



The Solari Report

June 7, 2018

The Cost of Secrecy with Richard Dolan





The Cost of Secrecy with Richard Dolan

June 7, 2018

Richard Dolan: It's great to be here and is an honor for me to be with you. I've been an admirer of Catherine and her work for many years. Some of you seem to know who I am, and others don't.

I've been writing books about UFOs. My first book was published in 2000 – 18 years ago. I've been researching the phenomenon through most of the 1990s. Prior to that, I was working on a Ph.D. in US diplomatic history, all about Harry Truman, and the Russians, and the birth of the CIA.

I was in a bookstore, and saw a UFO conspiracy book, *Above Top Secret: The Worldwide UFO Cover-Up*, and thought, "Wow!" Even in the 1990s, everyone was aware that there were claims of a UFO cover-up. I knew nothing about those claims, but I was studying the world of 1950 in a lot of detail, and I knew that claims of a cover-up were all dealing with the 1940s and 1950s.

I thought, "If this is a real thing, I'm curious why it hasn't turned up in any academic history book. Why is that?"



I bought this particular book and read it all the way through. It was a great book. I'm good friends with the author, Timothy Good, and I was hooked. Actually, I was more than hooked; I was obsessed. I spent the next many years going through the entire bibliography of what were then the published works of UFOs. I just wanted to know to what extent this was a historical fact about our world, and to what extent it was not. I wanted to know how strong the argument of the believers was, and how strong the argument of the skeptics was, and all those other things that you do when you dive into something that is totally new and totally unexpected to your world view.

There are certain things that I am good at doing, and one is reading books and taking detailed notes and writing very, very analytical notes. Actually, during the 1990s before I wrote my book, I wrote a 500-page book, which was the chronology of all the data that I had collected with all the proper citations. I had it all laid out – hundreds of books and sources. I created a chronology that was in itself a 500-page book. On that basis, I wrote my first book, *UFO's and the National Security State*.

That was a groundbreaking book in the field. Looking back on it now, I can easily see it with some perspective. It opened up an area of the political analysis of the UFO phenomenon, and I've been going down that road ever since.

Since that time, my interest in the phenomenon has gone to much more than just politics and the cover-up. It's everything. It's the whole thing. You can't just go to a great big ocean and stick your little toe in; this is a field that is so multifarious, and so varied, and so deep, and so paradigm-shattering that you just can't help it.



The only other thing that I will say by way of introduction is that I was in my early 30s when I first encountered this. Back then, I thought that I was way ahead of the curve – like a lot of you. You're smart people. I was a smart, young guy, was well-educated and was really well-educated in the field of history. So, I thought I would put a few things together. I thought I understood that there was a mass reality which I will talk about a little later. Then there are more sophisticated levels of reality, and I thought that I had encountered that.

The hardest thing for me to do was to realize that I didn't know a lot. It was a blow to my ego, and just as importantly, it was a blow to my whole worldview. I realized that I had to put aside a lot of what I thought I knew and start the painful process of reintegrating a new worldview.

What is interesting – like in the *Matrix* where Neo takes the red pill, and reality becomes clear to him – is it is similar to that except I wish it was only one red pill. If you could take one red pill and then your reality would become clear, that would be great, but it's not like that with this field or with any of these things.

If you could take a red pill and realize, “Oh my God! UFOs are real,” that would be amazing.

My first year into this, I honestly spent nights staring at the ceiling thinking, “If this is real, what does this say about the history that I thought I knew?” I had to rethink what I thought of the Kennedy Administration, or the Eisenhower Administration, or any of the historical things that I had studied.



There is this big piece that was never discussed by academic historians. So, I finally took that first red pill, and thought, “There is a lot here now that I understand,” but it’s not just one red pill. You have to take many, and it never stops.

Another one was, “Wait a minute. So where was the mainstream media? Where was the *New York Times*? If this is real, you would think that they would have competent reporters who would be wanting to break the story, and yet they didn’t. Why didn’t they?”

That took me down this other rabbit hole of media manipulation by the intelligence community, and that is a story! You could spend a lifetime on that alone.

Then there were other rabbit holes such as, “Where is the academic community?”

I was so deeply on the academic path and that’s where I thought I wanted to be. I wanted to be king of my classroom, teaching in some university. So, where are the scholars? Why were they asleep at the switch?

That took me down the entire relationship of the academic community to the national security state, and that, indeed, is an important relationship. And it went on and on and on.



As the years went by, I was ripping away so many layers of veils of illusion that I guess I lost track. The next thing that happened was that every time I would take a flight and someone would sit next to me, looking at their Excel spreadsheet for the next quarter, and they would say, “What do you do?” I wouldn’t know what to say to them.

I could say, “I study a reality that you think is fantasy, but it is really significant.” Now I’m at the point where I just tell everybody. I’m totally upfront about it, and what I’ve discovered is that people love to hear about this.

Actually, there is a great, great hunger in this world for information on this subject. People are often not aware of it. They don’t let themselves become aware of it because even now, there is still so much ridicule, dismissal, and so forth.

When I walked away from the academic world, some of my former colleagues thought that I had lost my mind. I can look back now and say, “The jokes on you.”

I’ve had some of the most unbelievable friendships as a result – friendships with Catherine, with a man who once walked on the moon, Edgar Mitchell of Apollo 14, who is now deceased, and with people who have briefed US Presidents, high level defense officials – all of whom are into this subject. They’re not shouting it from the rooftops, but they are interested in it for sure.



All of this was encouragement for me to continue on my path, and that is really what has brought me here to you today. I call this lecture ‘The Cost of Secrecy’. Really, this was developed out of a conversation that I had with Catherine, and the article she wrote in one of the *Solari Quarterly Updates* on ‘Control 101’. I assume that many of you have read it. If you haven’t, you should. It’s excellent. Some of her ideas have made it into this lecture, and other ideas that I’ve been developing as well.

Let me start. I call it ‘The Cost of Secrecy: From Money to Mind Control’. We will start with UFOs. I realize that some of you are very involved and knowledgeable in this subject, and others, not so much. That is fine.

When you watch a TV special on UFOs, some with ancient aliens, they don’t do that anymore, but with a lot of these mainstream-type documentaries, they always give you the ‘what if they are out there?’ question, and ‘maybe they are here’ suggestions. “What if they’re here? What if there is life out there?”

They pose these questions in such a way that I’ve come to believe is a form of disinformation. The TV producers who do these shows often really don’t know much about the subject. I know this because I’ve met a lot of them. They’re just given a job, and saying, “Oh, I have to do a UFO thing? Okay. Great.”



In reality, even posing the question in that way is a form of deception. I can tell you that within the classified world, within elements of the classified world, it is known full well that this is a totally real phenomenon that involves incredible technology that is not supposed to exist, and it does exist, and it has existed.

I'm going to show you a couple of snippets of documents to give you an indication of what I'm talking about. It's a real thing. As a matter of fact, early on in my own research, I met with a gentleman whose dad was in the military. I think he was a Major in the Air Force. Apparently his dad had some encounter with this phenomenon while in the military. On one occasion, he said to his son, "It's real, and they're here."

I've never forgotten that quote and I thought it was very pithy.

One thing that I am going to suggest as I continue on is that this phenomenon really inserted itself into our history in an open way at possibly a bad time. That is, the UFO phenomenon didn't just drop into our laps; it dropped into the laps of the National Security apparatus of the United States, who became aware of it in a big way in the 1940s at a time when they were transforming themselves into something very different, and at a time when the world was in the process of transforming itself into something very different. It's almost an intersection of two paths as I see it.

As I am saying here, it was a time when global financial elites began to initiate their latest revolution. I will come back to that later.



For now, I will just say that this phenomenon has engaged in creating a definite powerful interest by the United States military. If I was on CNN, or MSNBC, or Fox, or whatever and I talked about UFOs, one thing that I could absolutely say with complete confidence against any army of skeptics that you throw at me, is that this phenomenon – wherever it's from and whatever the origination of it – is confirmable and has generated extreme levels of concern from the highest levels of our national security. That's a fact that you can take to the bank (as long as it's not JP Morgan or Chase).

Hence, it's not suggestion; it is proof. I'm going to show you some of the documents.

The Freedom of Information Act as it is, in a sense, is an accident of history. During the 1950s and 1960s and earlier when these classified documents were originated, the authors of these documents had no expectation that their documents would be read one day. What occurred was that Vietnam and Watergate happened, and the United States was in a unique historical mood. In 1974 it resulted in the strengthening of the Freedom of Information Act so that citizens could petition their government for information on a whole array of topics related to public interest. As a result of that, thousands of pages of documents relating to UFOs came out during the late 1970s and into the 1980s.

Since then, FOIA has had its ups and downs. In 1982, there was an executive order during the Reagan years to severely undercut the ease with which citizens could get FOIA documents, and to make it much more expensive. There have been ups and downs since then.



Really, the golden era of FOIA was the late 1970s. These are just a few documents that I clipped and I'll read them.

In 1947, there was a famous quote from General Nathan Twining, head of Air Material Command at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, describing these objects from military reports, "Circular or elliptical in shape, flat on bottom, and domed on top."

In 1947, that is rather interesting. What is going to be 'flat on the bottom and domed on top' that is seen by military pilots? Twining described other things in his memo to another general at that time, "Evasive maneuvers when sighted, silent or nearly silent," and so forth. So, this is important. Keep in mind that in 1947, the US government was telling the public, "There's nothing to this; it's hoaxes, misidentification, and so forth." But not in the classified world; that is not true.

Next is 1949, describing atomic energy commission, "Air Force Special Weapons, 4th Army Commanders perturbed by implications of the phenomena." They were perturbed by what they were encountering. Sure, they would be, because these were objects that were unidentified on an unidentifiable invading sensitive air space, and local commanders did not know what to do. That was written in this particular document in 1949.

There is another one, an FBI document from late January 1949 stating, "A matter known as flying discs or flying saucers or balls of fire, etc. are considered top secret by intelligence officers of both the Army and the Air Forces." They were still using the old term of 'Air Forces' at that time.



Why would this matter be considered top secret? Why? It's worth asking and is important. Anything that is top secret is important. Why were flying saucers top secret? That particular document is many pages long, and it describes exactly why.

Objects being tracked at 'thousands of miles per hour' in 1949 and earlier, with 'maneuverability off the charts' and all this over sensitive installations. That is why.

In 1950, the reports continue to set forth, "Unidentified objects in the air space over Oak Ridge, Tennessee," which – to date – had not been explained.

Oak Ridge, one of our key areas for developing atomic technology in the 1940s with the Manhattan Project, was just like Los Alamos; just like the Hanford Atomic Energy Commission in Washington. It was the scene of multiple UFOs. So immediately after World War II, these objects were seen over our nuclear installations. At that time, America's most advanced technology was atomic nuclear technology. That is very, very important.

This is a snippet from a top-secret memo by a Canadian scientist, Wilbur Smith, who had visited Washington DC and interviewed a top level American defense scientist. Smith wrote a report to his government in Canada and said, "I made discreet inquiries to the Canadian embassy about UFOs. The matter is considered the most highly classified subject in the United States government – higher than the hydrogen bomb." That was in 1950. "Flying saucers exist."



There is much, much more. I also have documents from the early 1950s describing various types of objects. The operator said the target was moving at a speed several hundred miles per hour higher than the maximum aided tracking ability of the radar sets.

Then there is a CIA snippet from December of 1952, written to the Director of the CIA, who at that time was Walter Bedell Smith. It was written by his Director of Scientific Intelligence. This is a quote that I think should be known by every schoolchild in the US, and really in the world. He said, “At this time, reports of incidents convinced us that there is something going on that must have immediate attention. Sightings of unexplained objects at great altitudes and travelling at high speeds in the vicinity of major US defense installations are of such nature that they are not attributable to natural phenomena or known types of aerial vehicles.”

Think about that. It’s a somewhat bureaucratic, classified memo. He’s not going to say, “Boss, I think we’re being invaded,” but he might as well said that. He is basically making a very explicit statement here. It’s not a natural phenomenon, and it’s not anything that we know, yet these objects are at incredible altitudes and incredible speeds over sensitive installations. What else could they be?

At that same time, incidentally, American analysts were asking, “Is this the Soviets? Is this the Russians? Is this anyone else?”

Every time that they asked, they came up empty. There were no answers. Then they would ask, “Is this something that we are doing covertly?” which is a fair question.



Americans stole their share of top secret Nazi scientists who were interested in some very, very advanced physics. That is true. It's an argument that you can make, but the fact is that it's not an argument that satisfies. It doesn't explain the whole phenomenon – not even close.

I don't want to go over all these quotes, but here is another one from a 1966 incident at Minot Air Force Base in North Dakota where an object was seen at very, very high altitudes. It was tracked by NORAD (North American Aerospace Defense Command) descending. They sent a strike team out to investigate. As they got to within less than ten miles, static disrupted their communications and they were not able to proceed any further. They watched the object depart where it was confirmed to be tracked by NORAD.

The following year at Malmstrom Air Force Base in Montana, a very well-known, famous incident occurred. A glowing red object was seen by above-ground personnel. At the same time, ten to twelve missiles below, everything went offline, one after the next. Lieutenant, later Captain Robert Salas, who is very well-known in the UFO field, was there and described this in great detail.

In the aftermath of that, Boeing, which was involved in the manufacture of these missiles, did a detailed analysis of why they went offline. There was no reason these missiles should have gone offline. Each was separately hard-wired, and yet they all cascaded and went down separately.



In 1975, there was another series of really awe-inspiring and incredible air space violations, mostly along the US-Canadian border, but not all of them. Some of them were a little further south. They were objects that have not been explained to this day – long story short.

That's just a few of the many documents. I like going back over some of these classic documents because, when you start going into the detailed reports, you ask yourself, "What technology did we have that could have done this?"

If you were to see a black triangle over your head today, you might think, "Wow! We have some very advanced technology maybe in the black budget world. That is worth inquiring into," but in the 1950s, it was harder – in my view – to make that jump. You have to ask yourself, "What are these objects that some of the reports had as 'zig-zagging', and instant acceleration, and so forth?"

I would say, on the basis of that very small snippet of documentation – and there is much more – is that someone is here. "Someone is here."

I speculate all the time on who or what that someone is. We can get into that, but someone is here who is not 'us'. It's not this civilization that we know about. The fundamental facts are that someone is here, our government authorities deny it, and they continue to deny it even to this day. Even following the revelations in the *New York Times* last December when they talked about a UFO program, that is not the same as a disclosure. It's not an admission that someone else is here. Maybe they are inching along towards that, but it's not an admission.



These someone's or something's have technology that is not just radically advanced, but it has to represent an infrastructure. It is incredibly valuable, and, by our standards, incredibly expensive. That is worth keeping in mind, and is kept secret from us.

I was in Berlin when the wall came down in 1989. It was a great time in my life. There were political cartoons that I used to read. After the Berlin wall came down, a lot of the Eastern European countries started to change the communist governments. The last one to go was Romania, under Nicolae Ceausescu and his wife, Elena. In the days leading up to that, I remember reading a political cartoon, which I would love to see again. It was a cartoon of a man sitting on a chair strapped to it, blindfolded. Around him are people celebrating and being free while he is strapped to the chair blindfolded. He was Romania.

I feel like that is us in our own way. So, we are like the guy in the chair, strapped and blindfolded while there is a whole infrastructure – as I now conceive it – that is going on beyond our little circumscribed fence or beyond our blindfold.

So, it's not simply UFO encounters – which are well-documented – but there have been crash retrievals. I am fully convinced of this.

There is a picture of a much younger me with darker hair, looking a little more clean-cut, with Dr. Edgar Mitchell in 2004 in Roswell when I first met him. After that photograph was taken, I went into a back room with him where we just chatted one on one.



He said to me that he had explicit knowledge of programs in the deeply classified world to study alien technology and alien bodies.

Edgar Mitchell was on Apollo 14. He had a Ph.D. and was a brilliant man. He was a man absolutely in his right mind. He said he had spoken to two individuals. When you are a moon-walking astronaut, who is not going to be your buddy? Edgar Mitchell could have spoken to anyone. He had two ultra-high-level connections who confirmed this reality to him.

I received such confirmation from another scientist who had almost as good of connections as Dr. Mitchell, who explicitly said there was alien technology, and at least one body that he personally knew about.

Then there are others within that world who talked just like this, but they do it quietly. For the record, Mitchell actually did speak publicly about that for a period of time in the late 1990s, until around 2005 or 2006. Then he backed away and stopped talking about the phenomenon in that level of explicitness. Then he would just go back and say, “I know that there is life out there.”

He walked away and stepped back from that statement, but he made it a number of times, and made it to me explicitly.

There was another scientist who I spoke to who has a great deal of knowledge of this program, and he said to me, first of all, the program is very expensive, and secondly, the security for maintaining the program was seven to eight times more expensive than the scientific research and development.



Maybe I should have pressed him, but I didn't, but maybe I can still. It's more than people with M-16's, I assume; it has to be underground facilities, and maybe some bribery money. Who knows what else it takes to keep the thing quiet?

That same scientist said to me that he knew that every five years or so, the subject of disclosure – however you want to phrase it – would come up at the highest levels, and that on one occasion, he was part of a subcommittee to discuss the implications of such disclosure.

Despite the fact that he wasn't a lawyer, he was on a legal committee. (I don't know why.) He said their conclusion was that this was impossible, and one of the reasons was simply lawsuits – 'simple lawsuits'.

Let's say that I'm a defense contractor and you're a defense contractor, and I have knowledge of this phenomenon. Therefore, I have an inside track on technology and scientific knowledge, and you don't. You could sue for a breach of fair business practices.

That's just one mundane possibility. There are so many other implications, but according to him, this is a subject that comes up. Every time it comes up, it's batted down.

There's a little more in crash retrieval. This man, Leonard Stringfield, was one of the more important UFO researchers in history. He wrote a book in the late 1970s called *Situation Red*, which he started to talk about crash retrieval stories that came to him.



Keep in mind that in the late 1970s, this was before the Roswell story came out. No one knew about Roswell. It had come out in 1947, and then it was buried and forgotten for decades. UFO researchers dismissed stories of crash retrievals. They said, “That’s crazy. You’re talking crazy talk. That can’t be the case. This extra-terrestrial stuff is nuts.”

These stories circulated, and Stringfield collected them. He wrote the book, and that opened the floodgates for many, many more people talking and coming to him. He collected more than 50 – closer to 100 – specific accounts from various people. I’m just going to discuss a few. Some of these were spouses of deceased military people. A guy tells his wife about something that happened to him, and then he dies. Some of them were living individuals who had the direct experiences. It’s a combination of these circumstances.

One that I remember explicitly was a widow who spoke to Stringfield and said that her husband had once told her of guarding nine alien bodies at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base in 1966. They were four feet tall. He was told that there were 30 bodies at the base in total, and he was told that there was a craft at the base.

By the way, the idea of a craft at Wright-Patterson has come from more than one witness.

There was a lady named June Crane who had a book written about her. She was a secretary at Wright-Patterson. There are audio recordings of her in her later years before she died of cancer. June Crane was a very, very sharp, meticulous lady. She also talked about her knowledge of a craft that was held at Wright-Patterson.



This guy told his wife that at certain military bases there were mobile units in constant ready states to recover crashed UFOs. There are so many others.

There was one that Stringfield found, and you've probably all heard of the alien autopsy video that Fox played over 20 years ago. People have argued whether it is real or fake.

One thing that I can say is that stories of alien autopsies are old. That goes through the 1950s and 1960s. There are a number of these that came up. Stringfield came across one from a radar specialist at Fort Monmouth New Jersey in 1953, which is a long time ago. He said, "It's crazy. When I was there, I was once brought into a military theatre. They gave me no briefing on this whatsoever; they just brought me and a few other people in. We were shown a film of a crashed UFO and a tent where there is a dead alien body in it. It was the craziest thing. The film ends, the lights go on, and we are escorted out. No one explains a thing to us. Two weeks later, an intelligence officer on the base came up to me and said, "That film you saw. Forget it. It was a hoax."

He said, "I always wondered what that was." I wonder, too. My theory is that if you are running a UFO crash retrieval operation, and you need good people to work your program and you have to scout and get candidates. I'm guessing that this guy failed the test for whatever reason. Maybe he talked to someone; maybe he didn't react in the exactly right way. Who knows?



Stringfield collected a lot of these stories and put them together in a series of monographs. Then, of course, Stanton Friedman a few years later, encountered retired Colonel Jesse Marcel of the Roswell crash. He did the research and put that out there.

By the 1980s, people were starting to research crash retrievals much more, however, it's more than just crash retrievals. We have some very, very good sources that go beyond crash retrieval.

There is a journalist, James Goodall, and in the 1980s and 1990s, was going 'all out' near Area 51 and Groom Lake Facility. He's an aviation journalist, and not really a UFO guy per se, although I think he became very interested in UFOs. He wrote for *Jane's Defence Weekly*, *Aviation Week* and *Space Technology* – high land cultivated certain people from the inside.

One was a sergeant, a non-commissioned officer, who was at Area 51 for over a decade. Goodall said, "Do you believe in UFOs?"

The guy said, "Absolutely, positively they exist."

Goodall said, "Can you expand?"

The guy said, "No."

Another person around 1985 said, "We have things in the Nevada desert that would make George Lucas envious." In fact, he said, "It's better than Star Trek, better than Star Wars, better than anything you've seen in the movies." This was in 1985 or 1986. So, what is that all about?



Goodall said, “Can you expand?”

The guy said, “No.”

Goodall had his own conclusions. He said that there were multiple black technologies-black projects coming out of Groom Lake beyond the B-2 stealth bomber-which were known about. So, there you go.

Then there is the story of the alien reproduction vehicle. I think that this is very significant when we’re getting into the cost of secrecy.

The story is: In November 1988, just when Catherine was getting ready to join the new Presidential Administration, there was an air show in California. Aviation writer Mark McCandlish – and I’m sure some of you know who Mark McCandlish is – was asked to go to this air show. He couldn’t go at the last minute because he had a publishing deadline.

He was going to go with his friend, Brad Sorenson, who was an executive in the aerospace industry. Sorenson took someone else with him. He was said to be a very high-level defense official. They were there, and the defense official said, “I’m going to take you to the real air show, buddy.”

They got into a helicopter, and went to Lockheed’s Helendale facility, a place called ‘The Big Hangar’ guarded with a lot of guns. The official said, “Don’t say anything. I’ll get you in.”

So, they went in, and Sorenson was interviewed by many, many people. I’ve not spoken to him, but I know a lot of the people who interviewed him. He said, “I was totally amped up. I was hyper-alert. I noticed everything.”



There was a curtain dividing the hangar and on one side there were very, very sophisticated aircraft that had lost bids for defense contracts but were still noteworthy. On the other side, there were three hovering objects. They were flying saucers – small, medium, and large.

Sorenson was able to look in one. He gave the spec's to McCandlish to put that illustration together, and there was a four-star General at a podium giving a lecture on them, referring to them as the 'alien reproduction vehicles'. This was in 1988. He was describing them as having, "Traversed the solar system."

Does one believe such a story or not? The people who interviewed Sorenson believe him. This I know.

Sorenson said, "I looked at it, and my sense was that they had been run around because they were all dented up and beaten up. They looked like they had been used."

For what it's worth, that is his story. By the way, the General had said that these objects run on 'the vacuum'. This was one year before the first article on zero-point energy was published by Dr. Harold Puthoff, who I believe wrote the first article on zero-point energy, often known as 'the vacuum'.

If you don't know who Bob Lazar is, he is the one who really made Area 51 famous. In 1989 and 1990 he had a job – and I'm quite sure this is true – at an area south of Area 51, known as S-4 or Section 4, where he says that he saw a perfect flying saucer with a very, very small cabin that was not designed for a normal-sized human being. It was too small.



Lazar went to S-4 six times and talked about it. His briefing and documents on it indicated that the object travelled on the basis of an element known as Element 115, an isotope that created enough power to manipulate the space-time around the object to create its own artificial gravity. It's quite possible, in my opinion, that Lazar was given genuine information, but maybe he wasn't.

Let's say that Sorenson's story is the true one – that these objects run on the vacuum or zero-point energy. If you are building a flying saucer using zero-point energy, and someone else wants to build their own flying saucer – let's assume the Russians – and you don't want them to know what your source of energy is, maybe you would float this story out there that it takes element 115, jokingly referred to as 'unobtainium' because it's so difficult to find and get. According to what Lazar was told, it was bequeathed by the extraterrestrials. We couldn't manufacture that isotope.

Since that time, there are news reports that we have been able to manufacture element 115, but with a half-life of a couple of seconds, so it's still not very useful.

This group was saying, "Element 115. Forget it! We can't do that; let's just give up."

The idea was that Lazar was truthful, but he was fed information that was not truthful. That is just a thought.



In any case, the journalist, George Knapp, who brought Lazar into the public domain, and many others – more than 20 – who he interviewed, have corroborated various aspects of Bob Lazar’s story, but none of them were willing to go publicly. A number of them were really threatened according to Knapp.

This next object that I’m going to talk about is an interesting one. This object made it to the TV show, *Hard Copy*, in the 1990s. It’s known as the Nellis UFO from Nellis Air Force Base in Nevada. It was filmed and then leaked.

Apparently, it was filmed by the Air Force or some other military individuals, and you hear them on audio saying, “What is that? Is that a helicopter? What is that?”

It was an object that, because of the readouts, you can get the altitude and the azimuth and the position, so you can calculate its speed, which a number of analysts have done.

Within just a few short couple of minutes, the speed ranged from a low as 15 miles per hour to over 500 mph. It has a very, very nice range of speeds, including right-angle turns at 140 miles per hour. It also seemed to change shape and is very, very unusual.

The question is: Who is making that thing? I think that the consensus is that we are making it. It’s something that we are doing, however, we don’t know. If it is something that we are making, that is more evidence of a human-based replication program.



A person, Gary McKinnon, is someone who I correspond with and is a very good guy. For many years, as you may have known, the US government wanted to extradite him from the UK and possibly throw him into Guantanamo Bay. That is certainly what it seemed to be.

McKinnon in the 1990s was obsessed with UFOs, and would go to US defense sites looking for evidence. One day in 2000, he was at the US Space Command website, and somehow got into a place where he was not supposed to go. He got information on something known as ‘Solar Warden’, which is a space program. There was a list of officers’ names that he found under the heading ‘Non-Terrestrial Officers’ and a list of fleet to fleet transfers that included lists of ships’ names that are not anywhere in the US naval inventory. He couldn’t remember the names.

He was arrested in 2002, and for many years – close to a decade – the US government wanted to bring Gary McKinnon back. He became something of a cause celebre in the UK, and they were able to prevent the extradition from happening. It was a very interesting case.

One sidelight of Gary McKinnon is that in the early years, when he was making the news, the UFO connection was very prominently discussed in the media. As the years went by, the UFO connection went away as though it never happened. He was referred to as a ‘defense hacker’ but the fact was that he was explicitly looking for UFO data, found what he believes is a UFO-related program, and that is why the US government was after him.

They called him the greatest hacker in history, which is definitely not accurate in this case.



One other thing that I want to mention here is a quote from Ronald Reagan from his diary in 1985 when he was President. He said, “I had lunch with five top space scientists. It was fascinating. I learned that our shuttle capacity is such that we could orbit 300 people.”

First of all, the US never officially orbited 300 people in space at any given time. The capacity was there. So, what were they doing? You just have to wonder.

Were there other elements? One thing that you have to know about the secret space program is that, even if you eliminate all exotic tech from the equation, the United States can only dominate the world by dominating space as a platform of operations. It's not possible. You can't have those bombs with pinpoint accuracy take out the enemy target unless you control space as your theatre of operation.

For the United States military, space is non-negotiable. They must control space. So, there is going to be a very, very major classified component in space no matter what. It's going to be up there.

I know a lady in Arkansas who lives near an air base, and sees a lot of crazy aerial phenomena that is not supposed to be there. She has Generation 3-night vision, so she has good quality night vision glasses, and I've talked with her.

In an email she wrote to me saying, “There are all kinds of craft up there – military drones, the drone triangles, and even a huge rectangular craft that she saw in daylight. I have seen these craft cloak. I saw one cloak over my house at treetop level, and I tell you, it could not be seen at all, and it was only about 50 yards away.



I've also seen these drones from less than 30 feet, and there is no movement, no vibration, nothing. They look as though they are glued to the sky.”

She is interesting because she is a really good FOIA researcher now. Because she became interested in these drones, she is very, very proficient at filing Freedom of Information Act requests on drone technology, and she has a stack of information on military drone technology.

For a civilian, she is probably one of the most knowledgeable people in the United States on drone technology.

What I would say in basic summation is that I always try to distinguish what I know is true and what I think things look like. It is valid to talk about both, but I like to distinguish. So here is what it looks like: There is a major effort going on right now to conceal the UFO reality and to replicate that technology. That is important. Obviously you can see why it would be important because it is incredibly valuable.

Just imagine we are part of the black budget research and development community, and we gain access to something that we have to study – a piece of alien technology, or some kind of field propulsion technology, or something that allows you to have anti-gravity, or a new form of energy that would make fossil fuels redundant. That would be very important, and we would be asked to study that.

What if we were to come up with some solutions, such as a form of energy that would supersede oil and natural gas and so forth?



That would change the world, which you would think would be a great thing to do, but maybe our bosses would say ‘no’ because there is a lot of interest in maintaining oil and natural gas because the United States maintains control over the world through control of the distinct locations of oil and natural gas.

You would say, “No, we’re not going to let this out to the rest of the world, but we are going to keep studying it, and we are going to develop our own technology. We are going to race vastly far ahead from the rest of the world. We will classify the tech, and we will create a global infrastructure with intellectual property rights. So, if some genius in India or any other part of the world comes up with it, we will prevent them from developing it because we’ve globalized intellectual property rights, and they can’t do it. That’s what we’ll do. And meanwhile, we will develop our own flying saucer tech and go off-world and have our own adventures.”

It sounds crazy, but I actually think that this is exactly the case.

One key point that I want to make here is that all of this is expensive to do with these types of programs. It’s definitely not free.

We have a military budget in the US of over \$700 billion, which by itself is greater than the next six or seven nations combined, including China, and Russia, and the UK, and Saudi Arabia. It’s vastly more than any other nation could bring to bear.

It’s one thing to create an F/A-18 Super Hornet. That’s expensive, and that is really awesome, but this is beyond expensive. How much does it cost? Good question but we know it costs a lot.



It can't openly come from tax dollars. It may come from tax dollars in one form or another, but it's not going to be known. They can't just lay it out there, saying, "We have our flying saucers in this little line item here." That's not going to happen. The money has to come from different sources, and it's really handy to have a source of income where you don't have to have little receipts and vouchers and things like that. Slush funds are really handy; narco money is handy; securities fraud money is super-handy. Who knows where they are getting it from. There is a big black hole at the center of our global financial system, and some of it is going into these programs. I'm convinced but, how much, I don't know.

The program requires corruption of the system for it to operate. It can't operate any other way. It requires what we call, the 'black budget' – a budget that is black so that you can't see it and you don't know what it is. And it requires corruption of the system. It has to. We have a system where we like to think that it's open and democratic and people are sovereign. Well, it's not quite that way.

In order to make this work, you have to siphon people's money out. They're not going to give you the money willingly. You have to find a way to do it. You have to corrupt the system at every level.

How do you create the money? I think that many of you have better ideas of how to do that than I would. It's a question for creative accountants bringing that money into that system.



The way that I look at this phenomenon now is that, as I hinted earlier in this talk, two paths have converged. You have the UFO reality, which I've just been talking about, that has deeply affected our civilization. But our civilization is on its own path and it is independent to a large extent of the UFO phenomenon.

I want to talk about that trajectory, and then how the two have worked together and where that is going to be taking us.

One thing that I tell a lot of my colleagues who are in the alternative research field but don't do UFOs – they will research 9/11 and underground bases and chemtrails, but they run away from UFOs – is this: If you don't really understand the UFO phenomenon, then you are missing a critical part of what makes up our world. Maybe not the only key part, but certainly a key part. It's hard sometimes to explain this to such researchers because they've gone through their whole life, and there is more than enough for them to research with what they do. It's easy for them to think, "This UFO stuff is just fun and games; it's a joke."

The ironic thing is that I tell these people, "You are willing to disbelieve your government on every single thing except UFOs? You're going to believe them on that? Think about that."

So, they're not going to believe them on 9/11, they're not going to believe them on chemtrails, they're not going to believe them on fraud in the government, but they're going to believe them when they talk to you about UFOs and have lied for the last 70+ years. Maybe rethink that philosophy. Maybe just consider that you're being played on that, just like they try to play everyone else on every other subject.



So, the UFO subject isn't necessarily the creator of our black budget infrastructure, but it is absolutely one of the key drivers, right from the beginning of the black budget culture that has now permeated so much of the world by necessity.

If you are Harry Truman and you're being told that this is a phenomenon, are you going to tell the world? No, you're not. It's too disruptive, and you don't really know what the implications are. So, you're going to create a team. You're going to say, "Let's figure this out." You're going to study the tech and are going to study how bad public panic will be. You're going to control the media and keep them in line. You're going to control the academic community and we will revisit this every so often.

Then, of course, secrecy develops its own life, and its own profit, and its own reason to be, and necessitates an end run around legal, formal structures of power. It's stuff that we are taught in our civics class. That is supposed to be the case, but none of that applies with UFOs.

One of the things about the UFO reality that is absolutely confirmable is that the military people who are setting this want to weaponized the technology. I know this. There is a document in the UK from 1999 known as the Condone Report. Some of you may have heard of it. In that report – which is 400 pages, and some of it is as 'dry as dust', but some of it is fascinating – they discuss UAP (Unexplained Aerial Phenomena).

UK military is clearly taking it seriously in this document.



They discuss several cases, including the famous Rendlesham case, and they talk about the need and the utility of weaponizing the technology of these things.

They are military people, and this is how they think. They want to weaponize. That is a big part of the classified effort.

Any breakthroughs that they have, they have to conceal and let out by degrees as is useful or necessary for them, creating what I have often called a ‘breakaway civilization’. It’s not that hard to understand. Think of the classified world with its own technology, its own classified reality that it is allowed to work with, but the rest of the outside world cannot.

You know how science works. If you have a breakthrough, that leads to other breakthroughs. But if those people are prevented from the first breakthrough, then they are going to have a really hard time going down the road that we are going down, and we may go so far ahead of them that we may – in fairness – be credited as having a separate civilization – one that is broken away from them with different cosmology and a different understanding of the fundamental natures of reality. Yes, I think that is the case in part of that classified world that studies the phenomenon, which includes a secret space program.

Logically, it would have to. Also, there are enough leaks, hints, and indications that I think this is true. You don’t get a confirmed statement out of Washington, at least the one that we would like to get, but all signs point to ‘yes’.



I've said this before. There is a substantial secret infrastructure in this world. It is off limits to you and me, but it is there. As Catherine has said, it's like an open financial system. It's not closed. We are taught that it is closed and everything is contained, but it's open and something is leaking and siphoning out. That's what it is.

I developed a graphic a while ago, and I like it. It's concentric circles. In the center of the circle is the reality that we are encouraged to live within. It's the brightest part of that circle. I call it 'mass reality'. Mass reality is like Kim Kardashian and the stupid Yahoo news feeds all about celebrities and all this nonsense. It's the reality of football and Dancing with the Stars and all the other entertainment that is basically designed to suck the brain cells out of your head. It's mass reality, and we're all brought into it. If we are smart, we find a way to push it out.

I remember going to the university in 1980. I was a freshman at a university in New York state called Alfred University. I learned that there is a much more sophisticated way of looking at reality. I remember thinking, "Noam Chomsky! I'm way out there! I've really figured it all out now."

Academic reality is what you get with a lot of professors. There are many great people in academia – don't get me wrong – but many of them are so unbelievably arrogant, and pompous, and so self-satisfied. They say, "We understand how it works. We're so far beyond mass reality of these little minds. We understand how the world really works."

No, sir, you don't. I know this because in the field of history, which I know best, historians are not able, of course, to write histories based on classified literature by definition. They can't get to it.



I remember in the 1980s I was trying to get books on the CIA. In all the University of Rochester library – a great academic library – there was only a small handful of books on the CIA. You could probably carry all of them in one arm at that time. There are probably more now, but a lot of those books were just self-congratulatory and were hagiographies of the people there.

Why is that? If it's classified, you're not going to know about it. That's the whole point of spy craft. What they do is off limits. Academicians understand this intellectually, but I really believe that they forget. They start putting their histories together based on what is available, and they forget that there is this entire classified world out there. It's like it never existed.

Well, it does exist. It's significant, it's substantial, and it's concealed from us for a reason. That is the classified reality.

Each circle gets a little darker because it's a little harder to see it. Then beyond the classified world is what I call the 'breakaway reality'. That's the part of the classified world that deals with this reality that I've been talking about – the UFOs, extra-terrestrial, whatever it is. It's the specialized, need-to-know.

They know much, much more, but even they, I am sure, do not have access to whatever the reality is that these other beings have – whoever they are and whatever they are. It's degrees darker and darker from us.

Let me just switch gears completely now to what I call our 'all-too-human hierarchy', as JP Morgan says. This is the other road that now is intersecting. This is the human hierarchy.



It occurred to me that our civilization had a really awesome period for a couple of centuries – for about 200 years. It was the Industrial Revolution and the immediate aftermath in which the people were allowed to have a middle class – which is a historical anomaly – and the people were permitted by various degrees to be rather ‘unleashed’ in the pursuit of free enterprise and the pursuit of following their dream to make money and a living as they saw fit. That is free enterprise. You are free to do that and that is an amazing thing.

It never really existed as far as we can tell, in all of human history. It’s a powerful tool for creating wealth and for unleashing creativity in all kinds of ways.

I’m a real believer in that for people, and I’m sure that all of you probably are. The point is that I think people were allowed to be unleashed because those elites above us who have always wanted to live like gods, which is how they see themselves, whether it’s the pharaohs of ancient Egypt or barrens of the 11th century or any other. They are the gods, and they look down on the little people, and that is the way it is.

They realized for several hundred years that letting the little people engage in free enterprise and economic pursuits was a great thing for them, too. It made the world wealthier and it made their nation more powerful.

I remember reading recently about Peter the Great, the Tsar of Russia. He was an amazing guy. He went in disguise through Europe to learn the ways of Western Europe and to bring them back to Russia in order to make Russia wealthier and more powerful. That’s how it used to be. You wanted your nation to be powerful. You wanted your nation to be well-educated, and it benefitted all of us.



What I will suggest to you is that we may be passing that era in which people are actually necessary or useful. I will explain why I think the elites may feel that they no longer need us to the extent that we were once needed. First of all, there is competing hardware. You've all heard of Moore's Law – the doubling of capacity of leading edge computing technology every couple of years. It's more than hardware; it's total computing capability. The fact is that computing power is vastly beyond anything else, and we all know this. Even a couple of years ago this was true.

Then we have the future of artificial intelligence. Some people think UFOs are strange. Read a few books on the future of AI, and then come back to me and tell me how strange UFOs are. You have no idea if you haven't read them.

People like Ray Kurzweil talk about a future of 'god-like intelligence' by machines. I don't know if they really will have 'god-like intelligence', but many AI researchers fully believe that laptops in 20 years or less, will have or at least seem to have advanced consciousness. They will tell you that it is. Whether you believe it or not is your choice, but it's going to seem like it – along with having an IQ of 500 or 1,000 or whatever.

That is down the road. Whatever that consciousness is, it's almost irrelevant to me. It's that it is recreating our world and the leading edge of intelligence radically beyond anything that we have right now.

Then you have quantum computing. I have a hard time even wrapping my brain around what quantum computing really does, but I do know that it is radical, advancing computing capabilities, code-breaking, and much more.



I talked with a young genius on an airplane once who was telling me about molecular computing. I said, “What’s that?”

He said, “That is massively important, so you should look into that.”

Nano-tech, robotics, 3D printing and scanning, gene editing, CRISPR technology, brain-mapping – think about how these technologies will work with each other. In other words, would it be possible using advanced nano-tech, AI, and CRISPR technology and super-advanced 3D printing that in addition to doing plastics, can do next generation integrated circuits – to create a cybernetic being of some sort?

We have hospitals right now talking about 3D printing replacement livers. I don’t know if they are doing it yet, but they believe that they can do it. So, we are moving into a very, very strange world where a lot of these technologies are coming online.

Catherine was recently talking to me, and said, “The people upstairs are talking about creating either human-like robots or robot-like humans.” Is that how you put it?

Catherine Austin Fitts: They are prototyping robotic humans and human-like robotics and seeing which they prefer for what function.

Richard Dolan: Which is best? Good, old-fashion, unenhanced Homo sapiens are going out the window as far as they are concerned.

In the world of implants for greater abilities and population profiling (think *Minority Report*), it is already happening based on your web searches and so much more. They are listening to you.



The fact is that they can compile more information about you than you may even know about yourself in many ways, and they can use it against you. They can keep you from even having access to that data.

Facial and voice recognition are going to be ubiquitous if it isn't already. In other words, if you think you are going to hide from the system, they will hear your voice anywhere and they will identify your voice wherever you are. They will know it's you. It's the same with your face and your profile. There are cameras everywhere.

Think about insect drone technology. If it's not here yet, it's going to be here. They have little cameras, and they will get you wherever you go. It doesn't matter anymore; they have you.

You can read about mind reading technologies in current science news stories every now and then – ways of reading what you are thinking. They can do it.

The last thing I had read about it, you have to have your head connected to little electrodes or whatever. If you do that, they will know what parts of your brain are firing, and they will know what you are thinking about, even if they don't have the specifics. But there are technologies now to decode your brain patterns to specific words. How good are they at it? I don't know. But I read an article about this, and if it's mainstream, you have to wonder where they are at in the classified world in reading your mind. It's only a matter of time before they don't need the physical wires and electrodes if they're not already there.



They don't need us. In the old days, you needed a viable population of smart people, creative people with initiative, to create this infrastructure that would make their world more interesting, and wealthier, and better, but now we have almost a billion people in this world. How many of us do they really need? When you get their virtual genius assistants – small elite group of human tech experts in whatever fields – they don't need an entire population for that at all.

In short, what I would say is that they don't need us organized around free labor anymore. They don't need us organized on principles of free enterprise, or ingenuity, or creativity.

There is something coming. It's called 'robo-apocalypse' and it's not the Terminator coming to kill you; he's just coming to take your job away. There is plenty of good writing on this phenomenon from tech people and economists – not from fringe people like me.

If these elites have a few basic things – genetic superiority, which they absolutely want, longer life spans, better health for them and their children, and immortality – they're 'cool and good'. They will have all the other tech that they want, and that is really what they are about to get.

You talk about CRISPR technology and all these crazy Frankenstein-like AI people who think, "The world is going to be wonderful; we will have immortality." Thanks, Ray Kurzweil, but I beg to differ. Immortality for whom?

If you can 'pony up' the money, I suppose you can get it, but for most people, that won't be the case.



What we are moving towards is a biological-based caste system. That is really what we are moving towards. Maybe they will eliminate the complete bottom caste altogether and replace them with robots. I don't know, but that is what we are moving towards.

This is our class system. I've concluded this a long time ago. There are four classes of people in this world when you look at wealth. The top class – that tiny peak – is the owner class. They own everything. In a room of 100 people, if there is \$100 in that room, one guy owns almost \$50. That is our world and he owns half of the money in the world.

The next person after him owns another \$9 or \$10. That is the manager class. So, they have a very strong interest in maintaining this system. In that room with a political system, and an economy, and a financial system, and an education system and laws, who is going to be in the best position to manipulate them for his own benefit? That is a question that answers itself.

Then you have the worker bees who may have a couple of pennies here and there to play around with. They keep the system running. George Carlin put it very well in one of his later routines. He said, "They are smart enough to do all the paperwork and get the job done and make society keep going, but they are still dumb enough to keep doing it."

That's the worker bees who are the people who make it happen.

Then at the bottom is the ever-growing amount of the population that I call the 'expendables'. They have no job skills. What are they going to do? Retail and McDonald's can't take them all. It's just not going to happen.



They don't have literacy or numeracy. They could literally drop dead, and it wouldn't affect those people at the top except that their existence is useful because it keeps the worker bees on edge and fighting each other.

That's our system. There are four classes, and that's it and that's how it works.

The goals of this power elite are to recreate the world and they are recreating it right now. It's been ramped up since the end of the Cold War and since globalization. They are going full gun now – 'pedal to the metal'. They are creating a new system of royalty and that's what it is.

Catherine said that they believe in slavery and are the royalty. They want most of us to work for them for the rest of time, and they are working on it and will get it. If no one stops them, they are absolutely going to do it.

They are creating their own breakaway legal structure and breakaway financial structure. Catherine wrote an essay a while back called *The Myth of the Rule of Law*. Law doesn't apply to them. All the regular rules don't apply to them. They want to end nations altogether, and they are ending that as well.

They are creating a global system with total financial control over people always and forever. I should add that they are adding a total bureaucratized control over the system – the legal system, the system of laws that basically are ever more constrictive than they have ever been. That involves mind control, which I will get into that a little later, to create this new paradigm.



9/11 was really the centerpiece of that new paradigm. It was a moment in which they said to you, “Those rights that you thought you had, just forget those. Those are gone now.”

Rights that were back ‘before times’ just don’t exist anymore. In the United States – and I’m sure in Australia as well – about 25% of the population now is under the age of 18, and they never knew the ‘before time’. It’s all post-9/11 for them. They’ve grown up in the world of Facebook, social media, and don’t care about privacy. They’ve been acculturated not to care. They’ve given it up; it’s all gone. Say goodbye to that world because they are creating a new paradigm.

I created a slide to describe how I thought UFO secrecy worked internationally, but it gives you a good visual of how the system really works in terms of power politics. At the top you have the banks – the transnational top 1% of the 1%. That is basically the people with their fingers over the marionettes who are controlling, and the United States is really their best tool. It has the most powerful military by far.

If you want to control those oil fields, or if you want to control this banking system, or if you want to keep that nation from damaging the petro dollar, you need the US military to do your work for you, and you will use that military. That is the biggest ‘butt-kicking’ military in the world. If you have the money and the resources, you are going to use that military as your personal police force, and you will work with the US intelligence agencies to ‘coordinate’ with other partners to enforce your rule over the rest of the world.



I read a brilliant article analysis of the US's relationship to other partner nations. There are levels of partnership, the UK is a top level, top-tier partner. They are below the US, but they are a higher-level. The UK and Israel have certain high-level status. Other nations have lower-level status. Poland has a lower-level status. Their job is to house American troops, and they get a couple of fringe benefits here and there. Lower-level nations like Greece are basically there to turn into an open-air prison and enslave the population and siphon money out of the population. There are all these different levels of how you are supposed to exist. That is the partnership and how it works.

This was really inspired by Catherine's work in her excellent piece on *Control 101*. I formulated this in my own mind. How does the control work? For me, I think that one key has to be financial control. How do they control us in this system from getting 'a little too uppity' and understanding that we are being robbed? One method is financial control, so you take people's jobs away.

One of the things that I did for years before I wrote my UFO books was writing professional resumes. There is an interesting little sidelight. I had my own small office, and people out of work would come in, and I would write a professional resume for them – good ones. I did it for thousands of people, including a former mayor of my city, and lots of other people. I made them look great.

One thing that I learned living in Rochester, New York-I watched Eastman Kodak go up in smoke through the 1990s. I saw a whole generation of people just lose their sense of hope and lose their sense of a future.



People aged 55 or 60 would come in not knowing what to do because their job of 30 or 35 years was gone, and they were just left out.

The jobs are going away. First they went to Mexico, and then they went to China. They're gone. What do these people do? They get hooked on opioids and watch TV. I really don't know what they do. There is nothing for them. It's like a game of musical chairs when someone has just taken all the chairs out of the room, and the ones that remain are not that good.

I remember talking with one guy who was working in the 1960s. He was a mold-maker. He said, "Back then, I didn't need a resume. In fact, I thought that it was dishonorable to quit my job if I had found something else. I would quit my job, and then I would look for another job. I never had a problem doing that because in the 1960s it was the best building construction period and industrial period since the building of the pyramids. There was work everywhere."

He said, "You could quit high school, go to California, do LSD for two years, live in a commune, come back, and get a job that would pay your mortgage."

That doesn't happen anymore. Why? Because the jobs are gone. We are in such a state of insecurity that people are not going to go out to the streets and protest. They are just happy to survive. That is what we are moving towards.

By removing the jobs, you remove hope and you remove the will to resist – as long as you don't go too far down.



When people get too desperate, then the pitchforks and guns come out. But for right now, you just remove enough opportunity, siphon enough hope out, and take the soul out of people. So, you offshore the jobs, you automate the jobs, and the robo-apocalypse occurs.

Another way to take financial control away from people is bureaucratize everything. I live in New York state. How anyone can start a business in New York state is beyond me. I have no idea how you can do it. Between taxation and the regulations, they are through the roof. And many states are like this.

They make it impossible for a person to go on their own to create their own destiny. Instead, you have to work for Target,, or a bank or someplace else. You can't work for yourself. It's really hard to work for yourself, let alone to employ other people. With healthcare costs and all that nonsense, it's virtually impossible.

That is the financial control, and they all do it in the name of 'making people safe and secure'. It is always for that. It's 'being fair' and 'providing', but the end result is that it makes it impossible for people to really survive on their own.

I read these AI people, and they all frighten me – every single one of them. They are some of the leaders talking about, “We need a universal basic income in the future because there aren't going to be enough jobs. The robots are going to take over the jobs, and we have to give everyone their own income.”



I say, “You’re crazy. You know nothing about economics. If you think that that is going to work, please explain to me how a system is going to work by giving everyone free money when they’re not doing anything.”

The real problem is I think: “Congratulations, guys. You’ve come up with a plan to make every single person dependent for the rest of time on the state. If the state is giving you your money, you have no independence at all. So, it’s a great way to create a completely, utterly servile, dependent population.” That is my thought.

Surveillance is another form of control. If you are surveilling the population 24/7 in every way, you are intimidating the population, and are preventing the people from acting as free actors. They track your ISP, they track your browser, they track your email, and all with government agencies. We all know this is out in the open since Snowden revealed it, and we’ve really known it earlier than Edward Snowden. William Binney of the NSA talked about this even before Snowden, and he designed a lot of the software. And we’ve known it even before Binney if you’ve been paying attention.

I read a book in 2002 by James Bamford who wrote on the NSA called *Body of Secrets*, and Bamford talked about geosynchronous cell satellites that were monitoring over 90,000 cell phone calls simultaneously in 2000 – 20 years ago. The capability is off the charts.

They monitor you through social media and Facebook – not just the government, but your employers and future employers. They all know what you’re doing, and that is a real problem for people.



Then there are the new technologies to track you, and all of the apps. There's your heart rate, your physiology, your medical information on an app, or your location, your financial records. How much do we think that all this is totally secure and not available to some prying agency?

It's all available. If you really don't know much about this, watch a few videos and interviews with Edward Snowden. He is very astute, and he talks about a lot of this. He makes it very obvious. It is all tracked – all of it – through your phone.

Then there is public space video surveillance and facial recognition that I talked a bit about earlier. That is in more and more public spaces around the world. There is voice tracking. I talked a little about that as well. Basically, it is all in the name of 'keeping you safe'. They are keeping you safe by tracking you 24/7.

Do you remember right after 9/11 about a family in Florida who made the news? "We're so happy. We've been chipped. We know we are safe because the government can always find us if anything ever happens to us," and this was plastered in much of the media as a 'really wonderful thing'.

"You should consider getting yourself chipped. It will keep you safer as well."

I don't think that went over too well. That was like a lead balloon, but the idea is to promote this concept of tracking, and now they are going to make chips sexy.



“Do you want to go to a night club? You can have a little chip on your finger rather than have to get carded. That’s for losers. Get yourself chipped. It’s cool!”

I used to think that microchips were the future, but I wonder now. There are probably going to be better ways and microchips may not be necessary. Your cell phone essentially is your microchip right now anyway, but there will probably be something better and sleeker than even a microchip. I just haven’t figured out what that is yet.

So that rounds out surveillance. Next is fear. Fear is a great way to control people. Now we are moving towards mind control a bit.

You have a complete integration between what we call ‘mainstream media’ and the intelligence community. I think that most of you are probably on board with this, but if you’re not, there is one word that I have for you. It’s called ‘Mockingbird’. If you don’t know what Mockingbird was, I will tell you.

Mockingbird was outed in the 1970’s by the journalist Carl Bernstein of Watergate fame. It was a CIA program. It was actually unearthed earlier during the Church Committee of the intelligence investigations in the US.

Mockingbird was a program that the CIA did. They had at least 400 US journalists on the CIA payroll – secretly – to spin news and create news and create fake news. We talk about fake news now, but they were creating it explicitly back then. It was primarily for purposes of regime change – as far as we know – and the fake stories would be something like international creations.



For example, if you wanted a regime change in Iran in 1953 or Guatemala in 1954, you would create stories of mass graves in Guatemala – which was created but was not true. They come back to the US, and the public is thinking, “Oh my God! We have to stop this and take out the government!” And it happened in Cuba in 1961.

In addition to the fake stories, it was totally controlling the spin, editorial policy, censorship, and creating reality by omission. That is probably the most significant way to do it, even today. You just omit, omit, omit, and cleanse, and this is the reality that we are going to show you. That is the simplest way.

Censorship, spin, false news, and false flags. I’m writing a book right now on the history of false flags. I think it’s the first ever analytical history of the phenomenon, and hopefully I’ll get it done one day.

Meanwhile, I wrote and hosted a show on Gaia on false flags. I think that some of you have seen it.

A false flag is simply one of those little dastardly things that governments do, like blow up buildings, and kill people, and blame it on other guys like terrorists. There is certainly a lot of historically confirmed examples of false flags – not just the German invasion of Poland in 1939. There are many others.

Some were outed and never did happen. Something that the Israelis did, called the Lavon affair in 1954 was one example. Then Operation Northwoods was probably the most well-known of the false flags that didn’t happen.



Northwoods was something that landed on Kennedy's desk in 1962 and was promoted by his Air Force Chief of Staff who basically had this nifty idea of a couple of different possibilities that Northwoods entertained. One was to pretend the Cubans had hijacked a US airliner and they had shot down a US commercial jet – all of which was to justify a land invasion of Cuba.

One of the Northwoods' suggestions was to actually kill a certain number of Americans and blame it on the Cubans. So, we are moving towards a kind of 9/11 false flag scenario and that was 40 years before 9/11.

Kennedy said, "No, this is not going to happen. I'm sorry," and it didn't happen. It was forgotten about until James Bamford publicized Northwoods in 2002. So, we have the documents on it now. That was false flags on major things, and I will talk about that later.

So, the whole purpose of the fear aspect is simple: To create insecurity in you and to create dependency on the state. "They will protect you."

Another way that they can control is by poisoning us and physically poisoning our bodies. All the processed foods that are horrible for us are poison. I often wonder, "How could McDonalds function if they didn't create poison food? They have to do it. It's logistics."

You go to a McDonalds – whether it's in New York City or Paris or any other part of the world – and expect your Big Mac to be a certain way and expect your fries to be a certain way.



So, I understand the difficulties of running a massive global food operation. I get it, but they do toxify us. It's not only McDonalds; it's the whole processed food industry that creates foods that are so terrible for us. We all know this. These foods make us unhealthy and dull our minds.

Then you have the whole pharmaceutical drug phenomenon. It's bad enough that they do it to people, but they do it to children. To me, that is the most unconscionable thing of all; the Ritalin and the chemicals.

I went through a brief period where my daughter in her mid-teens was going through a rough time. The doctor and her mother and some other people said, "We want her to have this antidepressant."

I was so angry. I lost that battle for about six months. My daughter went on the antidepressants, and it didn't seem to mess her up permanently, but I do think that it messes up other kids.

Your brain is still developing at that age. It goes up until you're almost 30. The cut-off date is 28 years old when your brain solidifies and becomes a mature version of what you are.

You are screwing with young people's brain chemistry, and that is a crime. I absolutely believe that there will be a day in the future when we look back in horror at what we are doing to these young people. It's criminal, but, of course, it is highly profitable. More than that, it's really great at creating drug dependencies on people. You can't tell me that you are creating sharper, better minds by doing this. Sorry, but I'm not going to buy that one.



Then there are the electronic frequencies. Jason is going to talk about this, but they are everywhere. They do affect us. For many, many decades there have been talks and claims about the damaging effects of what is known as EST (electronic stimulation of the brain), and that this can be weaponized against you, or it can be ambient from technology. It's dangerous, and it affects us. Then there are all the others – the cell phones and things there in our environment. This is not how we evolved. It's not how we were meant to be. Jason will get into much more detail on this, but all the dangerous effects on us clearly have not yet been fully explored. We don't even know what we are doing to ourselves.

The narcotics that are illegal is another one. I will never forget one of my earlier conversations with Catherine. We were talking about Mexico. There was a Mexican, Raul Salinas and his brother, Carlos Salinas, was the President of Mexico. Raul Salinas was a drug dealer at large.

In about 2000 over \$100 million belonging to Raul Salinas was stopped on its way through the Chase Bank of New York on its way to a Swiss bank account. I remember when the news story came out, and the Chase talking heads were saying, "That's really a wonderful thing that we have procedures in place to check irregular transfers..."

I was thinking, "I'm sure that Raul Salinas was just an idiot who had no idea that his money was going to get checked going through Chase on its way to Switzerland. Are you kidding me? How many times did this guy have his money go through there before it finally got stopped?"

I'm guessing that somebody finally talked, and that person was probably dead five minutes after it happened.



I remember talking with Catherine about this, and this was a real eye-opener for me. I was going on one of my rants on the phone with her. I was saying, “They should just legalize all the drugs, dammit!”

She said, “It’s great. You’ll just see a banking failure, Richard.”

I said, “Wait. What? Banking failure?”

You might remember that, Catherine. Maybe we can get into that later.

The point is that, of course, it’s allowed, and, of course, it’s legal. It’s not ‘legal’ but it’s allowed and permitted for all kinds of reasons, including money, and it’s really handy to keep the population kind-of dead-eyed and off their game.

How can you fight the system when you’re out of shape and dull of mind? The answer is: “You can’t”. So, the best way to keep the ‘expendables’ from organizing and doing anything is to keep them somewhat dumb and ignorant, and watching the Superbowl, and watching all of the TV and all the other things that they spend their lives doing.

Another way to control people – and this is very good for the more educated – is they are now erasing your identity. We’ve all heard about political correctness and identity politics. It’s just the newest form. Essentially, the newest form to control is basically fake news. The nation of Malaysia just recently banned fake news.

In other words, if you were to distribute what they consider ‘fake news’ you could go to jail or pay a very hefty fine. How about that?



The UK is not far behind. They are losing their minds over Russia right now. It's just 'Goodbye, UK mind' because that's a thing of the past. They are going to make their own legislation – you watch – against distributing 'fake news' as they deem it. This is spreading.

Someone told me that the EU was legislating against fake news. I don't know the extent, but it wouldn't shock me if that happened.

The point is that fake news today is what hate speech was ten years ago in the sense of it being the leading edge of what authoritarian regimes are now using to censor your speech and to censor your thoughts. Ten years ago, hate speech was, "Don't say anything racist. Don't say anything sexist. Don't say anything hateful or you are a bad person and we will shun you socially, we will prevent you from speaking, we will de-platform you and demonetize you on YouTube, and all these other things to shut you up."

Now fake news, I predict, will be the cutting edge of the next decade of what authoritarian governments from the US to the Australians to everywhere else will use to monitor, censor, and control free speech.

As Jordan Peterson has said far more eloquently than I could ever say, "You need free speech." Free speech isn't just an ordinary right; it is a necessary mechanism by which free societies can function. You cannot have a free society without individuals being allowed to disagree in a civil way. You can't just de-platform people and say, "You don't have a right to speak," because that's a great way to get people to start murdering each other.



If you don't let your opponent speak, you're just pushing them into a corner, and they are going to fight you in other ways. So, what makes freedom so amazing is that people who have different opinions are able to express those opinions in a safe manner by talking it out rather than fighting it out; that is the whole point.

What we are now seeing in our society – in all the Western societies – is the whole identity politics movement. It's a very, very carefully crafted movement. This did not just happen spontaneously. This is being cultivated for a reason by elite with their media arms to promote a mentality that people increasingly are buying into. Fortunately, some are pushing back.

It's an attack of the whole 'white guilt' phenomenon. It's, "Western civilization is bad. They create slaves." Well, they also gave you Beethoven, they also gave you Shakespeare, and they also gave you the Declaration of Independence and Thomas Jefferson. They gave you a lot of great things, too. It's not all, "Those dead white men are evil."

That's a great way to divorce you from your history and from your heritage. Don't let them do it. It's a bad road to go down.

The guilt is used against people for them to abdicate their freedom and their power. That is what is happening, and that is not by accident.

There is a great lady named Charlotte Iserbyt. She wrote a book called *The Deliberate Dumbing Down of America*. She is an education expert, and was an official in the Reagan Administration. She is a very cool lady, and has talked about how this is deliberate; it's not by accident.



Then posing trivial cultural issues, such as bathrooms, as a major crisis is another one. Meanwhile, entire nations are obliterated. When Libya was taken down in 2011, that was the worst for me. They destroyed the wealthiest nation in Africa where women could wear what they wanted and there was free healthcare and free housing for the homeless. It was a good place to live. Gaddafi was portrayed as the ‘next Hitler’. So, what do they do? In the name of human rights, with fake Twitter accounts, and fake YouTube accounts, and a fake bombing of the population, which didn’t really happen, they destroyed that country. It won’t recover in our lifetimes. “Thanks, guys.”

Meanwhile, we are worked on with, “Let’s give everyone their fully equal preference rights in this society.” Where is the oppression in the United States? Meanwhile, we destroy nations, leaving horror and dead bodies in our wake. Go figure. That’s the reason for that.

Control over our minds through erasing your identity. That is a big, big part of the equation.

Then, of course, there is Google and Facebook. Eric Schmidt of Google said, “We are de-ranking our IT and our search engine.”

Great idea! De-rank all the alternative sources of information for people so that they never get to see it. Good idea. “Because they are Russian and they are awful.”

Another way is they just poison your soul. Look at Lady Gaga. What has happened to Western culture?



It has gone off the rails. Freedom of expression is one thing, but when you are overtly bringing in many of these music videos, they are overtly totalitarian, police-state type videos. I could have pulled one of those out from a Gaga video. They show Nazi-looking police, Stormtrooper types. What the hell?

There is this whole new culture being brought in. It's a dissolution of what is actually a healthy, vibrant, loving culture into something totally dystopian by design. There are dark things being promoted.

Think of popular music. If you listened to popular music in the 1960s and 1970s, it wasn't only disco – which was the ultimate mindless, upbeat tempo. Tracey and I like disco music, but any of the pop music of that era is as though they believed they could make a better world. It was in all of the culture at that time.

As goofy as some of the hippies might have been, they were trying to make a better world, and they believed that they could. Where do you get that now? The culture has become so dark and so oppressive. It's as though hope has been explicitly siphoned out of your culture by design. It's not an accident. It's not just culture doing its own thing.

There was a great 19th century historian, Jacob Burckhardt of Switzerland. I'm a big fan of his and he wrote a book called *Force and Freedom*, which is a collection of essays. He postulated that there are three fundamental forces in a society: Culture, religion, and the state.

It was a very interesting analysis, and he basically saw the three of them as an interplay.



Culture influences the state and religion, religion influences culture and the state, and the state influencing religion and culture. But what I would suggest to you is that we are in a position now where culture increasingly is being controlled by the state. It's not 100%, but what we think are 'normal cultural expressions' are increasingly manipulations of us by a hierarchy.

Let me just back up for a minute. A culture that is so sexualized, and trivial, and fantasy-based is everywhere. You can now see it with the next generation in gaming and virtual reality. You are going to have a complete generation of kids on pharmaceuticals, bad food, no job outlook for themselves, and they are going to be whiling away their time on VR headsets with all that radiation coming at them as Jason will talk about later. They will be in some kind of fantasy world that, considering how awful the world that they are moving into is, is going to be much more attractive for them to spend their entire lives doing fantasy things. If they become part of the 'expendable class' that is what they are going to do.

Why? Because more and more people are expendable in the minds of those people at the top.

Memo: We are not actually expendable, but we are seen that way increasingly. So, the idea is to transform our culture and our society to create as docile and malleable population as possible.

Weaponizing the people. This is something that Catherine mentioned in her article, and I thought, "Boy, is this true."



They are weaponizing the people against any target that they need to weaponized them against – racist, sexist, or Trump or Putin or whomever. They get the people worked up against that target over there. Meanwhile, it's like a great shell game going on. “Don't look at this over here; just focus on these people who don't want to give transgender bathrooms their due. They are the evil ones.”

Increasingly and explicitly to eliminate the idea of freedom – particularly economic freedom – is an idea that is being slowly taken away. They can't do it all at once, but they are doing it. They are making it happen.

I was sitting down one day thinking, “What are our basic human needs, and what are our higher human needs?”

Our basic human needs would include things like food, career, friends, sex, love, and those things that we need in our life. Other things would be family and money. Higher needs would be love. That is a higher need and a basic need. That is the only one that I have in both categories. Other higher needs would be higher mental capacity, your creativity, your ideas, and the things that make you a higher true human. The highest levels of human are what I would say your higher levels of human are. I would also include spirituality.

We have a popular culture that promotes the basic needs, highlights it, and buries the higher needs so that they're not even there. Katy Perry at the Superbowl, or Jersey Shore, or whatever – the things that says, “Let me take your higher needs and throw them into the trash.” That is the purpose.



It's important because if you don't have your higher needs front, center, and in your vision, you will forget who you are. You will forget that you don't have to put up with this type of control.

That is the human hierarchy where they are moving, and there is this whole UFO phenomenon. So, what is happening? There is a lot of activity going on while the population is being dumbed down and controlled and frightened and impoverished.

What is happening is a major infrastructure is developing on our money, and it's an open system where that money is going out – far away. What they are doing with it is something that I think we are going to be doing a real study of in the coming months and years. One of the things that they are doing is developing a secret space program.

I'm sure that you are mostly or all familiar with this story that Catherine is fully responsible for breaking, which is the theft of \$21 trillion over the past 20 years since 1998. She has done this working with Michigan State University professor Mark Skidmore and his hard-working graduate students. What they've done is gone through the DOD and HUD financial records. This is only a partial, and they've come up with \$21 trillion of 'undocumentable adjustments' as they are euphemistically called. It's missing money.

This was recently covered on RT's show by Lee Camp, who is very, very sharp and funny. His video is on YouTube. Look up 'Lee Camp \$21 trillion' and he spends about 10-15 minutes on this where he just 'nails it'. He points out the criminal absurdity of the situation.



The point is that you probably all remember right before 9/11 when Donald Rumsfeld with the new Bush Administration went before Congress, the House Armed Services Committee, and said, “Crazy as it sounds, we can’t account for \$2.6 trillion.” Then he changed it to \$2.3 trillion. He said, “If that’s believable.”

I read the transcript, and there was laughter following this statement by the House Armed Services Committee after he said that. He didn’t call it ‘missing money’; he said ‘unaccountable adjustments’, “If that is believable. Ha ha.”

He said it again on September 10th, and Catherine said, “That’s going to break it. Nothing can stop it now,” and then came 9/11. Oops! Something did stop it from coming out.

That is eight times the Pentagon budget for that year, which was \$310 billion. How do you lose track of eight times your annual budget? That is another question. Catherine could answer that better than I. Her estimate, along with Skidmore’s, is spitballing at this point, but it’s \$50 trillion. \$50 trillion is not an outrageous guess, it seems.

When you think of the entire US debt as a little over \$20 trillion, the amount that they have proven so far, would literally cover the entire United States debt for all time until now. So that’s why this is the greatest shell game in history. Machinations are going on.

Everything that is happening affects us in the deepest, most profound way possible, and yet you look around society, and people are asleep at the switch – zombies.



The late Jim Marrs had the best title for a book that I've ever come across. He never wrote this book, so I want to do it one day. The book was going to be called *Zombie Nation*. It was going to be a combination of people being asleep, drugged, pharmaceuticalled, pacified, plus the whole emphasis on zombies and culture makes it just perfect; *Zombie Nation*. Yes, a zombie world is the goal because you can't rob someone blind if they are in a state of order, and organization, and intelligence. You have to throw chaos into the mix, and you have to destroy nations.

How else could you steal \$29 trillion worth of oil in Iraq? You can't do that if it's in a state of order; you have to take the oil fields, and you privatize them. There were stacks and stacks of money leaving that country in 2004 and 2005, but that's a complete other story.

I'm getting toward the end here. I think the UFO cover-up has not completely created, but has 'facilitated' the creation of a breakaway civilization at a bad time.

If the UFO phenomenon had fallen into our laps at a different phase in our development when human beings were still really necessary for the elite, it's possible that the situation might have been different. It might have been more open. I play this little game in my mind that it would be possible to have this idea of an open government that would actually hold a little. I don't know that it would, but I would like to think that it is possible.

Certainly, what has happened is that after World War II the wheels kept spinning ever faster towards consolidation of a global hierarchy, particularly since 1991 and 1992.



People frequently ask me about the idea of disclosure of UFOs. If you are new to the UFO field, take my word for it that is a big issue, and people like to talk about it. “When is the end of secrecy and disclosure?”

I even wrote a book about what would happen if the secret were to end and how it would change our world and what might cause that secret to end. My feeling is that there will never be a voluntary disclosure – at least an honest one – of the UFO phenomenon. Not ever. The only time that there will be one is if the secret holders are pushed right to that wall with a lot of pressure against them, and they are forced to give up something.

There is one important quote that I will never forget which came from Allen Dulles, former CIA Director. “The best way to keep a secret is to pretend to share it.”

What I think will happen is they will give up some information, like the *New York Times* did last December 16th when they came out with a couple of UFO stories. You pretend to give up some information, and by doing so, you control the narrative. That’s easy, and you therefore cauterize the wound so that you stop the hemorrhaging of the real information.

If there is any kind of little disclosure of this, it’s going to be up to any of us who care to keep pushing because it won’t be a full and open and honest disclosure. It there is anything that I am sure of, I am sure of that.



The desired goal, I'm sorry to say, is a long, long dark night of global totalitarianism. That is the desired goal by certain people who have the power. It doesn't mean that they are going to get it. After all, we are here. There are more of us, and not only are we smarter than they are, because I think that we are, but we are also more ethical than they are.

Catherine may give her Gideon story to you at some point soon. Basically, a small group of people who had ethics and competency, who are determined and focused, can take down the enemy. That is what we can do, but we have to recognize a few things, like we are not alone. There is someone else operating here, and I haven't even gotten into that yet. What is their agenda? Maybe we can discuss that later.

There is someone siphoning off our power, our wealth, and our future. Our future is being held hostage by lies. We are being controlled. If we don't recognize that we are being controlled, don't even bother trying. That is the first and hardest step – to recognize that you've been played. If you don't recognize that, then just go out and enjoy the sunshine as long as you can because that's all there is. There's no point. You have to recognize what is going on around you.

We can become liberated. First of all, I asked myself, "Why do I even bother doing this? Am I really thinking that I'm going to take down the evil system?" No. I don't really think in most of my lucid moments that I personally am going to do it. I'm just one person. I do what I do because I honestly love what I do, and I can't imagine doing anything else. That's really what it comes down to.



I am fanatically devoted to doing this because it's become who I am, and I believe in it. So that is the reward for me. It's consciousness and liberation that I personally experience in my life by stripping away as much illusion as I can and thereby achieving as much personal growth as I can.

If that's the best reward that I can get, then okay, I'll take it. Many people throughout history haven't had that much, so that's pretty good.

If we are going to achieve re-liberation as a society, we have to work together and we have to see the deception and control system for what it is. That's really hard to do.

Even when I was in my 30s, it was hard, and it gets harder the older you get and the longer you're in the system. It's like in *The Matrix* when Morpheus says, "It's our policy. We don't take someone after a certain time because the adjustment is too difficult." I think that's right.

The more ensconced someone is in the system, the more psychological rewards they get, and it becomes really hard to rip yourself out of that.

If I had been much older than my mid-30s, it might have been tough for me. I was becoming involved in my own place in that system, and it was hard to break away. I'm very glad that I did. You have to see it. You have to recognize that you've been played.

The next thing is really important and helpful: You teach others. The only way to teach others is you have to formulate the ideas in your own mind. You can't teach others if you're riffing incoherently. You have to think through.



We all know that teachers learn more than any of their students when they teach a class, and there is a reason for that. You have to organize the structure of knowledge so that you understand it. By doing that, you are actually benefitting yourself more than anything else. By teaching others, you are turning those light bulbs on above other people's heads. That is very important because we have to shine the light as much as possible. But you are also making yourself more powerful. By finding that power, I am absolutely convinced that we all have it, and none of us know the full extent of our power.

The other thing to do – to whatever extent possible, feasible, and practical – is to become independent of the system. In other words, don't feed the machine. I know it's hard. We all have jobs, and you have to pay the mortgage, but there are degrees.

Someone mentioned that they homeschooled their kids. I did that. I homeschooled my son throughout his entire education and my daughter through the age of 11, until she begged me to go to school because she saw the movie *High School Musical* with all the kids having lockers. She saw Zac Efron, fell in love, and said, "Dad, I want to go to school. I want a locker."

I was thinking, "Are you old enough to be taking drugs? Because you sound really odd." But she went. Fortunately, the homeschooling gave her an independent mind. She went in, and took over the place.



We all become independent by degrees. Just do your best but do it ethically. Do it in a way that you can live with yourself. What you don't want to do is what we see many times in someone who is old and near the end of the road.

They have that moment, and it's, "I've just wasted my whole life," and you see it, and it's there. "I've gone through my whole life, and I haven't done the important things."

A story that I always recommend is by Tolstoy, *The Death of Ivan Ilych*. If you haven't read it, I highly recommend you do. It's 60 pages. It takes a 60-page slice of the 1,500 pages of Tolstoy's genius, and it's all about what life is worth living for. He'll tell you. Ivan Ilych was a guy who did have that moment at the end of his life where he asked, "What if I lived my life wrong?" And he did. Tolstoy lets you know, and Ivan Ilych realizes that he blew it.

It's easy to 'blow it'. So, what we have to do is our best to become independent, to teach others, to inspire other people, to inspire yourself. That's it and that's all we can do.

One thing that I love to do at night is read books on ancient history. They have nothing to do with UFOs. I read all the time.

Our history is filled with one bloody-minded atrocity after another. It's really true. There is a lot of suffering and a lot of injustice. There are many awful things, but through it all we somehow keep going, and people survive. We are really resilient.



I believe that what we are dealing with now is that other people before us have had even harder crises to deal with. For us, as smart as we are and as focused as we are, this battle is a walk in the park compared with what other people have had to deal with. I believe that we can win this battle, and that we can achieve the changes that we need to make to create a world where there is at least some semblance of justice, and hope, and humanity.

Thank you very much for your time.

MODIFICATION

Transcripts are not always verbatim. Modifications are sometimes made to improve clarity, usefulness and readability, while staying true to the original intent.

DISCLAIMER

Nothing on The Solari Report should be taken as individual investment advice. Anyone seeking investment advice for his or her personal financial situation is advised to seek out a qualified advisor or advisors and provide as much information as possible to the advisor in order that such advisor can take into account all relevant circumstances, objectives, and risks before rendering an opinion as to the appropriate investment strategy.