



The Solari Report

February 7, 2019

Deep State and the Reengineering of America Part II: Killing Kennedy and the Kennedy Cover-Up with Harry Blazer





***Deep State and the
Reengineering of America,
Part II: Killing Kennedy
and the Kennedy Cover-Up
with Harry Blazer***

February 7, 2019

C. Austin Fitts: Ladies and gentlemen, welcome to The Solari Report. This is Catherine Austin Fitts, and we are here to talk about the Deep State and the Reengineering of America, Part II: Killing Kennedy and the Kennedy Cover-Up with Harry Blazer. Harry, welcome to The Solari Report.

Harry Blazer: Welcome to you also.

Fitts: We decided that we would do this series on the Deep State. Our goal is to help people understand what the Deep State is sufficiently so that they can see it and use that knowledge as a map to navigate their lives.

We did Part I to introduce the concept in the series, but both of us felt that going through the Kennedy assassination was one of the best ways of seeing the Deep State as it stood in 1963. What was it that somebody said the other day? “We’ve been walking in the valley of death ever since.”



You can't understand the Deep State as it functions today without understanding the Kennedy assassination. I think it's fair to say that you have really done serious research and investigation into the Kennedy assassination. So why don't you just tell us why you thought it was so important to make such a significant investigation of the Kennedy assassination?

Blazer: They've showed their cards. Because almost everybody involved is dead now, and there has been such a great amount of research done - and just the stuff that comes up when you have that extensive of an operation involving that many people, stuff eventually comes up); - also, people get regrets and they say things as they get close to death and so on. So (for all these reasons) we have a good picture of a lot of the details.

Fitts: It is remarkable how much has been disgorged and how much has been written and how much has been produced.

Blazer: Right. Part of the disinformation campaign that follows any operation like this, is that there is a bunch of stuff that comes out, a bunch of books that are done by shells - namely to have so much stuff out there that people never really know what happened.

By the same token, you can pick up almost any book, and there is something in there that absolutely will tell you that this thing was a conspiracy and the official Warren Report is bogus.

Fitts: The official story is so ridiculous. It used to be that when you were with the guys from the intelligence agencies who would do these kinds of operations that they would laugh so hard because it was laughable how minimal and how fake the story could be to get away with it.



Blazer: The point is that you are able to do a JFK, you are able to do a RFK, Malcolm X, Martin Luther King, Jr., you are able to do a 9/11 and the financial fraud, and it's a lot of the same elements, and it is a lot of the same techniques. Of course, a key part of it is having a commission that is assigned – sometimes with a very abbreviated agenda.

In 9/11, they didn't tell them to investigate what really happened; they gave them a very abbreviated agenda with hand-picked people where you could basically control the outcome. As you and I have discussed, you can also identify who the potential trouble makers are.

Fitts: If there is one thing that you don't want to do, you don't want to talk to a commission run by the establishment. It is very dangerous.

Blazer: The point is that the government has no capability of investigating itself when it is corrupt.

Fitts: Right. I really think that the Kennedy assassination – the success of both the assassination and the profits (because it was a very profitable operation) and the success of the cover-up – amazed even the conspirators. I think that the Kennedy assassination laid the train tracks and the confidence for the incredible corruption that blossomed thereafter.

To me, the national security state really came out of the closet and blossomed with the Kennedy assassination.



Blazer: To have that many people involved with that many agencies, you had to have Secret Service (and by that I mean members of the Secret Service), the FBI, intelligence agencies, in particular the CIA and the DIA and the NSA, and you had to have mafia, and you had to have the Dallas police department.

Fitts: It was basically everybody.

Blazer: You had to have at least some in every one of those organizations and a Supreme Court Justice, some in the senate and some in the house, and on and on. To be able to manufacture a cover-up that involved that many constituencies is amazing.

Fitts: That is part of what gave them so much confidence. They couldn't believe how they got away with it.

Blazer: That is the thing, but not only that, but they basically were able to blow the head off in public and have it filmed of this very, very popular guy who was working hard for peace. That shows you that you can do anything that you want.

Fitts: It was a ritual killing, and it was public.

Blazer: That too. I think that there was a ritual aspect to it.

Fitts: So there is no doubt that this was an exercise of power of extraordinary magnitude.



Blazer: It was a coup d'état that we had executed in many places around the world with kind of the same game plan as we brought home by the same mechanisms that we had used.

Fitts: One of the reasons why I wanted to point this out was when you look at how many different groups were involved, you literally had the establishment come together and agree to kill Kennedy. He was flipping the model, and they didn't want the model flipped. It was very important how the ecosystem on the assassination was organized. As a liability matter, you wanted everybody in. They all had to be complicit; otherwise it was too risky.

Blazer: There are even reports of something even more definitive. There was a meeting the night before at Murchison's house where supposedly Nixon and J. Edgar Hoover and Johnson and a bunch of other players – names that would come up later in the Garrison investigation – where they supposedly signed a paper that said, "Yes, we all agree that this needs to be done." There were two copies: One given to J. Edgar Hoover and one given to Johnson.

We know about this from a number of different ways, but one of the ways is because Johnson's mistress was there at the party. He came out of the meeting, and he said to her, "We will never be bothered by the Kennedy's again after tomorrow," or something to that effect.

They had a lot of folks involved, and they all took blood oaths.



(Also, here is a refutation of this story from Wikipedia. Demonstrates the difficulty in determining noise from signal when it comes to specific details, especially when the noise is part of a deliberate disinformation campaign.** A detailed list of those attending the meeting at the Murchinson Mansion on 11/21/63 can be found in “The Men that Don’t Fit In” by Roderick A. MacKenzie, III – names that were supposedly told to MacKenize by Mac Wallace, who is reputedly JBJ’s personal hit man and whose print was found at the 6th floor snipers nest in the Book Depository).

Fitts: It’s a blood bond. I don’t think of the guys at the Murchison house as being the guys at the very top.

Blazer: Right. Even though J. Edgar Hoover was there and Johnson was there, there was another layer above.

Fitts: Right. The beauty of David Talbot’s *The Devil’s Chessboard* is that first of all, he nails Dulles as the main project manager and the one who could coordinate all of the different sides and groups.

Harry: He was known as *the old man*. “The *old man* will take care of it.”

Fitts: So Talbot could take it upstairs through Dillon into the Rockefeller governing board. So that is the beauty of Talbot’s book. But I think that the important thing to understand, and the reason why it was so important to me that we do this now, is because if you look at the assassination, it created a blood brotherhood in a group of people who killed Kennedy. Those people, until David Rockefeller died, were the ones who governed.



Blazer: And George Bush, Sr.

Fitts: George Bush, Sr. at the time was lower level.

Harry: Oh yes, he was a young guy proving himself.

Fitts: He was one of the guys at the Murchison house (note from Harry: actually he was not at that meeting from reports I have seen). He was a young guy proving himself, but he wasn't at the top.

When David died and then George died, then it was the end of that covenant.

Harry: The old guard.

Fitts: It was the end of the old guard. So that coup was, "To the victor go the spoils." Those people got fantastically wealthy and powerful from being part of that blood brotherhood.

It was interesting because when you would deal with them, you had some very capable, intelligent people who were forever outside the club. You used to think, "How come so-and-so is outside of the club? He is so talented." It was because he wasn't in on the deal.

If you weren't in on the assassination, that was it. You had a glass ceiling within the Deep State structure, and you were never going to be at the top.



Blazer: You have to remember that there were different levels. There were operators, some of which got taken out. There were guys like J. Edgar who had his own agenda. He wanted to stay in power, and Kennedy was going to get rid of him. You had Johnson who was very likely going to be convicted of crimes involving a whole bunch of the fraud that he was into, so that stopped that in a nutshell.

The point is that you had various levels of guys, and some didn't make out that well.

Fitts: Many didn't make out that well. I'm thinking more of the money guys because I associate more with the money guys. That is what I saw.

So I just want to mention that the Deep State is centuries and centuries old. Some day we can go back and talk about how far you can take it back, but certainly in America you can take it back to the Revolutionary War. I would say that it was the core Deep State group who created America. It was the Masons; they started it. That is why I love that scene from *The Good Shepherd* where Joe Pesci as the mobster is talking to this old blind blue blood protestant who is at the CIA, played by Matt Damon. Joe Pesci says, "Us Italians, we have our family and the black folks have their music and the Irish have their traditions. What do you people have?"

Matt Damon looks at him and says, "We have the United States of America. The rest of you are just visiting."



Blazer: But we shouldn't let people think that the Masons are the Deep State; the Masons have been involved. But look: J. Edgar Hoover was a 33-degree Mason, and a fair amount of the people involved were Masons, but not only that, but I just found out recently that there is a major memorial of J. Edgar Hoover that is in the major Masonic Temple in Washington. So that is where his memorial is. His desk is there and so on, which is kind of interesting

Fitts: I will just tell you that if you go back centuries, what you see is that there is all sorts of indications of a high central control with high technology, whether it's off-planet or interdimensional. Who knows what it is, but we are talking about UFOs flying around 2,000 years ago. It's almost as though whatever the Deep State is, it is trying to manage for, cooperate with, compete with, or protect themselves from that phenomena.

In other words, there is a serious centralized risk that that group is coping with.

Blazer: You just jumped from A to Z, so that requires a whole other discussion.

Fitts: Which we are not getting into tonight.

Blazer: No, but the bottom line is that there is so much evidence about a conspiracy that involves lots of agencies and lots of different individuals.

Fitts: As you well know, my way of understanding the world is to map out and estimate resource use. When people tell me their philosophy is this or that, it goes in one ear and out the other; I don't care. I want to know what are the transactions.



I grew up as a child watching the resource allocations by the covert forces in Philadelphia. You had three lines: the Vatican, the Jews, and the Masons. They cooperated and competed. When you brought in the drugs, the Vatican controlled the ports and the truckers and the unions. The Masons controlled the banks and the lawyers. The Jews were out punching their way. Then when Israel grew up, the Mossad was willing to do a lot of dirty tricks.

It took a lot of cooperation to run the biggest businesses in America, and it organizes around the money. America grew up on organized crime. If you go back, there is a great book by Michael Woodiwiss, *Organized Crime and American Power: A History*. If you go back, how we organized and pulled capital...it is basically war. There is state war and then there is covert war.

Those networks built up very powerful transaction mechanisms and investment relationships, again, going back hundreds of years to create very extraordinary cooperation. So when you try to tell people about the Kennedy assassination, they say, “That many people could never get together and organize cross-sector,” my attitude is, “Of course they could; they are organized and operating daily to run the narcotics trafficking and organized crime in America.”

ONI got the mafia to take them into Sicily during World War II. They were deeply in it together.

Blazer: There you go. That network existed with intelligence agencies using the mob to do assignments since World War II, and probably before, but certainly from World War II.



Fitts: The mafia was doing their hits for them. among other things.

Blazer: And other things. They were doing sabotage and so on. The network was there, and that network was tapped for the Kennedy assassination.

Fitts: Right. The Deep State didn't spring up just to deal with Kennedy.

I do want to talk a little bit about Kennedy's family history because Kennedy did not come out of the blue bloods; Kennedy was Irish and Catholic. Remember the Masons had deep competition with the Vatican.

His dad was basically a market manipulator. He made his money on pooling and market manipulation.

Blazer: He was a bootlegger, and he was involved in organized crime.

Fitts: Right. That is where he got his stake for the market manipulation. He was basically in the mob.

The other thing is that he was really the one who helped create the deep relationship between Boston and Hollywood. His dad was very involved in Hollywood.

Blazer: So the question comes up, especially since there were huge amounts of evidence that the mob helped win Chicago and New Orleans, and that made the difference between him winning over Nixon, which was a very, very slight majority.



So then how was it that Robert Kennedy turned on the mob – on Hoffa and all these other guys? Even Frank Sinatra, through Peter Lawford or some relative, tried to tell him, “What’s going on? We helped you. Now what is going on?”

Then I came across this amazing story. First of all, it seemed like the Kennedy’s were more aligned with the West Coast mob out of Seattle, but there was this incidence with old man Kennedy and Al Capone where old man Kennedy tried to screw Al Capone. There was a contract that was going to be put out on him, and he had to personally go with a satchel full of money to ‘repent’. “Here’s the money. Don’t kill me. I’m sorry.”

Then Al Capone forgave him, and that was it. Ever since then, he resented it. This was kind of like vengeance for the Eastern mob for him having to bow down to Al Capone.

That came from pretty reliable people, and more than one source.

Fitts: There’s no doubt that the mob felt burned because Bobby went after them as Attorney General.

One of the smart things that Kennedy did was he made his brother Attorney General. Trump should have made his brother Attorney General. I can see why someone would want to do that.

Blazer: Is it smart, or did it contribute to the reason why he died? Robert actually felt that he was somewhat responsible because he was blind to certain things. He enabled certain other things.



Fitts: Remember what he said about the assassination? He said, “I discovered that my world was not the real world.” He was naïve.

Blazer: He was sponsoring Operation Mongoose and these other things. He was behind a lot of the black ops that were being arranged to get cash troves. There was somewhat of a fascination about it also. He was very deeply involved in the meetings that went on.

He just felt that he enabled the mechanism that eventually came back to bite them in the ass.

Fitts: He let the python out of the bottle.

An Irish Catholic family. He was the first generation to go to Harvard. He went into the military. But he is still not in the club.

What is interesting is that he went to work for Forrester and was close to Forrester, and we know that the Deep State killed Forrester and was in ONI.

Blazer: John Connally was in ONI, too.

Fitts: ONI is the most prestigious breeding ground. If you can do Phillips Exeter Academy and then Harvard and then ONI, you are golden.

We also know that Kennedy was briefed by Eisenhower. So between Forrester and ONI and the Eisenhower briefing it is fair to say that whatever is going on with UFO's, he knew.



Blazer: Maybe. I don't know that. You go into Greer (Dr. Steven Greer – disclosureproject.org), and Greer's whole idea was that one of the reasons why he was killed was because he was going to come clean on UFOs. He said that he had all of the evidence and so on. I don't think that we even need to go there. (Was President John F. Kennedy's 1963 assassination in Dallas somehow related to UFOs? The suggestion seemed "nutty" even to the most ardent conspiracy theorists until the recent discovery of previously secret CIA documents that show JFK, 10 days before his death, wrote to the head of the CIA demanding to be shown highly confidential documents about UFOs.

The president's interest in UFOs shortly before his death is likely to fuel conspiracy theories about his assassination according to AOL News.

Alien researchers say the latest documents released to Mr. Lester by the CIA add weight to the suggestion that the President could have been shot to stop him discovering the truth about UFOs)

Fitts: But I do think that one of the big results of the coup was that they took the space program dark. A lot of the coup was to make sure that they could maximize secrecy going forward, and they have. One of the places where they have maximized secrecy is space.

I think that the wealth that has been transferred with the technology that the government has found or financed and the transfer of that technology to corporations is one of the greatest giveaways to corporate America.

Blazer: The way that I would phrase it would be that Kennedy was moving towards greatly increased transparency, and the elimination of secrecy, of getting Russia and the United States to a whole other level of coexistence and cooperation –



– including joint space missions to the moon, which is what he proposed – was definitely put aside. Now Verner von Braun and his buddies at NASA were able to do a lot of things secretly.

Fitts: Right. You brought up Verner von Braun. Clearly there is a real Nazi thread through all of this which we are not going to go into today, but there is no doubt that it is there.

Blazer: Through Operation Paperclip a lot of guys came in. They taught the intelligence agencies about two key things: Compartmentalization and deniability.

Fitts: And underground bases. And they took mind control to a whole new level. They taught them a lot.

But we know that Kennedy was flipping the model. That is a popular conversation today because when Trump got elected and gave his inaugural speech, basically what he proposed to do was flip the model, including many things that Kennedy was trying to do.

Blazer: As I put it, he was touching many third rails, and he was also jeopardizing cash flows to a lot of constituencies.

Fitts: When you look at all of the people who came together to kill Kennedy, what you realize is that if you go back, Peter Janney's book, *Mary's Mosaic*, does a wonderful job of this. So does David Talbot's book, *The Devil's Chessboard*. If you go through the value chain of all of the different industries and businesses in America, he hiccupped almost everybody. It's amazing.



He hiccupped the steel guys, he hiccupped the oil guys, and he hiccupped every part of the national security state and the Federal Reserve. He hiccupped space, he hiccupped Vietnam, and he hiccupped sex slaves. His attitude was, “I can get laid. What’s your problem? No more sex slaves.” That would have messed up the whole control file system, which would really have messed up secrecy.

The reality is that he was flipping the model so the establishment decided that he had to die.

Let’s talk a little bit about how Kennedy died. Walk us through what happened. Walk us through the steps of how Kennedy died.

Harry: You mean that day (of the assassination).

Fitts: Yes

Blazer: From what I can determine, there were multiple teams. There were probably teams in the Texas Depository and the Del-Tex building, which is next to that, and also the Records Building. As a matter of fact, I just read recently where a spent shell was found on the top of the Records Building relatively recently by an air conditioning repair persona, and it was traced back to the time of roughly when that would have happened. It was a caliber that probably was used.

There were also multiple teams on the grassy knoll. When you take a look at the grassy knoll, there is the picket fence, but there are lots of these other open buildings which were perfect nests for snipers to be.



I've seen some photos that indicate that there were as many as four different sniper teams. Generally a sniper always has a lookout and a spotter and this type of thing.

Fitts: They triangulated it.

Blazer: It is total triangulation. Then there are other indications from people that there were some guys on the railroad bridge, and there were also guys underneath the railroad bridge.

As Prouty has said, he was not going to come out of that alive. That is what they had decided.

Fitts: I would point out that several weeks before they were planning on doing it in Chicago.

Blazer: Chicago was supposedly called off, and I think that there was another plan in Miami. Not everybody is corrupt in the FBI and the Secret Service, so if a local guy hears about it, and Chicago was a place where Kennedy had connections. So they brought it to somebody's attention, and they said, "There's too much heat. Let's not do it there."

Fitts: This was not the first time that they had organized to take him out.

Blazer: No, it was not.

Prouty will tell you that the way that they generally do this is there are at least two – and up to three – teams of two people each.



They generally bring in shooters from outside. There is a whole routine that they do. He knows because he supplied a lot of these operations.

To me, there was absolutely intelligence agencies involved, FBI involved, and they had special divisions within the FBI that did this kind of work. There was the mafia involved (including members of the Corsican mob). There were Army Special Forces and Military Special Forces involved.

Fitts: One of the things to understand is that the military stood down.

Blazer: Yes. Somebody got a word to the commander who was supposed to come from Austin or San Antonio or something.

Fitts: It was Fort Hood.

Blazer: In any case, it was in Texas. In the JFK movie they talk about this where he protested vehemently that they weren't there. Windows were open. That dogleg curve that they took slowed them down.

Not only that, but the Zapruder film has absolutely been tampered with (best book on subject is edited by James Fetzer Ph.D called "The Great Zapruder Film Hoax"). By the same token, if you start to look into Zapruder's background, you wonder if maybe they actually wanted this thing out because there was a lot of other filming going on. There was lots of other film from that event that never saw the light of day. There are some bootleg copies of other people's stuff, and there are lots of photos that were taken away and confiscated on site.



For example, Jean Hill, to me, is one of the greatest heroes. She wrote a book called *JFK: The Last Dissenting Witness*. It was by Bill Sloan and her, and she spent a lot of time with Oliver Stone for the movie, *JFK*. She was the closest one to the assassination. I mean, she was very close. She was probably ten feet away when he got his head blown off, and she looks right up and sees the puff of smoke and the light coming off of a rifle from the grassy knoll. She was actually the first person to run up there. She wasn't even sure, but she instinctively moved over there.

Her boyfriend was one of the motorcycle guys who didn't even see her and almost ran over her when she was trying to go up there. He felt that there were a bunch of snipers, also from the grassy knoll, and he was going in a circle to keep himself from making himself a target. She ran up there, and she was the first person behind the fence.

She saw this policeman up there with a rifle, and nobody was supposed to have a rifle. She was immediately approached by these thug-looking guys with credentials who said, "You can't be up here. We are Secret Service. Come with us," and they brought her to the country courthouse with a whole bunch of other people where they basically wanted to find out as quickly as possible what everybody saw, what kind of evidence they had, and they confiscated the evidence.

Then they went through months and months and months of interrogation trying to convince them, "You didn't see what this was," and then they would surveil her and then they would threaten her. Then they tried to kill her.



So all you need to do is just get her book. See what she went through just trying to tell what she knows was the case – that there was at least one shot that came from that area that blew his head off and blew the brains and bone matter into the face of some of the motorcycle guys who were there also.

Fitts: I have been so impressed with the way that you have really read and looked at essentially all of the evidence on the Kennedy assassination. I asked you to put together a list of the items that you think are the most compelling to make it clear that this was totally a conspiracy.

Blazer: And there are hundreds and hundreds of them.

Fitts: Right. So I said, “You’ve got to distill it down.”

Blazer: I will give you some.

Fitts: In fact, you made a list of 22, but these are the ones that for you are the most compelling proof beyond any shadow of a doubt that this was a conspiracy.

(1) Major breeches of Secret Service protection protocols that helped facilitate the murder.

People will say, “Why don’t you want to run for office?” or, “Why don’t you want to go to Washington?”

I look at them like, “You haven’t heard anything that I’ve said. The Secret Service has guns. Are they turned outward or inward?”



The Secret Service works for the Deep State, which means that the guns can be turned inward.

Blazer: And they were then.

Fitts: Right. So why would you want to have a job where your enemy has a bunch of guys with guns who follow you around and are prepared to off you at any point?

Blazer: And that is also one of the reasons why Trump has his own security.

Fitts: Right. That is why he had the military at the inauguration doing his security.

(2) Actions of Secret Service agents, the driver, and the front seat passenger of the Kennedy limo.

The Secret Service slowed the car so that they could take the head shot, right?

Blazer: Yes, and you can see that in the Zapruder film, and this is what I was getting to. Even though it has been doctored, you can see these guys in the front seat were setting it up so that he was in the kill zone. People testified that the limousine came to a stop. I think it went down to about one mile per hour. There was supposedly a guy who came out and raised his fist at that time to indicate to the driver to slow down and almost stop in that area.



You see the Secret Service guy in the passenger seat turn around several times to make sure that the deed was done. There were a whole bunch of shots.

Those guys kept him in the kill zone. When they heard the first shot, they didn't step on the gas – which is the protocol – they stepped on the brake.

Fitts: (3) There were way too many bullets for one guy to shoot.

Blazer: Right. They were everywhere. They were in the windshield, they were in the frame above the windshield. Connally was hit at least two times. Kennedy has one shot in the back, one shot in the throat, and then a head shot – so that is three for him. We know that Oswald supposedly missed one because it hit a passerby. There were two bullets that were supposed to do all of this damage.

Also, it's not like it was one hit shot. You have someone who is calling and says, "Ready? Three, two, one, fire." Then there are teams of people who fire at the same time.

He was hit in the head probably multiple times, and it came from grassy knoll, and it may have come from the front, but maybe it came from the sewer.

There were many, many bullets. There were also pictures that I have seen of bullet traces hitting the ground elsewhere.



Fitts: It's phenomenal when you read all of the different evidence related to how many bullets were involved, and then you see what Arlen Specter did and said.

I grew up in Philadelphia, so Arlen Specter was a Pennsylvania Senator. He built an entire career on making a complete fool of himself explaining how bullets can go through a person and then do a U-turn and come back and shoot them again.

I don't know if you want to say anything about the magic bullet theory.

Blazer: He invented it, and they had to make it work with two bullets, and with a lone assassin and from the back. Basically everything else had to be altered. Everything else had to be altered – and continues to be – to basically support that theory. Otherwise, there was a conspiracy.

Fitts: Right, but it defies all physics and all science.

Blazer: It is the most ludicrous thing that you could ever imagine. Not only that, but the bullet was supposedly pristine. By the way, there were two different bullets: One that was on the stretcher that they got pictures of that has x number of grooves, and then there is another one that they supposedly in the National Archive that is supposed to be the same bullet that has a different number of grooves. So they can't even keep their own story consistent.

But did that bother them? No.

(4) Extensive eyewitness testimony of other shooters (I believe at least 50) – this was not mentioned in the interview.



Fitts: We know that the person who was the project manager on the assassination was also the project manager on the Warren Commission, which was designed to investigate it.

Blazer: Well theoretically Earl Warren was, but there was Mr. Dulles making sure that everything was fine. Then you also had Ford who was reporting directly to J. Edgar Hoover on everything going on. So the whole thing was stacked. There were two guys who were very concerned that they didn't feel comfortable about this. One wasn't even going to sign it, but they finally got convinced to sign it. That was Hale Boggs, and he ended up dead. They never found his body, of course, in that Alaskan plane crash.

He was the one who was most concerned about the credibility of it and believed that the thing was inaccurate.

Fitts: I think that they probably wanted him because of New Orleans and Louisiana. There was such a major mafia and CIA presence.

Blazer: There were four different investigations on the Kennedy thing, and each time the autopsy results were different from each one of those investigations. That is one of the points that I will bring up with the whole autopsy thing that was done.

The head mafia guys were killed so that they weren't able to testify (Roselli and Giancana)

Fitts: Right. That was the danger of having an investigation. People get called to testify, and the next thing you know they would end up dead.



5) The Warren Commission was the first. You said that it was a 'brazen, extensive filtering of evidence' by the Warren Commission as well as many other agencies and investigators that contradicted Oswald as the single shooter, and 'altering and manipulation of evidence and witnesses' to bolster that thesis.

Blazer: There are just thousands of pieces of evidence about that. Just read Jean Hill's book because it's quite good. She will tell you first hand of all of the stuff that she went through, and also how the Warren Commission misrepresented her testimony, which they did with lots of people. Even law enforcement officers were just ignored. That is part of what the FBI was doing very, very intensely right afterwards. They infiltrated the Dallas Police Department. They identified anybody who could potentially be a problem, and they threatened them left and right.

Jean Hill's boyfriend, for example, was threatened. So were other people. "You can't say this. You can't say that. You are going to lose your badges," and all of that.

They also spent huge amounts of time convincing people that there is no way that what you are saying is right and then using other harassment techniques. So there is a whole team of people.

Fitts: It's very interesting. I have been targeted like that on the missing money, and they will literally bully you. They will send people out to just bully you.



Blazer: Jean had threatening phone calls, and they slashed the brake lines in her car. There was another incident where she almost got killed. It just goes on and on nonstop. People would say, “That doesn’t happen; there is no way that they could do that.”

Here is the book, *JFK: The Last Dissenting Witness* by Bill Sloan and Jean Hill. This is just one woman.

Fitts: It’s hard to explain. For some reason, they think that if they send somebody who just screams at you and follows you around screaming that it will have an impact.

Blazer: But it’s more than that. They kept her in a room for hours and hours and said, “Let’s go over every detail. And then you did this? And you saw a dog in the back of the car?”

Jackie had a stuffed animal in the back of the car, but they told her, “If you saw a dog in the back of the car, how ridiculous is that? You couldn’t know anything else about this thing. You are a liar.”

It’s not just berating and bullying; they actually used mind control techniques and told her, “What you saw couldn’t possibly be true.”

Fitts: Oh, yes. It’s incredible. You saw this in Enron. You have a commission, and they identify who needs to get killed, and they literally generate death lists. Sure enough, there they go.

(6) How many people have been killed surrounding this?



Blazer: There is a book that actually lists every one of them, but I know that the number is over 100.

Fitts: So a lot of people were killed over this, including Mary. *Mary's Mosaic* was about his mistress who didn't buy the official story.

Blazer: When Garrison came and said, "We know this and this about you. We need you to come and testify," David Ferrie said, "Do you understand just by telling me this on the phone that you signed my death warrant?" Of course, he was dead shortly after. He was a major player in this.

Fitts: And not very discreet.

Blazer: No. He was a flaming gay with a skin condition that made him stand out. They had a picture of him and Oswald in the same Civil Air Patriot unit. There was also that picture of Ruby with Oswald, and all sorts of testimony of Ruby with Oswald. It goes on and on. Jean Hill also saw Ruby running up the hill after the shot.

Fitts: (7) There is a former Green Beret who was recruited to kill a key witness who had proof of the falsification of the autopsy.

Blazer: Right. The movie that I suggested people look at is from series by the History Channel, *The Men Who Killed Kennedy*. This is such a moving interview of this guy talking about this incident and how he has let the country down, his family down, and all of that. (Lt. Col. Dan Marvin – 17:47 Video 6 of the series)



He didn't do it. He told them, "No. I thought we weren't allowed to kill people in the United States. That's not what the CIA does." But he believes his buddy ended up doing it, and he lost track of him (David Vanek). He tried to track him down. That is how he ended up realizing that there was something weird. He remembers the name, Pitzer. That was the guy retiring who had the evidence that the autopsy had been fabricated. He was killed at Bethesda Hospital.

He believes that his friend did it, of course, - he believes that they recruited him to do it.

(8): Personnel with false Secret Service and other credentials in Dealey Plaza (on Grassy Knoll and other key areas to protect shooting teams). Not specifically mentioned in recording.

Fitts: (9) The amount of evidence that contradicted Warren Commission findings that were altered, mutilated, and lost, including the President's brain and autopsy x-rays, as well as many photos and movies of the crime scene, in particular by FBI, who took charge of the investigation early on.

Blazer: The list is astonishing. The amount of evidence that is lost is just astonishing. I saw one thing where there was this cigarette burn on frames of a movie, just to get rid of it. "The technician was smoking, and he shouldn't have been." It is beyond anything that you can imagine goes on.

Fitts: (10) Clearly with the autopsy one of the hardest things that they had to do was to rig the autopsy.



Blazer: It was done before, it was done during, and it was done after. It is very likely – and there is quite good evidence now – that there was this one guy who was considered a ‘master’ on working on dead bodies, and he was probably on the plane, and probably worked on the body in a secret compartment of the plane. This guy went into huge detail because he knew all of the details about these planes.

During the autopsy, the guys doing the autopsy were not particularly great guys. By the way, I recently met the son of the father who did the autopsy, and he never talked about it to his son, but it was obvious that they were under huge amounts of pressure.

There was this Admiral who said to him, “I don’t want you probing that wound.” There were huge numbers of people there, and they were under a lot of pressure.

Afterwards, you take a look at pictures of the back of the head of Kennedy, and you’ve got 16 people – including the major doctors at Parkland who worked on him – who talked about the ‘major wound in the back of his head’ that was not even seen in the photographs. (best book on this subject is by one of the doctor’s present – Charles A. Crenshaw, called “Trauma Room One”)

I’m not sure that you could have more blatantly altered the evidence. Even the guy who was doing the autopsy – the head of the autopsy team at Bethesda (Dr. Humes) – said that when he went to get Kennedy’s brain, it ‘fell out’. That is because they had disconnected it from the brain stem.



Whether it was somebody else's brain or whether they had altered it in some way we don't know, but they had to (alter the evidence) because there was the physical evidence that showed that those shots didn't come from the back; they came from the front or the side. (Details can be found in a book by James C. Jenkins called "At the Cold Shoulder of History: The Chilling Story of a 21-year-old Navy Hospital Corpsman Who Stood at the Shoulder of JFK During the Bathesda Autopsy")

Fitts: (11) Several people, including a police officer, saw Oswald in a location during and immediately after the shooting and proved that he could not have been the shooter.

Blazer: Right. He was in the cafeteria drinking a soda, nice and calm. A minute and a half afterwards, the elevators weren't running. He had to run down five flights of stairs.

Fitts: (12) One of the most interesting books on the Kennedy assassination is by a guy who was originally going to be the Patsy. He figured out that he was going to be the Patsy, so he got himself arrested.

Blazer: That's right. "I'll do a bank robbery and fire shots into the air a couple of times so I can get arrested."

Fitts: He saved his life. That was smart.

Blazer: Supposedly Oswald had a good sense of this; he was not stupid. He was being groomed. As Prouty said, there was no way that he would be at that Japanese air base where the U2's flew out of, which was top secret. There was no way that he would be able to go to Russia and then come back.



Fitts: He was an FBI and CIA asset.

Blazer: Not only that, but he had records which were never brought out to the Warren Commission. They didn't want them to know, but these things came out for the research.

Fitts: He got set up to be the Patsy.

Blazer: Big time. For quite a while they were setting him up, including getting him the job in the Book Depository, which was done by this lady who was kind-of a handler in the area and was a CIA asset who got him the job there (Ruth Paine).

Fitts: (13) Initial identification by experts of the rifle found in the Book Depository was different from the one that Oswald supposedly used.

Blazer: Yes. It was a 762 Mauser, and it was outside of the 6th floor. His gun wasn't even on that floor; it was on the 5th floor. It was hidden in a certain area, and it wasn't even found until about 1:30.

This is all stuff that is not made up; this is all totally documented. A lot of it is even in the Warren Commission. They convict themselves in a lot of cases.

Fitts: They are just assuming that nobody will read the whole thing.

Blazer: That's right. That is what somebody said, "Nobody reads." I think it may have even been Dulles who said that to somebody.



Fitts: (14) Testimony of Lyndon Johnson's mistress about what was said by Johnson after the meeting at Clint Murchison's house the night before the assassination, which was also attended by Nixon, J. Edgar Hoover, and many other co-conspirators.

Blazer: Right. I mentioned that already.

Fitts: (15) Prouty reading an extensive profile about Oswald as an assassin in a New Zealand newspaper before Oswald had even been apprehended in Dallas.

So they had the entire media campaign ready to roll.

Blazer: They had the cover story, and it was broadcasted before he was even charged with the crime. Prouty was sent to Antarctica to get him out of the way because they knew that he was honest, and he might have been involved with the protection of Kennedy because that was one of the things that he did for the military. So they sent him to Antarctica.

On his way back, he was in New Zealand reading a two-page spread on 'Oswald the killer' and he hasn't even been arrested yet. It was so blatant. He said, "I know what this is. I used to do this."

Fitts: (16) Johnson smiling at his associate and Lady Bird smiling to herself immediately after completion of swearing in on the Presidential plane.



Blazer: There is a very famous picture of him being sworn in, which actually he didn't need to have done, but he wanted to have it done on the plane. Right after there was this other picture where he turns around to his associate – and I don't know who the guy was – and he had a big, shit-eating grin on his face like, “We've done it now.” (“This photograph was taken on Air Force One. Immediately after being sworn in as the 36th president in a coup, LBJ, standing next to Jackie Kennedy (with her husband's blood still on her dress), turns to his long-time friend, Texas congressman Albert Thomas and smiles, as Thomas returns a wink. And a smirk. The White House photographer who took this photo, Cecil Stoughton, has stated he feels as if this photograph is ‘sinister.’” - <https://www.jfklibrary.org/Asset-Viewer/Archives/JFKWHP-1963-11-22-E.aspx>)

Fitts: (17) The forcible removal at gunpoint of Kennedy's body from Parkland by Federal agents.

Blazer: There was actually quite a tussle. There was no Federal law at that time that said that this was a Federal crime; this was a state crime, and the body was supposed to be held there. The autopsy was supposed to be done there. The criminal investigation was supposed to be done there.

They basically had orders to get that body out of there. If that happened, that would have blown the whole thing.

There was almost a gunfight. It got a little heated.



Fitts: (18) Compelling evidence that the kill shot came from the sewer on Elm Street.

Blazer: Or the grassy knoll. It definitely came from that trajectory, but there are two or three compelling things that I have seen about somebody being in the sewer (as well). It goes into that on that History Channel series also.

One of the most compelling reasons is that one of the motorcycle cops smelled gunpowder. So that shot had to be very close for that to happen.

Fitts: (19) The elimination of Oswald by Ruby, a mafia, and CIA asset. He had no problem getting into the police station to kill Oswald

Blazer: That speaks for itself.

Fitts: And he later talked a lot. He gave up a lot of information.

Blazer: He tried to give up a lot more, but they wouldn't let him. Of course, all of the cops used to come to his place (Carousel Club). Ruby was a well-known operator and very much involved. He was supposed to be Oswald's lover, too. Both of them were involved with women in their lives, but supposedly they also did guys. (Only Oswald ever married; Jack Ruby was never married.)

Fitts: That one I hadn't heard before.

(20) Brain splatter on motorcycle cops riding to the left and behind the limo, and testimony about the smell of gun powder by at least one of the officers, and it is possible that the shot was made at close range.



Blazer: A shot from the back is not going to splatter his brains to the left. There are guys who have tried to show that that would happen and all of this baloney, but it is total crap.

Fitts: (21) A rush by Secret Service to destroy the limo evidence immediately after the assassination, and clean-up of the limo. Shipping of limo very quickly to be repaired by an exclusive team at a factory, including windshield and windshield frame.

Blazer: Right. There were two bullet holes – one in the windshield and one in the frame, never mind all of the blood and brain splatter that would have also given a very strong indication about where the shots had come from. So they had to basically take control of that evidence.

It's the same thing that happened in 9/11: They took control of the criminal scene, they basically got as much as they could of the scrap metal, and they put it on a boat and sent it to China. You have to destroy the evidence as quickly as you can.

Code-named "Project D-2," the limo was taken back to Hess & Eisenhardt, but this time overseen by a six-person committee (down from an original 30-person group) made up of U.S. Army representatives, Secret Service, Pittsburgh Plate Glass Company and H&E themselves. They began work on December 12, 1963 – less than a month after JFK's death – and completed work that following May.



Fitts: (22) Immediate reversal of Kennedy's Vietnam policy once Lyndon assumed office, and strenuous efforts to obscure the true policy by press and officials subsequently, including the forging of White House documents by E. Howard Hunt.

Blazer: Prouty goes into this in both of his books, *The Secret Team* and *JFK*, about these national security memorandums (NSAM – National Security Action Memorandum) that were going to get us out of Vietnam, that were asking for cooperation of NASA with the Russians on Space, and they wanted to have test ban treaties so that we were not testing anymore, and eventual disarmament. It was all this stuff, and you have people today who say, “No, he didn't want to get out of Vietnam.”

That is one of the great services that Prouty has done for us to show definitively through these national security memorandums and many other things historically that it was Kennedy's intention to get out. It is Prouty's contention that that is basically what signed his death warrant.

We have a little different perspective. It was one of the things certainly, but it is touching all of these third rails and taking the steam out of the whole Military-Industrial Complex. It was basically moving from peace to war.

In the book by James Douglas, one of the best ones written, *JFK and the Unspeakable*, the top words across the book are, “He chose peace. They marked him for death.”

Fitts: In fact, when you look at all of the assassinations during the 1960's, you have JFK, Martin Luther King, Jr., Malcolm X, and RFK. They were all trying to get to peace. They all had peace as their goal.



Blazer: Basically the same network was pretty much used to take care of all of them. As a matter of fact, what would be very useful for people is to take a look at the trial for the civil action that was brought by Martin Luther King's family that actually occurred in Memphis, where we are right now. I think that there were 70 witnesses who presented evidence for a conspiracy, and there was a panel of six white and six black jurors who said within two and a half hours that, yes, there was a conspiracy.

You could see testimony in there from people who are very credible saying, "Yes, this was the Division 5 of the FBI that actually had the shooters," and that type of thing. There was a fair amount of detail in there.

Fitts: I think that the last time that we talked we used Oliver Stone's *JFK* movie. One of the things that Oliver Stone's *JFK* movie describes is that one of the great heroes of the effort to try to prevent the cover-up was Jim Garrison, the New Orleans District Attorney, who really fought hard to prosecute. He managed to bring a case. He couldn't get the jury to go with him, which is too bad.

Blazer: And remember that a bunch of witnesses had already been killed. They were monitoring everything that he was doing and trying to undermine him all along the way. But that was the first time that the Zapruder film was shown to the public.

So Garrison had gotten into it. The players that he was talking about were definitely involved, but it was more peripheral than it was mainstream. He knew he had the challenge of making it stick because it was a complicated case that he was presenting.



Fitts: Right, but they kept killing people.

Blazer: Right, and they kept killing people.

Fitts: If you look at the way that you want to get your head chopped off by me rhetorically is to say, “Why isn’t anybody doing something?”

If you look at the risks and the extraordinary effort that Jim Garrison made...

Blazer: And huge numbers of other people did, too. They literally put their lives on the line.

Fitts: Many people put their lives on the line to try to bring this all out. The reason why we know what we do is because of all of the people who put their life on the line.

Blazer: Other people sometimes spent decades. On the *Mary’s Mosaic* book, Janney said he spent ten years putting it together to ultimately convict his father.

Fitts: Right. If you look at the effort to get at the truth and the risks taken, it is extraordinary. It is extraordinary because it shows you how much people understand the importance of the truth.

Blazer: It is heartwarming that people want to dedicate their lives to this, and that they feel that this is such an important event that they are going to put in huge amounts of effort at their own expense and their own risk to uncover what is true.



Fitts: I want to talk a little bit about the cross-cutting effort. To understand the Deep State, you have to understand how deep it is. We are talking about a network that created the United States of America and has been growing and blossoming ever since.

The Kennedy assassination laid the train tracks for a much bigger blossoming, which we will talk about in a second. If you just look at the assassination itself, what I would say – based on Talbot – is that you have Rockefeller and the group in the Rockefeller Foundation Board basically as the primary governance structure. So the Rockefeller family interests are governing. They are coordinating with Dulles through Dillon. So both John Foster and Allen were very close to Dillon and Rockefeller. So those are old relationships that go back.

In fact, it was the Dulles brothers at Sullivan & Cromwell that created the CIA and ran it out of Sullivan & Cromwell before they created the entity itself. They were like the CIA's mom.

Dulles has been fired by Kennedy, and he is doing the project management. He is coordinating with Dillon, who is over at Treasury, up into the Rockefeller Foundation. For operations they've got the CIA, the FBI, the NSA – was the NSA created then?

Harry: Yes

Fitts: Yes they were because the telecommunications is a big part... the military, and the Secret Service. So all of the military and enforcement line and the investigative line were involved.



One of the things that I loved in the Talbot book is that the day before the assassination, where does Dulles go? Boston and New York. He is coordinating with the money guys because they are going to trade it. Everybody has got to be ready in the markets for the opportunity.

Blazer: Nixon was in Dallas.* George Bush was in Dallas – even though he would try to deny it later, but we found out that he was there. There were a lot of guys in Dallas.

Fitts: And a lot of those guys became President.

Blazer: Right. And there was a Pepsi convention. Nixon was on the board of Pepsi, and that was the reason why he was there. (Actually Nixon was at the convention and later met with the Pepsi board as a representative of their law firm, Mudge, Rose, Nixon et al)*

You get this comment from one of the guys who was peripherally involved but knew many of the players and was actually running one of the safe houses. He said, “The big event wasn’t the Pepsi convention; it was the convention of all of the assassins and military guys who were there.” It was like this great homecoming week with an astonishing number of people who were there – some to just observe, some to obviously run the operation, and some to basically get the deed done.

Fitts: And not be left out. Again, we are back to risk management.

Blazer: That’s what that is. If you get enough of these guys around, they are all involved in some way.



Fitts: They are all complicit; they can't point fingers.

One of the things that causes the Midianite thing is if I have dirt on you, I am going to use it. I don't care that you did that terrible thing. If you murdered somebody, I couldn't care less. But if I have the evidence and I can use it against you, then I have a control file.

Blazer: This flies in the face of a lot of people having a problem saying, "Wait, if that many people knew, there's no way that you could have kept it secret."

First of all, it has never been kept secret; there has been tons of information. But what you are saying is something quite fascinating. You need to get a lot of people involved so that they are all complicitous in some way so that none of them have an interest in spilling the beans. That is actually quite a good rebuttal.

Fitts: It's like creating a syndicate. You put together syndicates just to do one transaction.

When I was on Wall Street, we had a syndicate desk. I'm doing a big deal. Ten firms have competed viciously – almost destroying each other to try to get the business. We get the business, we are the leader, and then you invite everybody into the syndicate, and everybody makes money. It was a syndicate. They put together a syndicate just for the assassination.

So Dulles is leading the project management. For operations we have the whole enforcement and military line and the money managers.



Blazer: We can go into a lot of detail about the teams.

Fitts: We're not doing that today.

Blazer: But you have to understand that Lansdale, who was the number one guy for doing these major things like overthrowing the Philippine government and so on, is there.

Fitts: Right. The people we had doing operations year after year to overthrow governments around the world simply did it to their own government.

Blazer: But they are there. The players are there.

Fitts: Right. Once you let the python out of the bottle...

Blazer: Hunt is there and all of these guys who are well-known operators.

Fitts: The media is all prepared and ready to go. You've got a whole media team.

Blazer: The media has been bought before then and ever since then. Rockefeller even celebrated their complicity formally in a meeting many years after that. He said, "We could never accomplish what we did without your cooperation."

Fitts: Telecommunications was all lined up. So where did the phones shut down?



Blazer: At the Texas Depository the electricity was off and the phones shut down just as the motorcade was coming. Also, in Washington they supposedly had all kinds of communication problems. Plus you've got most of the cabinet on a plane somewhere else.

Do you want to know something else astonishingly telling? The codebooks with the launch codes for nuclear weapons on most of the SAC bombers that were then put into the air were out of the planes; they couldn't find them. That's how deep the planning went.

The concern of Russia was that they were going to blame the Russians for it. In fact, they were setting Russia up to take the blame on this thing because, of course, they didn't want peace between the two. Kennedy was moving towards that. That is also why Oswald was sent to Russia and all of this other stuff. He was a perfect Patsy because they could say, "He is really a communist because he is handing out these leftist things and pro-Cuba things."

Russia was very, very concerned that they were actually going to be blamed for this thing and that it could lead to World War III. So they did a very extensive investigation of their own. And RFK did his own investigation, which he supposedly shared with the Russians.

The bottom line – and rightfully so in the end – was that the Kennedy's trusted the Russians and the relationship that they were building to build world peace much more than they did their own internal guys – the intelligence agencies, the military, and so on.

Trump is in the same situation today to a large extent.



Fitts: The Russians are easier to deal with.

Blazer: The Germans used them. We've been using these guys for a long time.

Fitts: The Russian guys are easier to deal with than the Harvard Corporation.

Blazer: We've been using them for a long time as the bogeyman. The Germans used them during World War II. There is something about the Russians and the size of that country and their culture and everything else that makes them a major target. I guess that they are dangerous to the world because they do have an intact culture and smart people and a huge land mass and big resources and a huge history in the world and so on. They are always looked at as the enemy by these guys. It's convenient.

Fitts: Let's talk a little bit about the aftermath. I think that the one thing that no one wants to say but that needs to be said is that this operation was phenomenally successful.

Blazer: Totally, and it surprised them.

Fitts: The kill was public and ritualistic. They got it executed, they pulled off the cover-up...

Blazer: The cover-up was the main thing.

Fitts: and they made an absolute fortune. They made trillions. Basically they took over the United States of America.



Blazer: And they are still in power today. We are feeling the effects of it today. You could argue that we are feeling the effects even increasingly today.

Fitts: Let me step back. In 1913 we created the Federal Reserve System so now the bankers can print the money. It's the same group.

The group that organized the Fed is the group that loosely started the republic, and they are controlling. At the end of 1947 and 1949 – with the 1947 Act and the 1949 Act – basically Rockefeller, Dillon, and the Dulles brothers...

Harry: Wall Street.

Fitts: Right, investment banking and law – so it's Rockefeller, Dillon and the Dulles brothers create in the CIA. Usually you keep the killing in a different organization and then you keep the banking separate. But at the end of World War II they did something unique: They put the CIA in charge of all of the seized monies, gave it access to the Exchange Stabilization Fund, and it had the ability to kill with impunity.

Blazer: But that came over time. It's like this: "Class, you have this assignment. We are going to give you unlimited funding. We are going to give you the ability to operate in total secrecy. We are going to give you the best computers that we have. We are going to give you the ability to hire the best talent that you can, and we are going to make you totally above the law. Your job now is to create a covert organization that can operate anywhere in the world that you want it to." That is what they did.



Fitts: To a certain extent, once you do that, it is out of control. Eisenhower tried to control it, and he finally got wildly frustrated.

Blazer: Truman put it in place and warned about it afterwards. Eisenhower put it in place and warned about it afterwards. He said, “I’m really concerned about this.”

Kennan was a guy who was also responsible for getting this idea of, “Yes, we can go do anything we want as long as it doesn’t come back and haunt us.” He said afterwards, “I really regret doing this.”

So all of these guys enable it, and then afterwards they have regrets. Really?! You don’t think that setting a monster like this loose is going to be a bad idea?

Fitts: Kennedy tried to do something, and there you have it.

I think that one of the most interesting responses to the Kennedy assassination was Truman’s editorial in the *New York Times*. He basically nailed the CIA for killing him.

Blazer: Even though he created them.

Fitts: Right. Consider it an apology.

The reality is that if you look at who engineered the assassination, ultimately they all become President or they all become fantastically wealthy. They doubled down on their personal wealth. That starts with Vietnam. Vietnam was phenomenally profitable.



Johnson, by the end, realizes that he has been hung.

Blazer: He coveted the power, and then after five years in office, he was not going to run again. He went to his ranch and died at age 64.

Fitts: He knew that they set him up.

Blazer: He sold his soul.

Fitts: I keep coming back to this focus that the co-conspirators created a ‘we’, and that group basically ran the country until very recently.

Somebody mentioned that the Kennedy’s didn’t go to the Bush funeral. You can imagine why if that is the case.

Blazer: A lot of these same operators were involved with the Watergate operation, which was pretty much a set-up to get Nixon out of office because he was feeling his oats. And when Ford felt his oats, they hired ‘Squeaky’ Fromme.

Fitts: Nixon was cutting into the drug business. He was cutting into the profits.

Blazer: “And, Ford, if you really think you are in charge, we will put Lynette ‘Squeaky’ Fromme, that Charles Manson lady, out there to show you who is boss. Reagan, you think that you can do more than you can do? We will put a .22 in your chest and see how you feel about that.”



These warnings are continuous to make sure that everybody is in line. “President, you are not in charge. There is a whole other consortium in charge.”

Fitts: The President is driving the bus, but his steering wheel doesn’t connect to the drive train; there is a guy underneath who is driving the bus.

I always tell the story of when I would try to get the data at HUD and Lockheed Martin would refuse to give it to me. The political appointees couldn’t get the data from Lockheed Martin because Lockheed Martin was ‘driving the bus’.

Blazer: Kennedy constantly found that he would give a mandate, and it would not be done. The military would do the opposite, the CIA would do the opposite, and that is why he said, “I am going to splinter these guys into a million pieces.” He knew that they had their own agenda and they were going to sabotage. They did not respect the President.

Fitts: The problem is that it’s not like there is one CIA; you have tens of thousands of people and tons of corporations and contractors, and it’s not one group that acts cohesively as a group that is loyal to the guy who runs it.

Blazer: No, but the point is that the people who are able to get stuff done from top-down are people who have been compromised and are using people who are compromisable or compromised.



Blazer: You have to be able to run it. The point is that it is set up so that it runs itself. In other words, these bureaucrats who these people say are the Deep State – which is total garbage – are people who are actually trying to do an honest day’s work oftentimes.

Fitts: Most of them – not all of them.

Blazer: The secretaries and these other people who make the machinery work are half decent people who care about the country and want to get their pension, but they are conscientious about their job. They are very intelligent, hard-working people.

Fitts: Nobody ever went into government to do organized crime.

Blazer: They didn’t (others did). Right. They are just going to follow processes and procedures. They are going to do what the supervisor says, and what their supervisor’s supervisor says. So there are actually very few strings that you need to pull to get these organizations to do what you need them to do. You need to compromise a few people who are always willing to be compromised – either by money or ideology.

Fitts: For example, when they wanted to start stealing the \$21 trillion, they had to get the honest people out of HUD, including us. We were the brains. So they had a very public scandal, and they did a lot of physical harassment and other stuff. It was to scare everybody to death. It was basically, “If you try to stop the housing bubble, this will happen to you.”



Blazer: Right. Or you send Prouty to Antarctica. There are lots of ways to do it, and you don't need that many people who are willing to say, "Yes, I'm willing to do this."

Like I said, they will do it for money or for ideology or because of control files.

Fitts: So here is my point. We had something that was wildly successful, and the people who pulled it off felt very emboldened. That led to all of the other assassinations in the 1960's, which then led to financial fraud because they realized that they could get away with it.

When you read the early stories of the financial fraud, they are like, "I got away with \$25 million. Let's try \$50 million," and they keep being amazed. The more they get away with it, the more bod they get.

There is a story from 1949 on and from 1963 on of these guys getting more and more emboldened and the American people getting more and more in this Orwellian state of pretending to go along. Most everybody on The Solari Report has heard the red button story. What they discovered is that as long as you give them any kind of story that allows them to pretend and cut them in for a check, most people will go along.

Blazer: That is why this happened.

Fitts: Right. You don't need a story that is 'good'; you just need to give people plausible deniability. "Oh, it was Islamic terrorists."



I blew up at somebody the other day who said that Islamic terrorists did the fires in California. I said, “Oh, right. They called up the American military and asked them to stand down. No problem.”

I want to make it clear that whether it was the Kennedy assassination or the assassinations in the 1990’s, if you go back and look at the history of false flags, what you realize – and I spent a lot of time studying the Triangle fire in New York because there was so much about 9/11 that had the same techniques that were used in the Triangle fire...

The Triangle fire was a fire of a sweatshop in New York that was used to get a very aggressive legislation through the New York assembly that allowed them to do a lot to organize and control labor and to centralize more into the state government mechanism. It clearly was a covert operation. The doors were locked, and so people were jumping out of the building to escape the fire and dying. Basically it’s the same thing that they did in 9/11. It was scripted to be very dramatic.

If you watch Burns’ *New York, New York*, the video, there is a whole section on the Triangle fire. Burns made the documentary series in 1998. If you watch it, what you realize is that it’s the same playbook. The Rockefellers used the Triangle fire when Al Smith was running their legislation through the assembly, and so many of the techniques that they used in the Triangle fire they used in 9/11. It’s right there. It’s right in your face.

My point on all of this is that they do these things because they work. They are successful. I think that the question that we need to think about is: If we want change, how will we make sure that they stop being successful?



You made an interesting point earlier today when we were talking about the fact that they were doing these things all around the world that was considered ‘socially respectable’ back home.’ One of the things that has most frustrated me and was why I went to the mat against Clinton during the election is: Why do we continue to make these folks out to be socially respectable? Who would do this? Why do we do it? I have never understood it.

You cannot kill their hubris; you cannot turn it around unless you are willing to say, “You know something? If you guys do that, I want nothing to do with you. I don’t want to work for you, I don’t want to know you, and I shun you completely.”

Blazer: That is the first thing that has to happen. The second thing is: We have to figure out a way to defund this mechanism.

Fitts: Absolutely. Prouty said it, and I’ve said it since you met me. You have to cut off their money.

When you finance your enemy and your enemy is killing you, it’s hopeless.

Blazer: It puts us where we are today.

Fitts: There are two things: If we admire the people doing this, it is going to continue. If we fund them, it is going to continue. We have the power to cut both off.



Blazer: When 50% of Americans are getting money from the mechanism, like you say, that is what the ‘red button’ is about. You need to push the stop button. That’s it if you get enough people involved.

Remember something else, too. A fair amount of these assassins were people who had an ideological belief that Kennedy was actually a traitor and needed to be killed.

Fitts: Right. I would say that absolutely in the Kennedy assassination that many of the people doing it thought that they were doing the right thing for the country. They really believed that they were doing the right thing for the country.

Blazer: You go that far. I’m not even sure that they thought about that, but they were able to rationalize that this guy was a traitor and that he was bypassing all of the mechanisms here to have a relationship with Russia that was going to undermine world security (but in fact was potentially undermining their pocketbooks).

Fitts: I believe that they thought that he was adopting a strategy that would fail. They really believed that his strategy would fail.

Blazer: I think that they were so hepped up on anti-communism and ‘Russia has to remain the enemy’ and ‘they are the enemy’ and ‘we know best’ that that was enough for them. Also, he was Catholic so the Masons didn’t like him. Then he was getting all of these broads, and people were jealous. These sexual perverts who have to do it by force were jealous of him. There was just lots of stuff like that that they could use to justify in some people’s minds that this guy was a liability. Ideologically they could justify it.



Fitts: I would just say that they did not want to change the central banking warfare model, and they absolutely believed that any change from a unipolar model to a multipolar would be a loser for America and them.

Harry: Definitely for them.

Fitts: So what does Kennedy's death say about the Deep State? The first thing that I want to say is that the Deep State organizes around flows of money and risk, not around formal organization lines. You have to be able to map out the money and the finances and the financial risk in a situation to see it.

Blazer: What I would almost say is that a lot of these formal structures are put in place to facilitate those flows. The CIA ended up being a huge advocate for (certain) American corporations around the world, spying to give advantages to key corporations.

Fitts: The second thing that I would say is that a lot of the most important financial aspects are the hidden system of finance and the black budget.

Blazer: Which has gotten worse.

Fitts: It has grown and grown and grown between the bailouts and the missing money.

Harry: And the FASAB 56 thing you mentioned.



Fitts: Right. The hidden system of finance has literally taken over the economy.

I would say, as I said before, that what came out of it was the creation of a ‘we’ through the conspiracy. Now my question is: With the leadership of that conspiracy now dying off, we could be in for a major change. That’s not to say that the intergenerational flows of capital have changed; they haven’t. But now you have a very different leadership – much younger – with a very different philosophy and attitude competing to run it.

I have no idea what is going to emerge, but I know that it is conceivably going to be very, very different.

Blazer: Unless you defund and unless you say, “I am not going to play,” all that it can do is get worse. It can only get darker and more evil.

Fitts: It is inconceivable to me that the younger group will not be significantly darker. I can’t believe I am saying that anybody is darker than George H.W. Bush, but there it is.

The Deep State is exceptionally dependent on mind control and assassination and control files. All of these different techniques of control, it is phenomenally dependent on them. Its most powerful tool is force. It can kill with impunity.



Blazer: And the different uses of force, too. It's not just killing. You can make people look ridiculous. You can make them pariahs in their neighborhoods. You can frame them for stuff. Now with the technology that we have, there is no way to know that they actually said this or that they actually were there or they actually looked like this or that this photo was correct or anything else; they can fabricate reality.

Fitts: I think that one of the hardest things for me is when people say, "How could trillions be missing and me not know?"

When you have this much secrecy and lying for this long, 1963 is a long time ago. Right?

Blazer: You don't know what is being stolen in your backyard from your mayor or your councilmen. You don't know until somebody speaks up or there is an audit or whatever.

Fitts: One of the reasons we tried so hard to get place-based financial reporting implemented was because one of the only ways to shut off their money was to do place-based. In fact, the money is stolen one county at a time.

Blazer: Yeah, shine light.

Fitts: Right. It is phenomenal how few people understand how the municipal resources are used.

Blazer: Just take a look at the laws and regulations that are being passed not to shine a light, but to do exactly the opposite.



That shows you that the Deep State is not just alive and well and thriving, but it is going to a whole other level of bad.

Fitts: Right. We saw the other day the families come out and ask for a new investigation on King, the two Kennedy's, and Malcolm X.

Blazer: You might as well go ask the devil to do an examination of evil on the world. A corrupt government like this is incapable of doing any sort of self-examination that would be meaningful.

It's important that they came out and said that because obviously they are saying, "We don't believe the official story."

Fitts: For Kennedy's son to do that, for Robert Jr. to do that, it is a very heroic thing.

Blazer: Right. "So, we don't believe it. We think that there needs to be another investigation." I'm just telling you that for the government to do that – unless they (the government) have another agenda and they want to lay some stuff on Bush or some of these other guys from the past as a distraction from what they are up to – it's not going to happen. Not only that, but the evidence has been so doctored, so messed with, and so destroyed, and there are so many witnesses gone that it is very, very hard to build the type of case that you want to. But we know definitively that there is a conspiracy.

Fitts: Let me come back around to why we wanted to talk about the Deep State. In 1963 we have a coup d'état. In the mid 1990's we start a financial coup.



What the president of CalPERS said to me is, “They’ve given up on the country.” He was referring to the 1995 budget deal. “They’ve decided to move all of the money out starting in the fall.” That was in the Federal fiscal year in 1998 starting in the fall of 1997. That is when the \$21 trillion started to disappear from the government.

I would say that it is a significant argument that since the late 1990’s and on, they have been stripping the United States government of assets and taking that money dark and private. After all, remember what Matt Damon said, “We have the United States of America. The rest of you are just visitors.”

Blazer: They have been distributing the assets of the United States people. The government has been complicitous in doing it.

Fitts: From the ownership interest, they are moving it into private hands. That is what I believe. They are leveraging it up and leveraging the people up. They are moving the assets into private hands, and they are leaving the people with IOUs from themselves.

So first we had the (Kennedy) coup. Then we had the financial coup. Now what we know is that we have many, many signals in Washington that they are ready to basically reengineer America because they can essentially run the information, payment, and governmental mechanism with a few corporations. They don’t need the civil service; they can fire most of them.

We now see two things: We see a deal between Trump and the Bushes.



The Bushes move their guy in as Chairman of the Fed. They are moving their guy in as Attorney General. The same guy who used to help Bush's Vice President with the Mena, Arkansas operation is going in as the Attorney General. And we see a Bush guy go into the Supreme Court. The week that he is going in, they engineer FASAB 56 through the bureaucracy, and nobody notices because everybody is paying too much attention to Kavanaugh's sex life when he was in high school.

So it looks to me that the Deep State is getting ready to take the next really big reengineering. With FASAB 56, it converts the entire governance model to fascism. You now have a secret group of people who essentially control all of the money and can keep everything secret.

Blazer: We have had a continuum of folks who think that they know best. They have the power to make it happen, and they have a plan which is not in the best interest of the population that is being progressively executed. That brings us up to today where we have more secrecy, more criminality, and more money being funded through secret channels, and we have now legitimized – and in some cases even legalized – this criminality.

At some point you had the ability to stop it. People could have just said, “No, we are not going to go to Vietnam,” or, “We are not going to bomb Libya,” or, “We need a real investigation on 9/11.” We have not been able to stop this progression.

Fitts: It's because we haven't cut off the money.



Blazer: So where is it going to end? It's not going to get better on its own; it is only going to get worse. It is going to get worse for the people of this country and worse for the people of the world. We are at a point now, I would say, where the world is reaching a limit as to how much of this 'bad' it can tolerate – how much of this evil and manipulation and this lying and this secrecy that they can handle.

Fitts: I go back to what my pastor used to say, "If we can face it, God can fix it."

You have to be able to say that it exists. There is a Deep State.

Blazer: That is the whole point of these series – to show you that there is overwhelming evidence of an organized group that acts outside of the law and that is not afraid to kill, steal, rob, and rape and so on, not only in this country, but around the world.

Fitts: I just have to mention one thing because I see the CIA as not the only mechanism, but the major mechanism of the central bankers to implement all of these different things.

You have the central banking warfare. On the central bank side, you have the central bank. On the warfare side you have Lockheed, etc. So on this side you have the New York Fed and JP Morgan Chase, and on this side you have Lockheed and a variety of other players in there. It's down to something that simple.

Blazer: Control the legislative process, control the money, control the information and how it's used, control the media and how they represent the information, control as much of the data as possible, and now you've got control of everything.



Fitts: Right. Everybody is making money in this mechanism. Everybody is going with the mechanism because, “You can’t fight city hall,” and that is how you make money. You go with the mechanism. Every year the debt goes up because the mechanism depends on more and more debt.

For the first time in its lifetime, the mechanism is about to hit a financial wall. Can they pull a rabbit out of the hat? If they have cold fusion ready to go, then, yes, they can pull a rabbit out of the hat.

Blazer: I think that it is hitting a bunch of different walls – cultural, environmental, political, and socioeconomic. When you set this mechanism loose like they did in the late 1940’s, there is only way. Unless you stop it, there is only one way that it is going to go, and that is where we are now.

Fitts: It’s not sustainable. Economically it’s not sustainable. We can change, and we can depopulate.

Blazer: We can’t go along, and you’ve got to defund it. The bottom line is that I don’t see either of those happening. They could happen, but I don’t see them happening. I don’t know how they can happen.

Fitts: I don’t know what is going to happen because I believe that you can hit a tipping point. You know me. I think that the Midianite thing is going to happen. The reality is that with the Midianite thing happening, you can get to the point where you see it and people are willing to shun it and walk away and cut off the money, but you have to get there.



I think that you have to be willing to step back and see it. The hard thing for most people is to realize that it's been going on for this long and they haven't seen it before. It's very hard to go through that. There is a grief process involved of, "I'm the Patsy."

I had to do it. I couldn't believe that all of the mortgage fraud was going on at FHA when I was there, and I didn't know about it. I was the Patsy. I had to face that.

I think that the question is: Is the time coming? The thing that concerns me is that the mind control has been very effective at getting people from being willing to see this and see that it's not sustainable, so we have to do something.

Blazer: The biggest enemy is in Washington, DC. It's the biggest enemy for this world, but also in particular for us.

Fitts: Let me step back and bring up FASAB 56 again. I keep hearing from a variety of different corners, "Oh, we have to support Trump. Trump can help. It can still go here..." and yet FASAB 56 was passed by the Republicans and the Democrats, the White House and the Congress together.

You had Mattis, Dunford and Kelly very opposed to mercenary armies. They used their credibility to get massive increases in appropriations. FASAB 56 was put through when Kavanaugh is making noise. Suddenly they are all out, and the guy who got FASAB 56 engineered through the bureaucracy now has Kelly's post at the White House.



Blazer: “But there’s no planning. There’s no cooperation.”

Fitts: If you look at how slick that whole thing went! The rumors from inside Washington are that everybody is getting ready to privatize. I think that after Jedi contract and after the Presidential election, they will let the civil service die off, but you are talking about instead of 50% being run by corporations, 100% will be run by corporations.

Blazer: Let me ask you this: There was a major job that had to be done, so we had George Bush. We had little Bush. We had Obama. Each one of these guys was an ideal candidate to get something sold to the American public. The face of a black guy but yet we are raping Africa or something.

So now you’ve got the face of Trump – a guy who comes in and says, “We are going to drain the swamp,” and all this other stuff. But basically he is a corporate guy who probably believes that private corporations can do a better job of running the government.

Fitts: I sincerely believe that he doesn’t see this.

Blazer: He probably doesn’t, so he is the perfect guy to help privatize it.

I’ve lost almost total confidence in Trump. I don’t really know who he is. I don’t know what he stands for. All I know is that these guys who he keeps putting in power are not anybody who I would associate with or put in those positions. So what do they have on them? Does he feel that he has to do this because he has no way out, or does he have a certain ideology?



Fitts: No, I think that Trump represents a group of people who know that the unipolar model as it existed cannot last; it's not economic. There was no way that you could do another eight years of that; it wasn't going to work. Especially with new technology it was going to be much more economic to reshore back to the United States and reground in the United States.

To me, there was real factionalism within the Deep State about whether to keep the globalization going or to reshore to North America. I think that Trump led that (last mentioned) faction. I think that that was a serious disagreement within the Deep State, and I think that the people who wanted to reshore made the right decision.

I think that was a real debate and a real disagreement, but I don't think that was outsiders disagreeing with the Deep State; I think that was the Deep State trying to readjust their worldview for changing economics. They were basically saying, "Deep State 2.0 doesn't work. We have to do Deep State 3.0."

Blazer: I don't trust any of these guys. This thing is so corrupt and it is so obscure. It is almost impossible to find out what is real. With guys like Angleton, who was at the CIA (when Kennedy was killed), he wasn't one of the ones who was fired. The top three guys were fired – not just Dulles. Also, one of the guys who was fired, his brother was the mayor of Dallas when the assassination took place. I mean, we can go on for many, many days on this thing.

The thing is so corrupt and so broken. You don't have a Fourth Estate that will give you one hint about what is really going on.



Fitts: That is why you have to bring transparency.

Blazer: But where is the transparency going to come from? We have it from individuals. We have it from Assange for a little bit and some of these other guys that they try to breakdown, and then they are put in prison and they are killed off. They make the public to be out against them so the public does the job for them while you keep everybody distracted with their iPhones and stuff.

Unless you come to grips with the fact that the coup went into another gear with JFK and it has continued since and that all of those assassinations, the financial coup, 9/11 and lots of these other things are basically part of the same program. That is the best way that I can say it. It is the same software. The hardware changes, but it is the same software.

Fitts: Let me come back again to why we did this. One of the inspirations for doing this is that whether they are just not very knowledgeable or that there is just disinformation, they are trying to constantly persuade people that the civil service was the Deep State.

Blazer: Right. The civil service and Russia. “Russia is the problem. Russia is going to affect the election with \$100,000, working with Facebook.” With, what somebody said, when Hillary Clinton spent \$2 billion for the election. It’s such absurdity! How can anybody stop for a second and give any credibility to this stuff?

Fitts: Here is the thing: If you want to take Washington from being 50% run by corporations to 100% run by corporations, you basically have to do a selling job that destroys the civil service.



You've got to weaken the civil service movement out of the way, not let it be an obstacle.

Blazer: One of the lessons that the Deep State learned from the Kennedy assassination was the importance of PR. You've got to have a story that you can stick to. It doesn't matter if it's a lie; it doesn't matter if it can be torn apart in 7,000 different ways. But if you are in a position of authority, and if you can come up with a story that you are willing to stick to. You will get enough people to believe in it or you buy enough time so that you can then keep things rolling and keep the fraud and criminality going. And that's it.

When you have the ability to pull a false flag out of (the hat), to pull out a dirty bomb in some American city and blame it on somebody else, you've got all of these capabilities, and you have people who are willing to do it - that are either committed because of the money, the control files, or the ideology - they had to get a whole bunch of people together to do that (in particular the Kennedy assassination) - you have a very, very dangerous situation. You have a situation where very few people have a profound effect on this earth.

Fitts: One of the things that we have done with the financial coup and the wars in the Middle East is we have now built and financed significant private armies. That is one of my big objections to the FASAB 56.

Blazer: The CIA – even Daniel Inouye – when they were doing investigations said, “Yes, these guys have their own Army, their own Navy, their own Air Force, and it's totally out of control.



They have their own funding mechanism.” This was in the 1980’s and 1990’s that they were talking about this stuff. They were describing the Deep State.

Inouye was involved in the [Iran-Contra](#) investigations of the 1980s, chairing a special committee ([Senate Select Committee on Secret Military Assistance to Iran and the Nicaraguan Opposition](#)) from 1987 until 1989. During the hearings, Inouye referred to the operations that had been revealed as a "secret government" saying:

[There exists] a shadowy Government with its own Air Force, its own Navy, its own fundraising mechanism, and the ability to pursue its own ideas of the national interest, free from all checks and balances, and free from the law itself.¹

Fitts: Remember that one of the things that Kennedy did – and you said this before – that really irritated the CIA was that all covert ops had to be done by the military and not the CIA.

Blazer: Right. He was taking power out of the CIA and moving it to these guys who were in cahoots with them anyway and who weren’t going to do it. They took the memorandum and threw it in the bottom of a drawer and said, “Good luck!”

Fitts: When I wrote the *Dillon Read* story, I wanted people to understand the transactional mechanism whereby Congress does something that makes somebody’s stock go up that generates capital gains. The capital gains pay for campaign contributions. They do something else that makes the stock go up, and you have this giant sucking sound out of anything that is not publicly traded into things which can be engineered politically to make the publicly-traded stock go up.



We basically wrecked the US. What are we doing? We are sitting in a motel that is by a publicly traded company, and it could be a third world country here. It's true. That is what happens. It's one of these corporate systems where nobody cares.

Blazer: It is not driving towards excellence.

Fitts: Right. There is no excellence; it's just another stock flip.

I explained that, but to me what FASAB 56 does is it says to every mercenary in the Army, "Bring us proposals. We now can pay all of you to do things that make our stocks go up and generate campaign contributions for Congress."

Blazer: Not only that, but the American tax payer can train Navy Seals at the cost of \$1 million apiece and pay them \$50,000 or \$60,000 when they are working for the Navy, and then they can get paid \$250,000 or \$350,000 working for a private army, and we still pay for it.

Fitts: Right. Exactly.

Blazer: That is what I am saying. The corruption is so widespread, and there are so many people making money off of it that I am not sure how it ends in a nice way. I know that if you as an individual take responsibility and refuse to participate and are aware because you have spent the time to understand how this mechanism operates, -which we have to do to have a chance – otherwise you are going to be complicitous in ways that you don't understand - unless you have a better understanding of how this mechanism works.



Unless we figure out a way to defund this thing, there is no way to stop this machine until it destroys itself and destroys us with it.

Kennedy understood that fundamentally. That is why he was going to basically fight for peace and make peace happen and do space ventures with the Russians and stop the nuclear testing. Do disarmament.

Fitts: Right. The way that you stop this is by everybody making money doing peace. You start doing space together. You start doing this together. You get everybody building.

When Trump first went in, he kept trying to talk about, “Let’s build this. Let’s start that.” He was trying to get everybody to engage in productive work rather than killing each other.

Blazer: That is what he claimed.

Fitts: I believe that he was sincere. I do believe that he is sincere. You have to understand that I am still grateful to Trump for not being Clinton.

Blazer: I am with you, champ! There is no question about it. The problem is: Was he naïve about the Deep State?

Fitts: I really think he was.

Blazer: That may explain a lot.



Fitts: As far as I know, Chuck Schumer has only done one positive thing in his life, and that was that he got on the Rachel Maddow show and basically explained that the President had to do what the CIA told him, and if he didn't, he would 'learn'.

There is the Deep State.

I just want to spend a second talking about the best sources. Let's start with the movie that we recommended this week, the A&E series (history channel). Could you just describe it?

Blazer: There are nine parts to it, three of which have been censored, but you can still get them. I got them on eBay.

To me, it is great interviews of people who had primary knowledge. They also did incredible amounts of research. You can't look at that thing and not realize that there was a conspiracy. That is all that there is to it.

Fitts: It's quite remarkable that they got that out.

Blazer: It is. By the way, Bill Moyers, who is looked at as a big hero by the liberals, is one of the ones that helped squash the last three because it was shining a light on Lyndon Johnson and his involvement and how he benefitted from all of this. (Bill Moyers was Lyndon Johnson's press secretary from 1965-67)

That, to me, is a great series. Get that and look at that. If you didn't want to do anything else – if you don't like reading – just watch TV. If you come away from that saying, "Yeah, the Warren Report is right, Lee Harvey Oswald was the guy who did it by himself,"....



Fitts: Right. 90% of people in America believe that it was a conspiracy.

Blazer: In terms of the books, we have talked about three or four. Anything by Prouty is recommended. You've got Talbot's book, *The Devil's Chessboard*. You've got Douglas's book, *JFK and the Unspeakable*.

Fitts: Another one is Peter Janney's *Mary's Mosaic*.

Blazer: Right, and get Jean Hill's book, *JFK: The Last Dissenting Witness*. I can put up hundreds of books because I have a library of 500-600 books on this subject. Every one of them has something to add.

Fitts: You read the Warren Commission, didn't you?

Blazer: No. There is no way that I am going to go through all of that, but what I did is I read commentaries on it and versions of it. It is 26 volumes of stuff, but I trust the guys who have spent the time looking at it and who are real scholars on this thing, and I have spent the time looking at their stuff.

Then, of course, you have Peter Dale Scott's book on the Deep State.

Fitts: It is very good.



Blazer: You have good guys who have really dedicated their lives to illuminating a lot of this. And I can give hundreds and hundreds of sources if people want to go that deep.

Start with the stuff that we have just recommended. It's a great start to this thing, just to convince yourself that this thing (the Deep State) does exist and it does operate. Then maybe you can get a little bit better about recognizing the signs of it.

For example, on 9/11 when there were four air exercises (war games) going on and they take the planes totally out of the United States jurisdiction and the guys at FAA and also at NORAD can't tell what the hijacked planes are versus the non-hijacked planes because there is a scenario going on involving hijacked planes at the same time.

Fitts: What a coincidence!

Blazer: That is the Secret Team at work, and it requires incredible amounts of understanding of the systems (by them) and the ability to manipulate it and people in the right place who are willing to do their job and lots of other people who end up doing things for them who don't even know that they are doing things for them.

Fitts: I want to end with this one. Every once in a while I will decide, "I'm going to put up another 'cut off their funding' idea."

There was quite a brouhaha related to the 'blue chicken' op. The blue chicken op is David Wilcox and Corey Goode. I confess that I've never watched the footage, but they had this whole theory about a group of aliens called the 'Blue Avians'.



Blazer: That are going to save the world!?

Fitts: Right. Daniel Liszt, our journalist, did a big series that tore the bejezus out of them, including the fact that apparently they were trying to copyright some of the terms that are common to the Secret Space program researchers. Liszt made a real journey to tear them apart, so everybody started referring to them as the ‘blue chicken’ op.

My angle was that the only reason why anybody listened to it was because it was on Gaia TV, which is a publicly traded company. I got their proxy that you file annually. The SEC has to show you who has a certain percentage of stock if they have above a certain amount. It shows who their top stockholders are.

Their top holders for the last ten years included Vanguard and BlackRock and Fidelity and Aerial Investments in Chicago, which is run by George Lucas’s wife. So I started on Twitter and said, “Why are Fidelity and BlackRock financing the *blue chicken op*? Why are the people who buy the most Treasury securities financing this with your money – because it’s your money?”

I said to everybody, “Do you have a Fidelity account? Do you have a BlackRock account?” BlackRock has a CEO who has written this long letter about why CEOs should be responsible. I said, “Just call customer service of BlackRock. If you have a BlackRock account, just call customer service and say that if CEOs should be responsible, then why are they using your money to finance the *blue chicken op*?”



Blazer: The way that the world works, which is very significant, is Gaia TV has the *blue chicken op*. They also have Richard Dolan.

Fitts: Right.

Blazer: So they mix.

Fitts: I think that they did that to cover their tracks.

Blazer: You mix something respectable with somebody who is actually trying to do the right thing recently. He has the right intention and he is a scholar in this issue.

Fitts: And it was a great series.

Blazer: Then you get this other thing going on. It takes the life force out of something that is trying to be positive. It contaminates it. That is what the Secret State has done. That is what the Deep State has done. This is our secret government. They have contaminated almost every aspect of our life in this country.

If you are smart, you smell the stench every day. Otherwise you may get stung by it here and there if you don't know.

Fitts: But the reality is that cutting off their money is not dangerous. It's not dangerous to pick up the phone and have 1,000 people say, "Why are you financing the blue chicken op with my money?" to customer service. There is a way to turn on the lights if everybody does it – with their Congressman, with their Senator.



The missing money story in the nation was postponed until after the election because they wanted to make sure that people couldn't talk to their Congressman about it.

Blazer: So there are 10,000 things that people can call their Congressman about and say, "Why are you funding this? Why did you vote for the Patriot Act that basically shreds the Constitution?" On and On.

Fitts: There are thousands of ways to start cutting off the money and turning on the lights. What I'm saying is that those ways are far more powerful than anyone knows, but you have to connect it to the money. So you are going to have to connect it to what is in your pension fund and what is in your IRA and what is in your 401k. You are going to have to start connecting up the money.

Blazer: The evil of the Deep State affects everybody – more profoundly every day. Whether you know it or not and can smell it or not, you are getting beat up and stung and eviscerated and debilitated and enervated by it.

Fitts: It's what that guy said. Since Kennedy was killed, we are walking in the valley of the shadow of death.

Blazer: I think that we were advised not to do that, and also to put our confidence in some other power while we are doing that. We also have to be true to that other power. You have to be true to the light. Otherwise the dark wins. Period.



Fitts: You have to decide that your governance doesn't come from the US government if you are an American citizen; your governance comes from a higher authority. It's what the Bill of Rights said: Powers come to us by divine authority. You have to decide that your relationship with the divine authority is superior to any other relationship. The government is subservient to your relationship with the divine authority.

Blazer: Given that, what is your role going to be?

Fitts: That is what it comes down to. The bottom line, Harry, is: Is there a Deep State? Yes or no? Yes! It's not the civil service, and it is very, very deep.

At this point it is basically controlling and managing most of the money on the planet. Would you say that that is fair to say?

Blazer: It is the most powerful force for bad on the planet.

Fitts: I will say this: What I don't know and what I would give anything for is a map of what their risk management looks like. They are dealing with technologies and secrecies that I don't understand. I can guess, but I don't know.

For example, what are they doing in terms of space travel? What are they doing in terms of time travel? What is their risk management profile? I don't understand it.



Blazer: I would put the ‘Breakaways’ in a different category than the Deep State. They are running the planet. These other guys are worried about running off planet.

Fitts: Right, but the Deep State financed the breakaways.

Blazer: For a time, but I look at them as two separate organizations right now. That doesn’t mean there are not interrelationships.

Fitts: I look at the breakaways as a subsidiary of the Deep State.

Blazer: Ah1. We can talk about that some other time.

Fitts: They may be out of control at this point. They certainly have the technology to be out of control. So this is to be continued.

Harry, thank you very much for joining me in Memphis, Tennessee.

Blazer: Hopefully your subscribers have found this useful.

Fitts: I’m sure that they have.

Ladies and gentlemen, thank you for joining us on The Solari Report. As I say every time – and I will say again – don’t worry about whether or not there is a conspiracy. If you’re not in a conspiracy, you need to start one. Good night and good luck.

Continue for related reading:



*RE: Nixon

Fletcher Prouty Commentary

This article is from "People and the PURSUIT of the Truth" for June, 1978

This is the way the Warren Commission began. They had been appointed at the end of November. By the time of this January 27, 1964 meeting, they had seen and heard tons of FBI and Secret Service "opinions" and "findings." They were overwhelmed then, even as they started overwhelmed. This was exactly the way it ended. Kennedy was dead. Oswald was dead. The FBI and the Secret Service had "solved" the case. The Warren Commission was going to have to put its collective signatures on the verdict.

That is exactly what they did and not a bit more. That verdict, effectively dictated to them between November 30, 1963 and January 27, 1964 is still the vastest cover-up of this century.

Nixon's Three Stories of Where He Was on November 22, 1963

In the first place, strange things which could scarcely all be coincidence happened even before JFK was killed. On the morning of November 22, 1963, the day Kennedy was killed the New York Times carried an item on a back page, It was datelined Dallas. And it said that ex-Vice-President Richard M. Nixon had made a speech in Dallas before a group of businessmen.



Not only did the Times carry that story on the very day JFK died, but Nixon was in Dallas the day Kennedy died, and it is very possible that he was still in Dallas at the moment Kennedy died. Despite all other reports to the contrary. And of course the thing that makes this so very important is that Nixon and others have for some reason tried to conceal that fact for more than twelve years.

By itself, this would not be important. Being in Dallas on November 22nd, 1963 does not make just anyone. For example, Nixon, a murderer; but the record of Nixon's visit to Dallas has been deliberately obscured. Let's pick three "official" versions of Nixon's actions that day and see how they compare and then what the differences may signify.

Story One

Not long after Kennedy was shot, Nixon wrote an unusually long article for the Reader's Digest. It appeared in the November 1964 issue under the strange title, "Cuba, Castro, and John F Kennedy." Prepared as it was by Nixon or for his signature and prepared for the massive worldwide audience of the August Reader's Digest, we are asked to believe that this is the factual account of what took place. Nixon says

"I urged, in a statement to the press [Dallas on November 21 that the President and the vice-president be shown the respect to which their office entitled them." Nixon added,

"I boarded a plane in Dallas on the morning of November 22 to New York. We arrived on schedule at 12:56. I hailed a cab. We were waiting for a light to change when a man ran over from the street corner and said that the President had just been shot in Dallas. This is the way that I learned the news."



Story Two

Now let's look at another Nixon account of the same day. The November 1973 issue of Esquire magazine carried the following Nixon quote;

"I attended the Pepsi Cola convention [in Dallas]and left on Friday morning, November 22, from Love Field, Dallas, on a flight back to New York , . . . on arrival in New York we caught a cab and headed for the city the cabbie missed a turn somewhere and we were off the highway . . . a woman came out of her house screaming and crying. I rolled down the cab window to ask what the matter was and when she saw my face she turned even paler. She told me that John Kennedy had just been shot in Dallas,"

Story Three

Now let's look at the "official" account from "The Day Kennedy was Shot, by Jim Bishop:"

"At Idlewild Airport (now JFK Airport) in New York , reporters and photographers had been waiting for the American Airlines plane among (the passengers) was Nixon. As he got off the plane he thought that he would give 'the boys' basically the same interview he had granted in Dallas . Nixon posed for a few pictures . . . got into a taxi-cab was barely out of the airport when one of the reporters got the message: The President has been shot in Dallas."



Comparison

Now let's compare these. Nixon was in Dallas on November 22. The versions agree that he took some plane out in the morning Bishop says it was American Airlines and that it went into Idlewild. Nixon says that it landed precisely at 12:56 nearly one half-hour after Kennedy had been shot. Certainly the crew would have heard over their radio that the president had been shot and would have told their passengers. Then Bishop says reporters and photographers were there. Certainly they too would have known about Kennedy's murder by then. Everyone else in the world did. Bishop says the photographers took pictures. Where are they?

Nixon says he traveled to New York from Dallas with a friend. Who? And what is his story?

Nixon says he got in a cab, presumably well after 12:56. What cabbie in New York City would have not known the news by then? And then Nixon tells a strange story. The first time a man ran out to the cab with the news, and the second time the cab was "lost" and a woman ran out screaming and crying the news. These different accounts do not hold water.

With all of this very contrived series of accounts it looks as though someone has been fabricating a cover-up of Nixon's actions that day. Why?



The True Story

Actually, Nixon was in Dallas when JFK was shot. On April 2nd 1975 a young man was listening to a talk at his school when he heard the lecturer tell about the Esquire account of Nixon's trip to Dallas, and how and when Nixon had learned about JFK's death. That young man then told the lecturer, "My father was an executive for the Pepsi Cola Company, and he was in Dallas on November 22nd 1963 at that convention. He has told me that Nixon was there in Dallas at the convention when the announcement was heard that JFK had been killed, Nixon left later that afternoon,"

This young man is the son of Mr. Harvey Russel of the Pepsi Cola Company. When Mr. Russel was informed of his son's account, he agreed that his son's story was true. Mr. Russel confirmed that Nixon was attending that meeting at the time the shots were fired. He added Nixon was there representing the Pepsi Cola Company's law firm Mudge, Rose, Nixon et al. The Dallas newspapers stated that Nixon was attending a board meeting.

Mr. Russel confirmed that the session Nixon was attending broke up when the assassination news came through. Nixon then returned to his hotel and later in the afternoon had been driven to the Dallas airport by a Mr. Deluca, also a Pepsi Cola official.

These surprising series of events and the manner in which they unfolded after all these years underscore that there was something unusual about Nixon's visit to Dallas. Telephone calls to Deluca and again to Russel did little more than highlight their growing concern over the inadvertent disclosure of this story.



**Madeleine Duncan Brown, an advertising executive who previously claimed to have had an extended love affair and a son with President Lyndon B. Johnson, said that she was present at a party in Murchison's Dallas home on the evening prior to the assassination of John F. Kennedy that was attended by Johnson as well as other famous, wealthy, and powerful individuals including J. Edgar Hoover, Richard Nixon, and H. L. Hunt.[10] According to Brown, Johnson had a meeting with several of the men after which he told her: "After tomorrow, those goddamn Kennedys will never embarrass me again. That's no threat. That's a promise." [10][nb 1] Brown's story received national attention and became part of at least a dozen John F. Kennedy assassination conspiracy theories.[10]

This conspiracy theory was debunked by Kennedy assassination investigator Dave Perry. Evidence showed neither President Johnson nor Hoover were in Dallas at the time of the alleged party and Murchison had not lived in his Dallas home for a number of years. Witnesses place Murchison at his East Texas ranch[10].

In 1987, Brown's son, Steven Mark Brown filed a lawsuit claiming President Johnson was his father. Seven years earlier he had filed a lawsuit claiming a Dallas lawyer was his father.[10]

After including the theory in a television documentary called "The Guilty Men: An Historical Review," the History Channel received strong criticism from historians and former presidents Gerald Ford and Jimmy Carter. The History Channel apologized to President Johnson's family. The network aired a new special featuring a panel of noted historians who agreed the original documentary was not credible and should not have aired.[12]



Harry's top 22:

Significant Ways we Know Kennedy was not killed by a lone gunman (never mind Oswald being the patsy) and that there was an extensive conspiracy – there are many, many other items that could be added to the list. Many!

1) Major breaches of Secret Service protection protocols that helped to facilitate the murder.

2) Specifically, actions of Secret Service agents (driver and front seat passenger in Kennedy Limo)

- slowed car down dramatically after initial shots were fired and particularly in kill zone (many testified that the limo actually had stopped)
- actions of passenger turning several times to make sure deed was done before signaling driver to speed up

3) Too many bullets:

- Kennedy Officially Hit twice (most likely several times more than that including simultaneous head shots)
- Connally probably hit twice (impossibility of magic bullet thesis put forward by Specter on a number of counts and the simple fact that the so called magic bullet itself has been two different bullets at different times)
- Teague hit (standing a block away in line with 2nd floor Dal Tex building window)
- bullet impacts recorded in other pictures and films on ground in various places
- bullet or fragment hole in windshield near rear view mirror
- bullet impact in top frame of front window
- recording that came out in Congressional Hearings (police microphone that was depressed) indicating at least 4 shots
- no accounting for use of suppressed weapons



- 4) Extensive eyewitness testimony of other shooters.
- 5) The Warren Report itself
 - Warren Commission put in place to head off any other investigations (Congress was getting ready to do its own)
 - Brazen extensive filtering of evidence by Warren Commission and many other agencies and investigators that contradicted “Oswald as single shooter” thesis and the altering and manipulation of evidence and witnesses to bolster that thesis
- 6) Deaths of numerous key witnesses (including Mary from Mary’s Mosaic by Janney)
- 7) Personal and extremely compelling video testimony of former Green Beret about being recruited to kill a key witness who had proof of falsification of autopsy upon his retirement (Bruce Pitzer)
- 8) Personnel with false secret service and other credentials in Dealey Plaza (on grassy knoll and other key areas to protect shooting teams)
- 9) Amount of evidence that contradicted Warren Commission findings that was altered, mutilated and lost (including President’s brain and autopsy X-rays, many photos, movies of crime scene) – in particular by FBI, who took charge of the investigation early on.
- 10) Autopsy
 - 4 different and contradictory representations of autopsy findings by 4 different government investigatory bodies.
 - findings in Warren Report and other reports contradicted physical evidence



- admission by Ford that he altered autopsy findings for Warren report (to make magic bullet theory more plausible to protect Oswald as only assassin thesis, which required a maximum of 3 shots – one of which missed and hit Teague)
- interference during autopsy to stop certain investigatory procedures
- contradicted key doctors' and nurses' observations at Parkland (especially Crenshaw)
- lots of other subsequent evidence, analysis and testimony of alteration of autopsy and related evidence, including alteration of body prior to autopsy
- contradictory testimony about when body arrived at Bethesda by honor guard and others
- substantial skull fragment picked up by bystander that obviously was occipital (indicating shot from the front)

11) Several people including a police officer that saw Oswald in a location during and immediately after the shooting that proved he could not have been the shooter.

12) Proof that Oswald was an FBI and CIA asset and huge amount of evidence around how he was made the patsy.

13) Initial identification by experts of the rifle found in the book depository as being different than the one Oswald supposedly used

14) Testimony of Lyndon Johnson's mistress about what was said by Johnson after meeting at Clint Murchison's house the night before the assassination (a meeting attended by Nixon, J. Edgar and many other co-conspirators)

15) Prouty reading extensive profile about Oswald as assassin in New Zealand paper before Oswald had even been apprehended in Dallas



- 16) Johnson smiling at an associate (and Lady Byrd smiling to herself) immediately after completion of swearing in on presidential plane.
- 17) The forcible removal at gunpoint of Kennedy's body from Parkland by Federal agents
- 18) The elimination of Oswald by Ruby – a mafia and CIA asset
- 19) Compelling evidence that the kill shot came from the right side i.e. sewer on Elm street (which was not inspected or sealed by SS as is the normal procedure) or Grassy Knoll (a term first introduced by Jean Hill – the closest witness to the assassination.
- 20) Brain splatter on motor cycle cops riding to the left and behind of limo and testimony about the smell of gunpowder by at least one of the officers (impossible unless shot was made at close range i.e. the sewer)
- 21) Rush by Secret Service to destroy limo evidence immediately after assassination (clean up limo, shipping limo very quickly to be “repaired” by an exclusive team at factory including windshield and windshield frame)
- 22) Immediate reversal of Kennedy's Vietnam policy by Johnson once he assumed office and the strenuous efforts to obscure that true policy by press and officials subsequently (including the forging of White House documents by E. Howard Hunt)



Some Key Books:

L. Fletcher Prouty: 'The Secret Team

L. Fletcher Prouty: JFK

Peter Janney: Mary's Mosaic

David Talbot: The Devil's Chessboard

James W. Douglass: JFK and the Unspeakable

James C. Jenkins: At the Cold Shoulder of History

Charles A. Crenshaw: Trauma Room One

Bill Sloan with Jean Hill: JFK The Last Dissenting Witness

James H. Fetzer editor: The Great Zapruder Film Hoax

James H. Fetzer editor: Assassination Science

Craig Roberts: A Sniper Looks at Dealey Plaza

James P. Johnston & Jon Roe: Flight From Dallas

Roderick A. MacKenzie, III: The Men that Don't Fit In

Jim Marrs: Crossfire

Harold Weisberg: Whitewash (series of books) and Post Mortem

Robert J. Groden: The Killing of a President

John Newman: Oswald and the CIA

James DiEugenio and Lisa Pease editors: The Assassinations

Gregory Douglas: Regicide: The Official Assassination of John t. Kennedy

Bill Bonanno: Bound by Honor (chapter 26)



MODIFICATION

Transcripts are not always verbatim. Modifications are sometimes made to improve clarity, usefulness and readability, while staying true to the original intent.

DISCLAIMER

Nothing on The Solari Report should be taken as individual investment advice. Anyone seeking investment advice for his or her personal financial situation is advised to seek out a qualified advisor or advisors and provide as much information as possible to the advisor in order that such advisor can take into account all relevant circumstances, objectives, and risks before rendering an opinion as to the appropriate investment strategy.