



The Solari Report

January 10, 2019

Annual Wrap Up News Trends & Stories Part II With Dr. Joseph Farrell



Catherine Austin Fitts



Dr Joseph P Farrell



***Annual Wrap Up –
News Trends & Stories Part I I
with
Dr. Joseph Farrell***

January 10, 2019

C. Austin Fitts: Ladies and gentlemen, welcome back to The Solari Report. This is the News Trends & Stories Part II with Dr. Joseph Farrell. Last week, Part I was most illuminating. It's all blurring into each other – Money, Geopolitics, and Culture. This week we do Culture, Space, Science & Technology, and Food & Health.

If you haven't checked out the web presentation, make sure that you look at it. In the News Trends & Stories section we list the trends that we track, we list the top stories, the headlines underneath all of them, and then great resources with the Trump Report Card and the top news videos. So, check out the web presentation. It will be great to listen along while you do it.

The first section is **Culture**. As I have said, you are the 'culture star'.

Story #11: Gender X: Adapting Human Labor Laws and Taxation for Robotics.



We discussed this, and I made a little video. It was amazing because I made this 'Just a Taste' video from our discussion in the last News Trends & Stories where I talked about how I thought the driving force behind Gender X was: If you can integrate robots into the human labor system for regulation and taxation, you can roll out robotics so much faster.

There is another thing. It's not only the speed at which you roll out robotics, but you are going to want to experiment with integrating digital technology into people. So, there is a continuum from human all the way through cyborgs to robots, and you are going to want to prototype and test all of it.

It is imperative to have all of it in one system because when does somebody stop being a human and start being a cyborg or a robot? It is going to get very blurry with transhumanism.

I think that they want to dump everything into one system, and it's just a matter of expanding the human labor laws, taxation laws, and regulation laws so that they can do that. That is why some people say that aliens run us; I say that we are run by slavers.

We need to understand that the mentality of the people in charge, with respect to what you described as 'human resources', they are thinking aliens.

Farrell: Their thinking is coming out of their materialism. They are materialists, so they don't really have any belief or grounding in the idea of transcendent power, God, soul, personhood, or whatever you want to call all that.



Once you have accepted that philosophy, then anything could be a person – a corporation, a robot, a cyborg, a clone, and so on.

The problem with all of it is that none of it is being addressed from within the tradition of this culture. For example, would clones be a person? Many people would say no, but I would say yes. There is an old doctrine that was floating around in the early church by a fellow whose name was Tertullian and it was called traducianism. That was the idea that the individual person arose at the instant of conception, so a clone under that rubric would be a person. It wouldn't be the same person, but their biological clone would be like a twin. Twins are different persons.

They are not approaching any of this from that law or standpoint; they are simply approaching it from the extension of the *persona ficta* in law from corporations to robots and so on. As I have said many times over, that whole doctrine actually emerges from a theological error that occurred in the Western church in the Middle Ages. So like it or not, you are extending a theological error by doing all this.

There is more to this than just robotics. I think this Gender X issue is also an attempt to get a linguistic agenda in place in people's minds so that they use that as a template not to interact with text, but rather to confront them. In cases where the text goes against the agenda, you are free to modify the text. We have seen this happen over and over again.

I mentioned in the *Microcosm Medium* book that they have already done this to Mozart. They have changed the libretto of *Idomeneo* to fit a political agenda. Remember the Moor? It was, "We can't have that!" So they changed the libretto. There is no stopping these people.



People have to understand that this is an agenda that can never be satisfied; it is simply a power play, and that is all it is. That's why we have to be on guard.

Fitts: But it is a power play that has its built-in entropy that can never be satisfied.

Farrell: This is why we have to be on our guard about these linguistic agendas. They are seemingly harmless, but they are really not. They are deeply rooted.

We have to remember something that happened in Russia after the Bolshevik revolution. The Bolsheviks had a linguistic program that they went through and got rid of many things in the Russian language that had, up to that point, been rather traditional – even characters of letters.

So, there is a great deal going on with it, and the ultimate agenda is to get people untethered from any rooting in the traditions of the civilization and to substitute something else for it.

Fitts: If you unhook people from each other and from their traditions and history, then it's much easier to use them as a resource.

Farrell: It is much easier to use them as a resource, and it also does something else. If you have a group of people where some are on board with the linguistic agenda and some aren't, when you have a linguistic agenda that is defining common words so that one party has a different set of definitions of those words, there is no communication. Things break down and that is literally what is happening.



Fitts: That is the climate confusion. That is part of the cultural wars.

Farrell: This is why I say that the hallmark of a gnostic is always to go along with the linguistic agenda. You signal your ascent to the agenda by using the language in the way that they prescribe. That is key.

This is really what Professor Jordan Peterson has been trying to get at, although from my perceptions of him, he is not familiar enough with Gnosticism and its techniques to be able to identify what he is grappling with, but that is quite literally it. It is a technique of revolutionary subversion and infiltration of institutions. It's not just the church. If you take the religion out of it and take the techniques, you can apply it anywhere. You can see it with Saul Alinsky, and you can see it with Jacques Derrida and other people like that.

It is very, very important that people latch onto this. If you signal your ascent to the agenda, you signal it by using their prescriptions for words. This is why I won't use 'humankind' in my speech; I will use 'mankind'. I don't talk about 'congresspeople'; I talk about congressmen or congresswomen. I'm a curmudgeon.

Fitts: This is why we love you.

I think that it is very instructive when you look at the Gender X developments to see them unfold and be implemented around the world. No matter what the various screaming factions are saying about it, it's a drumbeat and it just keeps rolling out.



Farrell: Their biggest problem is philosophical and religious systems like Buddhism, Islam, Judaism, and so on where you have a tradition of language that has been associated with a cosmological system. That is their biggest problem. People have to wake up and realize that in changing language to suit these agendas, what they are imposing upon you is a cosmology; it's a way of looking at the world. It is also a way that is going to influence your ability to understand or misunderstand texts coming out of those traditions because without that understanding you won't be able to fathom what the texts are actually telling us.

It is a way of cutting yourself off and untethering you from the roots that built this civilization. For that matter, if you are in an Islamic country, they are untethering you from the roots of that civilization. It's an entirely different cosmology that they are trying to put into play.

Fitts: There are all sorts of magical things that happen with humans in a family. Where a family works, you have these magical and powerful relationships. Where a family knows its own history, you have this magical power that comes from knowing your own history. Where you have different kinds of relationships, you get these magical powers.

I keep talking about the economics of men and women and investment banking or bartending. You have these magical things that happen.

For example, when I was running Hamilton I would estimate out the productivity – both to the client and Hamilton-of different transactions. I got to the point where I became a dictator. I said, “You will have half women and half men on your team.” There was nothing sexual going on. It wasn't sexual, but it was like magic.



The other thing that I discovered was that men are much better at transactions and women are much better at relationships. That sounds really sexist, but I can show you the math. It's there.

So where you see the magic, one of the things that the intelligence agencies have fought the hardest for with mind control is to get ways of programming women so that they will betray their own children because they find that is the hardest thing to overcome. The most magical thing is a woman's love for her child – and even a father's love for his child.

All of these magic's they want to strip out, and these are all things that give us power. I believe the greatest power is the divine. When the divine comes in and connects with that love of family for each other or of man for woman or all these different magical things, when the divine is present and in it you know you are history. That is when you talk about people who can really pull the *Braveheart* out of the hat.

Farrell: It's the presence of the divine in that tradition. That is what they hate with a passion.

Fitts: 'Where two or more are gathered, there am I.' That is what they are trying to bust. That is what the 5G is designed to bust.

When you tell us that we should own the culture, what we are all trying to understand is, "What do I do?"

I remember when you first told me that, I said, "He is right. Now what is my action? What do I do?"



I think my question to you is: If I am listening to this and I agree with you and I want to own the culture, what should I do?

Farrell: Ground yourself in it. Expose yourself to its traditions. I should clarify this: You don't have to agree with all of it. There are many fine agnostics. There are many fine atheists. You don't have to agree with all of it, but at least be aware that it is there and it is what has permitted the development of your own points of view on these things.

Fitts: Let me make two suggestions: One is that I am amazed at how little we understand our own history. Everybody is different, so what is good for one may not be good for another; it's very hard to generalize. One thing that you can do, though, is learn your history.

The other thing is learn your history. I just finished my Christmas tour of putting flowers on all of the ancestors' graves. I stopped in Jackson and put flowers on all my father's family graves, and then my stepmother's family graves. Then I drove up to Westchester and put flowers on the graves of Georgie and Eleanor and the family that raised me.

One of my favorite quotes from Chief Seattle is, "The dead are not powerless. Our ancestors are in the field." This tradition of calling on the ancestors has great power. So, I say to learn your history and connect with your ancestors and their ancestral memories – which anyone can do.



Farrell: History is how they have cut us off. You look at a modern American history textbook, and it's this bland porridge of glittering generalities that says absolutely nothing. Whatever interests you about this culture, which is where I would say to start.

Fitts: Start with what you love.

Farrell: Start with what you love, and it will branch out. You will be amazed at how quickly it will be branching out as you explore it. But not knowing it is a recipe for disaster. That is how they are able to get away with what they get away with. Quite frankly, they are doing things out of a very old playbook. If you know that history, the playbook hasn't really changed that much. So that is how I would say to own the culture. Whatever interests you in it, start digging. If philosophy interests you, read Aristotle's *Nicomachean Ethics* or Plato's *Republic*. Just dig.

My advice is to always go to primary sources. Don't rely on secondary sources. Don't rely on people who tell you what Plato said or tell you what Luther said or Kant; read them and find out.

Fitts: Somebody sent me a \$100 gift card to Barnes & Noble. I have always wanted a hardbound complete works of Aristotle, so that is what I got. I am trying to build my classics library.

Farrell: Aristotle, particularly his *Ethics* and his whole logical system, has so much in underpinning of this culture. It is amazing how many people don't realize it. You will be shocked once you start to read it. It's truly amazing. I get this from people all the time who have actually started doing this. "So-and-so said this so-and-so said that," but so-and-so didn't say that.



Fitts: Story #12 is a huge ‘Joseph Farrell victory’ because you have one of the definitive books on what a ‘bunch of BS’ Common Core is.

Farrell: Gary Lawrence and I wrote that book, and Gates apologizes for it like, “Oh really?”

Fitts: Story #12: Gates Apologizes for Common Core Failure.

I almost fell off my chair. I have to give the President credit because one of the ways he won was by saying that Common Core goes. He appointed a Secretary of Education who said that Common Core was out.

As you pointed out, how this translates into what is actually going on in the schools is another matter, but for Bill Gates to stand up and apologize for spending \$400 million to experiment with US children and destroy our brains and education – what is this about? My vote is that he wants in on the Jedi contract.

Farrell: Yes, my vote is that he wants in on something else.

Fitts: He wants Microsoft in on the Jedi contract. That is what I think.

Farrell: I do, too. As far as Gates experimenting with education, the fact of the matter is – and we tried to make this point in the book – that American education is the mess that it is in because it has been one long experiment since at least the 1930’s if not before. It was the Rockefellers, Carnegie, and Horace Mann if you want to go back to the 19th century and that whole connection with John Dewey. It has been one big experiment, and it has been one big failure.



My reasoning for this is on several points. First is the ridiculous idea that you have to get a special license to be a public school teacher. The licensure is a certification that you have been through these education courses where you learn the latest education theories and so on rather than learning and being a master of a particular discipline like biology or English literature or what have you. That is problem #1. It has created a two-tier system.

I have a post-graduate degree from the oldest university in the English-speaking world, and I cannot go into a high school in Oklahoma or Arkansas or Texas or Massachusetts public school systems and teach without that certificate. That is ridiculous.

Fitts: It is a dumbing down process, and it wastes time. I've talked to the teachers about how their time is wasted.

Farrell: Don't get me wrong; I have sympathy for the public school teachers because they tell me the same thing constantly. Trying to teach to these standardized tests wastes their time, and that is problem #2. These standardized tests – and I give example after example in the book – have absolutely erroneous questions that were put out on the SATs that were noticed by Banesh Hoffmann, a famous mathematician, who was challenging this whole notion of these tests. He gave examples of test questions that were plainly erroneous of the Educational Testing Service trying to justify its error.

Fitts: I'm going to say something now. Gates apologizing for his Common Core failure shows you the power of pushback. When the American people decide to push back, they can win. This is a big win.



Farrell: It's a big win, but we can't let it stop there and we have to understand that. John Taylor Gatto put it perfectly. The reason he resigned from teaching is because the system is designed to fail.

If you want to change this educational system, start going after the certification nonsense. Get rid of these people with EDD after their degree. If you have a degree in Education, you have a degree in claptrap.

Fitts: But there is something else. I would seriously say to anybody that you need to dig into the money. There are big money games going on in the education money, and we at a local level are paying for the schools. But the people who are not paying for the schools are dictating what happens in the schools.

There is a huge out-of-balance in the money, and the way to get at this is to go after the money.

Farrell: Look at what the educational system is since we are talking about culture. The educational system is designed to cut you off from that tradition. It is designed to cut you off from that history. It is designed to cut you off from any detailed knowledge of primary sources – Plato, Aristotle, Aquinas, and Kant. It is designed to cut you off from that. You don't get exposure to those things until college, and only then if you are lucky. That is the problem.

We need to restore primary educational disciplines. It's trivium and quadrivium. It's music, geometry, mathematics, literature, and foreign language. Another big problem that I think happened in American schools was when they dropped Latin as a requirement. —————



— So, now we have more than a generation of people who don't even know the Latin roots of many English words in common use. Therefore, we don't know how to use the words, and on and on this goes.

Once you cut people away from that, that is when you can impose these linguistic agendas that I am talking about because people think it's just a freewheeling thing. It isn't. There is a long tradition of the proper use of words.

All of it – the entire system top to bottom – is completely fraudulent in my opinion. People have to wake up and realize that it is completely fraudulent.

Fitts: I think it's bigger than that. If you look at the IQs in Asia, the IQs in America have fallen. If you look at food, vaccines, pharmaceuticals, mind control, and all these different things combined, you are talking about driving the American children into a state of non-competitiveness and ineffectiveness. They don't have the tools or the physical energy or the mental energy or the intellectual energy to function.

Farrell: Let's remember something that we pointed out in the Common Core book: James Bryant Conant, the President of Harvard at the time who was one of the big backers of pushing standardized tests, was trying to come up with a system to slot people into elite category or non-elite category and so on. In other words, standardized testing is a slotting mechanism, and therefore it is not designed to be responsive to the individual person.



Teachers are hand strung in the classroom in having to teach to a standardized test rather than teach the individual needs of their students and communicate the subject matter to their students. Bill Gates comes along, and what does he do? He tries through Common Core to inject another technological element into the equation – adaptable standardized tests. That reduces the teacher to being nothing more than a proctor for an exam.

Fitts: Right, but remember that his standardized test is something that puts the child online 24/7 so that they can manipulate, manage, communicate, and mind-control that child 24/7.

Farrell: That is my point. And since we're talking about mind control, let's remember that the Educational Testing Service had a link with MK Ultra. So that's what this is all about. In my opinion, good teaching cannot be done without human interaction. There has to be a teacher in the classroom – not a computer – delivering the information.

The other thing that we have to get out of our heads – and when I say this it's probably going to make me very unpopular – is that not everybody should go to college. We have tremendous need for some vocational schools in this country for people who can actually fix a car. I can't fix a car.

We need to get back to that idea that we used to have in this country.

Fitts: You are seeing it happen because of the market.



Farrell: I think, ultimately, the market, if it is allowed to function properly, and it's dubious when we are talking about secret books and no pricing mechanism, that has been the other problem with the educational system. It's a system that has taken the pricing mechanism out of education. So there is no connotation.

Once that starts to happen, I think that the public school system is going to collapse.

Fitts: I worked very diligently with some children of clients of mine, and we sat down and did an outline of what knowledge they wanted and needed, and then how to get it. We could not find one college that could provide them with what they needed.

It became a matter of pricing out, "I go here for this, and I go here for this, and I teach myself this," and the education that they required, their dollar could go much further if they fractured the whole educational experience. They needed to go all over the place.

Farrell: I used to tell my students something when I was teaching in college. I was very frank with them. I told them that the system is corrupt, it's not going to give you what you want, and you are going to have to be autodidacts. That is part of owning the culture. You are going to have to teach yourselves. If you are parents with children or grandparents, even more so. The system cannot be relied upon to convey anything of the traditions of this culture. So you are going to have to do it yourselves.



Fitts: One of my favorite feedbacks from The Solari Report was a college student who said, “It’s so great. Every time the history professor starts to lie to me, I just put my iPod earplugs in, and I listen to The Solari Report.” In fact, she was listening to you and me on News Trends & Stories.

Education is so important and I know you know that. For succeeding in where we go from here, you absolutely have to have your mind. I think that the documentary this year is Truthstream Media’s *The Minds of Men*. It goes into the intelligence agencies.

At the end of World War II it (*The Minds of Men*) brings the Paperclip Nazis who did all the research during the war, and takes a secret society mind control program that has been going for centuries – talks about the Templars bringing it back from the Middle East – but it turbojets the whole thing with a hidden system of finance and the black budget.

Now you are talking about an infinite amount of money and operations outside of laws. So, national security says, “You can have the CIA run sex slaves. You can have the CIA run Manchurian Candidate assassins.” So, we see this institutionalization. It’s almost like industrial-strength mind control. So, we get an industrial mind control complex going on steroids. As they are doing it, they are using digital technology and telecommunications to figure out how to do it on a mass basis.

The Truthstream documentary goes from 1950 to 1970, and it shows you the scientific basis and the operational basis of doing this thing.



I keep telling them, “Guys, you have to do the next one quickly because you have to bring us up to current.”

Part of the cultural debasement is mind control.

Farrell: It is definitely mind control, both in hard and soft forms. It’s present in education, it is present in the arts, and all the academic disciplines. It is there. What people have to realize is that across the board they have to start pushing back.

Fitts: Every one of us has digested a huge amount of mind control in our lives. So, I’ll use myself as the guinea pig. If you look at my complete history, I’ve ingested an enormous amount of mind control.

I didn’t start to learn or get educated until after I got my first computer. I was long gone from school.

When the litigation started, I had to look in the mirror and say, “I’m the Patsy.”

I had to go back and figure out: How could you be Assistant Secretary of Housing and not face all this or understand what was really going on? Those were just two of many times that I confronted this.

They used entrainment during the litigation on me, and as an investment advisor I saw it being used on my clients. That is when I got Adam Trombly to do the interview on entrainment.

We have all struggled with it, and yet I see the majority of people not understanding it or being able to talk about it or deal with it.



Farrell: That is because they can't; they are the product of all of it. It's not going to change until those of us who are 'awake and aware' (to use that cliché) make a big enough dent in the popular consciousness that people start waking up and doing it.

People are not able to read critically anymore, and that is a huge part of what we are talking about. That is a big part of the soft mind control that is going on under this linguistic agenda. This is why I tell people that if you want to own the culture 'ad fontes', which is Latin for 'to the sources' or 'to the fountains' of this culture, be it whatever you want to investigate. If you are agnostic or atheist and you want to investigate Kant and the roots of all that, read Kant.

Fitts: My tool for dealing with mind control is math. I track the money because the money will show you where the transactional train tracks are going. I am online a lot – I have to be online a great deal – and I am digesting. Even when you are talking on the phone you are digesting a huge amount of mind control. I have to deal with it all the time.

I grew up in the secret society, so I have plenty of experience with it. I can't stay free of it. I find it much easier to stay awake if I have other people helping me.

There are certain allies who I talk to, and they help me. So it's always a constant vigil to stay awake. I do a lot of prayer, and I do other things to stay awake. It's one of the reasons I hug trees and talk to cows.



Farrell: Mathematics is important. I talk on my website about something I call the ‘topological metaphor’ or ‘the medium’ in my books. There is a thing in topology called ‘a basin of attraction’. In other words, it’s a geometrical kind of hyper-dimensional construct that naturally attracts things into it. What people will find once they start to take this ‘owning the culture’ idea seriously is, as they are doing that, gradually something peculiar begins to happen. Sources begin to come to you that you never would have dreamed are coming to you. Like-minded people start coming to you. That is a natural phenomenon; it is to be expected.

Once you start doing this, you are going to start building different relationships and different networks. The ‘chaff’, so to speak, is going to get separated from the wheat in the process. Many former friends may no longer be friends because you are just in a different place and so on. Expect it to happen. But don’t think of the process as something you are doing in isolation because it won’t be that way for every long.

Fitts: I don’t know if you remember the interview that we did with Jon Rappoport for the organ crowdfund, but he talked about how music can lift us into this higher mind. Music helps me tremendously. (I’m sitting here looking at Bach’s sonatas.) Music can help clear out everything. It’s like it ‘blows out the carburetor’.

Farrell: Certain kinds of music can do that. I’ve been asked many times by people, “How is it that you are able to connect dots so well?” I always go back to the fact that I grew up playing the organ, and I was exposed to contrapuntal music where I had to train my mind, not just to be able to play several different independent lines in music and make it work, but to think that way. I have to think on several tracks at the same time, all moving independently and all making sense.



There is no substitute for it. There is a reason the classical education system, trivium and quadrivium, put music front and center. That was particularly the case in the high Middle Ages going on into the Renaissance and baroque.

Fitts: It's amazing because you will see the video of the teacher who raised his kids the first five years on Bach and complex classical music, and the speed at which they acquired new languages and various kinds of skills was phenomenal.

Farrell: And you won't get it listening to rap music.

Fitts: Before I came here I had a back and forth with one of my favorite people about disinformation. If you look at the importance of constantly keeping your mind in 'cahoots' with high integrity materials – whether it's music or art or sources – I don't have enough time to listen to or read all the high integrity sources that I am dying to read, which means that I have no time for disinformation.

Some of it I need to read to check it out for The Solari Report, but other than that, I have no time. I don't have time to eat at McDonald's; I just don't have time.

Farrell: I am the same way. I don't have time to waste on a Jackson Pollock painting.

Fitts: I think that part of owning the culture is that in 2019 we have to get radical about spoiling ourselves in terms of culture. It's just as you've said: Find the parts that you love, and spoil yourself.



Farrell: Dig down deeply into them.

Fitts: Just enrich yourself deeply.

That brings us to Story #13:

Story #13: Bill Binney's Great Encryption Teach-In.

I think it is one of the greatest ideas I've ever heard from Bill Binney. I keep emphasizing it, and I want to continue emphasizing it. I want every teacher, every principal, and anybody involved in education and if you give money to schools, come at it every way. Bill Binney says that if we teach the children how to build encryption systems, we will drive them crazy.

If we are going to have language that has integrity, then we are going to need digital systems that have higher integrity. I don't believe there is such a thing as a general system that has integrity, but the ones that we use are going to have to have higher integrity.

Farrell: Think 'the enigma machine'.

Fitts: We need every young person in America and every young person in G-7 to know learn how to do their own encryption system.

Farrell: We will have billions of encryptions, and I will add to Binney's idea: Don't neglect analog. It can't only be digital. Codes and cyphers are an interesting study in and of themselves and how they work; don't' forget the analog methods.



Fitts: So for **Culture** we had:

Story #11: Gender X

Story #12: Gates Apologizes for Common Core Failure

Story #13: Bill Binney's Great Encryption Teach-In

The moral of the story is: Own the culture. Is there anything else that you want to say on Culture before we move on?

Farrell: Learn how to shun.

Fitts: Yes-Charles Purnell.

Farrell: There are certain types of individuals who I just will not deal with. Flat Earthers are one example. Learn that not everybody is worth your time and attention.

Fitts: I couldn't agree more. If you read Axelrod's *The Evolution of Cooperation*, shunning is the most powerful political tool ever invented, and yet 99% of the people I know will not exercise that power.

Farrell: That whole philosophy was why I did not vote for so many years. It was a deliberate act of shunning.

What if we were to hold an election and no one showed up? How would they spin the election results? "Millions are out," and the poll lines are empty. What would happen? It would come to a screeching halt and it needs to.



Fitts: One of the things that I know about the people who run things is that those kinds of shunnings are very powerful; they are very afraid of them. The beauty of it is that you are not breaking a law, and there is no disobedience.

Farrell: Except in Australia where it is a law that you have to vote. But the reason it is so powerful with those people is because they are narcissists; they need approbation. People have to wake up and realize that; you are dealing with narcissists, sociopaths, and psychopaths, and they need it.

Fitts: Right, but they also understand that financial liquidity is all about platforms. Do you use the platform when the crowd walks away? Markets are based on fields, and all this that we are talking about is trying to control and force the field. If the field just leaves, they know that it can't work. So they do everything they can.

I think that part of the mind control of 5G is to try to get everything. They want to direct and control the field.

Farrell: One example is: Don't buy from Amazon if you can buy from Barnes & Noble. It's just that simple.

Fitts: I've been trying to pull away from Amazon, but it's a struggle.

Farrell: I have found books on Barnes & Noble, and if I can find them there, that is where I go.

Fitts: I'm trying to get out of Amazon. In 2019 I'm going to do better on that and it's my New Year's resolution.



Farrell: It's a big struggle, but it's the same for me. It's getting to the point where if we keep feeding the beast, the beast is going to gobble us all up. So quit feeding it.

Fitts: Science & Technology.

Story #14: Transhumanist Pile On.

I don't know what happened in the 4th quarter. Somebody threw a switch, and all the headlines started piling out. Here it comes and we are going to bring this up again. I will start here, 'Lab-grown meat being introduced. The FDA getting ready to regulate lab-grown meat.'

As I keep saying, "What could possibly go wrong?" The food safety rules have been used to control the food system and drive the small farmer and small grocer out of business. As that happens, the food system gets more and more unsafe.

If a store sells lab-grown meat, do you trust Amazon to make sure that the lab-grown meat is labeled at Whole Foods? I don't think so.

Farrell: I don't either.

Fitts: So you can take a \$20 steak and replace it with something that cost you \$0.20 to grow in a lab.

Farrell: And it can contain a little human DNA just for good cannibalistic luciferin measure.

Fitts: Oh, you know they will do that.



Farrell: Of course they will! They are doing it in vaccines.

My rule of thumb in any of this is: buy small. In other words, don't buy from a chain if you can possibly avoid it. The smaller the better.

Fitts: I bought from local farmers in Tennessee for a while, but I had to give up. Now I am going to have to redouble my efforts to figure out how to do this.

Farrell: We are going to have to figure out a way to get farmers' markets back. My mother used to go to the farmers' market in Sioux Falls on a regular basis. Farmers would bring in their extra produce, and we bought it.

Fitts: The food system in Europe is fantastic. You can go to the market every day. It's unbelievable.

Farrell: It's not nearly to the extent of being a 'bunch of GMO junk' that it is over here.

Fitts: Although they stuck it in with the annual fee.

Then there are lab-grown human brains at the University of California.

Farrell: We had that story, and we also had the story of the Chinese man who genetically edited some babies. There has been a story that came out with respect to CRISPR. I am almost hesitant to mention it because I don't have the link readily accessible, but there have been several of these stories that have come out that something appears to be wrong with CRISPR.



They are encountering these anomalies that they didn't expect. There is a theory out there – and I kind of subscribe to it – that there may be some sort of inbuilt epigenetic safeguard against some of these experiments that turn up all these unpredictable results.

I think that the more they tinker around with this, the more they are going to find that the long-term blowback from their experiments is huge, and they don't know why.

Fitts: I think that part of the problem is, to a certain extent, this thing is out of control.

An opioid has just been released that is 1,000 times more potent than morphine. It's many multiples more potent than any opioid yet. What could possibly go wrong? As if there aren't enough people dying?

Farrell: Let's be honest here. Wait until it gets 'peed or pooped' into the water supply and it goes through your local sewage treatment system and comes out as tap water. What possibly could go wrong?

I read a story that was so ridiculous and insane. Somebody in the Department of Defense this last year – and I wish I had blogged about it – was talking about putting lithium in public water supplies. What could possibly go wrong?

So we have a 'zombified', mind-controlled culture that is all mellowed out on lithium from their tap water.

Fitts: Gates was funding research on how to spray all this. How do we know that they are not already releasing it in the spray?

Farrell: I don't put anything past these people. They are wicked and evil. That is all there is to it and I don't put anything past them.



The opioid is 1,000 times more powerful, and that eventually gets dumped into the oceans, and now they are complaining, “All the oceans are dying.”

“Gosh, go figure! Come on, people”.

Fitts: Then in the 4th quarter somebody sent me a video that is an ad for Celine Dion’s new Gender X children’s clothing line, which is the most bizarre, sick, satanic thing. I’m thinking, “What in the world is going on?”

It’s so over the top that you don’t even know what to say.

Farrell: I know. It’s this war on humanity. It’s this war on little boys and little girls and men and women. That’s all it is. These people think that this is all just magically interchangeable, and reality has a very nasty way of fighting back.

Fitts: Then we had a woman give birth to a baby girl with a uterus that was transplanted. So a woman died with a baby in her uterus. The uterus is transplanted, and another woman proceeds to have the baby.

I truly think the next thing that is going to happen is they are going to transplant the uterus to a man, and the man is going to have a baby.

Farrell: Good luck with that!

Fitts: I was talking with a friend who has really felt a lot of emotional pain over the last couple of decades from all the anti-male propaganda coming from the feminist camp. So women are saying, “We can get pregnant without men. We don’t need men,” and talking down men.



Instead, you are seeing transplanted uteruses and growing kids in test tubes and labs and cloning and now a transgender man being Miss Spain in the Miss Universe contest, and there are these sports events where transgenders are winning because they are basically men, but they are in the women's category.

My friend said the other day, "I think it's really funny that the feminists should promote all this about not needing men. When it's all said and done, what they have been promoting is creating a world where women are expendable."

Farrell: Karma is nasty and it comes back to bite. The whole transgender thing is interesting to me because when Scott de Hart and I wrote the transhumanism book, we found by chance a neurophysiological study that was done in the Netherlands a couple of decades ago about the brains of homosexual men having a male division of the hemisphere of the brain and a female amygdala.

In a certain sense, it is true what the old cliché says: Men and women are wired differently. When we found that, it would seem to indicate there is some sort of neurophysiological basis for transgenderism. But what we are seeing is not a reference to that; we are seeing a reference to the linguistic agenda.

"I feel female today, so I get to use the girl's bathroom," and all this nonsense.

Fitts: What I have seen in the young people looks absolutely like mind control. In other words, you are trying to get people to experiment with all this. Humans are fundamentally very creative beings. —————



— If they are encouraged and told, “You will be loved upstairs,” or, “The way to climb the ladder is to experiment in these ways,” they will ‘go to town’.

If they are incentivized to behave that way, they will.

Farrell: My point is that a lot of this is – to my mind – not legitimate transgenderism. What they are doing is deflecting people away from those types of studies. What they are making them concentrate on is the linguistic agenda and their personal feelings.

In other words, what they are doing in a subliminally way – in a very cunning and sinister way – is promoting yet another form of narcissism and sociopathic behavior.

Fitts: I will tell you exactly what it is. Rappoport has this fantastic series on Columbine. He really unpacked a lot about what happened in the Columbine shooting. He has this expression, “Here comes over the hills the grief counselors.”

So, they poured grief counselors into Columbine. Every time somebody started to say, “What happened? Who did the shooting? Where was this?” they were saying, “Oh, are you processing your grief? Are you dealing with it? Would you like some valium?”

It’s trying to make it all about ‘you’ and how ‘you’ feel, and it’s all total ‘BS’. They are trying to stop you from saying what really happened and what we are going to do about it.

They give you massive attention.



Farrell: Look again. Let's go back to vocabulary and language. Look at how Americans talk by and large. Most people will use the expression, "I feel that such-and-such," rather than, "I think..."

When I was teaching college I told my students on the first day of every class, "If I ever hear the words 'I feel' I will immediately dock you. If I see the words 'I feel' I will immediately dock you. I want to know what you *think* and why you think it; not how you feel. I am totally disinterested in your personal emotional life."

We have promoted this agenda by sloppy use of language. Again, it's an agenda. Jon Rappoport is absolutely right. The grief counselors saying, "How do you feel about this?" How do you think they feel after just witnessing a shooting? That's 'nuts'! It is totally 'nuts'.

Fitts: It's really a cover-up by smothering.

One of the reasons to use 'I feel' is to deal with legal liability issue. Just remember that.

Farrell: That is just enthroning the process – the lawyers and so on. As far as I am concerned, we need to get back to this idea that we have to think things and we have to have reasons for 'why we think things' rather than 'I feel'.

Fitts:

Story #15: The Challenges of Having an Intelligent Conversation about the Environment.



Fitts: I want to discuss this in Science because it's clear from the headlines that Russia and China are moving forward with weather warfare and all sorts of manipulation of the atmosphere. They are not trying to hide it; they are in your face.

Beijing has a weather modification office. At the Olympics they said, "Don't worry about the weather; we will fix that."

Farrell: They planned on transforming all of Tibet into a weather modification region and that is huge.

As far as I am concerned, you cannot have any discussion about climate change, global warming, or whatever you want to call it without a discussion of these technologies. Anyone pretending to do so – I don't care if they are Al Gore or whomever– they are committing egregious material omissions.

Fitts: Michel Chossudovsky has a book called *The Globalization of Poverty*, and he makes a very compelling case for weather warfare going back decades.

Farrell: I'm convinced that it does. If you do some reading, you will find weather modification programs going back to the 1950's. It's been there for a very, very long time. These storms that seem to magically move – like hurricane Katrina tracking west across the Gulf of Mexico, then stops and takes a 90-degree turn north. I'm sorry, if it was just plain good old-fashioned weather, that wouldn't have happened. Weather warfare is definitely going on.

Fitts: We had the Secretary of Defense in 1997 saying that it was happening.



Farrell: William Cohen said that and it was an official statement, and he went further. Let's remember what he said in the context of that statement. He said that these technologies have found their way into the hands of terrorist groups and so on. In other words, he was saying that there were non-state actors who could do it.

Fitts: I am highly confident that they are associated with Israel – among others.

Farrell: I am highly confident that they are associated with a group of veterans in Latin America.

Fitts: Story #16: The Fake Science Problem.

This is so much bigger. Every time that I think I understand how big this is, I read something else, and realize, “Oh my God! It's even bigger.”

If we woke up tomorrow and suddenly real science started to happen, I would say that the market capitalization and the pharmaceutical companies, would shrink to 10% of what it is today.

Farrell: In fact, I have blogged about this whole phenomenon of big corporate, big foundation, and big university science. It really is killing science itself.

Look at CERN for just a moment. We have a device that cost billions of dollars. They had to create technologies to even make it, and the only way that you can test the results of this is if you build one yourself, which is why the Chinese are building one.



That tells me they suspect that maybe the results they are being told are not actually the results that are really there.

We are faced with an epistemological problem: How do we trust big corporate science? And can we trust it?

Fitts: Absolutely not.

Farrell: Let's go back to Albert Einstein and Sir Arthur Eddington. When Einstein published his general theory of relativity in 1916, he predicted the bending of light in a strong field of a massive object like a star. They tested this in the eclipse of 1921. Arthur Eddington, a famous British physicist, came out and crowned Einstein as 'Physicist of the Eons' because he made this successful prediction in general relativity and light bending around the sun.

The problem was that if you delve into the details, Eddington 'fudged' the results because the predictions of general relativity – the bending of light – fell for the most part outside of the predictions. So he 'fudged' the results by throwing out and not mentioning the fact that this had happened. The general prediction was true, but it wasn't within the parameters predicted in the theory.

So, if they are willing to falsify evidence on that, let's go back to Michelson and Morley and the famous experiment where they were trying to prove the existence of the aether wind, and they did not get an interferometry pattern in their interferometer. Therefore, the aether didn't exist. Along comes special relativity, and everyone said, "Well, that explains it."



I've pointed this out in my very first book, *Giza Deathstar*. The problem was that George Sagnac, a French physicist, said, "Wait a minute. You set up your interferometer completely wrong. Everything that we can observe in physical reality is a rotating system, and your interferometer was not rotating."

So he re-performed the experiment on a platter on his phonograph and split the beam of light, running with the spinning plate and against the spinning plate. Sure enough, there was an interference pattern. That was in 1913. By that point, the physics establishment – for want of a better expression – had already anointed Einstein, so the contrary experiment was never even dealt with. It has been almost completely forgotten.

I can point you to the works of Herbert Ives, a famous physicist at Bell Laboratories, who had problems with relativity. So, what happened is they have pushed an agenda so that when you get people like me talking about these things, people think we are 'nuts'. This is 'big science in action'. This is going on everywhere. It's going on in biology and we had a story recently in medicine.

Fitts: This is phenomenal.

Farrell: We've had a story where MIT or Harvard or somebody pointed out that they could treat cancer electromagnetically by resonance. Well, Royal Raymond Rife said the same thing back in the 1950's.



Fitts: There is a great documentary on Royal Raymond Rife that we covered in ‘Let’s Go to the Movies’. It’s free on the internet. You have to watch it because you won’t understand 20th century science unless you watch it.

I have always believed that the most dangerous thing that Rife did was, not cure cancer, which he did, but microscopes that could observe living cells and do experiments with living cells, because their whole construct of negating quantum biology depends on doing everything with dead cells.

Farrell: I will tell you what the problem was with Rife’s microscope. Tom Bearden pointed this out in a wonderful paper that is on his website. Rife claimed with his microscope – which he also claimed that he had to tune – was that he was able to see down to the viral level without killing the virus in an electron microscope. Then by being able to see it, he was able to tune frequencies to that virus either to kill it or to amplify its biological properties.

The problem with the microscope was that he was making a claim that by the laws of optical physics was impossible. What Bearden pointed out in this paper, which was a brilliant insight, was that he thinks that what Rife had stumbled onto without realizing it was creating a microscope that could see the phase state in quantum mechanics of that virus, which is a remarkable thing when you stop and think about it. That is the reason they went after him. He had created a technology that they did not want ‘out of the bottle’.



Fitts: If you read Sofia Smallstorm's latest newsletter, which is fascinating, she is saying that viruses are created by the reaction of our cells to toxicity. If you look at reducing the toxicity and injecting oxygen, if you improve the availability of oxygen and improve the mitochondria and remove the toxicity, then you don't need pharmaceuticals; they will all go away. It's pretty simple.

Farrell: It's pretty simple, and you hear so much of that coming out of the cancer industry.

I go back to Dr. Mary Sherman, the cancer oncologist who died mysteriously under mysterious circumstances and who was working with Alton Ochsner in New Orleans during the Kennedy incident. She was an oncologist who subscribed to a viral theory of cancer. I have to wonder if they didn't kill her because she had stumbled onto something very similar to what Smallstorm was saying, that this might have been a toxic reaction of some sort. I have to wonder because that was her field and her specialty.

Fitts: You look at what is happening with fake science, and you realize that fake science is manufacturing a world of complex money-making opportunities that are wholly unnecessary, just like complex finance is doing the same. It's the same playbook in science and finance.

Farrell: Let's look at another thing that is an indicator of fake science, and that is Ben Rich. Are you familiar with his statements towards the end of his life that he allegedly made? One of the most interesting statements to me is his alleged statement that, "We found an error in the equations, and now we can take ET home."



I think, “Okay. Whose equations? What equations?”

If that statement is true – and I happen to think that it is – then what he has just told you is that there is a completely off-the-books physics that is totally different from what we’ve been told in public.

Fitts: I can tell you one thing about those physics: The taxpayers pay for it, and Lockheed owns it. It’s not a mistake that Lockheed is the one running around saying, “Oh, we have a breakthrough energy.”

Farrell: While we are on the subject, before we move on, let’s not forget Philo Farnsworth. He was the inventor of television. He could do miracles with vacuum tubes. In fact, he invented the whole system of tubes for early television. When the Nazis broadcast the 1936 Olympics, they brought him over and bought all of his patents under license so that they could put it on television in 1936. Imagine tuning into television and seeing Hitler pop up!

In the 1960’s when Farnsworth was working for AT&T, he invented a couple of devices no bigger than a microphone – about the size of a softball – of little fusion reactors called the ‘plasma torus infuser’. He was able to sustain a fusion reaction in this small gadget for about 30 seconds.

ITT came in, shut it down, and after his press conference, you never heard another word about his invention. It was 1965 when he gave that press conference.

When Lockheed came out with its fusion reactor picture a few years ago, guess what it looked like?



Fitts: Oh, no. What we are really talking about is harvesting the general population – faking science, suppressing technology, making things more complicated than they need to be, creating false fake news, all designed to make it possible to continue to rake off billions of dollars of profits and control when everything you are selling is unnecessary.

Farrell: I met a physicist in Switzerland, Katherine Horton who is a particle physicist, and we talked about CERN because she knows that something is going on there. I said, “I don’t think that CERN has anything to do with particle physics.”

She said, “What?”

I said, “I think it has to do with torsion. That is what they are really playing around with.” I explained all this. I think that she was genuinely taken aback that she hadn’t considered it. I said, “It’s a two-tier thing. You have the public particle physics explanation for it, and then you have a deeper layer of whatever else it is that they are really interested in doing with this thing.”

I think that across the board in science, biology, medicine, physics, and genetics we are looking at, not just a breakaway civilization, but that breakaway civilization has a very different science that is completely hidden from us.

Fitts: It has science, and we have fake science.

Let’s move on to **Space**. I’m going to start with the little story, not the big story. Then we will go to the big story, which is the Space Force.



Story #17: Vodaphone and Nokia Awarded Contract to Build a 4G Network on the Moon.

I was talking with a wonderful friend of mine, Charles, who is a subscriber in Uluru. He is an Australian and an absolutely brilliant, wonderful young man. He is very British and very matter-of-fact and is an accountant. He said, “Oh, did you see that Vodaphone and Nokia were awarded a contract to build a 4G network on the moon?”

I thought that he was joking! I said, “What are you talking about? How could I not know that if it was true?”

He said, “Yeah,” and he sent me this story. I thought, “If that doesn’t put reality on the space-based economy, I don’t know what does.”

I was in New York, and there is a subscriber there who I just love. She was talking about how her family was constantly criticizing her for being outside the official reality. Then this whole space-based economy blossomed, and she was able to say to them, “Okay, you smart money guys, was I right?”

They had to think about it. The story – even though it’s a little story – is huge. What they are saying is that they want major corporations to build out a system on the moon to allow planetary communication on the moon.

Farrell: Why would you need that? Who is on the moon?

Fitts: This is how they live.



Farrell: The Russians came out – Dmitry Rogozin, the head of Roscosmos – about a month and a half ago with a statement that Russia’s plan for building a permanent base on the moon is to first do it with robots and to build out a base that will eventually be inhabited by humans.

I’m thinking, “If you are going to have robots doing this, then what do you need? You need a communication system that is more reliable than just the good, old-fashioned radio transmitter.” You need an actual communications system that can handle high volumes of data transmission going back and forth.

I believe this makes perfect sense. The real problem that is being suggested by it is: Do you need it just for robots, or is somebody already there? The Germans came out about a year ago and said, “We are going to build a 4G network on the moon.”

What? Why do you need to do that? Is somebody already there?

Fitts: There was a movie director in LA who produced a whole series of documentaries on what is really happening on the moon that is absolutely fascinating. On my list, at some point I would like to bring them out and show them on The Solari Report and talk about them.

The argument that there is active life on the moon, especially on the dark side, is very significant.

Farrell: Where did China just go? They went to the dark side of the moon.



I don't know if you've seen a picture of Tsiolkovsky crater on the far side of the moon. There is no way that you can look at that and not see rectangularity and artificiality. There is so much of that on the surface of the moon that it's not even unusual.

Fitts: Once you start to dig into what Russian scientists have said about the moon and what Ingo Swann said about the moon, it will 'blow your mind'. And if you really want your 'mind blown', read everything Ingo Swann ever wrote. That's where to start.

The evidence for strange information about the moon is a mind-bending experience.

Farrell: It's like Newton said, "The moon is the only thing that gives me a headache."

Fitts: I didn't know Newton said that.

Farrell: Stop and think about the ridiculousness of it.

Fitts: It's an artificial construct.

Farrell: It is something artificial. Do the celestial mechanics here. The capture model of the moon can't work because if something comes into the gravitational pull of the Earth and gets captured by it, its orbit is going to be elliptical, not merely perfectly circular with only one side facing the Earth at any given time and be in the exact location to be perfectly angled to blot out the corona of the sun.

Fitts: It's an all-seeing eye.



Farrell: It's totally 'nuts' to expect that this thing came into Earth and then suddenly slowed down to be parked in a nearly perfectly circular orbit. In other words, somebody put on the brakes.

Fitts: Let me bring it back to money: Nobody invests where they can't enforce. So if you want to talk about where all the money is disappearing to...

Farrell: We have been saying for a few years that a lot of it is going up there.

Fitts: It's going someplace.

Let's go to the Space Force.

Farrell: I have this strange, weird theory about Trump's Space Force. It's one of those theories that is an either/or; there is no sliding continuum here. It's either an attempt by the military and the government to regain complete control over this technology and over the financing of it by putting it under a military command directly responsible to the executive, or – and this is the side that I am on – it is a public rollout of this whole secret system. I think that is probably the case.

What is really interesting here is that Trump just appointed General Milley to be the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

If you don't know who General Milley is, he is the general who, a few years ago, gave that speech either at West Point or Virginia Military or some military academy in this country where he said, _____



“You soldiers of the future are going to have to be fighting all sorts of new enemies – hybrid armies.” He used that term; I’m not making it up. Then after he said ‘hybrid armies’ he then said, “And little green men.”

I went ballistic when I read that because the explanation that the Army gave was that this was code for foreign mercenary troops-Russians. I say that is ‘BS’. A four star general is going to know full well what the connotations of ‘hybrid armies’ and ‘little green men’ are to the general public, which is why the news picked it up in the first place.

I think that was a little clue that this Space Force idea may be confronting something, and this is their slow drip trickle of disclosure – for want of a better expression.

‘Little green men’ has a connotation and ‘hybrid armies’ has a connotation. Let’s go back to Mesopotamia here and hybrids. You have all those stories about sons of God or Anu-Enki coming down and messing with humanity. Here we are. It could mean all sorts of things.

To put him in charge of the Joint Chiefs of Staff at the same time that the American military – the Army, Marines, and so on – are now being trained to fight underground, that is big. Underground where?

Fitts: I absolutely believe that they have cloning technology and are trying to build super soldiers. That is one of my questions: Was ISIS a super-soldier prototype?

Farrell: Possibly. I suspect that this is a much bigger agenda than just terrestrial.



He is coming along at a time that they are building out all this space-based financial clearing system, which brings me to another speculation that I shared with you before. I've been noticing something on the internet and maybe you have noticed this trend, too. More and more people are talking about debt-free money as being the way to go.

So far, that conversation has been taking place with respect to cryptocurrencies and so on, but we have a big experiment happening right now in China with the social credit system. This is hugely important because what I suspect they might be up to is rolling out a social credit system as a new financial system and calling it 'debt-free money'.

As you said before, what they are doing is turning people and human behavior into money. They are monetizing it.

Fitts: Right, it's a slavery system.

Farrell: The way that they will sell it is: No more central banks, no more monetized debt. Here is the new system.

They have been very clever in promoting this, particularly in respect to cryptocurrency. So, I am looking at this and thinking that this might be the next move coming down the pike.

Fitts: Part of the challenge is: Without market, how do you incentivize productivity? Here is how you do it.

You are trying to replace the pricing mechanism with technocracy and rules, and you are depending on software and AI to make it go, and that's the way it is.



Farrell: For that kind of system to work and make it secure, it needs to be space-based so that the ordinary individual cannot interdict.

Fitts: Right, it's the internet of things.

On the Space Force, when you work in Washington, the worst thing that can happen is reorganization. Everybody stops working and starts reorganizing and politicking and fighting. So if you are Mattis or the Secretary of Defense or the joint chief, the last thing that you want is to create a Space Force. It's namely, "I just want to build and advance the ball. I don't want to have a big organization.

If you are Trump, then one of your problems is that the Chinese are going faster than you are. So, I think the number one issue is: How do I kick up the speed? I kick up the speed by making its own thing with its own logo and its own morale and its own whatever. The reality is that the Navy is stuck in the, 'We control the world through the sea lanes model' and we don't want this to get stuck in that. If the satellite lanes are taking over, we need somebody who is just focused on being the winner there.

Farrell: I think that there is another way to look at it. If you look at people like Admiral Inman who has been heavily involved both in intelligence and in corporations like SAIC, which is heavily in its own right involved in gravity research and everything, I think that the Navy has been a huge player in that.

Fitts: They sent SAIC in to buy Hamilton at the end.



Farrell: I can believe it. The way that I look at it, this may be a way to try to reestablish broader military control over all of this and take it out of the hands of the Navy and the corporations that have been doing this.

It's a power play of some sort that he has made. Part of it is due to the fact that NASA is so ossified and calcified that it can't do anything. So, that may be part of his thinking.

Fitts: The other thing is that by treaty, they are not supposed to have weapons in space. We are sure they do, but if you look at what is happening in the orbital platform, there are real and serious policing issues. If you are going to put offshore havens up there, those get even bigger.

If you are Trump, you want to dominate space and you want to dominate it with weaponry. That means that it has to be the military; it can't be NASA.

That was a big fight that Eisenhower won by keeping it in a civilian agency, but I don't see how you can continue to do it.

Farrell: It has to be done, and we have been given a little clue as to what the agenda might be with Milley's remarks, which I think are definitely carefully timed delivered information. This is about more than what is going on down here on Planet Earth. We may be dealing with something out there that they are getting ready to tell us.

Fitts: We are definitely getting these phony baloney alien invasion kind of 'ya-ya'.



Speaking of disinformation, we know that one of our exhausting disinfo people recently came out with a theory that 5G is really to identify and control the aliens who are walking and living among us. It's the follow-up to the blue chicken alien cult.

I put up the video where Richard Dolan did a very good interview with David Jacobs, who is pretty interesting. I have read his books. He believes that aliens really are taking over, there is a hidden agenda, and that explains some of the strange things happening on Planet Earth.

My problem with it is that I have never met an alien abduction story that couldn't be explained by mind control.

Farrell: I mentioned this in the 2015 Secret Space Program conference that all the ancient records seem to indicate that if 'ET' exists, he looks a lot like us, just bigger. The only way that you would be able to detect that presence if it is indeed on Earth is through genetics. I think that may be partly the explanation – speculating wildly here – and the reason they have rolled out all these 'in the field sequencing tests' and setting up these road stops where people have to get their DNA swabbed and so forth.

It's been a persistent theme – this idea of human-looking 'people' who aren't fully human or of the homo sapien sapiens, but of the genus homo. This has been a persistent theme. You can go back to the 1960's and 1970's and television series called *The Invaders*. It's been a persistent theme.

You have to wonder when General Milley makes this statement about hybrids and little green men and so on. You have to wonder what is going on and I don't rule any of this out.



Fitts: Moving on to **Food and Health.**

Story #18: Quantum Biology Blossoms.

Much of this originates from both my association with Jason Bawden-Smith and Ulrike Granögger and now Brigitte Mouchet. If you look at what is happening with real science and the trouble coming from EMF radiation or some of these other things, if you get into the work of Royal Rife or Fritz Popp or any of this, what is really coming out is that the body is very different than the model we've been taught in how it works, what makes it healthy, and what makes it unhealthy.

Did you watch the Wave Genome that Ulrike did? It's just fantastic. It goes through Popp's different experiments with organic versus nonorganic food. He is showing: Forget that the chemistry is the same; the vitality coming from the organic food is just tremendously greater. So there is an energetic reality, and it's real, and science can prove it. It speaks to a completely different way of looking at biology and life.

Farrell: I mentioned this briefly in the *Microcosm Medium* book. The Soviets, when they were doing their classified research, were doing a number of very interesting experiments in quantum biology. I've even blogged about this on the website occasionally. There was a paper a couple of years ago that was published on, I think, fiz.org that they were demonstrating quantum tunneling and entanglement features in organisms. The way they are moving now in quantum biology is toward the idea of organisms being vast, complex systems of quantum entanglement, which – to put it bluntly – means that nonlocality is at the very center of biological life.



Fitts: Thank you, William Tiller.

Farrell: Once you said that, you can throw all those 19th century Victorian models of materialism right out the window. In comes Rupert Sheldrake with his morphogenetic fields.

Fitts: But here is the interesting thing: If you look at where the healthcare system is going in this country, it's about to bankrupt everybody and everything, but there is a real solution. At the root, we can have wonderful healthcare on an economic basis, but you are talking about an absolute intellectual revolution.

Farrell: Precisely. The revolution will have to happen first before the healthcare mess can be solved. The revolution really means that big pharma is 'up the creek without a paddle or a canoe'. They are 'toast' – burnt toast, not even good to eat.

Fitts: I believe it is very good news because what I see, feel, hear – whether it's doing the Wave Genome or Sofia Smallstorm and her latest newsletter or what Jason is doing with mitochondria – it just keeps coming. It's almost as though it can't be stopped.

Farrell: It can't; there is too much of a flood of it now, and there are too many people becoming aware of it. The more people who talk about it, the more people who become aware of it.

Fitts: Right, and the more that you look at the reality of what you are hearing about the science, the more that you realize, "I give the deep state credit. The fact that they could sit on this for this long is really amazing."



Farrell: It is. Richard Dolan mentioned in 2014 at the conference, and I've talked about this myself, that they had to suppress this because they had no mechanism of controlling it if it were to 'get out of the bottle'.

Fitts: Now they have the control. So, that may be why they are 'letting it out of the bag'; they don't want to go bankrupt with the healthcare system.

Farrell: That could be.

Fitts: I have to mention my little vaccine ad that I received yesterday. It said, "Special senior vaccines. Seniors need extra strength vaccines."

Wow! This is the latest guise of saving money for social security. Here we go!

Story #19: FDA Moves to Regulate Lab-Grown Meat.

I've mentioned this already, but it's going to be its own story. I keep bringing this up, and I am going to continue pounding the table because it takes a lot of work to find local sources of good beef. This is now a medical emergency. I don't think that there is more to say, but I will say it again: What could possibly go wrong?

If a grocer can change the profit margin from 1% on a steak to 99%, will they?

Story #20: Bayer Jeopardized by Monsanto Lawsuit.



I'm 'laughing my head off'. When Bayer bought Monsanto, I thought, "How are the Germans planning on protecting themselves from the liability? They must have a backdoor deal with somebody to indemnify them on the liabilities. How is this supposed to work?"

Is this just the stupidest corporate decision ever made?

Farrell: When that was announced I thought the same thing. I cannot figure out why they did this and it makes no sense. Part of me is laughing because Bayer used to be a major component of IG Farben. I'm thinking, "Good! You finally got what you deserve." But the Germans don't 'go to the toilet' without a plan. This is why I can't figure it out.

Fitts: The Germans are intellectually and scientifically engineering the most competent people on the planet. How could this happen?

Farrell: I do not have a clue. It must be that there is some sort of secret deal somewhere in this mix. The other part of me is thinking, "Is this part of the quiet economic warfare that I think has been occurring between this country and Germany for quite a while? Is this just a way to 'get them' and dump off Monsanto on Bayer?"

I don't know and this makes no sense to me any way you 'slice it'.

Fitts: Monsanto is in St. Louis, and so is the company. I should have probably put this under 'Unanswered Questions' because I find this to be a total mystery. This is the corporate version of Bigfoot.



Farrell: This is one of the huge surviving entities from IG Farben that we are talking about here. It's not like these people aren't used to corporate shenanigans.

What really clued me in that we are facing something huge here is when Kennedy won that lawsuit in California; within a week the Republic of Vietnam said, "Oh, we are going to sue them now, too, for all the Agent Orange damage to all our people." 'Pile on'.

So what were they thinking?

Fitts: Just to warn you, I went to a fabulous nutritionist in Santa Rosa and I did some testing. She said, "You know, you have an Agent Orange problem."

I said, "How do I have an Agent Orange problem? I wasn't in Vietnam."

She said, "No, they were spraying it all over rural America. Do you live in rural America?"

I said, "Yes." So you never know.

Before we move on to Unanswered Questions, is there anything else in Part II? Let me review the stories for you:

Culture:

Story #11: Gender X

Story #12: Gates Apologizes for Common Core Failure

Story #13: Bill Binney's Great Encryption Teach-In



Science & Technology:

Story #14: Transhumanist Pile On (I'm lucky I didn't lose my state of amusement about this because it's so gruesome.)

Story #15: The Challenges of Having an Intelligent Conversation About the Environment (I think this is going to be one of the hardest things to do in 2019, to maintain these conversations in an intelligent mind because now we have all these people such as the freshman congresswoman from New York. It's a problem.)

Story #16: The Fake Science Problem

Space:

Story #17: Vodaphone and Nokia Awarded Contract to Build a 4G Network on the Moon (and the Space Force)

Food and Health:

Story #18: Quantum Biology Blossoms

Story #19: FDA Moves to Regulate Lab-Grown Meat

Story #20: Bayer Jeopardized by Monsanto Lawsuit

Before we leave Science & Technology, Space, Food & Health, and Culture, is there anything else?

Farrell: I can't think of anything right now.

Fitts: What do you think the big thing is going to be in 2019 coming out of these areas?



Farrell: With regards to science, I think there is going to be a little more coming out of the joint chief's Milley story, but I don't know exactly what it is going to be. I think that you are going to see the Luxemburg pertaining to space. I think that you are going to see some more stories coming out of Luxemburg about their 'satellites for rent' – and 'military satellites for rent', no less.

Fitts: I'm very curious to see who the new Secretary of Defense will be.

Farrell: I am, too. That is going to say much about what is going on. Getting back to Part I, I think that we are going to see some perp walks. That is also coming up in Unanswered Questions, which we will get into.

I think that Bayer and Deutsche Bank are going to be two of the big corporate stories this year. Definitely Deutsche Bank will be in the news. As far as I can tell from looking at that bank and what it's been into, it is a totally criminal enterprise.

Fitts: It's the JP Morgan Chase of Germany.

Farrell: And a huge chunk of the rest of the world.

Fitts: And Mr. Trump's bankers.

Farrell: Something is going to come out there. We are going to see some leadership challenges going on in Great Britain in the two major political parties and I think that is inevitable.



Fitts: I think that the transhumanist pile-on is going to continue. So prepare yourself to maintain human culture in the face of headlines, which are designed to seriously make you incoherent.

Unanswered Questions, Inspiration, and Take Action. Let's start with **Take Action:**

Story #21: Agrihoods Take Off.

I couldn't believe it this year. I can't tell you how many people started talking about agrihoods. An agrihood is a housing development where, instead of having a golf course in the middle, you have a fresh food farm. It's similar to your New Jersey story.

I was recently in Philadelphia for tea in Millbourne, Pennsylvania. We had 30 wonderful subscribers. One of them is working on an agrihood development, and another one is travelling the country looking at agrihoods, trying to decide which one to live in.

The developers have figured it out. There are over 200 agrihoods already, but it is exploding.

Farrell: Let me guess: They are not planting GMO foods.

Fitts: No.

Story #22: Texas Opens its Gold Depository.



Farrell: We have to discuss about all this talk on the internet in the alternative media, even to the extent of the mainstream. We have to talk about gold standard. Everybody thinks this is just going to be the panacea; the cure-all.

Fitts: Not everybody.

Farrell: Neither you nor me, but we are not goldbugs.

Fitts: I love gold; I'm kind of a goldbug.

Farrell: I love gold, too, but I just don't have enough money to buy any. It's not that I'm against gold.

Fitts: If I am the deep state and I've stolen \$50 trillion in the financial coup d'état, and control the printing press, and now I've scarfed up a lot of the land, and have the power to operate under national security and operate completely secretly, now that I have all the money, why wouldn't I convert it to a gold standard? That means that you can't steal it from me.

I think the gold standard is the deep state double down. It's like, "Now that I've debased you into the ground, I am going to create sound money because I control all the money, and you can't debase me."

Farrell: But we can give you bitcoin.

Fitts: Just when you thought we couldn't debase you further down than zero, we can take you further down, especially since we can take your DNA as well.



I said in 1998 that the deep state is going to try to double down on the gold standard.

I will say this: The first central bank to come out with a cryptocurrency that is gold-backed is going to do very well.

Farrell: Let's remember the Bank of England. They did their small study a few years ago on precisely that thing. Just a little word to the wise: William Jennings Bryan, *Cross of Gold*. Do you remember that speech? He pegged it.

Fitts: When David Liechty was an intern, he wrote a history of US monetary policy using the wonderful study, *Pieces of Eight*. It's in the library if you are interested.

I think that Texas opening its bank and constructing a new building is a big story. One of the big challenges is: How do I find a good depository somewhere close by?

Franklin Sanders has tried hard to get the state tax off of gold and silver sales. If you can get the state taxes cancelled and get a good state depository, you are 'off and running' to doing some great things.

Farrell: That will spread. I think that in the next couple of years you are going to see more and more states doing this.

Fitts: I think so, too.

Inspiration.



Story #24: RFK Jr's Great Year.

Robert Kennedy had a very inspiring year and it was very heartening to see what a great year he had. One was a big vaccine lawsuit win. Another was the Monsanto, which was a great win and he is still alive.

He also came out and said, "It was a conspiracy to kill my father."

Farrell: I have to wonder what he thinks about some other things in the family history. He has had a great year.

I get the feeling that some very powerful people are protecting him. It's not the same faction that is backing Trump or Hillary; it's something else, but I don't know what. I think there is something else involved with him.

Fitts: I would love to figure out what it is.

Farrell: I would, too, but I don't have a clue. He would not have said those things publicly – particularly about his father – without feeling relatively confident to do so because he has kept his mouth shut for a long time. Something gave him the confidence. Maybe it's just the change in the atmosphere in the country where people are so cynical of the government. Maybe that is it, but I don't think so; I think that it is something else.

Fitts: I cannot believe that the entire aristocracy is on board for the things that are as incoherent as they are.

Farrell: I can't either.



Fitts: Robert Kennedy was inspiring.

I just want to mention another thing that was inspiring, which is that your organ came.

Story #23: The Dr. Joseph P. Farrell Virtual Pipe Organ Crowd Fund.

I have to tell you that it is so beautiful. I just saw and heard it and I never believed that an organ could sound that beautiful without pipes.

This idea of a digital pipe organ – I know that you said it, but I had never heard it. It is incredible.

Farrell: It really is. I want to thank everybody – you and Chuck and everybody who organized and donated to it. It has been quite a refreshment.

Fitts: In the closing and credits for the 3rd Quarter Wrap Up I wrote a little about it, but I will tell you this. I'm not sure if you fully understood what happened on the crowdfund. It started in 2016 when we were all feeling really punk about the campaign. You had said that we have to own the culture, so we were all thinking, "What does that mean? What do we do?"

We decided to do the crowdfund. It started, and then all the people who participated – all 137 donors – thought, "Well, here is something that we can do."



It became a journey; it was like an adventure; it was like joining a club. That is the only way that I can describe it.

It was not just about getting you the organ; it was about this journey of getting into culture and finding a higher culture and raising our cultural IQ. Every person I know who participated started to listen to more organ music, learn about organs, listen to Bach, learn more, and think more about music, and get more conscious. They started attending and playing in concerts.

I would get all these reports. Everybody was different, so it was like a different journey for everybody in terms of what they were learning and the reason they were listening to it or whatever. But one thing that happened was they forgot about the campaign because they were part of this exclusive little club that was doing something that in their world was so esoteric that it was like an “F you! We’re too busy for your campaign; we’re thinking about organs. We are culture. We are Bach’s fugue.”

It was as though we left the popular culture and went off on this thing. For each person it turned into a different journey.

Farrell: I got off on that exit decades ago.

Fitts: In a way, we all took that exit a while ago, but this was a way of everyone feeling as though they were really building the field. It started a journey for those 137 people that has never ended; it continues.



Farrell: It's an interesting instrument. For want of a better expression, it's a hyper-dimensional instrument. One of the interesting things with somatics – the study of water and how it organizes itself in sound – is a Japanese man, Emoto, who did all of that research.

Fitts: I think organs have a profound impact on human water.

Farrell: That is precisely what I'm getting at. It's the one instrument that you can actually physically feel because it's so powerful.

Fitts: I think it rebalances your electromagnetic field.

Farrell: It does and I absolutely think that.

Fitts: One of the most shocking things was the Goldberg Variations. You know that the Goldberg Variations is my favorite piece of music. During the litigation, when nothing else would work I would put on the Goldberg Variations and listen. That would invariably bring me back to coherence, but I had always heard them on the piano.

You had me listen to this wonderful version of the Goldberg Variations on organ, and since then I've been listening to them on organ. It's a completely different experience.

Farrell: An organist wrote it and you can look at the score. It was either written for an organ or a harpsichord, not a piano.

Don't get me wrong; I like piano, but it's a different experience because you have to do different things with the music.



What I really want to say I would have to say off the record. I have a long-running feud with, what I call, ‘pianism’ in the music.

Fitts: I do have to tell you that one of the things that got the crowdfund to take place was having three big match donors who are just wonderful people; they are just wonderful. The first one was this adorable, fantastic couple who love music. They love many beautiful things, but the thing that inspired them was your shoes.

Farrell: My organ shoes! They work.

Fitts: It was an act of faith that you bought your organ shoes before you had the organ.

Farrell: I had to. I came to the realization that I had to get back into it. I just had to because I was missing it too much.

Fitts: My favorite quote from scripture is, “Faith is the substance of things hoped for but not yet seen.” It takes a lot of faith to buy the shoes on the premise that you will find your organ.

Farrell: The problem with learning organ – and I had this problem when I was playing regularly – is you are in a constant battle with churches to practice an instrument and do it at a time convenient to them, convenient to you, and so on. It’s a constant battle to try to practice, and there is nothing more excruciating than listening to organists practice. Trust me.

Fitts: It’s loud.



Farrell: It is loud, and everybody hears the boo-boo's. It's a loud, powerful instrument; it had to be.

The hoops that you have to jump through, and if you fall out of that community for a long enough period of time, getting back into it is next to impossible.

Fitts: You are a person who has to have your own organ.

Farrell: This makes it so that I can practice whenever I get the time.

Fitts: The people in Grote Kerk, Haarlem have insisted that they are going to get you to go there and play the Bavokerk

Farrell: I know, and I keep telling them that if you watch people playing that organ, that is a tracker, which is a mechanical action organ. It has about a full inch of depression on the keys. So, it's not simply a matter of being able to play it; you have to have the physical strength, and you can only do that by practicing on a tracker regularly.

Fitts: The one thing I want you to do regularly – because you will do it some day – is to stop in Reykjavik because there is a fairly modern cathedral on the top of the hill, and the organ music is beautiful!

Farrell: I know that instrument. I think it's a Marcussen.

I have to tell you a story about a tracker at Oral Roberts in Tulsa, Oklahoma where I did my graduate work. Larry Phelps, a famous American organ builder, had built a four-division tracker, about 56 ranks.



It was a monster of an instrument and was a tracker, so it was all mechanical action.

When I first started to play that, after about ten minutes my hands were like mush. After a year of practicing on that, I went home to visit my folks. I shook my father's hand – and my father had huge, powerful hands – and he absolutely winced with pain because my hands were so strong.

He said, “My word! What have you been doing?”

I said, “I've been practicing on a tracker.” That's what it will do.

If you look at E. Power Biggs, a famous organist in the 1970's, he would play nothing but trackers. If you looked at his hands, they were huge, muscular hands with fingers the size of bananas.

Fitts: Under the category of Inspiration I want to talk about the Secret Space Program 2019 because our friends in the Netherlands are planning a new one.

Farrell: Are they? Good! I hope they are planning on one close so that I can get to it.

Fitts: They are hoping to plan one close by. It will be in North America, and it will be in the heartland. They are hoping to be close so that you can be there. I think it's going to be very cool.

Farrell: Who are they planning to have, or do they know yet?



Fitts: I think that they are planning on holding faithfully to the strictures of serious researchers. No blue chicken ops or quack-a-doodles.

Farrell: We need that.

Fitts: I've been talking with them about it, and they are working on it.

Farrell: It's about time.

Fitts: My guess is that it will probably be in September or October. So, I want everybody to pencil that in: Plan on Secret Space 2019. So, if you missed 2014 and 2015, this one is going to be the next step – we hope.

One person who I am hoping will be there is Walter Bosley, who was there in 2015. I just acquired all his books on the airship mystery, and I am hoping to get him on The Solari Report during the 1st quarter.

Farrell: That NYMZA book is a 'honey' of a book.

Fitts: The airship mystery really takes you out of the official reality forever.

Did I ever tell you the Butch Cassidy story from 2015? This is a true confession personal story.



Walter Bosley was giving his presentation on the airship mystery. If you are interested, you can go to the Secret Space Program website and watch it on the internet. It's fascinating. He is talking about how Butch Cassidy was a real jerk, and made a deal with the Pinkerton Agency to turn in his gang.

He put up a picture of Butch Cassidy, and it turns out to be my brother. It's a picture of my brother who I haven't spoken to for many years over 'ethics issues'.

I'm thinking, "What is this? Is this a trick? Am I being played? What is this?"

I didn't know what to think. I didn't know why he was showing pictures of my brother.

I went on the internet that night and did searches for Butch Cassidy, and he is the spitting image of my brother.

Farrell: I have to tell you about Walter. Walter is writing a new book now, and I know the subject matter. I have been 'biting my tongue' for months waiting for him to finish this book because I can tell you without any hesitation that this is going to be historical 'mega-tonnage'. If I were to tell you what the subject matter is – which I have no intent to do – you will be amazed.

I want this book to come out so that I can talk to him about it. When he laid this out for me and explained everything, I thought, "Holy cow!"



Fitts: I'm staring at my pile of 300 books, including on the top, the airship books by Walter, and that is why I get so mad at people who want me to look at the blue chicken op. "Guys, I don't have time," although I do have to mention that I did do my thing about financing the blue chicken op on Twitter.

I did a tweet storm. Anybody who has a brokerage account at Fidelity or ETFs from BlackRock or an account at BlackRock, why are BlackRock and Fidelity investing your money in Gaia TV that is promoting the blue chicken op? You are financing the blue chicken op. Why are you doing that? Pick up the phone, call Fidelity, call BlackRock, and ask them why they are financing the blue chicken op.

I would like to point out that those are two of the biggest managers of positions in Treasury securities. Do you think there is a connection?

Farrell: Absolutely I do.

Fitts: Unanswered Questions.

My question since 2001 when I started doing Wrap Ups is:

Question #1: Who is Mr. Global and what is the governance structure on Planet Earth?

Farrell: Well that's easy! We can 'dust' that off in a couple of minutes!

Fitts: Think about it. Doesn't it seem strange that we live on a planet where the entire governance structure is invisible and secret?



Farrell: And seems insane?

Fitts: Why do we need it to be secret?

Farrell: My druthers and my best guess is that the people who we are talking about as ‘Mr. Global’ are the on-planet representatives. They are the middle managers for headquarters that are off somewhere else.

Fitts: Or underground. I think that we are co-located with one or more highly advanced civilizations. They may be human, or in terms of DNA, they may be the same, but we are living with very old legacy technology, and we are co-located with one or more highly advanced civilizations.

I liken it to the husband who has two families. If you look at the Federal budget, we are financing two families out of one budget and trying to get one to pretend that the other doesn’t exist.

Farrell: Who is Mr. Global? We can start naming names, and we can start naming concepts. The names are the standard names that everybody knows: The Rockefellers, the Warburgs, and the Rothschilds.

Fitts: It’s intergenerational capital and risk. The intergenerational pools of capital are the equity, the insurance pools are the risk, and the banks are the banks. Foundations are part of the intergenerational pools of capital.

Farrell: The concepts that I think are the more important things to identify are: War makes money, socialism, culture is not important, national or ethic culture is not important, and everything is science —



— and materialism. Divide and conquer, technocracy, and all that is one, big, nice fascist soup. Centralization is also part of that.

Fitts: Here is my big problem. My experience with those folks is that they believe in slavery.

Farrell: They do. They have been very open since the mid 1960's about wanting to move to a feudal system where we are essentially renters. They have been very open about that. The idea of corporate governance is big to them. It's fascism under another name and that is who they are.

The real question that you posed is an even more interesting question: Who is going to be the head of it?

Fitts: David Rockefeller died March 2017; George H.W. Bush just died; Brzezinski died. I think Bush was technically the head of the deep state when he died.

Farrell: If not the head, he was at least the patriarch.

Fitts: If you look at the guys who are most powerful in that group – Baker and Buffett – they are too old to run it. So you are going to have a whole new generation of younger folks looking to emerge as the winner.

Farrell: You are looking at a whole group of people like Emmanuel Macron who are 'kids' with no understanding of how things really work.



Fitts: Macron is not a player; he is a poodle.

Farrell: What I'm saying is that you are dealing with a whole group of people who do not have the experience or restraint that the Rockefeller Group had.

Fitts: California clearly says that.

Farrell: The thing about the Rockefeller Group is it doesn't appear that any patriarch has emerged from that family or from the Bushes to represent that old nexus of power. If you look on the other hand to the other bi-globalist faction, the Rothschilds, they still have a family patriarch, and they still have a coherent family interest that is quite visible and that you can see. So one faction is without anybody at the helm, and the other has someone at the helm. I find that to be a very interesting situation.

Who is going to take over the Rockefeller aspect of this? That is anybody's guess.

Fitts: Hillary Clinton was bucking for that position.

Farrell: She may have been, but I don't think that she is going to get it.

Fitts: No, she is not going to get it.

Farrell: We talked about this, too, in connection with who gets to be the head of the deep state. We were talking about what the deep state was going to do. I think the deep state has to perp walk some of these people.



Fitts: I talked about the documentary of the year. The movie of the year is *Vice*, which is done by the folks who made *The Big Short*. It's very interesting. There is a lot of innuendo that involves plausible deniability.

For example, they imply that Cheney had someone murdered to get his heart for the heart transplant, but I'm sure that they could say, "You misinterpreted. We never said that." But there are many very clever techniques. It makes the movie a little jerky, but it's very clever in what they do and the way that they do it.

I expected it to have a little more comedy; it's pretty dark and serious. But it certainly looks like they are gunning for Cheney, too. They are basically saying that George W. was incompetent and over his head, and Cheney was the bad guy who did everything.

The Bushes have been masters for decades of protecting themselves with the theory that they are incompetent preppies who are goofy and don't know what they are doing, even though they are a Yale or Harvard graduate. So, it looks as if they are trying to put all the blame on Cheney. They kill Cheney, and that protects the Bush interests, especially if they have a deal with Trump.

Farrell: This goes back to what we were talking about in Part I. I think that some sort of deal was made, and there has been a divorce between the Clintons and the Bushes. I think that the Clintons are in deep, deep hot water. It could be that they are going to be perp-walked, and that will be used to say, "See We are draining the swamp," which they are not doing.



Fitts: Here is the thing: Clinton saved the Bushies on Waco.

Farrell: Oh, I know he did; he saved the Bushies on Waco. That whole Mena operation was largely Bush rather than the Clintons; the Clintons were just a local franchise at the time.

Fitts: Right, but Hillary helped with the law firm.

Farrell: She did: ADFA and the Rose Law Firm and Alltel and all that.

Fitts: If they are going to take down Clinton, they will do Hillary. I can't imagine that they will do both.

Farrell: I don't think they will take down Bill. He was a President. They will protect the brand, but they will definitely go after her.

Fitts: If they perp walk her, how do they keep her from singing? If you look at what she knows, that is what has protected her more than anything; it's what she knows.

Farrell: Easy enough if you do it in a military tribunal. You can classify all that under national security.

Fitts: They clearly think they are in danger.

Farrell: They are scrambling as far as I can tell. I think they are scrambling to come up with the money that they owe their other mafia backers. This is a mafia; this is a mob. They owe big favors.



Fitts: If you look at who they burned, they burned many people globally.

Farrell: Those kinds of people want their money or they want delivery on the product.

Fitts: If I'm right, I think the way that Trump won the election was Giuliani and the New York FBI office acquired the Wiener laptop, and got a judge to agree that they could use it outside the Weiner investigation. Then I think the Trump team said, "Back down or we take everybody down."

That is when Biden indicated, "We've lost the election," and those types of things. That was seen as Clinton being sloppy. She let that whole operation get outed in that way and she was seen as a sloppy operator.

Farrell: They will never put her in a position of power again. Ever.

I think your scenario— that they are going to be pretending to clean the swamp by getting rid of the people they don't need anymore, who were a part of all this – could be in the cards this year. We have too many sealed indictments. Some of those things are going to start busting loose, bringing in all the Bushies to do the damage control and protect the national security interest.

Fitts: With Barr in charge of the Attorney General position, the Bushies are sitting pretty.



Farrell: They are able to control any backlash or blowback from any action that is going to involve the Clintons. I am in agreement with you. I don't think that it is going to involve Bill as much as Hillary. She is so universally unpopular anyway that it really costs them nothing to go after her. She's a big enough fish to fry that everybody is going to be satisfied that, "Now we have drained the swamp."

Fitts: If they go after Cheney and Clinton, then it is 'bipartisan'. Then they did the entire bailouts without a perp walk.

Farrell: They did, but the Cheney thing is going to play to the 9/11 community because you had Buffett and Scowcroft at SAC in Omaha on 9/11, and this strange episode with the codes. Then you had Bush, in my opinion, flying to Barksdale and then Omaha to reestablish personal control over the command structure of the country. Cheney claimed that he had Presidential authority to do all that, which he did not.

If they were going to do anything to satisfy everybody-perp walk Hillary, perp walk Cheney-there will be a deep state 'drain the swamp' cover story, everybody will be satisfied, and we can all go home and relax. Meanwhile, the deep state continues on.

Fitts: Part of it is they are trying to satisfy people with a few heads rolling, as opposed to giving the \$21 trillion back.

My attitude is: I couldn't care less if Cheney and Hillary spent the rest of their lives at Lake Como. Let them have a free and inspired life. I just want the \$21 trillion back. I want the ownership in all those technology assets. I want the pension funds funded and I couldn't care less about a few heads rolling.



Farrell: I agree; it's a subtly coordinated op. I'm thinking of Q again.

All of the swamp draining is part of the plan, and we are just lining up all the ducks. It's a deflection from the real story, which is the missing money, what it went to, what the technologies are, and who is really governing all this.

I'm in agreement, too. It can't be Buffett or James Baker or any of those people; they are too old. So who knows who it's going to be? I don't know.

Fitts: But Buffett and Baker will have a big say about who it is.

Farrell: Buffett, Baker, George Shultz, Henry Kissinger, and all of them will have a big say as to who gets crowned. So, we will see and I suspect that we will find out this year. We will start to see indications of who it is this coming year.

They have to have some coordination. They have been operating – more or less – without any coordination since Zbigniew Brzezinski and David Rockefeller died. I don't see Jay Rockefeller stepping up to the plate – and I don't think he wants to.

Fitts: It's not a Bush and it's not a Rockefeller.

I was talking about this with a friend. You saw it a great deal on Wall Street, and you saw it in Washington, too. There was a group of people who were critical to the design, the implementation, and the cover-up of the Kennedy assassination. Participation in that group created a very powerful 'we': "We were in the club."



It was interesting because you would watch very rich, powerful, successful people, and they were never in the club because they hadn't been part of that. They were always outsiders.

You would watch the dynamics, and would think, "How strange is that!" and it was because they weren't in that club. That was a club, and what we are now watching – because Dillon died in 2004 and Bush died this year and Rockefeller passed in 2017. My vote on the Chairman of the Board on the Kennedy assassination was David Rockefeller.

So what you are watching is that the club has now died. They are all gone, and now there is a new 'we' that has to occur.

I don't know what the technology was, but I'll consider directed energy. I believe the California fires are '9/11 West'. Instead of doing three buildings, they are doing thousands of buildings. But it is the same technology: Turn buildings to dust and vaporize people.

That gets us back to: Who did 9/11, and who is doing 9/11 West? If you watch *Vice*, the clear indication is that Dick Cheney was doing 9/11 West. That was my interpretation of some of the videography and some of the imaging. Remember: To the victor go the spoils.

Is part of 9/11 West this team now moving to assert leadership?

Farrell: You know my thoughts on 9/11. I think there was a third tier of the operation that was not part of the American deep state that was serving notice. I don't rule that out in California. In other words, I don't think that we need to even pin it on a rogue American group; it may be something completely different.



Fitts: I agree, but what we need to understand is that the US military can't stop it.

So, we don't have sovereignty physically.

Farrell: This goes back to part of my scenario on appointing Milley. Milley's comment and the creation of the Space Force may be an attempt to regain some measure of control over all of this. Who knows?

I do know certain things about the Silicon Valley and that other group, but I will tell you about those later.

Fitts: I avoid San Francisco now. It used to be one of the most beautiful cities in the world. Now it's weird; it's very weird and it's very spooky.

Question #2: Where did Mr. Global put the \$50 trillion?

In space and underground because you can't have space without underground. I think that the underground is much more expensive.

That's it for Unanswered Questions, Inspiration, and Take Action. Let's talk about you in 2019. What is coming up for you in 2019?

Farrell: Apparently a conference.

I'm planning to do another book if I can find the research materials that I know are out there but I'm having difficulty finding them. If I am successful, it will be a follow to the *Microcosm Medium* book.



I am also thinking about doing an additional book this year, but I'm not divulging any subject matter.

Fitts: You never do.

Farrell: I never do because I learned my lesson.

Fitts: What could people do to support you?

Farrell: Become members of the website, make donations, or send me articles. I am immensely grateful for the articles.

Fitts: You have a great flow of articles.

Farrell: It is a community-driven site.

Fitts: And it has very interesting conversations.

Farrell: Yes, it is a very unique community of people. We have everything on the website. We have atheists, we have agnostics, we have Christians, we have humanists, we have transgenders, and we have curmudgeons. Everybody has their own special take, and it really is a very interesting little community.

You would not believe the articles that I don't blog about. I wish I had a staff. You know this because you probably get the same thing. It's really a headache just trying to figure out what – out of all this massive amount of material that I save up every week –I am going to talk about.



Fitts: I feel very positive about 2019. I know that we are in for tremendously big changes, and very scary changes, but I've been dealing with those my entire life. What I've been dealing with my whole life is just now coming out of the closet.

Every time I get all the subscribers together, we have wonderful times because the people are so inspiring. You get 30, 40, or 50 Solari Report subscribers in a room – and I'm sure that it's the same way with your folks – and you are talking about a major 'open mind'.

When you have that many people come together, all who are very bright and have open minds, it's like 'liftoff'; it's fun and it's exciting.

I told you this story earlier, but I'm going to tell it again because it's such a perfect representation of my situation. It reminds me of how you are blessed, too.

I was in Union Station in the fall of 2017 having breakfast with a man who once was a congressman from Ohio. We didn't like the restaurant that we were in, so we decided to walk across Union Station to a new restaurant.

One of the senators from Delaware came in. He commuted from home to the senate. I knew of him, but I had never met him personally.

I was introduced, and was talking to him. He looked at me and said, "What is it that you do?" because he was struck by something I said.



I said, “Well, Senator, I basically have an online podcast. What I do is travel around the world, go where I want, talk to whomever I want, interview whomever I want, research whatever I want, and write about whatever I want for a group of subscribers who support me in doing this.”

He looked at me—he’s a nice guy. This wave of huge grief went across his face, which took me back. He said to me, “How can I get that job?”

I said, “Senator, it’s a great job, but the apprenticeship is a little rough.”

Farrell: That is for sure!

Fitts: My friend laughed out loud. But think about it, we work for about the best group of people on the planet.

Farrell: Absolutely.

Fitts: It has evolved into an incredible blessing. I can’t tell you how many people call me and say, “Why are you the only person who I talk to who is happy?”

I think that in this environment, truth matters, and you are free to look for the truth. I feel like we look forever and never fully get there.

Farrell: Sometimes the ‘looking’ is the truth. I think it’s a harbinger of what is to come. I think that more and more people are starting these kinds of ventures. It’s only going to increase the conversation – which I think is good.



The more involved and the more voices are speaking, you cannot be a technocracy and manage all of that. You just can't; it can't be done. They are going to try, but it can't be done.

Fitts: This is to say to the Giza Deathstar members and to The Solari Report subscribers that we very much appreciate your support. A little support goes a long way here, so keep it coming.

Farrell: Absolutely, and best wishes for the New Year. Buckle your seatbelts.

It's true. It's going to be an interesting year. I think that there are going to be some good things.

Fitts: I think that there are going to many good things.

Farrell: There are going to be some surprises this year. I don't base that on anything other than intuition. There are going to be some bumps in the road – not just for us, but also for the power elites.

Fitts: One of the things that I keep seeing as I travel around is that the danger with mind-controlling the general population is that the leadership gets mind-controlled. They get dependent on the mind control in a way that makes them stupid. So, I reiterate my quote of many years ago, "People who win in a rigged game get stupid."

We have seen tactics that win and win and win and win, but they have the rate of entry being billed into them. We are coming into a world where they are not going to win.



Farrell: I used to tell Georgeann Hughes the very same thing on *The Byte Show*. The power elites have surrounded themselves with people who agree with them for so long that they cannot think in any other way. That means that they are in deep, deep ‘do-do’ and events will overtake them.

Fitts: I say that we pray for everybody.

Joseph, I can’t thank you enough for everything you have done for us in 2018. I look forward to a year with a very powerful state of amusement.

Farrell: We are all going to have to become little laughing Buddha’s at what is going down in the next couple of years. We are just going to have to laugh at these people.

“The devil, the proud spirit, cannot bear to be mocked.”

Fitts: We may have to laugh at ourselves a little, too.

It’s been a pleasure.

Thank you. Have a wonderful New Years. I look forward to talking with you in the 1st quarter.



MODIFICATION

Transcripts are not always verbatim. Modifications are sometimes made to improve clarity, usefulness and readability, while staying true to the original intent.

DISCLAIMER

Nothing on The Solari Report should be taken as individual investment advice. Anyone seeking investment advice for his or her personal financial situation is advised to seek out a qualified advisor or advisors and provide as much information as possible to the advisor in order that such advisor can take into account all relevant circumstances, objectives, and risks before rendering an opinion as to the appropriate investment strategy.