



The Solari Report

November 23, 2017

The Deep State & Budget Politics, Part II with Richard Dolan





The Deep State & Budget Politics, Part II with Richard Dolan

November 23, 2017

C. Austin Fitts: Ladies and gentlemen, welcome to The Solari Report. We are joined today by Richard Dolan, who needs no introduction on The Solari Report. Richard and I had a great conversation after the election called ‘The Deep State and Budget Politics’, and we talked about the incredible tension between financing the black budget and a parallel universe and making the changes we need in the budget and in the ‘official budget’. That was a great conversation.

If you haven’t heard it, I strongly recommend that you listen to it because we aren’t going to repeat much of that here. Understanding the history of this issue is a critical part to understanding the politics of what is happening now.

Richard, thank you for joining me on The Solari Report and continuing what is a very deep and rich discussion about what this all means to the Federal budget.

Richard Dolan: Thanks, Catherine. I’ve been looking forward to this since we first did the other conversation.



Fitts: There is a drum that I've been beating at The Solari Report, and that drum is that everybody has to go to Gaia TV and see your new series on false flags, which I consider to be the definitive work. People always ask, "What is the definitive work on UFOs?" and I say, "You have to read Richard Dolan's *UFOs for the 21st Century Mind*, but now I've become even more dogmatic. You have to go to Gaia TV and see the TV series on false flags. Maybe you could describe it and tell people how to access it. Tell us a little about what it was like for you to make this.

Dolan: Thank you. It was a very good experience to write and host that show. Gaia is based out of Boulder, Colorado. They have very good people to work with, so I was very fortunate in that regard.

The concept of the program was, in a sense, a germ of the book I'm currently writing on false flags. We ended with eleven episodes, really trying to detail a history and an evolution of the false flag phenomenon. It is a phenomenon and it has a distinct history and a distinct pattern as a change over time.

This is something that I wanted people to see even more than going into current false flag questions of today. When we talk about this with other folks, they ask about the shootings, "Is this a false flag? Was that a false flag?"

Rather than get into some of the details of the contemporary questions, what I wanted to do was give the viewer a pattern of what false flags look like and feel like, why they happen – which is very important to understand –



and how they have become more sophisticated over the years. I describe it at one point nearly like a mutating virus that continues to transform for the new conditions of the world that we find ourselves in.

Fitts: I would almost say that reality TV shows and false flags are merging. First, you were creating fiction and then you were creating reality TV shows. Now you have the capacity to literally create fake news.

Dolan: I love that analogy. They are both spectacles and that is the key. They are both manufactured spectacles for the public's consumption, and I think they serve two points – both reality TV and false flags. The first point they try to serve is what you could call a 'distraction'. It's similar to the old shell game that you would see a con man on the sidewalk of New York City doing, "Don't look over here; look over there. Don't look at the important thing happening here; look at this shiny, little thing over here." Meanwhile, something important is happening and it's a distraction.

Even more than that, or at least as much as that, the false flags are a conditioning tool. In the case of a false flag, it's a trauma-based psychological tool to condition the public for something, whether it's to pass laws that could not otherwise be passed or another hammer on the nail beating us down. It's the big national-security stick giving us more reasons to take our privacy away.

The reality shows are conditioning us as well. They are conditioning, "Don't think; just react." Every one of these shows are about low, low levels of thinking and consciousness and awareness –



every last one of them. So they are absolutely dragging us down and attempting to take our intellectual tools away from us with which we can understand the world.

Fitts: One thing that I love about the series – and I confess that I’m only up to episode nine; I have two more to go – is you continually come back. I know that the title of the eleventh is ‘The Power of Knowledge’. You continually come back to the power of our intellect and the power of our ability to use our intellect to outwit the entire situation. The power of us not going into fear but instead, looking at this as it is and work through it as it is, can make us more powerful.

Dolan: I’m glad you noticed that. One thing that I really wanted to do with that is not to frighten people. False flags-depending on who you talk to-there are those who research this, and they are used to it. It doesn’t freak them out the way that it might have. However, there are many folks out there who are not really familiar with what false flags are – these awful acts done by agencies, government intelligence groups – that are designed to traumatize and be blamed on some other party. That is a false flag.

Amazingly, you and I and our listeners live in a mental universe where we are used to these types of deceptions happening. Most people out there – even now – are not really there. So what I didn’t want to do was frighten them and make them run under the nearest rock and hide for the rest of their life.



It is about empowerment and education. My attitude has always been that knowledge is power, and we can't fix a problem if we don't understand it, but there is no reason to panic. Yes, I have always tried to come back to that refrain.

Fitts: The reason this is so important, I believe, is because I see the budget struggle that we are in and are going to continue to be in for some time as being at the heart of the change that needs to happen or not needs to happen. I think that false flags are an exceptionally important part of managing the budget process – everywhere from Waco to what we're dealing with now.

If you are going to understand and track the budget negotiations and what is happening with the money, you have to understand false flags.

There is one other story that I want to tell about false flags. The first time I heard you speak about it was at a wonderful luncheon that we had in New York. You were the luncheon speaker. I just published a new Wrap Up called 'Control 101' but I was going to do a Wrap Up called 'Go Local'. I realized that I couldn't do it on 'Go Local' because, the way my communication breaks down with almost everyone on 'What are we going to do locally', is I have a very different vision of the control systems than they do. I have to get this cleared and I have to get this up and out and discussed because we can't move into the future unless we have a common understanding map of how control works.

I ended up doing a deep dive, and I was working with one of our colleagues.



I was in the Netherlands working with Robert Duper, who you know, and I went through my outline with him and he said, “Look, you have to come up with this story that makes this unbelievably simple. You need to start with a simple framework.”

I used, as the introduction to the entire subject, my conversation with you when you came into the room, so I am going to tell the story. It was very funny.

You walked into the room, and you always exude this magnetic confidence, but it was the first time I’d ever seen you look a little shaken. You didn’t have that Richard Dolan magnetism. I said, “Richard, how are you?”

Of course, you are always forthright. You said, “Well, you know, doing this research on false flags has made me a bit shaken. I just can’t describe how I feel.”

I said, “Oh, I know exactly how you feel. You feel like a chicken who has finally envisioned that there is a farmer, and you’ve envisioned the art and science of livestock management.”

You looked at me, and were taken aback and you said, “Yes, that is exactly it.”

Dolan: Thank you for the reminder and I remember that now. It’s peculiar because there was only twice in my life where researching a subject kept me awake at night.



The first one was when I researched UFOs in the early 1990's. That kept me awake at night – not so much out of fear and trauma, but out of intense curiosity thinking, “Well, if this is true, then what about all of these other factors concerning the world that I thought I knew?”

False flags are similar except more disturbing for me than even the UFO phenomenon was. It's much more nefarious in my view, and it's equally a paradigm shadowing experience. It's one thing to know that there are corruption and illegal activities happening within governments and power structures, but false flags are such an order of magnitude, I feel, beyond that. It continues to take a bit of a toll.

The only other thing that I will say is that I find false flags to be some of the most difficult things to research – even harder than a UFO case, to be honest with you. False flags, if they are done well, these operatives know exactly what they are doing. They are highly professional and very sophisticated, and they have control over the media. They have technology that is beyond what most of us have access to. So they are playing with tools that we may never have, as far as I'm concerned.

We're outmatched, unfortunately. That doesn't mean that we will be forever outmatched, but it is difficult. I'm very glad to see that the concept of false flags is definitely gaining ground in the public mind. There are more and more people talking about this. However, we still have a long way to go, and it truly is a mutating virus.

Fitts: One of the reasons I sat down and wrote Control 101 is because I listed all of the control systems.



Many of the control systems are systems that civilizations use; they just build control into the systems we depend on – whether it's for housing or transportation. It's a complex issue.

Then I took the 40+ systems, and placed them in order of importance, and one of the things you see – especially over the last 50 years – is that technology has improved. We have gone from money being the most economical tool for managing the general population to mind control. Mind control and all of its technologies are very invisible.

One of the reasons I wrote Control 101 is because one of the challenges of mind control is that there are two types of people in America – mind control people who know they've been mind-controlled and are trying to get unmind-controlled, and mind-controlled people who don't know that they are mind-controlled and don't even know that such a thing exists.

The population is splitting into the two groups. One of the biggest complaints from all of my subscribers, who are all in Group 1-you and I are in Group 1-is they are working with each other to try to get unmind-controlled, and they can't fathom that the other group doesn't want to do the same. You really see this bifurcation, which is ripping apart families and friends and networks.

One of the reasons the New York subscribers love to come to that lunch – and they appear like people who have known each other forever, but they haven't – because they are so delighted to be in a room with all Group 1.



Dolan: We see this in the entire ‘alternative culture’ – the culture that has awakened. There are different names. If you’ve ‘taken the red pill’, or if you’re ‘awake’, There are ways that we describe this phenomenon, and for those of us who see it, we see it very, very clearly.

Unfortunately, I’m sitting here in my house, and I have wonderful neighbors, but when I see them, they are nice people, but how many of them are really deeply aware? It’s a certain percentage, but most people just go about their lives. That is probably the case with you as well.

Fitts: The reason I bring it up is that I remember at lunch, you talking about how the phenomena were accelerating in terms of numbers of false flags or infrastructure available to do false flags. I see one of the reasons the people who do it are so sophisticated and capable is because they have amazing mind control technologies to work with – whether it’s to do the operation itself or to market the operation or to cover up the operation. That is part of the challenge of understanding false flags.

Let’s turn to something about how to get un-mind-controlled. You and I are going to be in Australia in May, which I am really looking forward to. This is going to be fascinating for me. This trip was such a life-changing experience, and it very much relates to the galaxy and the UFO phenomena. You have never been to Uluru, so I am going to watch you go through a similar process of discovery, except you have much more knowledge to connect the dots than I do.

I can’t wait to see Richard Dolan on this journey.



Dolan: I look forward to it as well. In terms of knowledge, I don't know. I'm a very big student, not simply of UFOs, but of many of the ancient UFO phenomenon as well. It's actually of great interest to me. All of these are something that has intrigued me my entire life, and certainly in the last 20 years.

People who read me might not be aware that I've delved a great deal into the ancient mystery subjects, but I have indeed, even if I haven't written much about them. So it will be interesting.

Australia, of course, is replete with a deep, deep history through the Aborigine culture – stories of the Wandjina, the sky gods, as it were, who were said to be synonymous with the Milky Way; the white band across the sky. They came from the heavens. The image of the Wandjina and the caves, some of which are from 4,000 or more years ago. You look at them and they have the look of white or gray aliens; they don't resemble any people that have ever been seen.

There is a great deal of intrigue about that. So, yes, I am really looking forward to exploring that. I firmly believe that we don't know our own history and we don't know our deep history very well. In fact, the main things that we believe to be true are probably not true – or at least much of it. There is a huge, huge missing gap in our history that maybe we are slowly learning more and more about.

Fitts: I did exactly what we are going to do – five days in Uluru with a variety of touring around and seeing the caves and looking at Ayers rock, which is the Uluru rock – and we are going to do a helicopter ride where you can observe all of the rock formations. One thing that happened to me is I got a very deep, intuitive feeling of that ancient history and being part of it.



The other thing is that you have never seen the stars the way they look from the southern sky. I've traveled all over the world and nothing compares to this. We are going to have a dinner under the stars. When you are under the stars, and around the campfire and hear stories, and when you are hearing the creation stories of the Aborigines and you are looking up, you feel as though you can just reach up and touch the Milky Way. You can see the international space station from Australia.

I'll never forget when I first saw the rock formation that most impacted me. It was not the Ayers rock – the Uluru rock – it was the Attila. I looked at it and said, "That's not a rock formation; that's a landing pad."

Dolan: Yes, you and I discussed this. It appears almost otherworldly. I agree, it's fascinating.

The thing about where we will be in Australia is that I think part of the attraction is the intense natural power there, and also the fact that we are in a civilization that we so manufactured and so human-generated. It's easy for people to forget that there is this other time – the before time. We are going to one of those places, so I think that it will be important for myself, and I think that everyone attending will feel the same way.

Fitts: There is going to be plenty of time for people to hear from you and talk to you, but it will be fascinating because you are going to be teaching and learning at high speed at the same time and that is what you do.



Dolan: Like you, I love what I do. I love the life that I live. It's not for the money because that would be foolish, and there is not much there, but it's for the freedom to pursue what I want to, and like you, I have a natural intense curiosity about the world. I love to ask questions and learn and I love what I do.

Fitts: It's interesting. If you consider who is coming, the groups are going to be small. There are two groups of 20 each. There are many people in those groups who you are going to enjoy meeting and it's going to be interesting to watch. Of course, Jason Bawden-Smith is going to be with us.

So let me dive in because something big has happened in my budget world since I talked with you for Part I, and that is the cavalry arrived in the form of Dr. Mark Skidmore and his graduate students at MSU. They helped us go through and get a complete review of all the DOD and HUD financials from fiscal 1998 to fiscal 2015. So we were able to do a complete review, get all of the documents on the website, and create a new website just so that we could have all the documents with an identification in every year of how much the undocumented adjustments were. We didn't do it for only DOD. We categorized it to Army, Air Force, and Navy, which I thought was very interesting if you look at the mechanics of who is doing what.

We put it all up, and started to ask questions, trying to understand the specifics of what they were disclosing and what it meant, and the first thing they did was take all of the documents offline.



Dolan: They obviously know that, either something is up, or this is a subject that they are not supposed to be talking about.

Fitts: So we had all of the documents already up on our server and now they are only linked to the server. So he was given a report, and we continue to ask questions.

I think that whatever that \$21 trillion stands for, at the very least, it stands for the fact that there is a reality of the money in the Federal government that the Federal government can't properly disclose. The amount of money involved is enormous.

Dolan: I want to point out that, in going through this website at www.MissingMoney.Solari.com, when you brought this to my attention a while ago, it's absolutely fascinating. One thing that I'm so impressed by under the section where you talk about DOD and HUD missing money and have supporting documentation, and for anyone interested in going through this, you broke it down by year, and how much was missing, what was reported missing, and then the government source document, which is linked.

I went through a number of these links, and I can say from my own research that this is beautifully done. You are really giving researchers perfect opportunity to go into it. There is no secret information here. Everything that you have done is right out there in the open. When you add all of this money up for the last 15 years, the amount of money is unspecified. They become very, very good at not revealing exact amounts, but then there are others, such as the DOD Army. There are a certain amount of monies that are indicated, specifically. The Navy has many gaps, but there are sources for all of these.



Here is \$874 billion, here is \$1.1 trillion, here is \$270 billion, here is \$248.5 billion, and so on. That is what his grad students did and put together in a very easy to go through chart. It's fascinating.

Fitts: We finally realized that what we needed was to make everything really accessible to all the different researchers who were interested in a way that could take this on. To try to do this by one starting researcher would take hundreds of hours, but if you take it down so they can just start diving in and going to a much higher level much faster, that was the idea.

Dolan: And they ended with \$21 trillion.

Fitts: The amount that has been specified is \$21 trillion. During that time, it's more than double the total budget for the period from 1998 to 2015.

One thing that got me going on this, and the way that I hooked up with Skidmore, is that he is a very brilliant guy, but he also has very high integrity. He heard me on a radio show say that DOD's undocumented adjustments for 2015 were \$6.5 trillion. He is a chair of state and local finance and he knows accounting.

He heard me say it and said, "That has to be wrong." So he decided to prove that I was wrong and acquired the financial information, and when he discovered that I was right, he almost fell out of his chair.



In 2015 it was ten times the budget. He uses the example that if you go to your church meeting, and they have a budget of \$500,000, but their undocumented adjustments are \$5 million. Can you imagine?

Dolan: I've tried to understand this for years, and I've never really wrapped my brain around it to be honest with you. We've all heard about Rumsfeld's famous statement, which you and I have talked about, from 2001 about \$2.6 and then \$2.3 trillion, which was seven or eight times the Pentagon's budget for that fiscal year. How do you misallocate eight times your annual budget? I don't get it.

Fitts: With securities fraud, you can certainly do it. The question is: Where does it go?

One thing that concerned me, which I wrote about and called it 'Lockheed Cuts and Runs'. The largest payment systems contractor and IT contractor at DOD is also the largest weapons manufacturer and service provider, not only to DOD but also to the entire Federal government. After the year was closed, and before they announced it, Lockheed spun off their IT division – presumably with all those contracts – to a new company that SAIC had created to do the same.

You took all the people who were legally liable for this under the law for affecting what has to be many illegal transactions. They spun it off into a new company who also was running the service management contracts – are you ready for this – on Antarctica.

Dolan: Seriously?



Fitts: I called it a cut and run because it appeared that both at DOD and HUD, “Okay, let’s empty the place out because it’s over, and then let’s spin this out to get the legal liability off of our balance sheet. We’re going to the next phase.”

That is plenty of conjecture in a few sentences, but I would say that to give up a control position like control of those systems, is a big give-up. If you want to get the liability off your balance sheet, it’s worth doing.

I just note that the reality of the budget and the official reality of the budget have never been further apart. I think that one of my big questions – and the reason I wanted to do this – is: “How in the world is the Administration and the Congress going to resolve this over the next four years?” You have enormous demands from a very hungry black budget – or whatever we want to call this parallel universe or the deep state – and then we have enormous demands from the population.

The boomers have been putting money into the system, and now they are suddenly knocking at the door and saying, “I want my money back,” which is a problem. In fact, not only can you not afford to give them the money, but you need another new dollar, just like you got a dollar from them last year. So now you have a \$2 problem on the overt side of the budget because they want their money back, and that means that they are not going to put another dollar in.

In the meantime, the black budget – whatever it is – is not getting smaller. It’s almost as if two tsunamis are racing at each other.



Dolan: When we spoke the last time, a few months ago, the Trump Administration was much younger in its experience at the time. I was still entertaining the idea that Trump might be some kind of disruption to the system. I think that less and less. You may have a different opinion on this, but it seems what has happened, is that any kind of disruption that he threatened to pose to the system has been checkmated or is in the process of being checkmated. His key people have been discredited and taken out through one scandal or another, and then you have the Mueller investigation. We continue to have these allegations about Russian interference in the election, which as far as I can see, are completely a charade and nonsensical based on zero presented evidence whatsoever, but yet the Russian meme continues and is being used to bludgeon and, perhaps, impeach Trump.

Meanwhile, what I've seen in his policies, particularly his national security policies and geopolitics, is he has become Hillary Clinton. We talk about transgender politics in the US, and we have the first transgender President, in the sense that Trump is Hillary Clinton, and Hillary is Trump. They have become one and the same in terms of international politics. In the domestic, there may be differences here and there, but in the big picture, I'm not seeing any changes.

In terms of blowing up or exposing budgetary illegalities, I just don't see Trump doing it.

Fitts: I see someone who is a vast improvement over Hillary Clinton but who has had their agenda cut back 80-85%. That is what I would say.

I think that you underestimate how absolutely dreadful it would be if Hillary Clinton was President.



Dolan: I was terrified of the prospect of her becoming President, so it is possibly true, but these days I look more at the international geopolitics. I see the complete enmity with Russia, the attempt of destruction of the nation of Syria, and these were top tier Hillary policies. She was clearly going to do dismemberment of Syria and ramp up the Cold War against Russia, and these are continuing under Donald Trump.

It's a disappointment to those who were hoping that there might be some kind of opposition to the National Security structure. As far as that goes, he is very clearly following the lines that she would have.

Also, now even the ultra intertwined close relationship with Israel, which Hillary Clinton was absolutely promoting, Trump is promoting, if not even stronger on that. So there is an abundance of where he is continuing what Obama had been doing and what Hillary would have been doing.

Fitts: As of April 1st, he switched from the 'Make America Great Again' to the 'Make Israel Great Again' plan. He obviously did a huge neocon switch on April 1st. I think the questions are: How much of it did he have a gun at his head, and how much is him looking at the cash flows and can't figure out another way to go, and how much of it is that what is happening in the Middle East also relates to exopolitics?

Dolan: Those are great unknown questions. I don't know either, but I have to think that some of it does.



It's one of these really frustrating elements for someone like myself, when I think of it, because I know, for a fact, that what we call the UFO phenomenon has been a huge factor in our history for these past 70+ years. As we go further and further ahead, away from the distant past, we learn that the UFO phenomenon was discussed at the high level geopolitical level – in fact, in the 1940's, 1950's, and 1960's – and there is every bit of evidence to know that this is happening in the 21st Century. Nevertheless, getting the specifics is very difficult, and there is so much misinformation and disinformation floating around in this field these days that it is hard not to be frustrated by the information that is out there. You just wonder, “Is this more self-promoting aggrandizing nonsense?”

You mentioned Antarctica a while ago, and that caused me to jolt because there has been so much that has been claimed lately about Antarctica by people who – in my opinion – have no credibility, but yet is there something about Antarctica that is genuinely important? I think the answer is probably “yes”. Similarly in geopolitics, is there a deep exopolitical connection in there that we're not getting? I think that the answer to that is absolutely “yes”.

What I've been trying to do – and failing more than I succeed – is to understand what is the most likely connection, not in specifics. but in general terms. What is the exopolitical connection to the global geopolitical situation? This is the kind of question that is the most interesting for me right now relating to UFOs: How does the nonhuman factor play into our grand global situation? How does the United States manage its secrecy?



Fitts: It's very important because I believe the number one question is: How do we ensure a human civilization? How do we preserve a civilization that respects individual rights and freedoms and respects human life as sacred? I see many, many things happening that are inhuman. Unfortunately, the US budget is financing – from what I can tell – most of them. Whenever you dive deep into that question, it always comes back to, “What is the role of exopolitics?”

I don't think I shared with you our Planet Debt Analysis that we did in 2015. One of the things that struck me again and again is that I could get a great deal of data on who is issued debt, but I couldn't get any data on who owned the debt.

Finally, who owned the debt was becoming as big of a mystery as the missing money. I said, “The number one question on the debt is: Is it owned on planet or off planet? Is the financial system a closed system or an open system?”

That is a very big swing.

Dolan: Here is a question: Are the Rothschilds off planet these days? When you think of the global debt, I'm not an expert on the Rothschilds. I've tried to investigate them, and they are – for me – damned difficult to get to the bottom of. There is, publicly, such scattered information about them. What we know officially and historically is that through the 18th and 19th century and the early 20th century they were the dominant banking family in the world.



Then somehow, magically, during World War II, if you read the Wikipedia entry on them, all their financial power was broken. However, of course, that is absolutely not true, and you know that as well as anybody. I read your piece about your time at Dillon Read.

So the Rothschilds are still front row center, but no one ever talks about them. How much money do they own or control? Then you have the Rockefellers and some of the other old families. So the statement that one could make is: These people would presumably own the global debt and I have to assume that.

Fitts: If it's a closed system.

Dolan: Right, but if it's an open system – as you have speculated for a long time, then who would the owners be? Would it be human actors like our friendly Rothschilds, or are we in debt to nonhumans? There is a question.

Fitts: Here is the interesting thing: My whole journey through Wall Street and Washington and my research since then, I've never seen a billionaire who wasn't an agent as opposed to a principle. Do you know what I mean?

In other words, they are managing the money. So I don't think that Warren Buffet's fortune belongs to him; I think that he is the manager. My reasoning is that they all behave like proprietaries.

I used to work with a company that was a big HUD property manager. First, I had no formal relationship, and then I had a formal relationship as their investment banker.



Ultimately, since I was HUD's financial advisor, and they cared about HUD and we had interactions that way, and I had interactions with them as potential investors on different transactions I was managing, I had a variety of different relationships with them.

I would sit down with him, and he would say, "I want to do this, and I'm going to make a decision." Then he would call me back a week later and say, "Well, I talked to my board and they said, 'No. Instead we have to go to the right.'"

So then you say, "Okay, you're going to go to the right." Suddenly, next week it was something else. What you realized was that since the money came from government – various people in the government, including the CIA – they thought it was their company.

If you looked at the governance structure, you had the management and the board, but then you had the people who were pulling the strings because they were on the government dole. It's called a proprietary.

What I started to learn is that Bill Gates runs a proprietary. If you look at the government contracts and the truth about what was probably a back door put in, he is basically doing what they say or else they will pull the chain, and they can destroy him.

These folks aren't in control of their operation.

Dolan: The questions are begged then: Who really is the proprietary? Who is running it? Who is owning all of this? You don't have the answer, and I don't have the answer.



Fitts: No, but it gets back to what happened to me at Dillon Read, learning that our most important management governance decisions were made, not by us, but by the Rothschilds, even though the Rothschilds didn't own a penny of our equity. As far as I know, there was absolutely no legal affiliation.

Dolan: Somehow they have their fingers in every pie.

Fitts: Just as there is a parallel system between what is happening in NASA versus a secret space program, there is a parallel system concerning what is supposed to be happening with the money and what is really happening with the money.

I saw the same thing on the largest pension funds, where they knew that we were moving all the money out of the country, and they proceeded to buy all the phony baloney housing paper anyway and lost a fortune on it. That was all intentionally done. It was completely, in my opinion, in violation of the law, but it was part of the way the system was working.

Let me turn back to Trump. One thing I believed when Trump was elected was that he was part of a faction who knew we were overextended globally and needed to pull back into North America and rebuild. Part of that is with technology and robotics, it's not cheaper now to send it to Asia, and you can pull back and rebuild a North America behind the oceans.

We won World War II because the Russians won the war on the land, but we had an amazing industrial base that was protected by two oceans.



When World War II was over, we had the industrial base. Everyone else had been bombed into oblivion.

I think they want to pull back into ‘fortress America’. Their number one issue coming in was: How do we repatriate the money? To do that, they needed to reorganize the budget. That meant that healthcare went first. With that done, what you do next is tax reform. Tax reform is going to have a very dramatic impact on the ability to pull in both foreign capital and repatriate corporate capital.

I think that is essential to be able to now move to the next phase of rebuilding.

Dolan: So this is tax reform in a classic, nationalist sort of matter? In other words, this is tax reform that would actually benefit people, or is this tax reform that would not benefit people?

I’m thinking that your thought is that when Trump was a candidate, the idea was to have an actual positive, beneficial tax reform. Is that what you are looking at?

Fitts: Let’s just talk national security for a minute. They’ve been doing a series of steps during the last ten years to make the United States the preferred offshore haven for the globe. So they have changed the law, they’ve attacked all the other offshore havens, and I shouldn’t just say the US; I should say the Anglo-American Alliance because the British offshore havens will be part of that system in the Commonwealth.



So this is really the Anglo-American Alliance trying to make sure that they are the most attractive and have, by far, the cheapest cost of capital. I think they believe they have to do whatever they're going to do from space within North America or within the Commonwealth. They can't afford to depend on China or Asia to do that, even though the investment in the Asian space programs is very, very significant.

So I think they need to pull back, and to do that, they need to repatriate corporate cash. The other thing that they need to do is remove the estate tax so that many foreign billionaires will be safe moving their fortunes to the United States.

Dolan: So, to answer my question, it's not a classic nationalist ploy to actually help the citizens; it's a different kind of a strategy, indeed.

Fitts: Right. It's a 'pull in the horns' strategy. We are overextended and could get clobbered, and we need to rebuild here. It has nothing to do with the citizens, other than you have the citizens and the leadership so out of alignment that it's dangerous, so you are trying to rebuild that alignment.

Productivity is falling. You have to get productivity rising again. All of this corruption has really devastated productivity, and I think that Trump understands that and doesn't like it.

If you look at his running around the country, he is talking to a phenomenal number of productive people who want to see the country succeed and who are willing to be on board for a plan, and their productivity is being destroyed by corruption.



He wants every productive citizen being productive and I think that is a sincere part.

Dolan: So is there a war against him to prevent this? Is that what you think is happening?

Fitts: Here is the thing: If you go to China, they have a five-year plan, a ten-year plan, and a 20-year plan. They are planners, it's all public, and they really work hard at it. Here, the plans are all secret. What is public is a joke. There is no plan; we are making it up false flag to false flag.

There is a plan; it's totally secret. I think what that has produced is a financial coup when you are trying to steal, what I estimate to be \$50 trillion, you need people bubbling the economy and engaging in all sorts of financialization of the economy. You've created an entire generation of people who know how to do that, and they are, not only very expensive, but are also very expendable, and you need to clear them out. The question is: How do you clear them out?

These are people who don't think about, "Do I want a multipolar world or a unipolar world?" They're just walking around all day, "What about me? What about me? What about me?"

What they know how to do is go into a place, wreck it, and then get government contracts to fix it. They're on a break and fix-it model, and they know how to destroy places and then use government money to take them over and clean them up.



They couldn't care less about any of the things that we are talking about today because their attitude is, "This conversation is what people who are losers have; smart people go out and hang out with Paul Manafort and rig some more country regime changes."

One of the reasons you're seeing many people being destroyed is because they can't adjust to the new environment.

Dolan: I'm trying to think where you want to go from here.

Fitts: Here is where I want to go: I perceive, as you know, that many of those things happening are a move to rebuild the industrial base in the United States for the national security state, repatriate a large amount of money, and this is all part of the push for tax reform. My concern is that getting the system turned around here to do that or to get the system to support doing that is causing the system to get much more aggressive. I think that false flags are part of it.

As you know, I think that what happened in California was not natural; it was something else. I don't want to do a deep dive on it today, but what we're watching is what you and I have always said was going to happen for many years, which is when you have been financing two civilizations out of one pot, and now both have to change because the world is changing and technology is changing, the reality is that we have stolen and drained a great deal of money out of the pot to make this work, and it can't work anymore.

Dolan: I would only say that, in a situation where we have these two realities – the public and the private, the fictional and the classified –



where there is such a massive discrepancy, it has to come out, and it has to be displayed one way or another in the official news reality that we are being told.

With the California fires, which you just mentioned, looking into that, there is a profusion of talk and analysis that this was connected to the new ATHENA laser weapon. I don't know for a fact that this is so, but there have been a number of analyses that have talked about how these fires did not behave in a natural way.

The ATHENA laser weapon – and this is a new openly acknowledged technology, a super-powerful laser weapon – you can't see when it's used. There was an announcement a month ago that this laser was used to destroy five outlawed drones. This is a super-futuristic space laser technology except that they have it now. Unlike movies, when they fire the lasers, these are invisible lasers, but they will destroy any kind of target instantly. I don't know that the quality of the evidence indicates that the ATHENA is responsible.

By the way, ATHENA stands for Advanced Test High Energy Asset – a classic military-tact acronym.

There are many claims that this was involved in the California fires. You and I talked privately, and maybe you want to explain your reasons for thinking that there were some motivations behind this. One thing that comes to mind is Monsanto and genetically modified foods, but there might be much more at stake here.



Fitts: If I'm right, if this was not natural, then a non-natural seeding of these fires could only have happened, either if it was done by the US military intelligence complex or by somebody who they couldn't stop. Those are the two possibilities.

The reason I'm focusing on that is because we have many subscribers and I have clients in that area, and I started to get emails, literally, from the moment it started to happen because there were so many unnatural, inexplicable things occurring. So I was receiving a flood of stories and personal experiences. It was clear that whatever was happening was not natural.

It was interesting because, one of the things I pride myself on is, I'm very big into, "If we can face it, God can fix it. It's reality; deal with it."

What is very interesting, and I will confess this, is after about a week of getting hundreds of stories by email – and I did the same on the panhandle fires and some of the fires in the Northwest, which I also thought that there were disturbing things occurring, but those are different topics. I started to see the videotapes of what was happening, and just the evidence of being able to look and see why something unnatural was happening.

I woke up one Saturday morning, and received a flood, during the evening, of a new round of stories. I started to read them, and realized that this cannot be natural. Whatever is happening, it cannot be natural. This meant that we were dealing with, what I would describe as, a domestic Phoenix Program.



I arose and did something I have never done in my life – not ever in my life. Remember, I have lived through many difficult and violent situations and had to deal with some pretty tough situations. I got up and went into the bathroom, and threw up.

What you're talking about is, basically, depopulating an entire area – not a false flag to engineer a war in the Middle East, but you are dealing with going in and moving people off their land and taking control. So the housing bubble was the same thing, but it was done with financial mechanisms. Now, we're talking about, in all likelihood, using taxpayer-financed weaponry to go in and eradicate a population because if you're going to bring all this money back, you need to move Silicon Valley across the bridge and get thousands of acres of land for people and houses and companies.

Dolan: So it's like a new version of eminent domain – just go in and take the land.

Fitts: That is exactly it. You don't want to hear any more about GMOs or the secession of California. California is a very critical part of the infrastructure, and you don't want that talk started. You've almost had it.

In the meantime, you have this entire population focused on things like sex politics, and now that they are boomers and they are retiring, they are getting very, very expensive. There is a stacking of functions happening here.



My guess is that you, essentially, need the real estate and the land, and this is a major movement of a combination of dealing with people who are causing you difficulty for a variety of reasons, but also moving in and grabbing a large number of things that you need if you are going to move Silicon Valley northward. If you're going to bring all this capital back, you're definitely going to need thousands of more acres for those operations.

Anyway, it's all high-octane speculation – as Joseph would say.

Dolan: The motivations are logical and I would agree with you there. It all comes down to what kind of case could be made for it, and I don't know. This is the problem when I deal with false flags. The motivations are there; where is our evidence? Sometimes it's difficult.

Fitts: You can only tell over many years. I can watch by seeing the patterns in the money. I can see over the years, but it takes a long time, and it certainly doesn't become actionable intelligence.

Here is what I think is important for all of us: The difficulty of turning and dealing with this budget is getting extremely stressful for everybody involved – top to bottom. I think it's causing unbelievably disruptive change. That change is going to accelerate.

The thing that you and I can do to help people with that is to bring transparency, which brings me to the next issue that I want to discuss: disinformation versus transparency.



I think that you have been one of the most patient and fearless people, in terms of sticking with the truth, but managing the barrage of disinformation that gets thrown your way. You probably know as much, if not more, about disinformation as anybody on the planet, although Jon Rappoport knows plenty.

Dolan: He is fantastic.

Fitts: He is fabulous!

I want to tell you a story, so let's turn to disinformation versus truth. I have a wonderful ally who is absolutely brilliant. He has really done one of the best jobs I know of anybody to figure out what is happening in this world. He has certainly vacuum-cleaned anything I know or anything I've ever recommended. He has read all of your materials.

He has this desire for certainty. He would disagree with me, but he wants to figure it all out. He is intensely curious. So he called me and said, "Somebody has figured it out."

I said, "Really? That's great. It's the unified theory of everything. I'm ready."

He said, "I'm going to send you the book. You have to read it and tell me if I'm right, that somebody has figured it all out."



He sent me Michael Salla's book on Corey Goode. I read the entire book, and I'm laughing uncontrollably. There was a talented improvise group in Chicago that had a great line in one of their skits.

So I called him and said, "This is total crap! This is complete and total crap."

He got very angry with me and started screaming at me because when you've been tricked, you don't like hearing it. He said, "How can that possibly be? It explains this and this and this."

I said, "Here is what they did. They downloaded everything that Farrell wrote, they downloaded everything that Dolan wrote, they downloaded a few other people – maybe Linda Moulton Howe and some others – and they gave it to IBM 'Watson' and they said, 'Produce a unified theory of everything,' which they did, and did it brilliantly. This is good stuff. But you know something? Life isn't that perfect."

Dolan: I agree with you. This is something that took up much of my summer of 2017 – the Corey Goode controversy in ufology. I don't know where to begin with this.

Fitts: Can I just tell you one other story? I had lunch last spring with someone in Asia who said, "Look, I need to tell you something. The intelligence agencies asked me if I would play Corey Goode, and I turned them down. Then they went to Corey Goode."



So I acquired an entire download of him and what the plan was when they started.

Dolan: Interesting. Corey Goode – for anyone who doesn’t know this – during the last three-plus years has been very publicly making claims that he is part of, not only the secret space program, but that he has spent a great deal of time on Mars and what is known as the ‘20 and back’ program. This is where you go for 20 years and then return, but you don’t only go for 20 years. You come back, and you’re time-regressed and age-regressed so that, essentially, mom never knew you were gone.

According to his scenario, in the original alliteration of it, he was recruited at the age of 16 or 17. So he went for 20 years, and became a fully-grown man. Presumably, he went and had relationships and had his life life, and then came back and it’s all gone. However, he somehow remembered it years later.

That is the sign of someone who is deeply schizophrenic and mentally ill, or someone who is pathologically lying, or maybe it’s true. This is what people wonder. “Maybe it could be true. It can’t be disproven.”

Fitts: It could also be mind control.

Dolan: Exactly. Someone could have mind-jobbed him. So all of these possibilities are out there. What I’ve noticed, and I’m not saying this for the first time to you, by the way; I’ve said this in front of Corey. I did a panel and sat next to him at MUFON in Las Vegas in 2017.



First of all, his message is perfectly designed for the Southern California new age community. He talks about consciousness and talks about ascension, and they are gobbling it up. They love it, they want more, and they will never get enough. They just keep wanting more, more, so he has a ready market. It's not the scientific market, it's not the political people who will gravitate to you or to me, but this is a different crowd. He has that market and this is the way that I look at it with him.

Also, what has happened is there has been such a reaction against him among serious researchers. I don't know if you're aware of this, but one group at a site called Avalon, originated by a person named Bill Ryan who I know fairly well. I know one of his moderators quite well, also.

Avalon is a great place to go for all kinds of intelligent questions about UFOs or other conspiracies or the Bilderbergers. You name it, and there are very good discussions about it in there. They discuss the secret space program, so Corey Goode was an active member of Avalon. They know this because they have his ISP and they know exactly who he was.

They published a data server catalog last summer. It meant that when you go to Avalon, they track your ISP. They are allowed to do it, and they can do it. They have all of Corey Goode's visits to Avalon from 2010 to 2014, so these are the four years before he became public about remembering having gone to Mars. They found that he just data mined the entire site; he data mined me; he data mined other alleged Mars whistleblowers; he data mined Michael Salla tremendously.



He mainly made himself – or what it appears to me – into an expert as much as he could on the lore and on the stories about having gone to Mars, and then created some new twists like the so-called Blue Avians, whatever that is supposed to mean. He turned himself into an ambassador to the Blue Avians, so there is this self-aggrandizement element occurring.

The reason I think some people will find him compelling is that you are taking certain elements that are genuine – he took my research and others very serious, legitimate researchers – and incorporate that in there, but then inserted yourself into the narrative. That is what it appears, I believe, that has been happening.

There are a few other people who saw opportunities. David Wilcock obviously saw a huge opportunity in promoting Corey Goode and has done that for many, many years. They had a big show on Gaia TV, *Cosmic Disclosure*, and I don't know how many episodes they've gone through. It's, by far, the largest show that Gaia has.

There are many economic opportunities in it for him. I'm not saying that is his number one motivation; I don't know his motivation. Nevertheless, what I'm saying is that it appears that he just data mined the hell out of the project, the Avalon.

If someone is intent on defending him, they could quickly turn it around and say, "Maybe he had these vague memories and was drawn to this information and then remembered." You can come up with an explanation for anything, but I think for those people who are looking critically, it seems as if he acquired all the data he could and then went public and evolved his story.



He has claimed multiple times to have gone to Antarctica. At that point, I say, “No, you didn’t. You did not go to Antarctica. Buzz Aldrin may have gone to Antarctica; you did not go. You’re not that important.”

I don’t really know to what extent he is prominent in the UFO field right now. I’ve actually somewhat left that behind since last July, and I’ve been moving on to completing my false flags book.

Fitts: Here is what I would say: I think that Corey Goode has had a very dramatic impact because what I saw occur in the Secret Space Program conferences in 2014 and 2015 is that the intelligence that people were gaining was by having many different researchers put their pieces down and communicate with an open audience.

I’ll never forget being on a panel with Linda Moulton Howe. We were both having massive aha’s between the financial information and what she is dealing with. You have this acceleration of, what I would call, ‘actionable intelligence’ because it was coming down to thousands of people understanding, “We’re financing this. If we don’t do something about that, we’re financing machinery that is destroying us. How do we deal with that? How do we get power?”

We were having actionable intel discussions. What Corey Goode did is overwhelmed that, shut it all down, and took it back into the entertainment space. There was absolutely no actionable intelligence.

Dolan: Exactly. It was derailing the conversation. I’ve speculated in theory – and I did this openly on the Jimmy Church radio show about a year ago.



I didn't want to call anyone out by name at that time, but I said if I were running disinformation on UFOs, and it was part of my job to maintain obfuscation and secrecy about the program and the real things that were happening, the one thing that I would absolutely do is have my people within that field doing a COINTELPRO on it and stirring up dissension and stirring up disinformation. Of course, I would.

We know that the US and the UK intelligence post notes, and those are all the revelations in GCHQ. They would essentially create false flags and disinformation within groups that they wanted to disrupt. Cass Sunstein, one of Obama's top people, talked openly about infiltrating groups that were deemed hostile to the interest of the United States, and the job was to disrupt them and to derail them in various ways. You caused dissension and put out false information.

So if the UFO subject were considered to be important – which it indeed is very important – then logically you would have your people stirring up the pot. So I don't know if that is Corey Goode's role, but he is fulfilling that role, in my view, to a T.

Fitts: There was a process – both with Bill Ryan and with some of the other radio hosts covering this – that destroyed his credibility with the kill shot being what you said on the panel. I think that was far and away one of the most amazing presentations I've seen.

Dolan: So you saw it?

Fitts: Yes. I saw it, and I posted the video.



So if Corey Goode is being moved aside, what is next? After the 2015 Secret Space Program, one of the researchers who I know was approached by Tom DeLonge to be a coauthor of his book, and they turned it down for obvious reasons.

Two weeks ago somebody sent me a presentation by DeLonge with this large, long spiel. I was in Washington talking with a variety of people about the \$21 trillion missing. One of the things that I've made very clear is that, first of all, as a legal matter, you can get the money back because you can assert common law right of offset against all of the banks and contractors who affected the transactions. There are multiple ways of getting the money back in a practical sense.

However, let's assume that you said to me, "We can't do it. If we put the \$21 trillion on the table, the negotiation over the budget is a very different negotiation than if we owed \$21 trillion of debt, and you're profligate, and we need to cut you back", as opposed to, "We have \$21 trillion of debt, but we stole \$21 trillion." That is a very different policy discussion regarding political leverage.

The number one thing that I'm playing for is political leverage. The number two thing that I'm playing for is to get the money back and re-engineer. If you look at the re-engineering that is occurring versus what could happen if we were willing to deal with the money, what I care about is that when we get to the other side, it's a human civilization as opposed to a Ray Kurzweil nightmare. If you don't put that money on the table, you're going to come out with a Ray Kurzweil nightmare.



I want that money on the table – whether it’s pure political leverage or not. So I’m dealing with all of that, and it’s working and it’s spreading. People are finally starting to connect the dots and realize that \$21 trillion is about all issues – everything and everybody.

It’s starting to work, and, suddenly, Tom Delonge comes out with this new thing, and his big speech is, “The wonderful DOD. They have been dealing with this horrible nightmare. It’s the Richard Dolan line. Some of this is so dark and it’s really difficult to deal with, and they needed all this money for that. Don’t worry, you can trust them. If anything, we should thank them because you would not believe what they’ve achieved given with what they’re dealing. God Bless DOD.”

Dolan: That’s not the Richard Dolan line.

Fitts: You gave a brilliant analysis in the Secret Space Program 2014 about what some of the possibilities were, including it’s possible that they are dealing with something so dark that they are worried the general population can’t deal with it.

He took that and repackaged it.

Dolan: He repackaged it in such a way that the only conclusion is that they were clearly the good guys, and thank God that we have them. That was never a conclusion that I arrived at. It’s impossible just knowing the corruption that is involved and the theft and all of the things that you and I have only been talking about. They are utterly complicit, and they are clearly profiting immensely off this secret.



This is the disturbing thing about Tom Delonge. I met him at the conference in San Mateo. He actually came.

Fitts: I didn't realize that.

Dolan: Yes. I was interviewing someone on the History Channel, and Tom Delonge was waiting in the wings to talk with me. He was very polite and very courteous. I had no idea at the time who he was other than the fact that he was interested in UFOs.

I said, "Oh, my daughter really digs Blink 182."

After that, of course, he came out with his revelations in his book and the entire connection with John Podesta and the folks at McCasland, and Robert Weiss at Lockheed and one other Major General, Michael Carey at the US space command.

About a year ago he said that he was in communication with these people and getting them in touch with Podesta. All of this came out in WikiLeaks and made the news for a while. Actually, it was quite fascinating to me.

Despite his claim that they were acting on the side of the angels, I was very interested in what they had to say. What would McCasland say? According to Delonge, he had stated that "Yes, we studied their technology.



We studied ET tech and segued it into our technology,” sort of like a Philip Corso type of argument, but in the 21st century. I thought, “I would like to hear a follow-up.”

But all three of those people dropped off the shelf. I haven’t heard from them at all, particularly since the WikiLeaks revelations came out.

What Delonge did is have a Google Hangouts with them and John Podesta, and it did happen. We don’t have records of the Hangouts itself, but we do have email correspondence post-Google Hangouts, at least from Delonge’s point of view. We don’t have any of Podesta’s comments.

It’s very interesting. We know that Podesta is involved in talking to them, but his statements are notably absent from the record, as far as I know.

Fitts: I think it’s only a new, more sophisticated entertainment consciousness push designed to protect power and money at DOD and to make sure that there is no accountability on the \$21 trillion.

Dolan: I agree. What he is doing now is very puzzling to me. He came out with this new venture featuring some fascinating people, including one who I’ve known personally for a while, Hal Puthoff, and many other equally impressive individuals, but then creating a venture that has not made any sense in my opinion. I can’t understand how they are going to make money out of this. A scientific/entertainment company – what is their business model? How they can succeed is beyond me.



I'm not a successful businessman, so maybe I'm not in a position to analyze, but I cannot see a model here that is going to work. They are not going to outperform Skunk Works in digging into ET tech; that is for sure. They have no money to pay for labs. They have no money to pay for scientists and engineers to do the replications that they are talking about, like saving the world. And how does the entertainment aspect of this even fit in? It makes no sense, whatsoever.

About a month ago it came out with a significant buildup, and you have all these people in the UFO field saying, "This is a new disclosure." No, it's not disclosure. It's not even close or not even remote.

I think it would turn out to be a colossal waste of time and a huge distraction. At some point, I'm going to at least ask Puthoff what the deal is with this because he is someone I communicate with from time to time. The other people I don't know.

I don't understand what the deal is with this.

Fitts: I assumed that it was Corey Goode 2.0.

Dolan: In other words, it's an operation. Is that what you think?

Fitts: If you take the typical Solari Report subscriber, they're not going to fall for the Corey Goode thing. They might find it a bit entertaining, but they are too sophisticated. So this is being repackaged and taking it up to the next level. That is what I assume, but I only looked at it quickly.



I will say that what I have seen consistently – and I use those two examples to make the point – is the work of the best people in a variety of different areas, including the ones you study, repackaged continuously and pushed as a way of taking people off course.

I'll give you one other example. I was recently, a few months ago, invited to a cryptocurrency conference that was very productive and very interesting. The organizer was a cryptocurrency company run by a young man whose father has a space company and has long relationships with the intelligence community.

I've been doing due diligence on blockchain technology and cryptocurrency, but I felt that I hadn't finished. So I did an intense process for the two months coming into this to complete due diligence, working by skype with Court Skinner, who is one of the smartest persons I know. We especially unpacked it.

Three days before the conference, we finished. I said, "Court, I think there are ten important points about blockchain and bitcoin and cryptocurrency that absolutely needs to be made, and here is what they are," and I listed one through ten.

I walked into the opening of the conference, and the young man got up and gave a speech, and his first five points are from my list, including one that was literally two or three sentences word for word. I said to myself, "That is remarkable."

Have you heard the George W. Bush speech story?



Dolan: I'm not sure, which one?

Fitts: In 1998 I got an email from somebody at the CIA who I knew. He was in strategic planning at a high level. George W. Bush was Governor of Texas, and he was running for President, and he was going to be the 'Education President'.

My friend sent me his speech on education, which was utterly dreadful. My friend said, "I want to know what you think."

I said, "It's absolutely dreadful."

She was outraged. Apparently she must have written the speech. So she said, "Well, what would you say if you wanted to be the Education President?"

I wrote back, "I would say this, this, and this," and I wrote a couple of paragraphs. She hit my button.

A couple of weeks later, I pick up the *Wall Street Journal*, open it, and George W. Bush is giving a new speech, and they had the transcript of it. A whole paragraph came word for word from my email – an entire paragraph!

I turned to my lawyer and said, "Did they pay me for this?" Yet if I feel that way, you must feel that way in spades.



Dolan: I don't know if I feel that way more than you, but I definitely sense that way at times. Part of it is just the price that you pay for putting information out there. There isn't a great deal that I can do about it most of the time.

Nevertheless, the information is taken and twisted at times, and that is the problem.

Fitts: Obviously you have quite an audience, and it's growing. I think there are a couple of things about the truth that is important. You can't build a world – let alone a financial market – without trust, and you can't have trust without facts and truth. One of the reasons our productivity is dropping so much is because much of our time is wasted walking through a world where we are in the dark or are dealing with disinformation. Part of it is that truth produces actionable intelligence.

I think that what people need is to act to vote in their own way towards a human future and not support an inhuman future. Every time you support this disinformation, you're supporting an inhuman future.

How do we encourage people to give truth a chance?

Dolan: One thing that I believe is letting people know that there are others out there who are seeking the truth and are empowered by it. One thing about the truth is that it's exciting. It's actually exciting for people to uncover something that is new. What I have believed for a long time is that among the general population, there is somewhat of a faceless hunger that people do have for truth.



They know at a certain level – maybe at the level in their bones – they are being lied to. They know that there is a disconnect between when they turn on the news and what is actually happening. I think that many people are aware of this.

When they meet someone like yourself or someone else who is out there, and they hear a different narrative, they can get excited.

I talk with people in groups and one-on-one frequently, and I always try to find out where this other person is coming from and how far down the path I can lead them. That is certainly how I look at it now.

I will always start by helping people to question specific basic narratives and letting them know that I don't subscribe to those narratives. Most people think, if I'm a stranger to them and they meet me for the first time, "This guy seems to know about all of these different things. He doesn't believe what I hear on CNN. Why doesn't he? He seems to know more."

Sometimes I can trigger someone's curiosity that way. The problem is always the analogy with the movie *The Matrix*, which is very good in so many ways. One of the things that Morpheus said is, "We try not to liberate someone after a certain time because once you harden and grow into the system, it's hard for some people just to extricate themselves from that paradigm."



What often happens in this country is, most people have little or no genuine education. They just don't know their history. They don't know how to go through the process of critical thinking in a way that we would find satisfying.

The danger is that people learn that there are lies around them, and then they throw themselves into the fringe – into the alternative world – and get people like you and me, and get people like Tom DeLonge and Corey Goode and others, and it's all mixed in together like some crazy witch's brew.

What I find when I meet these people is that they want to know the truth, but they haven't gotten enough patience and critical thinking skills to navigate their way through a very treacherous alternative worldview. It's one thing to liberate yourself from the official lies, but it's another to go through this wilderness and find out where the actual truth is. That's very difficult.

I sometimes get discouraged. I look at myself, and I'm 55 years old now. I have had a much good fortune in the sense that I've been an independent scholar my entire life. I went through the university route, but then by my mid 30's I was out of that and I was simply continuing my learning and maniacal reading and so forth on my own, and I had the ability to do it.

It's very rare. There aren't many people who I know that have been able to do what I've been able to. I do it on a shoestring budget, but I've been able to do it.



I have a good education, and yet I still have a tough time piercing through the layer after layer after layer of “bs” that is out there. I peel through one layer, I get to what I think is a better representation of truth, and that holds for a few months, and then I realize, “No, there is something wrong with this,” and I have to keep peeling through it.

If it’s that difficult for me, who has an excellent education and the resources and the determination to learn, how is it going to be for the average person out there that has to work for a living and who is being bombarded by a 24/7 propaganda system that will never quit? They don’t have the education or the time or the emotional disposition to go through this. It takes emotional strength, in my opinion, to go through this and to be a warrior for truth. Where are most people going to be?

I think of my late grandmother – a woman I loved dearly. She was one of the most wonderful, beautiful people I’ve ever known. My grandmother was not a well-educated lady but she was sweet. When I was a younger man doing all of my studies in history and philosophy, I realized even then that I was not going to share these with grandma; this was not for her.

Of course, that is just how it is. I wouldn’t expect her or many other people to go down that road.

On one side of it, it’s unfair of me to expect every other person to go down the road that you and I are going down or that our listeners are going down. It’s not fair. Not everyone is cut out for it, and they shouldn’t be.



What I do is when I meet someone who has that light bulb over their head, who wants to know the truth; I will encourage them to throw themselves into it. I think that there are more of us out there than we may realize. I believe that everybody has a greater opportunity and a greater capacity for understanding the truth, but not everyone is the same. This is my conclusion.

I don't know how optimistic of a conclusion that actually is, but the good news is that there are enough people that have the energy, that have the education, and that have the intelligence and the courage to fight this fight. Whether or not we are going to win, I look back at the long history of our civilization, and what I see is a long history of injustice and a long history of deception and a long history of darkness typically winning out over light. However, the one good thing that I can always see is that the light is never extinguished and the efforts to shed light into the darkness and to shed truth into the falsehoods has never gone away.

For me, it's not about winning. It's about one thing: I have this life, I have this time to work with, and so am I going to use it in a way that I can be proud of when it's time for me to check out? At some point, we all have to say goodbye to this incarnation. When my time comes, I simply want to be as proud as I can be of what I've done.

It's not about succeeding for all of humanity because I just don't know if that is going to happen, but it's about comporting myself in the best way that I can and doing the very best that I can for the fight that I think is the good fight.



Fitts: One thing that I want to mention before we close is that I've been spending more time looking at what we can do to enforce the Constitution, and the reason is that there is a real push to do a Constitutional Convention at the state level. If it succeeds, I believe that will give them the capacity to tear up the Constitution. As much as the Constitution hasn't been enforced, I think that is horribly dangerous. It could be game over for the United States.

Dolan: I agree. I think that this is definitely a fear. It's a fear that I have as well, and I'm actually glad that you mentioned it. It makes sense that they would want to do this because what's happening on the global scale is we've been going through a revolution. It's a multi-faceted revolution obviously, but it's driven by the internet and computing, of course. It's driven by globalization, transnational power, and it's driven by the theft of trillions of dollars.

All of these things are happening. 9/11 was a manifestation of that revolution. It wasn't the only part of it, but 9/11 happened, to some extent, because the United States got to a point where the power structure decided that they had to make it very obvious to the public that this was no longer the Republican/Democratic institution that you grew up believing; this was now a national security apparatus, and they are out in the open about it. It had been evolving along those lines for a long, long time. So 9/11 was the coming out party like a debutante, but this was the coming out party of the national security state. Although 9/11 was by no means the final stage, yet this is an ongoing thing.



I agree with you that one of the things that will have to happen, according to their agenda, is that the formal Constitution will have to be discarded and redone. From their point of view, it has to be done to promote corporate interest.

The whole idea of neoliberalism versus liberalism is a simple point that I've often thought about. Classic liberalism is, I think, a great thing. It's the idea of dignity of the human individual and human freedom. Neoliberalism, or new liberalism, is about freedom for transnational corporate power and financial power. That is what neoliberalism is. It's a code word for globalization just as neoconservatism is not the same as classical conservatism.

Classical conservatism is things that were designed to conserve the republic; neoconservatism is about conserving the empire. So neoconservatism and neoliberalism are two sides of the same coin. What they will ultimately have to require are a neoconsitution and neoAmerica. We are moving in that direction. It's inevitable that they are going to try, and our job is to stop them.

Fitts: We describe two parts of the population – the ones that don't want to know and the ones that do want to know. However, when you look at the money, every one of those people – whether they want to know or don't want to know – are now out \$65,000 from the missing money. If you add up the full financial coup, I would say that it's in the hundreds of thousands of dollars.



The ones who don't want to know are getting it strained as much as possible. Why do I mention that? Because if I'm right about California, the next round of taking will not be removing \$21 trillion from the Federal budget; it will mainly be eradicating people and throwing them off the land and taking their land.

The housing bubble did that to some extent, but we're talking about an accelerating effort to compete for resources. As you know, I think that many of the things that have happened since David Rockefeller died in March relate to greater competition in the leadership over shrinking margins. Part of what we're watching is all kinds of insecurity and fighting among, what has been a very fat class on globalization.

Because of the economics, whether you want to know or you don't want to know, the advantage of knowing is, not only protecting your money, but also at this point, possibly protecting your property and your life to a greater extent than we've ever had to face in this country. We'll have to see how it goes.

Dolan: This is where your work is significant, by the way, for understanding or analyzing the financial backdrop to not simply the false flags, but the false flags plus all of this other deception. We are lucky to have you. On a personal note and a professional note, I'm very grateful for your research because it has added so much to my thinking on this whole subject. I don't know where we would all be without you. I'm just saying thank you; I appreciate your work.



Fitts: We will all be at the table putting down pieces of the jigsaw puzzle, trying to figure out what in the world is happening.

Dolan: There are so many days when I feel utterly unequal to the task. That is the God's honest truth, however, I do believe that each of us, and hopefully myself, can contribute a little something toward the greater picture.

The longer I stay on this side of the veil, the longer I'm around and researching, and the more I feel that we are dealing with a reality that is so vast and so complex that I wonder if I'll ever truly grasp it – and we haven't even gone into the true fringe and the true strangeness.

Fitts: If what happened to me happens to you in Australia at Uluru, suddenly you can see it. You can see the big picture of it, and it's not strange and it's not weird. It's been going on for centuries, and we are part of it; we are part of the stars.

Suddenly it's not hard to see, and it's not frightening, and it's not scary or weird; it's part of destiny, and we are part of destiny and it all makes sense.

I don't know how to describe it, but suddenly it seems very simple.

Dolan: On a personal level, I'll just say that I'm living a very happy life. I have a wonderful fiancé who is the best thing I ever could have imagined.



I have been discovering that all of the difficulties in life around us never go away, but if we are able to ground ourselves and center ourselves on the things that truly matter – and that is the personal relationships that are meaningful to us – then life can be a wonderful thing.

I think that what you are talking about in Uluru and this beautiful, natural, environment is a similar kind of a concept. It's this connecting with the things that truly matter and stripping away the 24/7 propaganda barrage that just seems to want to get into our head and mind controls us on a continual basis.

One last thing that I would like to say to the listeners that I've been doing now for the past six-plus months is 'airplane-moding' my life. This is something that Tracy and I came up with. We want our evenings to be ourselves, and we don't want everything invading. In my life, it can be extremely insane. Everyone wants to call and email and everything else.

On your phone, you can set it to 'airplane mode' where you're not taking calls, and you go offline. I do this every night, and it's been the best thing. It just provides a space for me to connect with those people who are really the ones that matter the most to me.

When I was a child growing up, we didn't have cell phones. You wouldn't call someone's home after 8:00 or 9:00 P.M. It just wasn't done and was considered very rude. Nowadays, everyone calls everyone all times of the night.



I've been working on keeping a space for my personal life, and thank God! I think that I would have lost my mind if I had not done that.

Fitts: I don't know, it's hard for me to fathom you losing your mind. It's tough for me to fathom that.

Dolan: Thank you. I think I'm a very stable person, but things can get stressful. I have often become stressed at times during the years, and I have to take my own measures just to keep things the way that I think is healthy and in balance. That's only been one thing that I've been doing.

We need to find ways of reconnecting with those elements that are of concern no matter what is happening in the world.

Fitts: I look forward to two weeks in May next year when we have many more aha's as we put the pieces of the jigsaw puzzle down and enjoy doing it.

Until then, I will keep you posted and will send you a copy of the 3rd Quarter Wrap Up. I have a new article coming out. I had a wonderful lunch with Bill Binney in Baltimore two weeks ago, who I think is one of the most inspiring human beings and one of the most fearless people. He is brilliant, but he is absolutely fearless as well, and the combination is very inspiring.



He is involved in four pieces of litigation on enforcing the Constitution vis-à-vis NSA, and I see that as part and parcel of enforcing the Constitution on the Appropriations Clause. The government has to create information sovereignty and financial sovereignty.

I'm having one of the law firms that I work with writing that up and writing up what is happening with the litigation, and I am going to send that to you. I do think that it is very relevant as a backdrop to the engineering of the false flags.

Dolan: I look forward to reading that for sure.

Every one of our conversations is a delight for me and a treat, and this one is no exception.

Fitts: Is there anything else you would like to add or say before we close?

Dolan: On a professional level, I am working on my false flags book. I want to get that done and out. I think that it will happen during the first half of 2018. As much work as the false flags series I wrote for Gaia was, the false flags book will have much more information.

I think that my goal is for that to be a genuine contribution to a subject that is timed well. I'm seeing that the public is raising its awareness of the phenomenon, but there is still a need for a good conceptual understanding of it, and I hope that my book will provide that.



Fitts: The other thing that I will say to anybody who is listening is that last week I did something that I often do, which is saying, “If I buy it for you and mail it to you, will you read it?” It was *UFOs for the 21st Century Mind*.

If you don’t have a good general background on the entire phenomena and what it means to different aspects of your life, you need to get that. You cannot understand current events without having that as background. Richard Dolan has written the definitive book, I think, on that topic.

During the last three years in ‘Best Books’ in our Annual Wrap Up, it is always listed because I feel – particularly if you are a financial person and you’re dealing in the financial markets – that if you don’t have that piece, the financial markets will make no sense, whatsoever. I would point out that JP Morgan recently published a study saying that it’s difficult to predict what is going to happen because the secret part of the market and the secret finances are driving so much.

I thought, “Finally JP Morgan Chase can’t explain reality without talking about the dark money.”

Dolan: In fact, when I wrote *21st Century Mind*, I intended to write the best single- volume overview of the UFO subject that I could imagine. That was my goal. I wanted to incorporate the history and the crazy science that doesn’t make sense but actually does; the understanding of what the contact scenario is all about, and then the cover-up, including the deep cover-up, referring to some of the analysis by yourself and friends like Joe Farrell and some others.



It was my goal to go as deep as I could, but in a nice presentation that an intelligent outsider could appreciate. That was always what I wanted to do.

Fitts: Particularly for members of the business community or entrepreneurs or anyone who is engaged in very practical professional endeavors; it is the perfect book. If you haven't read it, you need to read it. Many of these conversations will make much more sense if you have read it. I would encourage you to watch the *False Flags* TV series and get *UFOs for the 21st Century Mind*. As you know, I can't wait for the book and please let me know as soon as it's ready to review, and we'll do it.

Dolan: I definitely will.

Fitts: In the meantime, I'll see you in Uluru. You have a great day and thank you again for joining us on The Solari Report.

Dolan: Thank you and it was a pleasure.



MODIFICATION

Transcripts are not always verbatim. Modifications are sometimes made to improve clarity, usefulness and readability, while staying true to the original intent.

DISCLAIMER

Nothing on The Solari Report should be taken as individual investment advice. Anyone seeking investment advice for his or her personal financial situation is advised to seek out a qualified advisor or advisors and provide as much information as possible to the advisor in order that such advisor can take into account all relevant circumstances, objectives, and risks before rendering an opinion as to the appropriate investment strategy.