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C. Austin Fitts: Ladies and gentlemen, welcome to The Solari Report. This is Part II of the 3rd Quarter 2019 Wrap. I’m here with Dr. Joseph Farrell, and we are ready to go.

We have been having a great conversation. Last week we covered Economy & Financial Markets and Geopolitics. It all weaves together because now we are going to be doing the second Top Ten, Stories 11-20, and then Take Action, Unanswered Questions, and Inspiration.

You may follow along on the web presentation. We have the list of the top trends and the top stories and all the headlines therein.

Dr. Farrell, welcome to The Solari Report.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Thank you for having me.

Fitts: We start with Culture. This is an area that you have really gotten The Solari Report focused on, and I credit it to you. Our Hero of the Year is Leonardo da Vinci.

Story #11: The Year of da Vinci. It’s his 500th anniversary. We talked about it last quarter, so we don’t need to dwell on it, but we continue to revel in da Vinci. The thing that I notice from the 3rd Quarter is that The Economist wrote a hit piece on da Vinci.

Farrell: A hit piece?

Fitts: Yes! I couldn’t believe it when I read it!

Farrell: They’ve lost their minds at that magazin
Fitts: As an investment advisor, there are three things that I read religiously: I read the *Financial Times*, I read *The Wall Street Journal*, and I read *The Economist*. If you are an investment advisor, that is just part of the beat.

*The Economist* does these in-depth themes that really inspired The Solari Report, in-depth themes that are really good. They did one recently called ‘The Age of the Giants’ on corporate monopoly, and it was very good. There are great analytics and research.

Then they came out with this and you think, “Have they lost their minds?”

It is interesting because it’s one of the Rothschild wives that runs *The Economist*. So, here is what they said about da Vinci. First of all, he wasn’t a great painter because he only painted 15 paintings – or I should say that only 15 survived.

Farrell: And they are all masterpieces.

Fitts: Right, but he is not a great painter. The second thing is: Yes, he designed a lot of inventions, but he didn’t make them workable; he didn’t have financing.

Farrell: Number one, yes; number two, he was too busy inventing the basic concepts.

Fitts: They don’t mention the fact that he figured out 500 years before modern medical science the circulation system and how it works. But it’s his fault because he didn’t publish it wildly and get it disseminated.

Farrell: Right, at a time when he would have been burned at the stake for doing something like that.

Fitts: It’s the double bind. It’s almost the masonic double bind where you stop a person at every turn, and then you say, “He hasn’t succeeded.”

I’m going to be with Robert Dupper and Nina Heyns—she writes our Food for the Soul column—in Paris in October to visit the da Vinci. We are also going to an incredible exhibition event on van Gogh. So, we are celebrating and it has been very gratifying. Many subscribers inspired by you and the organ and da Vinci are sending messages saying, “I took my niece,” or, “I took my daughter, and we went to see such and such an exhibit,” or, “We went to an organ concert,” ——
or, “We went here.” They are realizing, “Why don’t I turn off the shriek-o-meter and plug in and support all of this great culture?”

They are discovering that there is an abundance of great culture around, and it will just grow if you support it.

The Year of da Vinci, and on that one, *The Economist* gets a G.

**Farrell:** I would say so.

**Fitts:** Story #12: Divide & Conquer. This is one of our favorite stories. We have talked about it a great deal.

I want to start out with Felicity Huffman if that is okay with you. As you know, I have felt deep frustration for the last two years because of what I call, ‘fear porn’ and ‘hope porn’. The worst for the last two years has been Q-Anon.

**Farrell:** No argument from me.

**Fitts:** So, all of these smart, really wonderful people, instead of going out and doing all the hard work of bringing about real change, are sitting around on their couch saying, “Trust the plan.”

**Farrell:** And we don’t even know what ‘the plan’ is.

**Fitts:** But it makes us feel good. We don’t have to take action because we can just feel good and ‘trust the plan’. It’s brilliant; you have to admit that it is brilliant.

**Farrell:** There are people spending enormous amounts of time producing videos that are decoding all of this.

**Fitts:** That’s why when people tell me, “Finance is too complicated,” I say, “Wait a minute. I’ve tried to play the lottery, and I’m telling you that if you can understand that, you are going to understand the financial system.”

**Farrell:** Right.
Fitts: About a week ago, something happened, and I said to myself, “You are going to stop what you are doing, and you are going to sit down, and you are going to contemplate emotionally and intellectually what this means.” On the front of The Wall Street Journal was a picture of a woman who was going to go to prison for 14 days, and it was actress Felicity Huffman who was being prosecuted by the Department of Justice for her role in the parents’ educational scandal.

She is the first one to be sentenced. I was looking at the picture, and was thinking, “There are $50 trillion that have gone missing through criminal means on the financial coup d’état. The net result of that $50 trillion being stolen is that we have managed to send Martha Stewart and Felicity Huffman to prison.”

I thought, “ Couldn’t you have at least had the good taste to put this on page 14? Did you have to wave a flag in my face saying, ‘This is the conclusion of our swamp draining?’”

Farrell: For the Q-Anon disciples out there, the arrests that are ‘just around the corner’ that we have been hearing for years now, and we get Felicity Huffman?

Fitts: Here is the sad thing: You are going to have plenty of promotion of this notion that Weinstein, Epstein, and Netanyahu, and we didn’t mention before on FASAB 56 that we see the F-35 contract renegotiated and we see other contracts cancelled. Clearly, they don’t need JP Morgan and Lockheed Martin and all these players to launder the money.

They think that the consolidation and getting rid of all these players is some kind of draining of the swamp, but it’s not; you are just going onto the next player.

In other words, the people stole the money of one, they are cleaning out the people they don’t need for the next phase, and they are going to the next phase. They are doubling down on their victory. It’s the opposite of cleaning the swamp.

Farrell: In a certain sense, yes, but I still think that if they are going to try to reestablish trust, they have to arrest some big names; they have to. If they don’t, the only thing that results from it is even more cynicism and even more distrust.

The Wall Street Journal may think that Felicity Huffman is going to satisfy everybody but I don’t.
Fitts: I don’t think that The Wall Street Journal thought about it. I think they were just covering the story and put it on the front page because there wasn’t a bigger story.

Farrell: That may be, but in terms of the whole hope porn and Q-Anon thing, Felicity Huffman is not even Benjamin Netanyahu. He is not going to satisfy that crowd because they built up such an expectation.

For that matter, some of the ‘lamestream media’, Fox News for example, has been pushing this idea that the arrests are coming any day now, and we have been listening to this for two years.

At some point they have to deliver, or the only result is they increase the distrust that much more.

It may be one group of people transitioning to the next level, but from the standpoint of their ability to maintain trust, they have to at least make a good show out of trying to abide by the rule of law, and that means they are going to have to perp walk some big names – and we know who they are.

Fitts: We have seen tremendous divide and conquer between different parts of the political spectrum. You have more wackiness on the left, and you have more wackiness on the right, but there was a magical moment. Who would have ever thought that Jeffrey Epstein would bring the country together and unify us, where after the announcement of Epstein’s death, everyone on all sides of the political spectrum said, “That’s impossible”?

There was this really endearing black man who did a video about the Clinton death list and why he didn’t believe the Epstein story.

Farrell: Lucas is his name.

Fitts: Normally I don’t tweet things like that out, but it’s so sweet. It’s really humorous.

Farrell: He takes a phone call, I know which one you are talking about.

Fitts: I tweeted it out, and right after I tweeted it, Trump tweeted it out. So, I tweeted back, “POTUS, are you saying that you and Attorney General Barr can’t protect one person from the Clintons?”
My favorite of the quarter, though, was me responding to Netanyahu’s tweet. He said, “Israel is the good guy,” and I tweeted back, “Yeah, and I’m the tooth fairy.”

I think that humor and amusement is one way to bring transparency.

**Farrell:** Absolutely.

**Fitts:** There is no pathway out of this to a human culture without transparency. I believe trying to stay in the official reality is more bizarre than reality at this point.

Epstein brought us together. I was talking with my attorney earlier, and She used the phrase with a reference to him ‘being suicided’. Her son said, “Oh, mom, that is so old! We say ‘Epsteined’. He was Epsteined.”

Back to ‘Divide & Conquer’, I think the only way that the establishment can protect itself from the bad news that it has to deliver financially is to constantly attack everyone else for what it is doing. So, you are attacking men for being a white male: “You’re a Nazi,” or, “You’re attacking children for this,” or, “You are attacking women for that.”

Everybody has their different attacks, and it all feels like divide & conquer.

**Farrell:** It’s a war against everyone, and I think that a part of it is it’s not just as a ploy because they have to deliver some bad news. I think the other part of it is that there is a certain segment at the very top of the globaloney crowd that are wholly dedicated to nihilism. They hate their own humanity, and therefore, they hate everybody else’s humanity. That is what they are given over to. So, I think there is that aspect of it.

There is this ‘honest to goodness’ evil at the center of this, and that is the problem. Most people don’t want to think in those terms, but that is what we are dealing with.

**Fitts:** You are seeing a real pushback. I don’t know if you know the story of the million moms launching a boycott against Whole Foods because of the drag queen story hour event. And you were telling me about the pushback on Gillette.
Farrell:  It was about the razor campaign where they were going after toxic masculinity, and Proctor & Gamble lost billions because men quit buying Gillette razors. As far as I’m concerned, all of my Proctor & Gamble products that I have right now, when they are done, I will never buy another one ever.

Fitts:  That is what happened to Target in the south over the bathroom policy.

Farrell:  And what I mentioned about Walmart is that I think it is going to happen to Walmart because of their policy of not selling any ammunition anymore. I think they are going to lose ‘big time’.

Fitts:  People think of businesses as not involved in politics, but basically what you are talking about is a changing in the model where corporations are a political arm.

I had a subscriber who is very smart and very savvy, and he said, “I want you to put all of the old Wrap Ups on Amazon because it’s a billboard and it’s free advertising.”

I thought, “He’s right. That makes sense.” So, we spent a huge amount of time and money in a year trying to get the Wrap Ups to the point where we could market them on Amazon, and they pulled every trick in the book. It was trench warfare for a year. I can’t tell you how much time it took.

After we were ready to get approved, they cancelled the account and said that we had to start over. This went on and on and on. Finally, we were close again, and the person on our team who had really wanted to do it and had taken the lead on it was a graphic designer with other clients. He said to me, “I have an author who is a best-selling author, and he has had this book up on Amazon for a couple of years. It has always gotten glowing reviews. All of a sudden, we had trolls show up who started to damn the book, and it’s clear that they haven’t read it. It’s a hit, and Amazon won’t do anything about it.”

He said, “You can’t go on Amazon because, if you look at what all of these trolls are saying, we can never take that down. We don’t control it. You can’t do this.”

So, we expended a tremendous amount of time and money to get it done, and basically decided not to in the end.
**Farrell:** I won’t deal with Amazon to the extent that I can. I occasionally have to deal with them so I can’t completely ignore them, but I will not put any of my self-published books on Amazon. I will go with Lulu instead. The troll factor is there, and they won’t do a thing about it.

**Fitts:** One of the ways that you do the divide & conquer, which we will get to in the next story, is that the extraordinary shadow work and headwinds of the cyber manipulation is extraordinary.

It happened recently to me. I had a subscriber say, “Your website is down.” I said, “No, it’s not. We checked the logs, and there were no denial of service texts and no outages”.

I asked, “Is AT&T your ISP?”

“Yes.”

“Why are you still doing business with AT&T?”

It’s so subtle, and if you look at the amount of software glitches and problems that you have if you are not on their team, we are talking about major amounts of expense and major amounts of time.

The amazing thing about the Solari Team is that the Solari Team is unbelievable at spiritual warfare. They laugh about it because it is very off-putting if you are a team. It is designed to pour the nothing all over you and make it impossible.

Instead of going 20 miles an hour, you are going half a mile an hour if you are lucky because of all the headwinds.

**Farrell:** With regards to Amazon and those book reviews, we have to remember another thing. They have programs now that can literally troll websites and have AIs create reviews and deliberately drive down your rating so that people won’t buy the book. That is the other thing that people have to be aware of.

You can tell once you get good at it. You can tell who the humans are and who the trolls are.
Fitts: The first video I put up was when Google had videos, before they bought YouTube. It would go up to 100,000 views, and then – boom! – down to 50. Then it would go to 100,000 views, and then – boom! – down to 50,000.

I have always wondered what the real views were.

Story #13: Speaking Out.

Snowden in Russia has now come out with a new book, and he is saying that you have to be ready to stand for something, which is what you have been saying. It’s the same thing.

Farrell: It is exactly the same thing. We are going to discuss more of that later when we start talking about strategies and tactics, but that is the first thing at the top of the list: What do you stand for? If necessary, take out a pad and a pen, and write down the world that you want to see and what you are willing to fight for. Write it all down. Don’t be an American product of an American ‘quackademy’. Don’t be general; be as specific as you possibly can.

Specificity is important; the devil is in the details. If you can specify, “I want to stand for the 2nd Amendment,” and so on, spell it out. We are coming to a point where we are going to have to start thinking in terms of some very practical action.

Fitts: The only time the system stands down is when the body politics makes it impossible for them. In other words, they would have to start shooting many people, and that is the only thing that is going to stop them. You are going to have to say, “Over my dead body.”

Farrell: I’m afraid so.

Fitts: We have seen a real effort to get people to stop talking. Just as the Bushies and the AGs go after Google to try to assert place-based control, we suddenly have all sorts of Google whistleblowers. What a coincidence!

But we also see across the internet and media a real pushback against people who are trying to tell the truth.
I think that one of the things that you have to do is not be afraid of speaking out, and you have to be able to support the people and the institutions that are speaking out.

**Farrell:** In speaking out, you need to speak out. You have to use nonpassive language. We were talking about going to your local town halls and interacting directly with your mayor or town council or state legislator or whatever. Most people will do that in the form of asking questions. What people need to start doing is saying, “This is where I stand, and this is what I want you to do,” and don’t ask for a response or things like, “Where do you stand?” Just tell them very bluntly.

**Fitts:** “I want my $21 trillion back.”

**Farrell:** Right, “I want my $21 trillion back. I want to be able to keep my guns. I want to have my kids go to a school where they are not taught a bunch of transgender stuff when they are six years old or learning to put condoms on cucumbers.”

**Fitts:** I don’t understand why anybody would allow their children to go to a school that did that.

**Farrell:** Most people can’t afford private schools and that is the problem. But then they become passive.

**Fitts:** If we organize, we can homeschool together.

**Farrell:** The problem is that people are going to have to start getting through to the political class that enough is enough, and you are in for a fight if you want to keep pushing this.

I go back to what happened in Oregon when the Governor of Oregon had a majority in both houses in the state legislature, and she wanted to ram through some ‘new age quackery’ of a bill about climate change or whatever. She couldn’t do it without a quorum, and she needed the Republicans in the legislature to have a quorum. So, she sent out the state troopers to force them to come to the floor – by force.
The Republicans left the state, and one of the legislators said, “You had better send people who are not married and be heavily armed.” That quite literally is what we are coming to.

**Fitts:** There is a reason they want to pull in the guns.

**Farrell:** There is absolutely a reason for it, and it’s not good; it’s not about protecting the children.

**Fitts:** I told you that the same thing happened to me in Canada that happened to me in New Zealand. I was in Cape Breton having dinner with a lovely group of people. One person said, “The problem in America is that you have those gun nuts.”

I said, “I’m one of them. I’m a card-carrying member of the NRA, the Tennessee Fire Associations, the Jews for the Preservation of Firearms Ownership, and a couple of other groups, and I sleep with a semiautomatic.”

They looked at me, and couldn’t believe it. They were in shock. I said, “I’m perfectly happy to explain to you why all of my neighbors and I sleep with a gun.”

I told them the joke that in Hickory Valley the men have to sleep with bulletproof vests on because the women are so well-armed. I proceeded to explain. They looked at me and said, “Why would you live in a country where those conditions existed?”

I said, “That’s a good question. I spend half of the year outside of the country. But you need to understand that your government is not marketing drugs and running drug gangs who are armed in your neighborhood. Mine does.”

They cannot fathom it. There is a complete inability throughout the Commonwealth to understand what we are talking about or why this is a big issue. They really don’t understand.

I remember giving a speech on a panel in Los Angeles. Caroline Casey, who is really a very charming, amazing woman, started talking about the ‘gun nuts’ and how crazy they are. I turned to her and said, “I guess you don’t want your social security check,” because that is an important source of income to her.
She said, “What does that have to do with guns?”

So, there is a complete disassociation from reality on this issue, and there is a complete lack of understanding around the world. It was very interesting.

**Farrell:** I have two phrases to respond to the people who want to grab the guns: 1) Nazi Germany. 2) Warsaw Ghetto.

In the Warsaw Ghetto, they had guns and they fought back against a tyranny, and that is always the issue here. Like it or not, it’s not about protecting the children in the school from ‘nutcases’ because the nutcases are going to get guns anyway.

**Fitts:** The mass shootings happen in gun-free zones.

**Farrell:** This is another problem which never gets discussed. The problem isn’t the guns; the problem is the criminal mindset. Honest, God-fearing people have to have the ability to defend themselves, especially against a tyrannical government. If you think it’s tyrannical-looking now, just wait.

**Fitts:** In my opinion, the tyrannical government doesn’t work through government. I’m not worried about the government people, especially since I have a great sheriff. I’m worried about the private individuals who work for the government. I’m worried about the private mercenaries.

If you look at who caused me physical harassment during the litigation – the people who tried to run me off the road and the people who poisoned me – it was always private persons, either stringers or corporations, working for the deep state.

**Farrell:** The logic of it is so’ hair-brained’. You can kill people with cars. Are we going to do car grabs and so on? This is ‘nuttery’; in the final analysis, this is nuttery.

**Fitts:** If you want to cut social security, you have to pull in the guns.

**Farrell:** If you want to rob the pension funds, social security, and all of it, grab the guns.
Fitts: Also, if you want to clear people off their land, you need their guns. Remember, you have moved out of government. I go back to our discussion about the bond market. If you think they have moved all of the assets out of government, they have moved the assets that they can move out on the QT. But if you are going to get the land and real estate, you can’t do that on the QT; you can’t do that out the back door. You have to do that upfront, and that means you have to pull in the guns.

Farrell: If you want to put everybody into a neural net and force them to take all of that, you have to be ‘nuts’.

People have to start thinking in terms of reality. I think it was 1942 or 1943 with Admiral Yamamoto. Somebody in the Japanese General’s staff confronted him about plans to invade the United States. His response was, “Are you nuts? There would be a gun behind every blade of grass.”

That is true, there would have been. That is the reality of what we have today.

Fitts: One of my favorite lines in Casablanca is when Rick says to the Nazi General, “I recommend you don’t try to take Brooklyn.”

Farrell: Exactly.

Fitts: When I was in Canada, there was a proposal being floated by one of the big climate change heroes to lower the voting age to eight on the theory that the world is going to end in 12 years if we don’t act in climate change, and the children have a right to say something about it, so we need to lower the voting age to eight.

Somebody said to me, “What do you think of it?”

I said, “If I wanted to lower the draft age to eight and I wanted to lower the sex consent age to eight, that is how I would do it.”

I discovered in talking to subscribers in other countries in the Commonwealth is that it is happening there. The real push is to get the voting age to 16. You go for eight, and then you back off and compromise to 16, and everybody thinks that it is reasonable. Then you lower the draft and the consensual sex age down.
Farrell:  It’s a limited position because then they are going to turn around and say, “We need to lower the age again.”

It’s more ‘nuttery’; it really is.

Fitts:  You are trying to destroy any democratic process that is left. They have decided that a Constitutional Convention is too hard.

Science & Technology:

Story #14: Weather Warfare and Disaster Capitalism.

We talked about this earlier, and we talked about the Bahamas. The reality is you mentioned Elana Freeland saying that there is no more natural weather, and I agree.

Farrell:  My little dog, Shiloh, usually does her business outside. In the last couple of weeks, there have been instances where she will stop at the door and refuse to go out; she will back off and refuse to go outside.

Fitts:  She can see the nanoparticles in the air.

Farrell:  I’ve seen those myself, and I have smelt them when she does this. I have noticed that there is plenty of spraying going on, too.

I don’t begrudge her ‘boo-boo’s’ inside the house when those things happen. It’s a reality.

When you arrived, I went outside to take her out, and I could see the chemtrails being sprayed. It was unbelievable.

Fitts:  I recently published a book review of a book called *The Autism Epidemic* which is very interesting. One of the author’s children turned autistic after a vaccine injury. This is a very smart person who dug in and studied it. Finally, he realized that we are pumping a huge amount of aluminum and heavy metals into people’s bodies. It’s a plan. This is engineered, which is, in fact, the case. You have the heavy metals in the spraying, you have the heavy metals in the vaccines, and you have them in other things, too.
Having gone through severe heavy metal poisoning during the litigation, I had a large amount of heavy metals, and then I had to come back. I know a little about what it does to you. It is being engineered.

**Farrell:** I absolutely believe that.

**Fitts:** We will talk about subhumanism later.

**Farrell:** They have done studies. One of my big problems are GMOs. A few years ago, I saw this article where somebody was actually proposing not even using vaccines, but just engineering it into the clients – into the GMOs. So, these people are ‘hell-bent’ on trying to poison the rest of us and translate humanity into whatever they think they are going to translate it into.

Like all of these ‘hair-brained’ ideas, this is going to come a cropper; this isn’t going to work.

**Fitts:** I thought plenty about what they are up to. Later on, in Unanswered Questions, we will get into that.

There is bad news in it, but there is good news in it, too. It really shows me the way out of this.

One of the unusual things that happened during this quarter was when a Solari subscriber wrote a post that said something to the effect of, “I’ve been listening to your public interviews for years. I used to think that you were all wet. But as it turned out, you kept being right. You’re right about this, and you are right about that. So finally, I said, ‘Okay, I will subscribe.’ So I get on, and started to read the dialogue about the farmer from Kansas who was talking about the pattern of a tornado going down the interstate and taking a right and taking out this organic farm, and then taking a left and going back to the interstate.”

So he read that discussion and said, “I’ve realized that you are totally completely bonkers. What have I done? Why have I subscribed? You are crazy people.”

So, I got on and said, “First of all, we are perfectly happy to refund your subscription. There is no reason for you to stay if you don’t want to be here.
We only want satisfied customers. I am highly confident that this is happening, and if someone of my background and credentials believes it, maybe you ought to take a look.”

So he wanted out, and I very happily refunded his money. I said, “Thank you very much. Goodbye.”

I realized that I had come a long way because, if you look at the speed at which reality is diverging from official reality, I have no time to talk to that person. We are going to have to use every ounce of collaborative brain power. So, if you go to The Solari Report, it says, “Actionable intelligence for a free and inspired life.”

We are going to have to kick up our learning metabolism dramatically as a network, as a group, and as a people, and we cannot afford to bring people like that along.

Farrell: I totally agree.

Fitts: So, this is Gideon’s army: Scared people have to go home. People of no faith have to go home. We can’t carry it. We don’t have the time.

So, we have to go, and I was thinking, “God bless you. Here is the door.”

Farrell: I am becoming the same way. There are certain people who recently have tried to initiate conversations like that person, and I’ve told them, “I have no time for you.”

I have actually unfriended some people on Facebook because I don’t have time to deal with them. If that is what they want to think, that is what they want to think. But I guarantee you there are enough seeds planted in many of those people that those seeds may sprout in a few years.

This is the other thing that we have to learn. This is an important part of the strategies and tactics.

You may not think that during the course of a discussion or a few discussions that an individual has been persuaded to your opinion, but that is not your role. Your role is to plant the seeds. Your role is to get the concepts out there.
For some people, it will take a few years to let those things percolate, and then there will be an ‘aha’ moment. So, if the aha moment doesn’t occur when you are in conversation with them or engaged with them, that doesn’t mean it is not going to take place.

**Fitts:** I find that if you stick with reality or your best understanding of where reality is headed, they will either fall off the cliff, or they will get to where you are. For 30 years I did that on the missing money. I said, “When they run out of money, they will care where the $21 trillion went.” So it just takes time, but you always have to stick with reality.

The other thing that has happened to me over the last month is with several parents who have said, “My children want to stay in the official reality,” and I said, “Fine. Make sure that they stay in the part of the official reality that overlaps with reality. We need to build good bridges in both. We need roads in both. There are plenty of things in the official reality that is part of reality. What you don’t want them to do is spend a quarter of a million dollars on a college education that teaches them how to do carbon credit trading so that five years from now, they spent five years and millions of dollars invested in building fantasies.”

**Farrell:** Don’t waste time with fantasies.

**Fitts:** Weather warfare and disaster capitalism is very real, and, unfortunately, I think it is getting worse.

**Farrell:** I do, too. I say that it has come home in a practical way. I can see it, and I can smell it.

Earlier this year in the 1st Quarter, I was going through this massive sinus problem. I could barely breathe because my sinuses were so plugged. It was all of this particulate material that I could see at night when I took Shiloh out with a flashlight.

When that stopped a few days later, my sinuses were cleared. So it’s very, very real.

**Fitts:** To a certain extent, we are already chipped. They have created organic barcodes. I always thought that was what the issue at the airport was about – calibrating the barcodes.
As I said earlier, Dr. Skidmore has agreed that I can put up his bibliography on weather warfare. There are two issues here: One is understanding what is really happening and who has it, and another is how to duck it.

I think we all have to be prepared to get hit. That means that you have good insurance. If you can't get good insurance for your area, you sell your house and get out. Frankly, one of the reasons I live nomadically is because I want to be ready to get up and go.

I tried to organize my life that way because during the litigation, there was no place that was safe. My only way of remaining safe was to stay on the move.

I’ve somewhat built my life that way, and I assumed that my fact pattern was different than others. Now I don’t assume that anymore.

Farrell: I agree. The other thing that people need to look at with regards to this problem, is the regions that are likely to be targets. Where are you? Are you near some prime piece of real estate that some group may want?

The bad thing is that we are going to have to start thinking like they do. That means we have to start thinking fundamentally in inhuman and evil terms.

Fitts: The chicken has to learn about what things look like from the point of view of the farmer.

Farrell: Exactly.

Fitts: Story #15: 5G Wars and the Internet of HAL 9000 Invasive Things.

Basically, the internet of things is putting a surveillance capitalist device everywhere.

Farrell: This is what really gets me. I don’t have an iPhone or a cell phone. Maybe I’m just old-fashioned, but I don’t need it.

Fitts: I need the smartphone for travel, but I have a Faraday bag that one of the subscribers gave to me. I turn the phone off, and put it in the Faraday bag, and leave it in the car when I’m at home. I live in a place where, when I originally got there, no cell phone would work. That is why I live there.
I only use it when I travel, and I keep it away. I try to use it as little as possible. When I do travel, I travel by car, and I have these large trucker maps. When I go anywhere, I figure out where I’m going and how I’m going to get there by the trucker maps. I may use the GIS software, but I don’t use Google maps. I use the GIS software, but I always check it with a map so that I understand intellectually where I’m going and how I’m going to get there. I do not depend on the software.

Farrell: I am the same way; I use a road atlas.

Fitts: I’ve mentioned this before on The Solari Report that I know not to use Google Maps, particularly me. I’m talking about me – not other people. I went into Germantown a couple of months ago and bought a brand-new Apple desktop for one of the offices in my home. I put it in the car, and I was tired and sleepy, and I needed to do a stop at Bed Bath & Beyond. I had to get some items for the bathroom.

I was exhausted, and I knew I shouldn’t have done it, but I said, “Find the locator on the Bed Bath & Beyond store,” on my smartphone. The smartphone used Google Maps to give me instructions on how to get to Bed Bath & Beyond. I drove up to Bed Bath & Beyond, and as expected, right behind me, there was a stringer.

A ‘stringer’ is what I call a low-level person who works for organized crime. They use these apps to pick you off and target you. I thought, “I knew I shouldn’t have done it. Now I can’t go to Bed Bath & Beyond because if I get out and go into the store and come back, this computer is going to be gone, and who knows what else is going to happen.”

If I tried to tell most subscribers why they shouldn’t use their iPhone to figure out where Bed Bath & Beyond is, they couldn’t fathom that this is going on in America, but it is.

Farrell: It is.

Fitts: The entire thing is completely networked.

Farrell: It is completely networked, and it is completely corrupt. We go back to the fundamental tactic: Disengage from it as much as you can. Just disengage from it.
Fitts:  Did I tell you the Saker book story?

Farrell:  No.

Fitts:  This is a Mason story. I was in LA, and was flying back. I was going to interview Saker, and his new book was just out. This was at the beginning of the year, or sometime in the last two years.

I was so looking forward to reading this book. I took my American frequent flyer miles, and I got an upgrade for first class. So, I was flying LA to Dallas, and Dallas to Memphis.

I got on the plane, and sat down. I had a feeling that I wasn’t going to eat. If you have been poisoned as many times as I have, you are super-careful. So I sat down, and the man next to me was a perfect Mason. He was my age, and a ‘dead ringer’.

I said, “Hi,” then I put in my iPod to listen to my cantatas and I started reading the book. I was laughing and scribbling and making notes because I was going to interview Saker on Monday.

I didn’t say a word to him. I got off the plane at Dallas, and was reading as I was waiting for the next flight. I boarded the next first-class, and there was an unbelievably perfect Mason sitting there. I said, “Hi,” and put on my iPod. I didn’t talk to him or eat anything. I just laughed as I read.

When we landed in Memphis, I was 100 pages from the end. I stood up and started to put the book in my Tumi bag, and then I realized, “No, I need it in my bean bag because it’s too big to carry in my Tumi bag.” So, I put it in my bean bag and closed the zipper.

I went downstairs to the baggage claim to get my duffle bag. I turned away from my bean bag for about 15 seconds to get my duffle bag. I took everything to the car, and I did what I do every time that I come home to Memphis late at night – I stop at Kroger’s to get my groceries because I live an hour from ‘anything’.

So, I took my Tumi bag with my laptop and all my things. I didn’t leave it in the car because I know it’s not safe, but I left the bean bag in the car. I got back and thought, “I’m going to get into the Jacuzzi and finish the last 100 pages. It’s so good!”
I opened up the bean bag, and the book was gone. This was right at the ‘hottest’ part of the Trump-Russia drama. I thought, “They would be willing to break into my car at Kroger to steal the book so they could see what I underlined about Russia? Oh my gosh!”

Farrell: I don’t doubt it for a moment.

Fitts: I couldn’t believe it! I thought, “No wonder they need $50 trillion!”

Farrell: Yes, because they are crazy; they are so paranoid.

Fitts: The Masons aren’t crazy. My personal experience with the Masons and the men who I sat next to, whatever they are, they are not crazy. They are very grounded people; they are builders; they are construction and real estate and home building.

Farrell: I would say that there is a little more to it than that.

Fitts: If you look at the strategic plan, at the highest level I think the strategic plan is crazy. But I don’t know what they wanted.

Farrell: They are just paranoid.

Fitts: I would say that if you stole my underlined copy of Saker, your system is remarkably fragile.

Farrell: I can believe it because of a certain incident that happened to me in Oxford that I will tell you about later. I can truly believe that.

Fitts: So, we are racing to get surveillance cameras and recorders into everything and everybody. Part of this is 5G. Of course, my concern is that we are seeing big protests in Switzerland. People are upset about what 5G is doing.

Farrell: It’s not doing anything but killing a few birds and bees and so on.

Fitts: I think it is killing people, too.

Farrell: Oh, I do, too.
Fitts: I had one ally who told me that his health was declining, and he just wanted to let me know that his brain was going.

I listened to him, and said, “Wait a minute. Did this start on December 1st?”

He looked back at his calendar and said, “Yes. How did you know?”

I said, “That is when they rolled out 5G in your neighborhood. There is nothing wrong with your brain. This isn’t you.”

How dangerous is 5G going to be to our health and to our ability to withstand mind control?

Farrell: I think it’s dangerous in at least three ways: It is dangerous to your health because you are basically slow-cooking in a microwave oven. I hate to put it so crudely, but that is exactly what is going on. Secondly, we are bioelectrical systems. You can’t have that kind of immersion in that soup over a prolonged period of time without it having some effect on your ability to process information and make decisions.

In other words, whether the intention is mind manipulation or not, that is the result. It scrambles people’s heads.

Add to that all those mind manipulation technologies where they have the ability to project words and thoughts at a distance. I believe it is possible they could use the 5G tower network to target specific small areas or individuals. Think of the Cuban embassy attacks where people would be lying in bed and hearing these strange sounds. It would be so painful to them that they would get up and realize after they left the bed, the voices or the sound stopped. So they can get that specific, and that is my worry. I do think there is a possibility for direct mind manipulation in that sense.

There is no doubt in my mind that they have some nefarious activity going on. And since we are talking about the war of everybody against everybody, they can use this to create fake regional strife and then pretend to put it down.

Fitts: I’ve had wonderful friends and allies. The day they get an iPhone, they stop being the person I knew, and I lose their friendship. I don’t think it’s an accident.
Farrell: I don’t either.

Fitts: I have to mention that one of the most shocking stories of the 3rd Quarter is the wireless ‘smartbaby’ diapers.

They are putting a chip component right above the genitals which connects with your smartphone through wi-fi, and it will tell you when the baby has ‘pooped’.

Farrell: What happened to an invention called ‘the nose’?

Fitts: Apparently they would rather fry the baby’s genitals.

Farrell: Unreal.

Fitts: So, imagine a baby who has those baby monitors right next to their head and then a wireless chip on their genitals. And you are pumping them through vaccines.

Farrell: We have to get it through our heads that technology is not the be-all and end-all. This is ridiculous and I’m speechless.

Fitts: Who is Pampers owned by? Is it Proctor & Gamble?

Farrell: I think so.

Fitts: There you go! Dick Cheney’s wife used to be on the board. Or was it Dick? It was one of the Cheney’s who was on the board.

Farrell: That explains it! It’s the Cheney’s! Of course! Who else could come up with such a nutty idea?


Trump has finally gotten the United States Space Command. He doesn’t have space for us yet, but he has Space Command.

The Chinese are amazing at how they do these things very efficiently and publicly. That is the advantage of having a one-party system. They came out with their space war plans. So, everybody has agreed that we are going to ignore the treaty and have war from space.
Farrell: There are many well-intentioned people out there who think that they are going to prevent the weaponization of space through treaties. Number one, it’s too late, it has already been done. In support of that, I go back to that strange sequence of events regarding North Korea. I think it was General Mattis or some American army general who said at a press conference with respect to North Korea before they started all the talks, “All options are on the table.”

A reporter very loudly asked, “Does that include kinetic weapons?”

The general said, “Yes.”

Kinetic weapons! Really? The ‘Rod of God’ weapons? You have that up there now?

When he said that, I immediately thought of those Chinese chemical plant explosions – the one in Tianjin especially where the crater was narrow and deep. I don’t care how big the chemical explosion was, you are not going to get that kind of crater resulting from that kind of an explosion – narrow and deep. It was several tens of feet deep. That just isn’t going to happen.

I, as well as many other people, immediately thought, “That is possibly a Rod of God,” after looking at the pictures and knowing technology.

So we had that, and then recently President Trump came out with a couple of tweets that I thought were very, very interesting. The first was about the rocket in Iran that had apparently blown up on the launch pad. He tweeted out, “So sorry to see that your rocket exploded. You have our deepest sympathy.”

Talk about sending messages! Then after that he said, “We have all sorts of exotic weapons. We don’t really need to use nukes.”

I did a blog about this, and I will be very blunt. Some ‘smartass’ wrote an article, “There is no such thing as a chemical weapon that has anywhere near the explosive power of a nuke,” and the whole article was to misdirect people into thinking that was what Trump was talking about – weapons that go ‘boom’. He didn’t mention anything of the sort.

Fitts: It’s interesting because the last time that Saker was on for the Emerging Multipolar World, his audience must have given him some pushback on this. He said, “I don’t believe in any of this invisible weaponry stuff.”
It wasn’t the time to talk to him about it, but the reason I do believe in it is from watching the trading patterns over 20 years. There is no other explanation that can explain it. The trading patterns are going one way, then suddenly you have a hurricane, and – oops! – now they are going the other way.

You keep seeing patterns go to the right, we have an event, and then they suddenly go to the left for no reason. It has happened over and over, and has happened for many years. You know my Indonesian tsunami story. I’ve seen it happen so many times. It is the only logical explanation that I can come up with that we are having covert war with invisible weaponry.

Farrell: I wouldn’t say ‘invisible weaponry’; I would say ‘exotic weaponry’. The people who don’t believe these things, in my experience, have never really done any serious in-depth research into looking into it. That is the other problem.

$21 trillion missing dollars will buy you a considerable number of Tungsten Rods that you can put into orbit and breathe down on China.

Fitts: The other thing is that if you only look at all of the legitimate UFO reports, there are numerous crazy things flying around this planet – whether it’s ‘Nazi International weather’ or whether it’s us or somebody else.

Farrell: Let’s put it this way: There is too much anomalous things occurring, not only on this planet, but out there. You have to be willfully ignorant of it.

Fitts: Or you don’t watch the trading patterns.

Farrell: Either one. It takes a certain kind of willful blindness to take that position. If he wants to talk about invisible weapons, direct him to the Soviet Union’s top-secret research in Torsion and in pyramids. Look at the Soviet Union building pyramids.

Fitts: If you are a military analyst and you don’t watch finance or the trading patterns, then I can understand it.

Farrell: I can understand that, too, but you should at least be watching the physics papers. You should at least be watching the papers that the various departments of defense around the world are putting out about weather modification. Why do they want to do that? Is it so that they can improve crop fields? No.
Fitts: Food & Health.

Story #17: Fake Food on the March.

This is really, really big. Somebody hit the switch this year for fake food, and they hit it in two places. They hit it in all the fast food restaurants, and they hit it in all the investment networks.

I have two companies. One is media and the other is investment screens, but I am on the mailing list for all the investment information. I am reading the Financial Times and the Wall Street Journal, and I am listening to the ‘yakety-yak’ that is going out on investment.

When they decide to promote fake food, they call it ‘clean food’. On the investment networks, when they decide to provide opportunities, all year long it’s as if every email is either ‘Opportunity Zones’ or ‘Fake Food’ or bizarre tech or ESG, which is Environmental Social and Governance investing. So, you know that something big is up from the investment side.

One of the things that I reviewed was a very professional webinar with the new company that Goldman Sachs is using for their ESG ETF. It’s a ‘Just Index’. It is all the companies in America. They did a survey of all the values of the American people, defined their values, and then tested those, and chose the 500 most just companies – which include JP Morgan Chase and Lockheed Martin.

Farrell: Fancy that! They are right at the top of my clean list!

Fitts: It’s amazing. You have all these people from Harvard business school who have figured out how to go through all this and come around with the packaging, and now all the people who did the financial coup, and have engaged in all the black budget chicanery, and who messed up the unipolar model are all ‘just’ companies.

More on that later. I’m just about to finish the 1st Quarter theme on the ESG.

Somebody hit the fake food switch. Whether on the investment side or not, it was clear that this is the ‘jump the curb’ plan on how you are going to keep feeding people but maintain corporate food profits.
Farrell: And they are going to sell it through a modification of the doctrine of substantial equivalence.

Fitts: Right, and the reason they did the big thing on cow flatulence was to tee this up. “Here is how you get your burger without the cow f**s, and you are going to save the planet by eating GMO soy with chemical ingredients that makes it look like blood.” It’s so bad!

I drove from Tennessee to Ohio and all the way to Nova Scotia to Halifax and up to Cape Breton, back down to the Michigan peninsula. Of course, in Canada nobody goes to McDonalds or Dunkin Donuts; they go to Tim Horton’s. Tim Horton’s is the fast food restaurant.

Tim Horton’s is mostly a coffee and donuts place. It’s a little more like Dunkin Donuts than McDonalds, but they have breakfast food and they have ‘beyond burgers’. That is what they call it, and it is ‘beyond’. They didn’t call it a ‘transburger’, but it is a ‘beyond burger’. For breakfast you have ‘beyond sausage’.

I haven’t been to Kentucky Fried Chicken, but I’m told that they have ‘beyond chicken’.

Farrell: We have already seen stories where they are 3D printing meat.

Fitts: And livers for transplants.

Farrell: Getting back to substantial equivalence, they are going to to sell this as, “This product is the same as ground beef in all respects other than it doesn’t come from a cow.”

My problem with this is if you look at the studies that have been done of the nutritional value of GMO versus natural food, there is a difference.

Fitts: Of course! There is a huge difference.

Farrell: The difference is in the morphogenetic field. One doesn’t have it, and the other does. So, they are going to do this big rush. They have done no intergenerational testing on it, and they have no idea of the health effects over the long haul that this is going to have. My prediction is that you are going to see many undernourished, sick, slow, lazy people.
Fitts: If you go back and listen to our Future Science presentation on the Wave Genome, Ulrike goes through the German scientist who did the experiments on organic eggs versus conventional eggs. He showed that the physics were entirely different. Somebody could say, “Chemically it’s the same.” Well, the biophysics are totally different.

The meat labelling laws were repealed in the United States. The reason given relates to foreign treaties, etc. I think that the reason is so that they can start to slip all sorts of things into the meat supply that you don’t want to know about.

Farrell: They have taken food into the black box, too. This is FASAB 56 ‘food version’. This is exactly what they have done. It’s unreal.

Fitts: It is very unreal. This is one of the reasons I am so concerned about the small farmers.

Farrell: I am, too. This is another reason I think we have to start developing some real honest-to-goodness, old-fashioned farmers’ markets.

Fitts: A couple of years ago I was in Switzerland and I met two money managers who had hired a farmer from Romania, bought land, moved the farm family to the land, and that farm family was in charge of creating or sourcing from other farmers all their food for those two families. They said, “You know something? We give up. There is only one way that we can have high quality food, and that is to grow it ourselves.”

This was the ultimate luxury – a dedicated farm for you and your family. I keep saying this, and I am going to continue to say this: We have to find ways of growing our own food or supporting local farmers and CSAs because this is a matter of life and death.

Farrell: This is why I say that we have to get back to the idea of supporting local farmers’ markets and figuring out a way to start them up.

I go back to my mother. Growing up in Sioux Falls, we had a local farmers’ market, and that is where she bought all the vegetables and produce that we ate. It wasn’t the grocery store; it was the local farmers’ market, and it was good.

Fitts: Make sure that all the children around you are learning how to garden.
Story #18: NOT ACCEPTABLE!

We are in this period where we have to say, “No. This is not acceptable.”

First of all, we have California and other states teaching children how to be sex slaves. Now we have them legislating violations of the Nuremberg code. The Nuremberg code went into place after the Nuremberg trials. They said, “This is wrong. You can’t do this.”

Now we have states legislating that it be mandated that doctors violate the Nuremberg code.

**Farrell:** It is a matter of not just asking them not to do it, but it is a matter of telling them not to do it. Enough is enough. Kudos to those ladies in California who went down to the state legislators.

**Fitts:** We have a subscriber who is part of that group, and they did an incredible job. If you look at what they did to lobby that thing, what courage they have, and a lot of hard work went into that.

Robert Kennedy Jr., too. What a great job he is doing.

It was amusing because one of the legislators had said to him, “If you keep doing this, you won’t have any friends.”

In his speech he said, “I just want everybody to know that I have a lot of friends.” It was great! He said, “I don’t need to do stuff like that to have friends.” That was a great line.

This week on The Solari Report we have a wonderful pediatrician talking about *How to Grow Healthy Adults*. It’s on child wellness. According to her, and she is a very reputable and very capable doctor, 54% of the children in America have chronic disease.

**Farrell:** I can believe that.

**Fitts:** So, we are talking about GMO food, heavy metals, and human fetal tissue in the vaccines, spraying, and all these different things. We are basically killing the children.
Farrell: It’s part of that subhuman nihilism again. At some point, people have to quit being overwhelmed by it and just say, “No.”

If whoever you are talking to doesn’t even think it exists, move on to the next person until you find someone who is going to listen.

Fitts: I am going to say this to everybody in America who is listening or reading this: You have to homeschool.

Farrell: I totally agree.

Fitts: You have to homeschool; maybe not this year, but it is coming. You just have to face that.

Farrell: The other thing in conjunction with that, to the teachers out there who are slogging it through the system and trying to actually teach, I think there is going to come a time when you have to recognize that this is the opportunity to start something outside of the public education system. That may mean setting up tutoring services or whatever. Quit relying on your teacher’s union because the only thing that they do is throw more money at the same system, and it keeps getting worse. It keeps getting worse because you keep throwing more money at it. You keep feeding the addict.

Get out of the system as soon as you can. If that means banding together with other teachers who are interested in teaching something other than Common Core and standardized tests and all this, start something.

Fitts: I think that people have been trying to go along to get along, and it is beyond that now.

Farrell: We have reached a stage where going along to get along is not working.

Fitts: Right, and you are going to be asked to do highly inhuman things. So, you need to decide now.

Farrell: It has already started with our schools in ‘Nunyafrica’. There is pushback from the parents. The real question is: Why isn’t there pushback from the teachers?
**Fitts:** Why are the property owners paying real estate taxes to fund it? I proposed that maybe you should escrow your taxes until they stop teaching children how to be sex slaves. I suggested this, and somebody replied, “Then they will take your real estate.”

Wait a minute, you can go to court. There is this passivity that I don’t understand.

**Farrell:** I don’t either.

**Fitts:** You had a wonderful post on the strange Theranos story. You had many great posts in the 3rd Quarter, but one of my favorites was the Theranos story. We know that we don’t know the true story there. There is a story that we don’t have yet, and the official story doesn’t make complete sense.

**Farrell:** It doesn’t.

**Fitts:** Tell us about your post on the Theranos story.

**Farrell:** You are the one who put me onto this story when you recommended John Carreyrou’s book. So, I bought it and read it. I kept thinking, “There is something wrong here.”

What Elizabeth Holmes was claiming is, in my opinion, the interesting part. She was claiming that with a couple of small drops of blood she would be able to do a whole blood panel that, normally in a hospital, they stick a syringe in your arm and draw out vials of blood. They keep doing it because they have to run all these different panels. She was claiming that she could do all these panels with just a couple of drops of blood.

My first thought was, “Alright, assuming that to be true for the sake of argument, what does that mean? What does that imply?”

The first thing that it implies is that you could not do this through any standard current technology of chemical or standard spectroscoping analysis. You could not do it. So, either she is ‘right off the bat’ committing fraud, or she has in mind some different technological leap to do it.

Then the question is: How do you determine whether or not there may have been something to this story? Look at the people that she gathered around her.
Fitts: Here is what is interesting. She brought in a British scientist to help her build the black box. He became very despondent and said that it couldn’t work. The next thing you know, we are told that he committed suicide. However, the moment in which he committed suicide, all the black budget deep state players on the board kicked off the life sciences people on the board and went all black budget. So, there is something there that they want.

Farrell: This is what I was getting to. If you look at her claim and its implications, the implications imply a totally different method that would suggest a new technology and some new physics.

Then the question becomes: Is she committing fraud? If she is committing fraud, how then does she manage to get people like George Shultz, Riley Bechtel, Jim Mattis, and all these people with all these deep connections to the deep state and to the black budget? That was the clue right there for me that there was something here that is not being made public; it’s not being talked about.

Lo and behold, about three weeks ago someone sent me an article about a new form of light wave that was discovered when light travels through a crystal and then transitions from the crystal to a liquid boundary. It’s in that transition phase that there is a new type of light wave. I thought, “Bang! There it is!”

If you look at the Theranos patents, they were talking about sending light through a prism, which is a crystal, and what are they doing with it? They are analyzing blood.

The way that I am looking at it is that little discovery was let out publicly about five to ten years after Theranos started. So, what I am reasoning is that we are looking at the conceptual basis of what she was trying to do. I think that there is a huge story here that we haven’t heard about.

I cannot believe that in Silicon Valley where Theranos was located, that this woman, if she was completely fraudulent, managed to have everybody snowed for that long.

Fitts: It is impossible.

Farrell: So, there is something to the story that we are not being told.
Fitts: Here is the other thing: If you look at the amount of money that was spent on attorneys who policed secrecy at that company, we are talking about David Boies who is Gore’s attorney and who has been big on the Epstein victims. He is in the thick of everything, and he is a very tough litigator.

Farrell: They were going out of their way. Looking at the Theranos patents, you can tell just by looking at them that they are concealing something. There is enough there to make you think, “There is something to this,” but there is also not enough to be able to look at it until this article came along and spoke about new types of light waves and transitional boundary layers between crystals and liquids. There it is in the Theranos patent. That is precisely what they did disclose about their Edison device. And why would the deep state be interested in that?

Well, if you can put a device the size of your desktop computer into every home, this is also something that you can use on the battlefield, and this is something that you can use to triage under extremely stressful conditions if you need to do so.

There had to have been some very powerful deep state interest just from the conceptual basis alone. That is confirmed by the people that she got on her board. So, I am thinking that there is a huge part of this story that we are not being told. What I also find interesting about this story is that if you look at the coverage of her litigation, there is almost a complete blank in reporting about it.

In other words, anything that is going to come out in the course of the trial, I suspect that there will be plenty heard in chambers and plenty suppressed.

Fitts: Here is the interesting thing: If you look at the corporate governance, all the violations are the responsibilities of the board, first and foremost, not the CEO. The CEO is responsible, but these are major governance questions. So, it’s impossible if the CEO is guilty of any of those, that the board isn’t guilty.

They have managed to find a way to peel her off and make her and her number two guilty. The theory is that the board is incompetent and was tricked by this young woman.

Farrell: Which is totally ‘nuts’. I think they found her and used her as the face of the corporation because somehow somewhere along the lines, she got it into her ‘noggin’ that there was a different way of doing this, and she was thinking along these lines. She may not have had the details worked out, but she had the concept.
Probably what happened was that she introduced her start-up, and she had accidentally stumbled across something that they were doing in the black budget research, and that is why they put all these people on the board to manage her.

I’m looking at this as if she is being set up as the Patsy.

**Fitts:** There is a story there, and I want to know what it is.

**Farrell:** I do, too.

**Fitts:** Truthstream Media did the Documentary of the Year last year on *The Minds of Men*. It was absolutely a brilliant, fantastic history of mind control from World War II to the 1970’s. I am hoping that they do the 1970’s up until now in a subsequent one.

One of the people they introduce is a man named Peter Breggin who is a psychiatrist that tried to stop much of the mind manipulation and mind control tactics. He is a fabulous man.

Having discovered him through Truthstream Media, I signed up for his website, and he came out with a presentation this year that is unbelievable. The FDA has approved an electrical machine. You hook a child’s head up with different nodes that poke in, and you give them electric shocks all night long during their sleep. It is the most frightening thing you have ever seen.

So, he came out with a huge rant about this. I’ve never seen anything more horrible in my life.

I only have one thing in terms of ‘Not Acceptable’. There is a headline, ‘Scientists create a device that can mass-produce human embryoids.” Not acceptable-no more said.

**Story #19: Out of Control.**

**DNA Editing.** They reengineered the mosquitos and let them out to interbreed. You blogged about that. Why don’t you say a few words about that?

**Farrell:** They engineer these genetically altered mosquitos so they will interbreed with other mosquitos and die and not transmit. I am thinking, “Can you possibly be more stupid?”
Here is the problem – and we saw this with the GMO plants. They worked fine for a few years, but then when nature adapted – and nature can adapt a much faster than we can engineer things in the laboratory. The University of Iowa did a study, which I blogged about two years ago – where they discovered that farmers are having to spend much more per acre on their GMO crops. The yield was actually declining, and their profitability was declining as their expenses rose. Whereas, the natural fields over time yielded more.

So, applying the same logic here to the mosquitos, some biotech company in Great Britain engineered these mosquitos so that they will go out into the population and will interbreed with other mosquitos, and it would kill off the other mosquitos. Voila! Problem solved, no more malaria!

So, they released quantities of these mosquitos in Brazil. What happened? They interbred with the other mosquitos, and the other mosquitos turned out to have greater resistance than they were supposed to have, and now the diseases are spreading farther and faster. This conventional means of dealing with them aren’t as effective.

What could go wrong?

Sooner or later we are going to learn that we do not understand the complexity of open systems. Every attempt from weather modification to genetic engineering, eventually we are going to realize that we are not nearly as smart as the scientists are telling us, and we have to put an end to all this technological ‘claptrap’ because they are creating more problems than they are solving.

**Fitts:** There is no regulatory infrastructure to stop it. If you look at the enforcement that everyday people can bring, here is what is beautiful. You have the entire investment community promoting this huge growth in ESG, and you have the business round table saying, “You know something? We have to look beyond just shareholders’ equity. We have to look at all these societal implications because now that we are planning on taking over all the governmental functions, we, the corporations, have to rise to the occasion and think ecosystem-wide. But if you boycott Israel, and you object to what Israel is doing, we will cut off your government money and we will come after you and we will not let you travel internationally and on and on.”

**Farrell:** You can’t say anything bad about Israel anyway because we passed this law. Forget about the 1st Amendment.
Fitts: But it gets even better. “We don’t care whether or not the US securities market has gone dark and the US government doesn’t produce basic accounting.”

Now private corporations are free to not care about shareholders and not produce accounts.

Farrell: Pretty nifty!

Fitts: So, now everything is dark – all in the name of saving the planet.

We are interbreeding monkeys and humans in China, and we are mass-producing human embryoids. So, isn’t there something in the bible about when you interbreed animals with humans? Doesn’t it say that society goes crazy and goes down?

Farrell: Yes. There is a little statement in the New Testament to the effect of, “As in the days of Noah…”

We are not going to have to worry about that because none of that is true and it doesn’t exist anyway. So, we don’t have to worry about the Tower of Babel moments or things like that.

Fitts: Oh, good.

Story #20: Weakening of the Earth’s Magnetic Field.

There was a science fiction movie that said we were manipulating the core using our weapons, and now the core is in trouble.

Farrell: That’s the movie; it is called: *The Core*.

Fitts: So, what is going on with the earth’s magnetic field?

Farrell: Quite frankly, I have said this over and over. You cannot have planetary systems of engineering like ionospheric heaters that play around with the entire planetary magnetosphere and not expect there to be some effect on the total system. It’s the ‘same thing again’. They think they have it all ‘nailed down’, but open systems are complex systems. They are multivariable systems. So, your little equations with your physics equations where you are dealing with one or two unknowns, and you plug that into a technology that ——–
that manipulates a system with over 20 unknowns, and this is a recipe that is inviting some problems.

I do think these systems have planetary effects through resonance. The problem there is, if you are playing with systems like that, – in my opinion with my 8th grade physics coming through – in turn, your planetary magnetosphere touches that of the sun and they interact with each other, and you are quite literally playing with some very serious fire. It ‘scares the daylights’ out of me, and they keep doing this.

**Fitts:** It’s as if there is a piece missing.

**Farrell:** Yes, there is a piece missing. What I think they have been doing – quietly – is running these experiments for them at low power to see what happens so that they can learn how these systems interact. That is why I call it mind manipulation technology, not mind control technology. It is weather manipulation technology because they can manipulate it and steer it to a certain extent, but they are not 100% able to determine each and every outcome. So, they are trying to learn the laws of how this is done.

I am one of those who thinks that when you launch or detonate a nuclear weapon, it has similar resonance effects, and the explosion interacts with the energy template – so to speak – of the configuration of local space-time so that the yields vary, not simply depending on the thermonuclear chemistry of the device you are setting off, but it varies over time by the place on the planet that you detonated and the time that you detonated it. This is why I think that new additions to the nuclear club realize when they look at the yields of their devices, and that they are falling outside of predicted ranges, that this is what has happened.

This happened to us at the beginning of the thermonuclear age. We were getting yields from some of our bombs that far exceeded – or in some cases far diminished – from calculation yields. I think the reason is that they were not thinking in terms of open systems. The bomb, to them, was a closed system–there is nothing that is going to interact or get energy into or out of that explosion.

Lo and behold, they set them off and found out that the opposite is true. So, I think this is why you have new additions to the nuclear club that are continually testing their bombs. They are trying to learn what those laws are.
I think that you are seeing the same thing here with the earth’s magnetic field. They are experimenting with these technologies, and they are realizing that there are planetary effects on this. I think there are even human behavior effects that they didn’t bank on.

**Fitts:** I’m sure that there are.

**Farrell:** I wrote about this in *The Third Way* in the chapter on CERN. I think there is a huge hidden realm of black budget research that is looking into areas like this of how these technologies interact with other systems including aggregate human behavior.

**Fitts:** **Unanswered Questions.** Are you ready for the Top Ten Unanswered Questions?

**Farrell:** Yes.

**Fitts:** We have more unanswered questions than usual. I think one of the reasons is that if you look at the rollout of technology and the failure of the unipolar model, both of those signals far more change and accelerating change in a way that we have never quite experienced before as a human civilization.

There are many more Unanswered Questions, and that is why I wanted to spend more time on the Unanswered Questions this week.

**Question #1:** How important are invisible and secret weaponry and technology to the evolution of our global currency systems?

**Farrell:** Immensely. Whoever steals a march and gets to a full knowledge of how these systems work and interact with other systems, and whoever gets there first, controls. That is the new equivalent of the navies. I would put three key technologies at the forefront. The first is information technologies, artificial intelligence, quantum computing, and so on. The reason I am putting it there is because you are going to see an effect on aggregate human behavior. They haven’t any clue as to how this is going to work, so they have to study it under control tests and conditions. I think they have been doing this for quite some time in various subtle ways. So, that is the first, technology.
The second are what I call ‘exotic physics’ types of things: directed energy weapons, scaler types of weapons, Rod of God technology, and all that type of thing.

The third area would be biotech and genetics and so on. I think that those are the three key areas.

The problem here is this: Let’s assume that you are a major corporation or a great power, and you have managed to get a lock on any two of those three technologies. So, the first problem is: How do those technologies work in combination with each other, and what are their aggregate effects on society at large? This is a problem that I think they are going to have to study. They are going to study it and make sure that they have a lockdown – at least a major portion of the systemic effects – before they rule it out. If they roll it out before they fully understand any of the effects, it could backfire.

**Fitts:** On the AI, they are going to roll it out before they fully understand the effects.

**Farrell:** They may, but I am saying that I don’t think they would be doing it without having studied it.

**Fitts:** Oh, they will study it.

**Farrell:** I think they have been studying these things very, very quietly. The reason it is necessary for them to do so is because they have to understand how they are going to set up a financial system in response to it. The biggest problem for them is: Let’s assume that they manage to make fusion work. Overnight that changes the whole energy correlation. With that, you are no longer dealing with energy scarcity.

How do you set up and maintain a central banking warfare/welfare model?

**Fitts:** And how do you maintain population control?

**Farrell:** That, too. How do you manage a financial system like that? There is no prior experience.

**Fitts:** I think that one of the reasons you want an internet of things and total control with technocracy is so you can roll that out without losing control.
Farrell: Perhaps, but I don’t think that works for all the reasons you and I have discussed. I don’t think that works. I think there are enough of them that are sharp enough to see that.

What is interesting to me is the fusion angle for this. You and I both know this has been a topic at Bilderberger meetings and such for quite some time. I think the problem there that they realized is technology is workable if they fine tune it and get it to work. They are building this big fusion reactor in France, ITER. My thinking is they are doing it precisely because they need to beta test in a small region before they roll it out worldwide to see and understand the social dynamics that they are going to be confronted with. So, they need to roll it out in piecemeal, which is what I think they have been doing.

It’s going to have a huge effect on global currency.

Fitts: Are they using fusion in the underground bases now?

Farrell: They may be. I think it was three or four years ago that Lockheed came out with a commercial where they were showing this little fusion reactor. It was a ball that was approximately three feet in diameter that could fit on the back of a truck.

I looked at that ball, and the first thing that I thought was of Philo Farnsworth. Have you ever heard of him? If you examine Philo Farnsworth, he was patenting these little fusion reactors about the size of a softball back in 1965. In his own claims, he had attained a sustained fusion reaction of about 30 seconds with one of these little balls. So, he made it work in the 1960’s. What happened to him? He disappeared into the murkiness, and his patents were gobbled up by AT&T, and we never heard another word about it. So, think of how far you could have come since then.

When Lockheed rolls this out, I’m thinking, “Oh, that’s where Farnsworth went.”

They have it, and I wouldn’t be surprised if they were powering their installations with this. I could save you most of that stolen $21 trillion because you don’t have to pay energy prices.

Fitts: The other thing is that you don’t have to leave a footprint.
Question #2: Where did Mr. Global invest the $21 trillion (and the $24+ trillion)?

**Farrell:** Fusion.

**Fitts:** I think the first thing is globalization. When the first money was pulled out of HUD, suddenly you saw all this money turn up with different money managers for investments in China. If you are going to take the global stock market from $10 trillion to $70 trillion and if you are going to securitize all the real estate, they have been using some of that money to facilitate buying up everything. That is what securitization is – you are buying up everything, and you are printing money to do it. It was the labor deflation of globalization that offset the monetary inflation of printing all that money you used to buy everything. So, that is number one.

Number two, I think, is the secret space program, which includes underground bases. You have to build a whole underground infrastructure for a variety of reasons. One is as a platform for whatever you are doing in space. If you are going to keep that all secret, it has to be hidden away, and there you go.

If you consider what it costs to build an underground base, it is ten times more expensive if you have to build it in a way that keeps it secret. Secrecy is very important.

**Farrell:** And they have to beta test their underground technology for use on the planet.

**Fitts:** Exactly. I think that part of it is you are stockpiling money. If you look at what is being protected in the offshore havens, part of reengineering this whole network that put the money into the offshore havens is reengineering the EU. You are getting rid of all the people who helped you put it in so that it’s untouchable. You are protecting that money.

Where else did it go?

**Farrell:** My bet is two places. One, it is going into funding all these covert ops that we think are occurring – this covert warfare. But that is not going to soak up the bulk of it.
I think this is the first time that I’ve said this on record, but I strongly believe there is a direct relationship between Trump coming out and announcing the Space Command and this missing money. I think this is where they are going to park a large amount of this. Once they park it there, I suspect that they are going to privatize much of those exotic technologies.

**Fitts:** Oh, I think they are private now.

**Farrell:** I think they are private now, too, but what I mean by that is they are going to privatize the technologies and put it under the umbrella of a command structure that is still tied to the government.

**Fitts:** They want you to keep paying your taxes.

**Farrell:** This is somewhat classical fascism in reverse. So, that is where I think it is going – in space.

**Fitts:** Space: The final frontier.

**Farrell:** One more thing: I would also say that they invested it in the oceans.

**Fitts:** I think that many of the underground bases are underground oceans and underground lakes. Basically, they are tunnels that a submarine can go into the lake.

**Farrell:** If people think that sounds crazy, just look at the enormous submarines that the Russians built secretly and then lost, and we didn’t even know that they existed because the facilities were so quiet. So it can be done.

**Question #3:** Why is there an ongoing global program to increase the levels of aluminum, other heavy metals, and nanoparticles into humans? Is the push for Neuralink because humans will be more intelligent in accessing AI, easier to control and integrate with robots, or because the elites want and need access to uniquely human intelligence and consciousness?

**Farrell:** I think it is the latter, but that is part of the agenda that my own subscribers will understand. It is related to what I have been calling ‘topological metaphor’ and ‘the group observer effect’ and so on.
Prior to that, there is another reason. It’s the same kind of reasoning that you encounter in people like Elana Freeland and what she has been doing with the ionization of the atmosphere and so on. In order to make much of these exotic technologies work and make them work with greater efficiency, you need to increase the electrical conductivity of the medium. In this case, it is the atmosphere.

In the case of humans, if you increase the electrical conductivity of the human body, it becomes more susceptible to those mind manipulation technologies that use electromagnetics.

There is a third possible reason, and this one may surprise many people. In the Soviet Union when they were doing all that top-secret research with Dr. Nikolai Kozyrev from the late 1950’s all the way up to the collapse of the Soviet Union, Kozyrev discovered that one of the metals was capable of resisting the effects of scalar interferometry. There are many physicists who will say that there is no such thing as scalars or scalar physics. Well, there are.

Scalar is a mathematical term for a magnitude of force present at a point that does not translate anywhere. In other words, it is not a vector. It doesn’t move from point A to point B; it is just a force of magnitude of this particular point.

If you examine what Kozyrev was doing, he was experimenting with that concept. He discovered the one metal that was resistant to that was aluminum.

Fitts: Really?

Farrell: Yes!

Fitts: That is fascinating.

Farrell: It’s somewhat of a ‘scalar Faraday cage’.

Following that chain of reason, if that is the case, then maybe what they are trying to do is inoculate people from that kind of interference.

Fitts: Wow! So, would that inoculate them from mind control?
**Farrell:** No. Interferometry is where you are taking two or more beams of electromagnetic waves and creating an interference pattern in a certain area. It becomes a scalar pattern when all those incoming vectors of force cancel each other out. But that still leaves that magnitude of force present at that location. We can use that template, just like you can use an ordinary radio wave, to modulate information into that area.

If you have something that can resist that, then all of that modulated information doesn’t show up there. So, it could be that what they are trying to do is inoculate against some sort of interference that is using that method.

That doesn’t mean that the electrical conductivity is not going to be increased by other heavy metals – titanium, barium, and all the other material they are putting into this. Those metals will mean that you are more susceptible to standard types of electromagnetic waves like radio waves and microwaves and so on. Aluminum is simply going to cancel out any potential scalar.

The problem with the scalar business is that it can work at very, very long distances. Remember the Cuban embassy attacks? I believe those types of attacks can be done at a very short range, but my impression is only a short range – hence the 5G towers. A scalar method of doing that can be broadcast globally, quite literally, from one or two points. You would have to have at least two.

So, my thinking is that there is some aspect of this that is defensive of nature. Who they are worried about that might be using this, I have no idea.

This is all speculation.

**Fitts:** If I am right about Arab Spring and events like that, they were planning on using Google and social media and entrainment to basically rule the world. Now if the Russians and the Chinese and ten other countries get that technology, imagine how fun war gets. The hot covert war gets really hot.

**Farrell:** Mind manipulation isn’t just the province of the United States. You are dealing with mind manipulation and the possibility of jamming it and so on. There is an aspect of all this spraying that I think may be purely defensive in nature.
Since we are at the end of the twig of speculation here, let’s just jump right off and assume that they might be concerned about external influences off of this planet interfering with things. So, there are any number of ways to parse this.

**Fitts:** There was a very interesting series that Steven Spielberg did for the Sci-Fi Channel called *Taken.*

**Farrell:** I remember the series; I saw it.

**Fitts:** The thing that was so wonderful about it was it showed you that if you look at the Men in Black types in military intelligence, they would rather kill you than have you deal with an alien.

It was somewhat like they lost their minds. They had this primordial control thing, and they would rather kill Americans. It was all about control. Whether it was microchipping people or killing them, there was nothing that they wouldn’t do to control them vis-à-vis the aliens. It was like playing a chess game, and you are just trying to control them versus they control them.

**Farrell:** There are aspects of all this that have bothered me which I don’t think are capable of being explained without factoring in some sort of off-planet threat. By that, I don’t mean that it actually exists. It may or may not. I happen to think that it does. What I mean by that is, going back to what I said at the Secret Space Program conference in San Mateo, that if you are part of the American deep state and the national security establishment and you have this UFO problem that you are concerned about, the first thing that you think is: We have to assume the worst case scenario, and we have to assume that you are getting reports in from people who are saying, “They are communicating with us telepathically,” and so on.

I think it has always been a very interesting thing that during those major UFO flaps from 1947 up to 1952, it is after that period that the CIA starts its mind control program. I think that is part of the factor here that people have been looking at it. This is the way they are thinking, and they are responding to a threat that they perceive.

**Fitts:** You saw this in the intelligence agencies and the Masons. If you review Frank Herbert’s book, basically he posits, “Here is a planet. It’s a franchise. Its goal is to produce a dividend for the empire, which is a much bigger corporation à la ‘Jupiter Ascending’. The franchise is given to an aristocratic family to manage.
The question is: Which aristocratic family can make the planet sweat the most for them and produce the most for the emperor?”

You have this local group, and I believe Herbert called them the ‘Fremen’. Their job is to hide and build and preserve pools of water because it is a very desert-like planet. But it is somewhat a metaphor for the way that the Masons operate the planet. They are under great secrecy.

The feeling that you always got from them when you worked with them was, “How do we manage and control the population?”, because it’s very important to keep the control. Everything is about control and controlling quietly and behind the scenes because you are always in danger.

It’s like a man in the middle who doesn’t really have control and who is trying to keep everything secret and keep everything totally locked down.

Farrell: While we are off the end of the twig and flailing away in midair like ‘Wiley Coyote’, let’s look at what I have been calling ‘the Versailles template’.

Fitts: It’s a treaty template.

Farrell: Yes, and they have to manage this population because if that template becomes true, the last thing that you want are these ‘hairless monkeys’ down here coming up with another high civilization that is going to go out there and start shooting. If that template be true, then it means that whoever won that cosmic war in ancient times, set up an elite here on Earth to manage the monkeys and keep us corralled. That is the other aspect to take into consideration.

Fitts: If you go back to 1947, the elites obviously believed that we were not capable of handling the truth. If you review their evidence to suggest why we weren’t, it’s not as crazy as it sounds. The problem is they have now become deeply vested financially and they are addicted to secrecy in a way which is so counterproductive.

My attitude is: You want to depopulate? No problem. Tell everybody the truth. The ones who can’t handle it won’t make it. What is the problem?

I think they have put themselves in a corner somehow.
Farrell: They are in a cul-de-sac, and they have boxed themselves in so they can’t get out.

If we are correct that there is an off-planet dimension to these problems, that is the biggest factor in their calculations. This is why I think people need to start thinking about this as a rational problem. If you are in the game theory or anything like that, you can introduce that factor, and it very quickly is evident that it is the overwhelming factor in all of this otherwise crazy nonsense that everybody is trying to make sense out of. But you can’t make sense out of it if you are looking at it without that factor.

Fitts: That is why I have been so disappointed in the financial community. You can’t manage trillions of dollars and ignore this factor and think that you are going to come out with anything rational.

I want to bring this topic up because I’ve been thinking for years about transhumanism and trying to understand it. I will call where it is going, ‘subhumanism’.

I had an epiphany this last week, and I realized that this push for Neuralink is serious and ridiculous.

There was a wonderful discussion with Jack Ma where he basically told Elon Musk that he was crazy – and he is. Ma basically said, “Look, I’ve seen a lot of humans make computers. I’ve never seen a computer make a human.” And he nailed it.

I think that what has been happening is they are trying to create children who, through aluminum and heavy metals and all these other things, have no empathy. They have a chance of creating a fair amount of idiot savants – very focused, but who will be more receptive to AI. In fact, they are going to sell AI to parents as saying, “We can fix your autistic problem.”

I’ve been trying to understand why they want us to resonate with machines instead of with life, but I finally realized that they can’t get their AI to where they need it to be without millions of us training the AI. It’s deep learning. So, it’s our minds that will train the AI, and they need us to train the AI.
More importantly, they don’t need us for processing. So, computers and chips can process much faster than human intelligence – even millions of humans working together through the cloud. But what the computers can’t do and what the chips can’t do, is access interdimensional intelligence.

Farrell: I think that is part of it.

Fitts: I think that we have intelligence that they need. By sticking Neuralink in and networking us, we are their booster rockets.

Farrell: I think that is true if you are operating from the epistemological assumptions they are operating from, that is what they would think. My problem with that approach is by definition, what they have done is narrowed the definition of human reason to mere ratiocination. If you go back and look at Plato and Aristotle and the time of people like this, when they talked about reason, what they meant was not merely the ratiocination faculty, the Kantian Pure Reason. What they meant was not merely that but the emotional intelligence, the aspect of intelligence that – to put it in modern terms – is not quantifiable in a binary network. They meant therefore, by ‘reason’, something very different than what a modern meaning is.

This is the fundamental philosophical problem with transhumanism. If you look carefully at what people like Musk and others are saying, what they are essentially saying is based on this presupposition that the human reasoning is merely the ratiocination part of it, and it isn’t. Otherwise, we would not have as human beings the response to art that we do, and we wouldn’t have a recognition of great art or pop art or bad art that we do. This is the fundamental problem as I see it with transhumanism.

What they are talking about is merely manipulation of a machine. They are still working in this late 18th century to 19th century Newtonian/Victorian model of the human and the universe itself as a machine. The key here is that if you go back to the ancient world, they did not look at either the humans or the universe as a machine; they looked at the universe as an organism.

Fitts: Which it is.
Farrell: If you stop and really think about it, it is. I don’t want to get into discussions with fundamentalists about, “The universe isn’t alive…” It is an organism in a macro sense because it responds like an organism. Like an organism, it is knit together through the phenomenon of entanglement. So it is a very nonlocal thing.

There are many cosmological cultural things hovering in the background of transhumanism that seem like this is the last gasp of that whole Newtonian/Victorian mechanistic model of cosmology.

What are they saying? “We can interchange our body parts. We can grow you a heart in a 3D printer. We can transplant your heart.”

Not surprisingly, when they do that, what happens? Well, many of the transplant recipients get the memories of the person whose heart or organ it was, and they are a bit scrambled because of it.

Or, consider the other thing. Imagine that you 3D print a heart. What happens to all that biological morphogenetic field? Do you lose that or a certain part of it?

There are many complexities here that they are not dealing with because they are looking at things mechanistically.

Fitts: I think that is why they want to Neuralink us all. They need access to that intelligence.

Farrell: And that is the problem. They won’t get it. They will get a facsimile of it because they will be able to make statistical analyses, but even that statistical analysis is not going to give them the lead that they think they are going to get out of it.

Fitts: What I get from all of this is that if you understand that, what you realize is we do have the power. We don’t need Neuralink to use it.

Farrell: No, we don’t.

Fitts: And everybody has it. We are all sitting around, afraid and I don’t know why.
Farrell: We are the microcosm. We are the organism with one foot firmly planted on the immaterial spiritual side of things and the other foot planted firmly on the material. The ancients understood this very well. We are the common surface between the two. In the thinking of those ancients, particularly the church fathers, it is mankind that is the link in the chain that binds the whole thing together. If you want to ‘screw up’ the universe, you screw up mankind.

So, that is the aspect of this about transhumanism that appears ultimately to be somewhat Luciferian in design.

Fitts: They are trying to harness it.

Farrell: They are trying to harness it, and at the same time, they are trying to break it down and destroy it or destroy the remaining vestiges of the humanizing aspects of it. That is what is frightening about it. Ultimately look at what they are doing.

I don’t know about you, but looks to me like a form of nihilism; it’s an anti-human agenda.

Fitts: I call it the inhuman agenda.

Farrell: Inhuman and antihuman both work. It is the nothing – and not the good nothing.

You know the old joke: What is the difference between an atheist and a mystic? The mystic capitalizes nothing.

Fitts: Question #4: High-tech risks: Should we beware of high-tech fires, the push for immortality, DNA editing, and the interbreeding of humans with other species? What else?

Every time you turn around, it’s as if the risk is going higher and higher and higher.

Farrell: I have been watching to see if anybody has written about it, and I haven’t found anybody yet. This business of interbreeding humans with pigs or monkeys or implanting human brain cells into mice, I have enough problems where I live in the country with these little mice. I don’t need a smart one!
Fitts: They had birds that were drones in the 1970’s in Area 51.

Farrell: I know. Here is my problem with all this interbreeding issue. I am wondering to what extent these types of experiments could begin to break down the immune systems of the species that they are experimenting with.

In other words, if you are putting human brain cells into mice, is that going to somehow affect their offspring and their offspring’s immune systems?

Fitts: Absolutely.

Farrell: By the same token, if you are putting monkey pancreases into humans or human hearts into pigs and so on, it all becomes dark.

Fitts: What if you are putting female human fetal tissue into men’s veins, and men’s fetal tissue into women’s veins? Doesn’t that appear to you to be part of the problem?

Farrell: Precisely. I think that all we need to look at are diseases like AIDS and how it spreads. What you are really talking about is the breakdown of the immune system by the injection of foreign biological material into parts of the human system that aren’t designed to receive it.

It stands to reason that if you take the same principle and apply it to this interbreeding, over the long term, there is no intergenerational testing that is being done with this.

Fitts: The single-most frightening video I have ever watched was from a subscriber who was taking a nutrition course to be certified as a nutritionist. They had an extended version of what is available on YouTube; it’s Pottenger’s Cats. Pottenger was Dr. Weston Price’s partner. He took cats and divided them into three groups. He tracked them for many generations and made video recordings of them.

One got raw milk, one got pasteurized milk, and one got homogenized milk. By the third generation, the raw milk kittens are alive and running around and full of energy and fine, and the other two are couch potatoes and chronically diseased.

It is so clear. He did this in the 1930’s. It was the hardest thing to watch because it said that they knew; they absolutely knew.
Farrell:  Apply the same principle to genetic miscegenation and what do you end up with? Couch potatoes and chronic problems.

Fitts:  When I was dealing with massive amounts of risk in those periods of my life, when I dealt with that, there was not enough time to deal with the risk. What you need to do is build your protection. For me, it was always prayer. I found that prayer could tell me what I needed to know.

If I didn’t have enough money to go to the doctor, if something was wrong, I would pray and say, “Teach me what I need to do to heal this myself,” and I would always learn. I would pray when I was about to have a car accident, and someone was going to kill me, and then a miracle would happen.

When you are in a situation that is completely ‘nuts’ and out of control, you need to pray. That is why I think you need an actionable intelligence network.

Farrell:  I think that I have mentioned this before, but there is an old statement by St. Vincent of Lerins in France from the 4th century, “Lex Orandi, Lex Credendi,” or, “The law of prayer is the law of beliefs.”

In modern terms, it translates into something that wasn’t originally part of his intention, but basically it says, “If you think you are confronted by circumstances either at large or in your personal life that are evil and you don’t pray about it, then what you are really doing is acquiescing.”

That is for those who believe in prayer. For those who don’t, I go back to what I have said so many times. If you are not disposed in that direction, there is an effect of human intention on reality. It is a matter of formulating a specific intention and vocalizing it.

If you think that transhumanism is evil and you are not doing anything to combat it, even in the simple form of saying, “May none of these designs prosper,” or whatever it is, then you are, to some extent, acquiescing.

I think that it is beholden on people, particularly people who are from praying traditions, to understand that it is a responsibility to identify those types of things and direct your intentions against them.
Fitts: I had a deeply atheist client once who was in real trouble. It was the kind of trouble where you can’t do anything; you can’t take an action that is going to make it better.

I said, “I just want you to do what I tell you. I’m not asking you to believe in it.”

People are told, “If you do weightlifting, you don’t need to believe it; just do it.”

So, I gave her *The Deer's Cry*, which is my favorite prayer. I said, “I want you to pray this three times a day for the next two weeks. I’m not asking you to believe in it. I’m just asking you to read it out loud.”

She called me back in two weeks and said, “Oh my God! It works!”

Farrell: It does. People have to understand this. We are dealing with a certain segment of the technocratic elite that absolutely believe it. They are practicing all of their cult beliefs because they know they get results from it. We have abrogated the field.

Fitts: We have conceded the morphogenic field into their manipulation, and that is not acceptable.

Farrell: Not at all. I have been making a point of trying to do that kind of targeted binding with the breastplate of St. Patrick. So, that is my thought on that comment.

Fitts: The risk is going to accelerate, and you need a covering and you need grace. So, go on the offensive because there is no way to deal with this from the defensive.

**Question #5: Words of conquest:** Will the US go to war in Iran? Will India start a war with Pakistan? When will China invade Taiwan? How far might other countries’ conquests go once it becomes clear the US will no longer play global cop?

Whether India is targeting Kashmir or China is targeting Taiwan or the US, some hedge fund is trying to start a war in Iran. As ‘Peak Everything’ bites, are we going to watch more and more of the more powerful countries just grabbing land?
Farrell: I think you are going to see more and more limited things like this – particularly in this post-unipolar world. The problem is that we are not only going to see it, but we are going to see the inevitable consequences of war that no matter how good the plan, it always goes wrong.

Fitts: It is the friction of war.

Farrell: It always goes wrong. If India thinks that it is going to march into Kashmir and decisively defeat the Muslims there, think again.

Fitts: Just as India is taking Kashmir, the US is taking Paradise, California. It's the same thing, or they are taking the farmland. It's just subtler; the Indians are not as subtle.

Farrell: It is the same thing. I think that you are going to see an increase of it, and as a result, you are going to see more and more chaos.

The other thing that I think you are going to see is that those big centralized states begin to break down because of it. Remember that both China and India are states only in the modern era.

Fitts: Part of technocracy is when that happens, you control them through the systems.

Farrell: Right, and you are going to begin to see that break down. It will start to manifest regional tensions, and those regions can break apart easily – in China particularly. You know the history as well as I do. It's held together by the artificiality of the communist state.

Fitts: I don’t think that people understand the extent to which these things are going to start happening as a result of the global cop going away.

Farrell: What you are going to see emerge are regional jobs.

Fitts: Europe is saying, “We want to be Africa’s regional cop.”

Think about it: If you were Europe and you wanted to stop the immigration flood, wouldn’t you do the same thing?

Farrell: Absolutely.
Fitts: Question #6: How will the US government be reengineered after the 2020 elections and after the JEDI contract is in place? Is the Plunge Protection Team being reengineered? How does Quantum computing, ESG, and index funds fit in? What about opportunity zones and technocracy?

How big do you think the reengineering after the 2020 election and the JEDI contract is?

Farrell: I am so glad that you asked that because when we were talking earlier, I mentioned something that I thought was huge in an interview that Nigel Farage gave to one of the networks in Great Britain recently. The interviewer was asking Mr. Farage, “What are your plans if you should actually get into Premiership?”

Farage’s response was both expected, and yet it was also shocking. He said, “Well, we have a parliamentary system that is absolutely broken down. We need to get rid of the House of Lords, and we now have the means and the technology to make direct democracy a possibility. So rather than have major national issues brought before parliament, what we need to do is bring it before the people in a truly democratic fashion.”

What he meant was that in the context of the whole Brexit referendum, every issue of national importance for policy direction be decided by a direct referendum.

I thought, “Okay, I can see that.” But in terms of the United States, you know exactly what it means. They are going to start talking about direct participatory democracy. You have already mentioned lowering the voting age in Canada to eight years of age.

Fitts: We can do it all through Amazon. And you can totally trust it?

Farrell: Exactly. They are going to put all this ‘direct democracy’ matter— which sounds nice on paper – into the cloud. If you think that there is voter fraud now, ‘you ain’t seen nothing yet’!

Fitts: We are seeing a lot with FASAB 56, but we are also seeing a real stripping of the civil service so that you can switch many functions to private corporations.
What is the $21 trillion? The $21 trillion is what happened when you switched your IT and payment and accounting systems to private corporations. So, you can run your government with private corporations, which are not accountable enough, or you can run it with the civil service, which is dedicated to professional governance.

You know where I stand. I think that letting private corporations run this is ridiculous, and the business round table saying, “We can include nonshareholder issues,” means, “We are free to do whatever the deep state wants.”

Farrell: What are they going to do? If you turn it over to private corporations, what are they going to do? They are going to set up call centers in India.

“Late social security checks lost in the mail or tax refunds lost in the mail, call the call center in Thailand or India and beg”.

Fitts: Right, while they make money on the float.

My concern is that we are going to wake up and find ourselves paying taxes, and all the money will be going to private corporations who are completely not accountable and completely secret, and who are going to rig profits from this – which are unnecessary. It would be cheaper to have government workers.

Farrell: If you think that the government is not responsive now, just wait.

Fitts: It is going to be unbelievable.

Farrell: But if they go that route, I will tell you what the backlash is going to be. The backlash is going to be, as more and more people get frustrated, the state steps in to fill the void. So eventually, what you can see under that scenario is the unwinding of the Federal government by sheer obsolescence.

Fitts: I think that is one of the goals because that is how you get out of social security and bank deposit insurance. So, we have a huge number of obligations now. People have paid their money in on the promise that they would get ‘this and that’, and now we are going to take away the this and the that.

The Plunge Protection Team is being reengineered. We talked about that.
How does Quantum computing, ESG, and the index funds get in? I think that what they are doing is the government side dark and taking the corporate side dark. The lights are turned out across the board, taking the offshore system even darker. It’s just secrecy everywhere you go.

**Farrell:** You are even taking Quantum computing dark. If you are taking everything dark, you can save that Quantum computing and you can fake it for a little while to the average Joe. They might notice some slight differences in times for computing and so on. You are creating the Asimov scenario. I’ve warned people about this over and over again.

I don’t know if you’ve read the *Foundation* trilogy.

**Fitts:** It’s one of my favorite books.

**Farrell:** He describes the technocratic society perfectly because the more technocratic it gets, the more people forget how to do things. So eventually, when the computers start breaking down, they can’t fix the computers, and because they can’t fix the computers, they can’t fix anything else. Everything starts to unwind, and this wonderful empire starts to fall apart because there is no innovation.

You hit on that earlier, and that is exactly where I think that we are headed. If you take everything into a black box, what are you doing? You are killing that part of humanity that wants to innovate and create.

**Fitts:** I once asked my uncle, who was a fighter pilot, how we won World War II. He said, “Every time the tanks broke down, we fixed them ourselves. When we were growing up, we would take apart and put together my dad’s old car. We knew how to take apart and put together a car by the time we graduated from high school. So, we just fixed all the tanks.”

**Farrell:** Exactly. Every tanker in World War II knew how to do this – be they Russian, German, American, or British. If the tank broke down in the field, what did they do? They fixed it because they had to.

**Fitts:** I’ll never forget talking with one of the farmers in Hickory Valley who was fixing his own farm equipment – which is a violation of the copyright because it is all supposed to be patented, and you are not allowed to fix your own equipment now. It’s like terminator seeds. You have terminator farm equipment.
Question #7: What will happen to US land and real estate as a result of the changes underway?

Farrell: If that is not a rhetorical question, I think you are looking at two diverging trends. Just like the broader culture, you are going to see more centralization, and you are going to see centralization in and around certain places – the major metropolitan hubs.

If they are planning to drive everybody into the cities, you are going to see zones around the cities where they have bought up all the farmland real estate for that particular area. Then, by the same token, you are going to see more and more decentralization in certain areas. It’s going to be a divergence – just like everything else in the population.

I know that everybody is thinking centralization, but I can see the backlash against that in certain places and under certain conditions that are going to fuel the exact opposite trend. It’s that cultural divergence, and that is my speculation.

Fitts: Question #8: Who is Mr. Global, what is the governance structure on Planet Earth, and what are the risk issues that are driving Mr. Global’s actions?

One thing that was so silly about both Macron’s speech and Carney’s speech was that a currency system is a subsection of the governance system. You can’t talk about what financial system or what currency system we should have without talking about what our governance structure is and how it works.

One of the reasons Carney sounded so ridiculous is because he wouldn’t touch that. But, of course, you can’t have a sensible discussion about a currency system.

Farrell: There is a problem here, and it is a more fundamental one. These technocrats have really bought into this notion that they are going to be able to run everything and everyone through computers. I don’t know about you, but the more contact that I have with those dreaded machines, the less I like them.

Fitts: I agree.

Farrell: I think that is probably more or less a universal phenomenon. As the technocratic centralization gets greater, more and more people are just getting frustrated.
Fitts: I see both. I see people getting more and more dependent, and I see more and more people getting more frustrated. I can’t tell as a statistical matter what the statistics are, other than that everyone in the emerging markets who don’t have access to the internet or a smartphone wants it, and that is getting built out.

I just don’t feel like I have access to good statistics on what is really going on.

Farrell: I don’t know. There are two big risk issues that are driving ‘Mr. Globaloney’. The first one we already discussed, the Epsteins and the Clintons and the big egos who think that they are ‘hot stuff’ because they are plugged into the network. I think they are rolling up because of overhead costs and the risk factors.

Fitts: In my personal experience of the people around the world, they never had big egos. People like Epstein and Weinstein weren’t at the top. They were people who did certain functions or things for other people. It definitely feels like the people at the top are saying, “Thank God we don’t need those guys. Kill them. Get rid of them.”

But they weren’t narcissists and they weren’t psychopaths. That was my personal experience, and it could just be the groups that I dealt with.

What they did have was traditions which are anathema to me. They believe in and practice slavery; they believe in and practice pedophilia. But for them, that is just the way the world works. That is their culture and that is their practice.

Part of the problem was that the Masons and the intelligence agencies were excellent at mind control. It had worked for centuries for them. I think that it was the basis of their power. You just can’t have a human empathetic connection with somebody who is subject to mind control and doesn’t know it. In other words, it creates an enormous disrespect and class society.

I remember the Masons very much. There were blood liners and there were people who were not blood liners. The people who were not blood liners were like a different species. They were not quite untouchables, but there was a definite divide.

The reality is that if you look at all their different practices, whether it is mind control or pedophilia, it has worked. Right now, the Masons are more powerful than I have ever seen them.
Farrell: I totally agree.

Fitts: When I was a child, their big war was with the Vatican. There was a huge Masonic versus Jesuit conflict. If you look at Vatican II and what happened to the church, the Freemasons had a big hand in compromising them from the inside.

What it looks like to me from the outside is that the Masons had a war with the Vatican and won.

Farrell: I would agree with that. Many of your subscribers may not know this, but I suspect that you probably have many Roman Catholic subscribers, and you probably have a few who remember the way that the Roman Catholic Church was before Vatican II. It was recognizably and unapologetically Catholic.

At Vatican II, of course, they changed the liturgy. In my opinion, they bastardized it and made it ugly. It’s very interesting. Most people do not know this, but the man who was charged to oversee that whole process was a man by the name of Archbishop Annibale Bugnini. He was a Freemason.

Pope Paul VI, after he had promulgated the new mass, was apprised of the fact that Bugnini was a Mason. You can imagine his reaction. He was appalled, and he realized that he had made a huge mistake in promulgating the new mass, but he couldn’t do anything about it by that time. He did exile Bugnini to be the Apostolic Nuncio to Iran – of all places.

The other interesting thing about this Masonic penetration of the Vatican, which I wrote about in the appendix to the Financial Vipers of Venice, is that when papal infallibility was made a dogma – which was only in 1870, so it is not an old doctrine – the Pope at the time, was Giovanni Maria Mastai-Ferretti, Pius IX. There is a bit of evidence to suggest that he had been initiated into a lodge in Philadelphia.

By Philadelphia, I don’t mean in the United States because he never visited the United States. But if you look at the practice of the Masonic lodges in Europe beginning with the Bavarian Illuminati, they would use code names for the various cities they were operating in, and they would use code names for their lodge brothers.
I strongly suspect that Pius IX, the Pope who became infallible, was a Mason. There is even evidence to suggest that Angelo Roncalli, Pope John XXIII, had been initiated into a lodge in Turkey, and he was the Pope who initiated the second Vatican Council.

So, I am in agreement with you. Not only that, but I strongly suspect that if we had the opportunity to sit down with the late Father Malachi Martin, he would agree. His whole novel, *Windswept House*, is based on this assumption.

**Fitts:** Part of the thing that I see is that the telecommunications efforts of the Masons, plus the scientists, has completely trumped the confession box and the storefront church. So, they use digital systems to completely power shift.

**Farrell:** They’ve done a ‘double-whammy’. It is important to understand this.

They have trumped the storefront operation of the churches, but the other thing is they had to empty the churches first. How do you do that? Make a liturgy that is ugly, wear ugly vestments, have bongo guitar masses, and the ‘whole nine yards’ that we have suffered through in the 1960’s and 1970’s. This literally empties the churches.

**Fitts:** I just finished reading Paul Williams’ book, *Among the Ruins*, on what happened to the church. I have a friend who was part of the church at Vatican II, and they felt that the change in the liturgy was great because now you could bring in all sorts of people who wouldn’t come in before. You could attract and connect with millions of people who couldn’t understand the liturgy before.

That doesn’t fit with what I observed if you look at the statistics. Not only did people leave the church, but you had an entire world of civic organizations related to the church who also fell apart. Part of it was that they were busy using the church as an organization to do money laundering and organized crime and politics.

**Farrell:** Absolutely. Since we are talking about Masonic penetration of the Roman Catholic Church, let’s remember the P2G scandal that erupted in Italy, and Michele Sindona in the banking scandal. Let’s remember John Paul I, the Pope who was Pope for 30 days, was found dead in his apartment. All the evidence points to the fact that he was getting ready to clean that ‘nest of vipers’ out. So, they put a quick end to that under very ‘fishy’ circumstances.
There has been a ‘double-whammy’ against the Roman Catholic Church. What Rome does, like it or not, the Protestants are going to follow along. That is exactly what you saw after they did that. You saw the same process work in the Lutheran Church and the Presbyterian Church and particularly in the Anglican Communion. You saw the same process at work in the protestant churches with numerous social justice warriors and all that.

**Fitts:** The other thing was that you took all the pedophilia that you used for political control. The idea that this was ‘horny’ priests, in my opinion, is a cover story. In fact, there is something called screen memories where children are essentially encouraged by a variety of techniques to remember their handler or the priest and not remember what was really going on.

Basically, that whole pedophilia operation – the pre- Epstein pedophilia – was a joint venture between the Masons, the Vatican, and the Zionists. All three were managing it along with the drug business, etc. But somehow – and I think it was partly using the telecommunications – they were able to take 100% of the blame and put it on the church.

Why did the church allow that to happen?

**Farrell:** I think that Vatican II was such a revolution. People have to remember that when the Bishop showed up in Rome for the first session of the Council, there was a group of people – it’s even called the Rhine Group – they came from Southern Germany, Eastern France, and Austria that literally took over the first session of the Council and threw all the prepared schedules of sessions ‘out the window’.

In other words, the Council is a rolling council, and there is no agenda. They implanted themselves into the bureaucracy of the Vatican. Remember that the Pope before Vatican II was Pius XII, and he was from an old Italian family of princes who knew the game. There were people trying to press him to have that council occur under his pontificate, and he was adamant that it absolutely was not going to happen while he was Pope. Angelo Roncalli was not a fan of Pope John XXIII.

What happened as a result of this slow infiltration that I think began to occur in the early 19th century, largely through the Masonic Lodges and Vatican I and so on, is the same thing happened to the Vatican bureaucracy that happened in this country under FDR.
You brought in a whole new class of bureaucrats into the machinery of the Vatican that were of the progressive mentality. What happened as a result of that, was they also lost the experience that had been built up prior to that.

They literally were a ‘rope-a-dope’ on this whole pedophilia thing. People have to get it out of their heads that the pedophilia problem is restricted to the Roman Catholic Church; it isn’t. It is in every single church.

**Fitts:** I think that many of those children were being used to service politicians and business people, and the priests were just the cover story.

**Farrell:** I’m not saying that it didn’t occur. What I am saying is that probably less of it went on than we have been told. Much of it is memory manipulation and so on. They are getting accusations to be made to ‘finger’ people.

**Fitts:** I think that the church was 100% guilty as ‘all get-out’. They were absolutely running pedophilia networks, and they were absolutely doing it with the Masons and the intelligence agencies.

**Farrell:** Think of the Children’s Crusade in the early 13th century. Many people don’t even know about this. There was a crusade of children who were supposed to march off, and in their childlike innocence they could convert a large number of Muslims to Christianity.

**Fitts:** We’ve just seen one coming out of Sweden – the ‘No Hitler’ youth.

**Farrell:** What actually happened was, once these children got into Italy, they were sold into slavery. It was a big slavery scheme.

**Fitts:** So, is the climate change op going to turn into a slavery scheme? Probably if they get the voting age down to eight.

During the campaign, you saw these crazy reparation proposals. Of course, nobody cares about the slavery and child trafficking today, which is far greater than anything that ever happened in history. They hypocrisy of it is just frightening.

**Farrell:** Lower the voting age and get your eight-year-old to vote for you because you are going to give him $100 a week allowance.
Fitts: There you go!

Back to who is really in control, if the Masonic networks have really supplanted the Vatican in terms of power, then the question is: Where does the Masonic networks and their partnerships with the Zionist networks go? What happens next?

Netanyahu and his group have been wildly aggressive, and I think they have been extremely violent as well to get their way. As you watch Weinstein, Epstein, and Netanyahu fall, is that a reshuffling?

Let me point out that Forrestal was Catholic; McCarthy was Catholic; Kennedy was Catholic; Bobby Kennedy was Catholic.

What you are watching is the most powerful, charismatic Catholic leaders who were on the side of transparency as opposed to secrecy, being assassinated steadily.

Farrell: I do think that McCarthy was probably assassinated.

Fitts: Oh, I’m sure.

Farrell: Are you asking me what I think that their plan is?

Fitts: We’ve seen the Vatican power shifted out or down. We are still not clear about the Jesuit networks. Where are they in all of this? They are the real power at the Vatican.

We see the Masons’ ascendant. The questions are: What is going to happen with their partnership with the Zionists, and who messed up the unipolar moment? Who did that? Whose fault is that? How did that happen?

They may say, “That didn’t happen. We received all the money. Look at how much money we got on the financial coup. Now we control everything from space. So you are wrong. We didn’t lose; we won. We just sacrificed the US to do it.”

Farrell: That is like sacrificing the Queen in a game of chess. You really have to know what you’re doing to pull that one off, and I don’t see these people having that much smarts, quite frankly.
**Fitts:** If they can now control everything in space…

**Farrell:** They probably don’t. That is the huge off-planet risk factor. We don’t even need to go there because we do know that China, India, and Russia have some rather sophisticated antisatellite capabilities, and they probably have their own toys up there that they are not talking about.

The idea that they ‘control’ space is not true. They may have dominance in space, but not control. For what they are up to, they need to control.

**Fitts:** So, why did they blow the unipolar moment, and what is the repercussion to the Masons? If the Masons are ascendant, what is their plan?

**Farrell:** I don’t think that it upset their plan insofar as I think they have a plan. It’s a rather disturbing one. I think they have teamed up with a certain group within the Zionist ‘community’ that they are literally using a quasi-biblical template to bring about fake end times. They are going to fake it.

**Fitts:** Essentially, what we are talking about is they are tired of managing the human race. They are going to put everybody on implants or Neuralink and AI, and they are going to use technocracy to squeeze down and get complete control. It’s the mark of the beast, and they are really up to that.

**Farrell:** It’s their template. They forgot how the story ends. They think they can manipulate that because they don’t think there is going to be any sort of intervention. The problem with manipulating ‘simples’ that invoke the demonic is that sooner or later the real thing shows up.

**Fitts:** Exactly, and that is the question. Is this interdimensional intelligence controlling?

**Farrell:** Personally, I have no problem thinking along those lines because what we are watching is so inhuman and so anti-human that behind all the chaos there is coordination, but there is coordination of such a nature that it would be beyond the most sinister cabal of humans to try to figure out how to do it. So, I have no problem with that view. There are many people who probably do, but I have no problem with it.
My problem is that I think you are dealing with two classes of people. You are dealing with one class of people who are sheer materialists and they are willing to practice black magic because they think that it is all a big joke and they are willing to read Saul Alinsky and that type of work. They don’t realize that by invoking simple, you are invoking everything that goes with the simple.

**Fitts:** Right, and there is no doubt that if you look at the Tony Podesta artwork, which is spreading, I think that these people are practicing human sacrifice.

**Farrell:** I do, too.

**Fitts:** They have always practiced human sacrifice. Part of the problem is that if you look at the Masons; I believe that the Masons from the time of the Crusades on, had mind control and had much of the covert assassination technology, had the pedophilia, and those are very old practices. But when World War II ended and you created the black budget, you suddenly created an infinite amount of funding secretly so that you could create the CIA. So, you basically turned over, between the corporate infrastructure and the government employees, hundreds of thousands of people and an infinite amount of money for them to take their mind control and pedophilia and whatever on scale.

**Farrell:** What you did was create an agency which, under the aegis of national security and under the aegis of black projects, could do scientific investigations of black magic and do it on an industrial scale.

I’ve said over and over again, “What made Nazi Germany, Inc?” It was the first technologically sophisticated great power in the world that, at the very highest echelons of its governance structure, was wholly dedicated to the proposition of reviving Atlantis and black magic practices. Think of Heinrich Himmler and the declaration that he made establishing the Ahnenerbe Dienst. It was to investigate all occult lore, esoteric, and so on for the purpose of the utilization of any potential military application.

**Fitts:** In the Masonic lodges you had – to the extent that I knew them or saw them – an enormous amount of training and philosophy and education to create a culture that could handle power discretely.

When you integrated that with the CIA and intelligence agencies, it didn’t come along with that education or training. What you gained was an enormous mess.
**Farrell:** It was only at the upper echelons of those intelligence agencies that you found the secret society connections, just like George Bush and the Skull and Bones and all of that.

**Fitts:** He apparently never got the training.

**Farrell:** On the contrary, I think that he probably did. That is what is so disturbing about it.

**Fitts:** If you look at the really wonderful and beautiful parts of the Masonic philosophy, the tragedy is how many people like Bush didn’t understand it.

**Farrell:** But you have to understand that Skull and Bones isn’t a standard Masonic lodge; it’s an Illuminati lodge. If you dig into the history, there is a direct connection between Skull and Bones and the Bavarian Illuminati. I am 98% certain of that.

**Fitts:** Do you have anything else on ‘Who is Mr. Global’?

**Farrell:** Not at the moment.

**Fitts:** I think that Mr. Global wants to use AI and IT to cut out many people in the governance structure. They are taking the governance structure smaller and tighter, and that is the whole point of getting from the money at Harvard, to index funds, getting it secret and controlled by them.

When you see the deep state with the power shift against the Vatican, you are basically talking about the Masons.

**Farrell:** Listening to Pope Francis and some of his recent pronouncements, I absolutely agree. I think that he is somewhat in the final stage of the coup there. He has come out with all this ‘Chrislam’ subject now, and he is making pronouncements that we need to obey the United Nations and all sorts of nonsense.

**Fitts:** “Under the thumb”.
Question #9: Which is stranger – what is going on beyond the veil of secrecy, or the overwhelming effort to pretend it is not going on and to create an official reality diverging faster and steadily from reality?

**Farrell:** I think that the stranger one is the creation of the official reality that is diverging from reality. I think that is much stranger.

**Fitts:** Here is what is hard for me. I can understand the popular media diverging, but what I can’t understand is the financial media diverging.

If you look at the divergence between the financial official reality, most of the financial independent media is in the official reality. Their point of view is not to follow reality; their point of view is to complain about the official reality, but there is a refusal to go look at reality. It is so shocking to me.

It has been shocking to me for 30 years. But because of the speed at which reality is pulling away, my rational mind cannot fathom why financial people would refuse to look at reality.

**Farrell:** I can see the problem from your point of view, but looking at it from where I sit, what I know about finance you could write on the back of a postage stamp. But the way that I look at that class of financial analysts or investment banks or brokerage houses or what have you, you are dealing with a class that is so narrowly focused on a certain data set that it simply doesn’t enter their analytical picture to step back and look at the black budget and look at exotic technologies and science and culture.

Part of it is simply due to the fact they are in such a ‘hothouse’ environment where they are constantly having to focus on that and so they can’t step back. The difficulty that I would have would be with the people on the major television networks who are doing the financial analysis because they are people who are in the position where they can step back and look at the bigger picture.

The question then becomes: Why aren’t they looking at some of this? Quite frankly, I think that it is a simple answer: They are not even aware of its existence.

**Fitts:** I think part of it is that the financial people have been trying not to look at the asset side of the balance sheet. I can’t tell you how many people have told me, “I don’t understand the financial system, and I don’t understand money, and I can’t.”
It’s just ridiculous. Of course you can, anybody can.

We have talked a great deal this year on The Solari Report about grappling with a world where reality is diverging from official reality, but the message from the 3rd Quarter Wrap Up, and in looking at what is going on, is that if you look at the acceleration that is happening, you don’t have time to worry about that anymore. You just have to go with reality.

**Farrell:** I think another problem for many of these analysts is, as you just mentioned, looking at the asset side of the balance sheet. Part of the problem is we are in a culture that is so all-pervasive in the sense that people are constantly told they are liabilities and they are constantly looking at only one thing. They are looking at themselves and the whole system as a liability. They are not even aware of the asset side.

In other words, I think that it is a cultural phenomenon once again.

**Fitts:** One thing that I would ask of everyone listening or reading this is that in the 4th Quarter I want you to stop being offended, concerned, or worried that the organs of propaganda are not paying attention to the news. Their job is propaganda. Your job is understanding that they are propaganda and not looking to them to do anything other than propaganda.

You have got to let go of the notion that they are supposed to give you a map of the world. That is not their job. So let it go. Just turn them over.

**Farrell:** I would say that is probably truer, believe it or not, of people who call themselves conservative than people on the left.

In other words, you have a certain segment of this population that listens to the radio talk show hosts – certain hosts on ‘folk news’, as I like to call it – who give them the line. They are every bit as much propaganda as the media on the left.

I would say that goes double for the people on the right.

**Fitts:** The smartest thing that I ever did was when *The Washington Post* burned me in 1998, I said, “That’s it! I am never giving them another hour of my time to corporate media. I’m out forever,” and I haven’t spent any time or wasted any time on them.
I’ve wasted time on independent media that turned out to be entertainment, not actual facts.

If you look at the independent media that is interested in actionable intelligence, it is remarkably small. So, I have wasted time on independent media, but I haven’t wasted an hour of time on corporate.

**Farrell:** I listen to the corporate media, but only to find out what people are thinking.

**Fitts:** I mean talking to them or helping them write a story. As an investment advisor, I definitely listen to them. It is very important to me as an investment advisor to know what the official reality is.

Last question: **What is next for Dr. Joseph Farrell?**

**Farrell:** I am doing two books right now. My goal was to try to get both of them done at the same time and release them simultaneously before the end of the year, but it’s not looking like that is going to happen. So, I am going to try to get one done and get that released, and then move on to the other. That is what I have on my plate, and they are big.

When you arrive, I take all my books off the table so that we have room. Before you came, I had them stacked high and wide.

**Fitts:** From reading your books, I believe it.

**Farrell:** I’ve got my ‘teeth’ into a big one here.

**Fitts:** You always do.

**Take Action.**

I want you to give me the speech now that you gave me earlier about ‘It’s time for action’.

**Farrell:** It’s ‘time for action’. It is time for people to realize that we are at the cultural tipping point. So, then the question is: What do we do? I will be blunt: The system has failed, and I don’t think that any sort of political action can help.
I’m not saying, “Don’t vote,” or anything like that, but I am saying that we are at a cultural tipping point.

The first thing that people need to do is figure out in their mind what sort of world they want to see for their grandchildren or great grandchildren because they are not going to see it in their lifetime. That is the first thing: What kind of world do you want to see?

I am urging people, “I want a world where people love each other and are happy” – get out of the American littering generality mentality. Be specific, and be as specific as possible. So, that is the first thing.

Next, how do we get there? Getting there depends on networks of people and so on. The first thing that we have to figure out is: Who can I trust? Who in my family can I trust?

If necessary, sit down with a pad of paper and a pen and start writing it out. Who in my life can I trust with my life?

**Fitts:** I am going to say something tough: I have watched the ‘tapeworm’ try to compromise everybody and everything around me, including family, friends, and everything. What I will tell you is that most people listening or reading this have a list of the people who they can trust, which also has many people on it who they can’t trust, and they don’t know it.

I hate to say it because this is somewhat like Stasi (East German secret police) time. Andrew Vachss who is my favorite fiction writer or crime novel author once said, “Your family are the people who won’t turn you in.” That is what you need to figure out. You need to figure out who your ‘family’ is.

**Farrell:** You need to figure that out, and that brings up the next point on my ‘To Do List’ or my ‘Bucket List’ of survival. The next thing is to identify people who share most – if not all – of your worldview. That means that you have to figure out, “What is my worldview? What do I want to see for my grandchildren or great grandchildren?”

Identifying your ‘fellow travelers’ – to borrow the Marxist term – is crucial in this period. You have to know who potential enemies and potential friends are. That is the next thing on the list. You have to be able to stop and think.
We are not used to thinking in this way, but we have to figure out who our enemies are. It doesn’t necessarily mean just in your personal life; it could mean at large.

At the top of my list, I would put people like Zbigniew Brzezinski and David Rockefeller and the usual people, and I can think of people in my personal life who I won’t mention. You have to figure that out.

Next, you have to determine: What do I need to learn to defend what I think or what I want to see happen in the world? What do I need to learn?

If you are about trying to own the culture and preserve it, then what part of the culture is it that you are trying to own and preserve, and how do you learn about it? Where do you go to learn about it?

The next thing is: You have got to ascertain how much you can disengage from the system, and as much as possible. That is going to vary.

Fitts: Everybody is different.

Farrell: These lists are going to be different, but you have to decide: How much can I afford to disengage without arousing suspicion? That is crucial.

The next step is: How do I maintain secure communications with those who I trust? I will be very blunt: You have to start determining ways of talking and writing in code. This is literally what we are facing. How do I develop a communications network that is analog in nature and therefore much more difficult to compromise? Personally, I would have a typewriter.

Fitts: It took me two years to get my typewriter from Romania, but I have it with extra spools of tape. There is a book that I have always wanted to write, but I am going to write it on the typewriter.

Farrell: People need to start thinking about little things like this. If you have a family with children, people need to think: Am I in the right area? That means not only in terms of schools, but do you want to be living on the 50th floor in Brooklyn when the defecation hits the ‘rotary oscillating motor’? Personally, I don’t.

Fitts: The Federal courts in Switzerland just outlawed homeschooling.
Farrell: I can believe it. They are going to try the same thing here; I can feel it coming.

Fitts: They can’t; they won’t get away with it.

Farrell: They will try.

Fitts: They will try. They have tried before, but they won’t get away with it.

Farrell: The final step is: People have to know and understand in detail – not a willy-nilly thing and not a glittering generality – what they are willing to die for because we are coming into crunch time.

Fitts: In 1996 and 1998, as well as several other periods in the 1990’s, when the decision I had to make was: Am I going to walk away, or am I going to be killed? I said that I would rather die.

You have to be clear about what your values are and the line that you refuse to cross. It’s really about: What is the line that you refuse to cross? I refused to cross that line several times, and I was highly confident that I would not physically make it. I was highly confident that I would be dead.

You have to know that. And once you know that, once you are clear about where your line is, you stop worrying about it and it’s not a problem, but you have to be totally clear.

If you are not clear and you get continually pushed back and compromised, it is a herding technique, and you wake up one day and are like a shell of yourself. I have seen this. Across the country you see these lower-level Masons. I have never seen people who are so angry and frustrated and bitter. It’s because they realize they have been played, but they have a control file, and they can’t say anything.

If you could unleash those people and find a way to free them of their control files and their commitments, they would be a mighty army.

Farrell: Yes, they would. There is a way, but people will have to think deeply about that.

Fitts: I always wanted to create a committee and be the chairman who would cleanse people of their control file, and the general population –
– once you gave them a good housekeeping seal of approval, which could be pulled if they went back to their errant ways – would trust the good housekeeping seal and not the shriek-o-meter.

**Farrell:** This understanding of the line that you can’t cross comes as part of knowing who your friends are and knowing who your enemies are. The way that you identify them is by either their cosmology, as in the case of your friends, or by their ideology, as in the case of your enemies. I make those terminological distinctions advisably. People have to understand the difference between the two.

**Fitts:** The biggest risk for me, traditionally, has been that many of the people who I am dealing with don’t understand what we are up against. They can’t see it, and it’s not real for them.

It is real for me and I can see that it’s real. Occasionally I miss it and I don’t see it coming when I should, but I am fairly good. For them, it’s not real, and they don’t understand how dark the place is that it is taking us. They are willing to inch back, inch by inch, not realizing what they are letting grow strong.

**Farrell:** We are not talking to those people. In other words, we are back to that man who you talked about earlier who joined your website and then said, “No, you are nuts. I am getting out.”

We do not have time for people like that.

**Fitts:** It’s the story of Gideon. You are trying to get down to the people who are not afraid and who have faith and who are competent.

**Farrell:** The business about identifying friends and enemies is also about identifying dead weight and getting rid of it and getting it out of your life as much as possible.

The other thing that people need to know besides knowing who their opponents are, is knowing their strategies and tactics. They don’t necessarily have to use them, but they have to know them so that they can recognize them.

**Fitts:** That is why I am doing *Deep State Tactics.*

**Farrell:** It’s knowing how to recognize this.
Fitts: We are going to wrap it up into the Annual Wrap Up because I realized when I was publishing *Deep State Tactics* that people have been dealing with these tactics and didn’t realize that they were tactics.

Farrell: We are dealing with these tactics because this is a gnostic culture and people don’t understand. Look at all the people who have signed onto Gnosticism as their system.

Fitts: I don’t think that most of the people listening or reading this understand what that means.

Farrell: What I am talking about are all the people out there who have been influenced by this new age ‘gobbledy-gook’ who think that this is the way to go. What they don’t realize is they themselves been made victims of tactics and strategies of institutional subversion.

I am not talking about Gnosticism simply as a religious system or something that is ecclesiastical; I am lifting those strategies and tactics out of that context and saying, “When you do that, you can look at these strategies and tactics and see how you can apply them to any particular institution that you want to subvert away from its traditional moorings – be it the family, the academy, the government, what have you”. This is what people have to latch onto. It is crucial.

Fitts: One of the things that I want to talk about is the 2020 campaign in the United States because campaigns are a way that Americans get together and talk. It’s like a nine-month Bohemian Grove for the average person. It’s more important what we learn and share during that process. It is very much where we put our time.

There is a reason to jump in and start screaming about the $21 trillion and FASAB 56 because there is a venue for people to listen and people to hear. The big politics after 2020 is, “Oh, we are going to have to cut your money.”

I want 325 million people in America, whenever they say, “We are going to cut your money,” to respond and say, “Well, let’s get the $21 trillion.” I want real pushback.
I want everybody to jump into the campaign and keep plopping the real game of missing money and the $21 trillion and FASAB 56 into every town hall meeting, every conversation, every debate, and all aspects of the campaign.

Farrell: In other words, what people need to do is sit down and draw up a list of things or issues or memes that they want to drive into the conversation.

Fitts: Here is why I want them to drive in the $21 trillion: Pick any issue on the asset side of the balance sheet from vaccines to guns to whatever, and there are all sorts of different understandings and all sorts of different agreements. The $21 trillion is everybody. It’s not this group or that group. It is everybody, and everybody agrees that they shouldn’t be able to steal our money.

There is 100% consensus. So it is bipartisan, it is everybody, and there is no wrong side to it. That is why I want to get that in.

Farrell: I am going beyond the campaign. I am going back to: How do we fight this?

You have to know what issues you need to be aware of to start dropping into conversations. I go back to what I said before: You have to understand that you are not there to win an argument in the conversation; you are there to plant a seed.

Fitts: Start planning seeds because they work, they absolutely work.

Farrell: Oh, trust me, they work. If you get good enough at it, you will be able to discover that you can plant little intellectual time bombs that will tick away at people’s minds and detonate much later. When that happens, you gain an ally.

Fitts: I want to talk about Support Great Leadership. One of the things that I hear from people, very legitimately, is, “I’m too busy. I don’t have time to make a contribution. I just need to survive.”

In your community, in your industry, in your family, in every aspect of life there is great leadership. The most frustrating thing that drives me crazy is that I see in most household budgets, – whether they are revenues, expenses, or assets – they are supporting ‘crappy’ leadership. They are basically financing the Masons to take control of us all, and they are financing technocracy, and they are not putting money into the pockets of the great leaders.
At Solari every week, we have a Solari Hero. Every week we put up one or two or three donations – small donations. We are trying to point the way to say, “Figure out who the leader is that you care about, and support them.”

You are busy and don’t have time; put it in your budget. If you look at all the money that you are pouring into the people who are centralizing control, for ‘God’s sake’, start putting in an equivalent amount into the pockets of the people who are trying to protect you.

I am baffled by the absence of financial support to the people who are really protecting us all. I am baffled by it.

**Farrell:** I understand what you are saying.

**Fitts:** If tomorrow, everybody who was banking with JP Morgan Chase got out of JP Morgan Chase and moved to a good local bank or credit union, do you know how much those bankers could do arguing at the Fed for a different policy?

If tomorrow, one million people sent $10 to Robert Kennedy, Jr. and said, “How can we help?” or said, “We are praying for you,” do you know how that would back the system up?

We have the power, and we are not exercising it. If we took half the money that we are spending on the lottery, or half the money that we are spending on illegal drugs and we financed the leaders in our community or in our country who are doing something, do you know what they could do with that money? What is the problem? I don’t get this.

**Farrell:** I don’t either. I know exactly what you are dealing with because I have seen it in the churches with these liberal, progressivized, bastardized, liturgical churches. People continue to support them out of some kind of misguided loyalty. I had no such qualms when I saw this happen in the Episcopal Church. My money stopped, my attendance stopped, and I started going to traditional churches.

I have a very wonderful sister who is Roman Catholic. She doesn’t like all the modern nonsense. I keep asking her, “Why do you support it? It is part of your baptismal vow. You have no institutional loyalty to an institution that is betraying its own principles.”
Fitts: Here is the reality. If you look at how the money works in America, we haven’t shifted our money behind the ‘good guys’. That’s us; I can give you chapter and verse.

What I am telling you is: Shift your money, turn to everybody around you and tell them to shift their money.

I ‘tore’ into one subscriber when I found out this quarter that she was banking at JP Morgan Chase. I said, “Are you in a trance?”

I heard something that I hear all the time, “I’m not important.”

I said, “You are important to me.”

Farrell: You are important enough that they want to keep your money.

Fitts: It’s not only money; it’s energy, it’s physics.

So, every week we have a hero. There were many heroes and many amazing people this quarter. One of the most amazing was the Franklin Square and Munson Fire District Board of Commissioners who came out strongly about the official story not being true about 9/11. As far as I am concerned, they risked their lives. I think that it was not disconnected to Congress’s reluctance to finance the healthcare, and the fact that police all over New York are being ‘Epsteined’.

We also saw the NYPD union censure the Mayor and the union leader. I think they were responding to the Epstein case.

People are pushing back. There is no doubt about it.

Farrell: We just need to ‘up the game’. More people need to push back, and more people need to quit taking the beast.

Fitts: I had a wonderful subscriber this quarter say, “Look, you do all these donations, but I am too busy. I don’t have time. I get what you say about supporting great leadership. I’m just going to send you a check. Can you parse it out?”
So, I will make that offer to people. If you are too busy, you can send us the check, and we will use it to make our donations. I will set up something more formal later this year, but that is not enough. Everybody has to do this.

If you are financing the ‘bad guys’ and your money is going into the bad guys’ pockets and you are not supporting the ‘good guys’, you are the problem. Look in the mirror, and don’t tell me you don’t have time to switch this. It takes almost no time.

**Great Actions.** I want to mention Kennedy’s speech outside the California legislature. I mentioned the New York firefighters and what great people they are. One of my favorite headlines was, ‘The San Francisco Board of Supervisors Declares NRA a Domestic Terrorist Organization.’

So I tweeted, “I am declaring the San Francisco Board of Supervisors a Domestic Terror Organization now that anybody can declare anybody anything. If there are no rules, it’s on.”

One subscriber wrote me and said, “That is really dangerous.”

I said, “Who cares? I’m not going to be afraid of these guys.”

‘The NRA Sues San Francisco over their Tariffs Declaration’.

Farrell: They should.

Fitts: Absolutely. California did something good. I doubt they did it for the right reasons, but they did something good. California is outlawing for-profit prisons, including ICE facilities. I thought that was interesting.

‘The One Million Moms Launch Boycott of Whole Foods’ was good.

One of the subscribers sent this to me: There was a great rancher in Wyoming, and they did a big video on the food labeling laws and described why it was so important.

We continually support Alan Phillips. The North Carolina Bar went after him. He is the leading attorney in the country on vaccine exemptions.
Alan Collinge on student loans and Alan Phillips are two of the people most worthy of your donations in this country. Look at either of those..

Any other great actions that you can think of during the quarter?

**Farrell:** That quite well covers my list as a matter of fact, and I can’t think of any others.

**Fitts:** You are not excited of the creation of Beyond Burger?

**Farrell:** No.

**Fitts:** **Inspiration.** What inspired you?

**Farrell:** One of them was the Kennedy speech. I watched that whole thing, and I totally agree with you on that one. I thought that was very good.

**Fitts:** He mentions this in his speech: One of the tactics they use is, “If you keep doing this, you will have no friends.” So, one of the legislators or one of the people who he was lobbying said, “Bobby, if you keep doing this, you will have no friends.”

He said, “I have lots of friends.”

**Farrell:** Exactly. I liked that speech quite a lot.

I have to say it again. The other high moment and inspiring moment for me was when ‘Yosemite’ Sam Bolton was fired. Kudos to my website members who put me onto the ‘Yosemite Sam’ comparison with his moustache and his policy.

**Fitts:** I confess that Netanyahu losing was very inspiring.

**Farrell:** That was my second one. That was also on my list of inspirations – and Putin making him wait for several hours.

**Fitts:** I put out a video of Hava Nagila. A little celebration music!

I confess that I have been very inspired this quarter by our Food for the Soul column, which Nina Heyn writes twice a month. It’s basically following art, some movies, and culture, but the focus is on art.
She is a real museum hound, and she travels extensively, and is looking for great museums and also offbeat things that don’t normally get covered.

It’s amazing how much is happening. The other thing that inspires me is I follow Nina and what she is doing, she is finding all of these great things that are happening. When I travel around the world and I find these companies, I find fabulous business teams doing great things, but it’s not covered. People don’t know about it.

**Farrell:** This is the other part of unplugging as much as you can from the system because that forces you to start noticing things locally, and there is plenty going on in every locality. If there isn’t a lot going on, then do something yourself, make it go on.

**Fitts:** I do think that we have to fight the ‘nothing’, and I believe that inspiration requires discipline. You need to build times into your schedule to be inspired because ‘the nothing’ is on the march. If you let the nothing corner you, you can start feeling very dark. If you look at the suicide statistics of young people, it is frightening. They are horrible. I really think there is a campaign to try to get them to think dark and commit suicide. I don’t think this is an accident.

This requires mental discipline and real personal discipline to say, “How am I going to protect myself from the nothing, and how am I going to protect my family and the people I love from the nothing?”

It takes time and work and conscious planning and action.

**Farrell:** We are not in the position with what is coming to be able to stumble through life anymore. We can’t simply react to things anymore.

**Fitts:** We need a ‘nothing evasion plan’.

**Farrell:** We need to be proactive – much more so than we have been.

**Fitts:** We are coming into the 4th Quarter, the holidays, and the end of the year. What do you think we should watch for in the 4th Quarter?
**Farrell:** We should watch for the general elections in Great Britain. I wouldn’t be a bit surprised that you might actually see Merkel’s government fail within the next three to six months. I think you are going to see yet another government form in Italy. I think there is going to be backlash ‘bigtime’.

We could play Q-Anon and say, “Trust the plan. The arrests are coming any minute now,” but I am not going to go there because we have been waiting for this to happen for two and a half years, and all we have is a ‘Beyond Burger’.

It’s the old Wendy’s commercial, “Where is the beef?”

There’s that, and I think that unfortunately you are going to see more staged shootings for gun grab.

**Fitts:** If you look at what the Fed did with repo’s last week, I don’t see how we can get out of another round of QE.

**Farrell:** I don’t either. This is what is so wild about it. They keep trying the same tricks, and I go back to the fact that, in my mind, none of these tricks are working because the reality culture is withdrawing from it.

**Fitts:** More QE is going to pump up the top 10% and slam the bottom 90%. So, it is going to make it worse, not better.

**Farrell:** Maybe that is their plan, I don’t know. You are going to see much more of that. You are going to see more of that, and you are going to see more staged events. I fully expect more staged events vis-à-vis Iran, but I don’t think that it is going to play.

**Fitts:** Trump is up five points in the polls because Bolton has made very clear that he stopped war in Iran. He is up five points in the poll, so what do you think is going to happen next?

**Farrell:** I don’t know.

**Fitts:** Trump is not going to start a war with Iran. Every time he doesn’t, he is going to go up in the polls.

**Farrell:** I fully expect that they are going to keep trying.
I said this years and years ago: When you surround yourself with people like David Rockefeller and Baron Rothschild did for so many years, when you surround yourself with experts who reflect your views exactly, you can’t come up with anything.

**Fitts:** Where is Sir James Goldsmith when you need him?

**Farrell:** These people can’t think outside their box anymore. In a way, that is comforting because we know their playbook now, and they haven’t a new one.

They are running Superbowl plays from 1920.

**Fitts:** When I got the gist of transhumanism, I got very excited. I remember the 1990’s when I finally understood how the money worked by place, and I could feel it, and I could see it. The beauty of that is, even though it was terribly gruesome to see what was keeping it suppressed, you realized that if we could let it optimize, the wealth potential is unbelievable. I’ve been laughing ever since because of that.

So, when I finally understood the transhumanism system, I realized that if you look at our potential to access interdimensional intelligence and to resonate with each other and with life, and you combine that with the wealth potential of what is possible, there is no way that these people can stop us if we see the opportunity.

How do I communicate to people this extraordinary opportunity because it’s really fabulous? It can be really fabulous, but it requires trust.

**Farrell:** It requires trust, and it requires pushback.

**Fitts:** The only way that it can be trustworthy is if I am willing to be trustworthy. That is the hard part, and I am willing to say, “No. This is not acceptable. No.”

You need 5-10% of the people who are going to say, “No. Not acceptable, and we are prepared to be trustworthy to lead to something that is human”. But the opportunity is there. I can see it, and I can feel it. It’s there, and it’s not hopeless at all.
Farrell: Of course, it’s there. When I first learned about you, it was comforting because I thought that I was the only one driving around the country looking at gigantic truck stops in the middle of nowhere with no business. “Open for business,” and all lights blaring, and a couple of cars at the gas pumps.

I thought, “Oh, they are laundering money and running drugs. I must be the only one in the country who sees this.”

My point is that if enough people start pushing back, then the platform rises where that message can begin to get out. So, it’s a matter of: Quit feeding the ‘damn’ beast, start supporting the good leaders, and for the praying kinds, pray accordingly. If you identify an enemy, why are you not trying to bind what they are doing?

Start doing those kinds of things and results will happen.

Fitts: You don’t have to go to town hall meetings; you can pray.

Farrell: You don’t have to go to town hall meetings. I only see horror in any sort of politics like that.

Fitts: I have so much fun at town hall meetings.

Farrell: I know that you do, but my problem is that I get angry. I just want to go in there and chew these people out.

Fitts: Everybody is different, and you have to do what is energizing for you. You have to optimize your energy and your situation. Everybody is different. That is why the theme of this Wrap Up is ‘Take Action’. We want to inspire people to find the ways that are effective for them.

I don’t care who you are, and I don’t care what your preferences are, there are scores of actions that you can take that make a huge difference.

Farrell: But you have to identify your friends and enemies. The other thing that you have to do is have face-to-face conversations with those with whom you are in some kind of sync with.

Fitts: You can’t go through the Borg.
Farrell: This is the other problem. You can’t have a conversation on Facebook.

Fitts: There is a reason I drive many, many hours to come here to talk to you in person.

Farrell: I understand, and I appreciate the visits because they pick me up. They really do.

That is why conferences really thrive. It’s not only people going to listen to the speakers; they get a chance to communicate.

Fitts: That is why we have all these Solari events – so people can meet each other.

Farrell: Exactly. It has to be done. People have to start thinking in a very detailed manner.

Fitts: I haven’t brought this up and have been very careful not to bring this up, but I have to because I am ‘dying’ to hear. How is the organ?

Farrell: Oh, it is wonderful! As a matter of fact, I think I told you that about three days ago, I almost made it all the way through the piece. You saw that piece of music on the bench, and you said, “Oh my word!”

It’s athletic. My 60-year-old body doesn’t respond like my 20-year-old body did!

Fitts: I didn’t realize until you got me involved in this that playing the organ is very physical.

Farrell: Oh, it’s extremely physical. After four minutes on that particular piece, you are like a ‘wrung-out dishrag’.

It is wonderful and it energizes me. I have been trying to push myself a little to relearn some other pieces that I used to play. I have developed a routine where I warm up with four pieces, and I am trying to relearn a little Organ Sonata that I used to play. So, it’s fun.

If people aren’t listening to me, that makes it even more fun because I don’t have to worry about my ‘boo-boo’s’.
Fitts: And Shiloh is fine with it?

Farrell: If I am having a bad day, she will go into the bedroom. She won’t stay and listen to it. If I am doing fairly well, she will stay right there on the couch. So, she recognizes it.

If it is a really, really bad day, the first thing that she wants to do when I am done is go outside. “That was so bad, Farrell, I need to go out and urinate on the lawn!”

Fitts: Unless ‘the nothing’ is out there.

Farrell: If ‘the nothing’ is out there, she won’t go out.

Fitts: Dr. Joseph Farrell, it is always a privilege and an opportunity and a pleasure to come and analyze what is going on. I think that I understand the news when I’m driving here, and then I sit down and I talk with you, and I leave thinking, “Wow! I understand it so much better!”

Farrell: It’s the same for me. We are approaching the same things from different points of view, so it is always fun. That is what I do with the ‘Giza guys’. We look at something, and we are all looking at it from different angles. That is part of the conversation.

Fitts: You don’t regret getting Boris Johnson elected?

Farrell: No. I was acquainted with him at the Oxford Union society, and helped him in his campaign. He lost in that campaign to Neil Sherlock, but I knew him. I wasn’t a close friend or anything. He wouldn’t remember me now if I showed up on his doorstep, which is unlikely.

I remember that he is in person, just like you see him on TV. He has this big, lumbering presence, and always comes off as disorganized. He isn’t, but he comes off that way.

There is no doubt in my mind that he is an interim Premier.

Fitts: There is no doubt in my mind that you and I are going to have a better 4th Quarter than he is.
**Farrell:** I think that is probably true. He is in quite a ‘pickle’ now because eventually, he is going to have to call a general election, and the ‘fly in the ointment’ for both the Tories and the Labor is the Brexit Party. I think that everyone is going to be surprised.

Personally, I would not be surprised to see Nigel Farage and Tim Dowling. If he wins a substantial enough showing in constituency and actually gains some seats in Parliament – if it is substantial enough – he could be asked to form a coalition government. That could be with the pro-Brexit labor party, or with elements within the Tory party. It could be very interesting.

I think that Johnson was somewhat of a caretaker PM. We will see.

**Fitts:** That’s it for News Trends & Stories Part II for the 3rd Quarter 2019 Wrap Up. Thank you, Joseph, and thanks to all of you. Remember, if you’re not in a conspiracy, you need to start one.

Ladies and gentlemen, goodbye and good luck!

---
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