a year ago ran a significant piece on opioids where they showed some of who the players were and what they were doing in the government to facilitate all of this. I found the name of one of the persons from the DEA – a former DEA investigator. I contacted him, and we talked on the phone. He corroborated specific details in *The Washington Post* article.

I am going to pinpoint the year around 2014 or 2015. A bill was introduced into Congress, and it was pushed through by virtually a unanimous vote with no debate whatsoever that had to do with what the DEA could or couldn't do in raiding and **prosecuting pharmaceutical companies** for crimes.

Basically, it raised the bar much higher on DEA so that the DEA could no longer just march into a pharmaceutical company and say, "Look, we know that you are trafficking opioids. We know that certain huge shipments that you are sending out are not about giving patients any kind of pain relief, but this is going to traffickers and so on. We know it and you know it. We are freezing those shipments and bringing charges against your executives. It's the end of your story right now."

Immediately, that became impossible because of this bill that was signed into law by Obama. Nobody asked any questions about it. Even *The Washington Post* said, "We reached out to Obama for comment, and we got nothing back whatsoever."

So, the entire Congress came in on this to perpetuate and facilitate the opioid insanity.

Fitts: If you cannot in 1995, craft a financially responsible plan for the baby boomers, then you have only one other option, and that is to bring down life expectancy. If you can't balance the budget financially, you have to balance it by **lowering the actuarial assumptions**. You either increase the investment return or you lower the life expectancy.

If you look at all the things rolled out at the same time, – the housing bubble with foreclosures, the meth epidemic, the continuing cocaine, the opioids, and the spraying, which started at the same time – all of this was simply lowering the life expectancy by a very significant amount. And it's a plan; it's chemical warfare. I call it bio-warfare, and that is what it is.

Rappoport: There is a distinction. If you are talking about pills and spraying and so on, you are really talking about **chemical warfare**. As I mentioned earlier, chemical warfare is much more controllable. "We know what this does. We know how to do it, etc." You and I are 'on the same page' here.

Fitts: One of the big questions that I have is: How does all of this – whether it's bio-warfare or chemical warfare – dovetail with the rollout of 5G and the cell towers?

What I keep hearing from various sources (and I can't corroborate it or prove it) is that the nano-particles that we are ingesting from the food and the spraying dovetail with the cell tower technology so that we can be made to **resonate with a machine**.

In other words, this is a plan.

Rappoport: I don't know what to think about it. It's a very sophisticated idea, to say the least. But I have been receiving – among many emails since I started covering the China coronavirus deal – people saying, “Look to the 5G; look to the 5G. Here are the articles. Wuhan is the center of 5G deployment and development.”

I have mentioned several articles as yet another vector, which the effects would be covered up by saying, “It's the coronavirus. That is all that you have to think about.”

I have written about the **health dangers of 5G**. Now in Switzerland, contradictory stories have surfaced, but it seems very clear. The first story said that the Swiss government declared a national moratorium on 5G.

Fitts: It's on the new towers.

Rappoport: It's on the building of all these stations. Then the next story said, “The Swiss government said that that is not true, but individual cantons or provinces have certainly pushed back against 5G deployment, and they have a certain amount of autonomy in deciding whether to deploy it or not.”

In Switzerland, as an example, you have a major pushback happening on many levels against 5G purely based on the health dangers.

Fitts: Another thing that I want to bring up is that we have had different indications – whether it's what is happening or how it is being used – that this is really encouraging digital adaptations. Alibaba' (Chinese Tech Company) sales are skyrocketing; all the online sales in China are skyrocketing. But also, it is making cash control (getting rid of cash) much easier in China. There is certainly a push around the world to get rid of cash.

So, in terms of building the 'train tracks' of the digital currency and control system, there is a real push within this to make this happen. I just want to recognize that.

Let's turn to what the **action** is: If you are in the West or even in Asia and you are watching this, what do you do?

Rappoport: What do you do? Things are already being done, as we started to discuss on the vaccine issue. You spread the word; this is getting bigger and bigger and bigger. Even the World Health Organization in that leaked video is expressing great concern that their whole propaganda operation is crumbling. Doctors themselves are facing uncomfortable questions that they don't know how to answer about vaccine safety from patients who are pushing back and saying, "You have to show us that this is safe. We are not just going to accept it."

That kind of activism, if you want to call it that, is already tremendously underway. If people agree with that, then they should find ways to jump in and aid it and **spread out information** – good information – about vaccines. It's a major, major thing for sure.

As far as one's personal life and safety and protection go, as we talked about before in these reports, **building up one's immune system** is the most important thing. No matter what the threat is on any level, do not be convinced that you have no chance against XYZ or whatever. Your immune system is built to be able to defeat a huge number and variety of possible intrusions. The strength of that immune system is not a medical issue; it's a non-medical issue. It's an issue of: How do you live to become as strong as possible?

Fitts: That is one of the reasons I really keep 'beating the drum' to **avoid the fear porn**. If something puts you in fear, it's not helpful; it is all part of the op.

The other thing is that I continue to see many, many people supporting and financing big pharma.

The reality is that **shunning works**, whether it's refusing to associate with the people who are doing this.

There is a wonderful series of interviews in *The Pharmacist* of a drug rep who worked for Purdue Pharma. He is very clear about how everybody he said 'had skin in the game' and he really maps out, "This is not the bad guys. This is not the evil government. This is all of us doing this," and he shows you how the support is just like the housing bubble.

You have millions of people making money off this, and if the millions of people making money off this just said, “Hey, we’re not doing it,” it would change.

You had a wonderful thing recently about how doctors are facing a spiritual question, and they had better make the right choice.

Rappoport: Exactly, this was a key – what you are saying; you shun the criminals. You look at your own economics and finances and so forth and say, “In what ways might I be supporting big pharma, and how can I stop that from happening?”

The more people who do that on more and more levels – not just financial, economic, social, but in all manners of ways –has an effect; this makes a difference.

Fitts: I have to tell you that I don’t know why this happens to me. The fifth time I told somebody that they have to listen to or read some Jon Rappoport news, I finally say, “I’m going to have to use that as a ‘blast from the past’.”

In the last week, I have explained Ellis Medavoy at least five times to different subscribers as well as *The Voyage of Merlin*. Ellis Medavoy is one of the best. As you know, I love your interviews. They are all on your ‘Matrix Revealed’ collection.

Ellis Medavoy, I believe, did PR for some executive committee on the Council of Foreign Relations, and he explains how all these incredibly outrageous lies get designed, packaged, and sold. You do a great job of describing that.

The other thing that you do is, in *The Voyage of Merlin*, take us back to a world where we didn’t live like that. We truly lived in a world where things flourished; it’s Camelot. It’s before we all started to think of ourselves as ‘units of production’ and ‘hypermateralists’. It’s like all of your exercises with the imagination.

It’s very, very important when you are dealing in a world where this kind of bizarre propaganda and evil/spiritual/legal/economic/physical warfare is going on. This is really insidious, demonic ‘stuff’. It’s important to keep envisioning a world that’s not like that; it doesn’t have to be like that.

The other thing that I want to tell you is that over the last week, I have explained five times about Ellis Medavoy, and have told somebody to get the collection.

Then I explained *The Voyage of Merlin* and told them to get the other collection, because they are not in the same collection. But then I have quoted over five times the line from your incredible speech at the Secret Space Program 2014, **“Hopelessness is an op, and it’s planet-wide,”** because the message that is coming through is they do the coronavirus or a variety of other ones ongoing, and they are beating the drums in the United States, “It’s all hopeless. You have to go along.”

Rappoport: “There is no way out. You must comply.”

Fitts: “Obey!”

You’ve written more than anyone I know and you have spoken more about how to evade the hopelessness op. Explain to people how to find your work, how to access your work, and walk us through.

Rappoport: The website is www.NoMoreFakeNews.com. It has been there since 2001. You go to the homepage, and can see the headlines on the articles. You click, get the stories, and can sign up for the free email list that delivers stories to your inbox. You will see the large graphics on my three major collections; you can click on them. You can read about them, order them, do the exercises, and you can begin – as you just said – to envision a world where what we are discussing here is not happening. **Something else much more uplifting is happening.** In fact, many uplifting things are happening all over the place. That is absolutely necessary.

Even in doing reporting, I have found over the years to be able to say, “Okay, this is what is going on. I didn’t realize this. This is tremendous.” If I thought to myself, “Well, there is no way out of this,” I would have stopped a long time ago.

My second thought was always, “This is horrendous, but I can envision a world where this is not like that – and not just vaguely-but very clearly and vividly I can see a world where this horrendous thing is not happening at all.” So, that becomes motivation to investigate further for more horrendous things because I’ve already got the other side, which is the world where this is not happening. If I couldn’t bring that before my eyes, in my mind, and in my consciousness, I would have stopped a long time ago.

With anybody on any front who is seeing, “Look at what is going on here in the world of this and that,” okay, but your capacity to see a world in which all of that is gone or never was, and the abundance of great things is everywhere, that moves you along.

That keeps inspiring you and keeps your energy going, and you create something good and great for yourself and for everybody else.

Fitts: One of the reasons I continue to be an optimist is if you ever studied the global economics in all of this, what you realize is that the damage done from all of this crazy oppression effort to control, play games, and covert warfare, is how much wealth it destroys. These people are doing all of this to centralize wealth, but if you look at how much wealth they are destroying, this planet could be 100 times wealthier than it is if we could **find a way to be civilized**. That is a reason to be optimistic.

My favorite one is in the Ellis Medavoy interviews. I ‘laughed until I cried’ for 24 hours after I read it. It was amazing.

There was one point where you became really frustrated with him and you said, “If we are so easy to manipulate and we are so stupid and hopeless, why are you even bothering to talk to me?”

It’s rare because you seldomly get frustrated like that in any of the interviews. He says, “You know, every time we thought we had it completely under control, it would go out of control. There was this thing that we could never quite get control of.”

He was reporting what I would describe as the **divine intelligence**. Nobody is as smart as all of us. Ultimately, it is out of control, and they couldn’t ever quite control it.

When you read it, you realize, “Wow! Even they know. It’s not hopeless at all; even they know”.

Jon Rappoport, it has been absolutely wonderful having this opportunity to talk with you and to have you on *The Solari Report*. I would encourage everybody to watch your day-to-day coverage of the coronavirus. It has been some of the best, and I have been posting it on *Solari*. I would encourage everybody to sign up and get that email because this is a very **fluid situation** and it has serious economic warfare aspects. I’m sure it is going to be occurring for quite some while.

As you said, there have been many of these instances. So, I doubt that this is going to be the last one.

Do you have any other thoughts before we close?

Rappoport: No, I think that's it. I really am thankful that we were able to lay this whole thing out at length here. It's been great to not feel like, "Okay, we are going to do this in ten minutes. What do you have to say?"

We really stretched it out, and I am grateful for that. I am still processing some of the things that you pointed out to me which I hadn't considered before. I am going to continue to think about them.

Fitts: There is a war going on, and to create the new global currency you are going to have an electrical grid; it is the **digitization of everything**. But that grid is going to operate, not only the currency, but the energy and the mind control.

The Internet of Things is the war for all digital space. So this is a big one. I think it is going to put us in a very fluid situation because we are in the global invention room, and the invention is working out both as war and as an experiment on all of us. **We are the lab rats in a Hotel Auschwitz** here.

Rappoport: Yes, so no more lab rats.

Fitts: I reject it, but I think if we can get a critical mass of people rejecting it, we can really take this thing in a whole new positive direction. That is where I think that your work can make such a big difference.

With that, Jon Rappoport, thank you for joining us on *The Solari Report*.

Rappoport: Thank you so much, Catherine.

MODIFICATION

Transcripts are not always verbatim. Modifications are sometimes made to improve clarity, usefulness and readability, while staying true to the original intent.

DISCLAIMER

Nothing on The Solari Report should be taken as individual investment advice. Anyone seeking investment advice for his or her personal financial situation is advised to seek out a qualified advisor or advisors and provide as much information as possible to the advisor in order that such advisor can take into account all relevant circumstances, objectives, and risks before rendering an opinion as to the appropriate investment strategy.