



The Solari Report

August 17, 2017

Sanctions: A Conversation with Cynthia McKinney



Catherine Austin Fitts



Cynthia McKinney



Sanctions: A Conversation with Cynthia McKinney

August 17, 2017

C. Austin Fitts: Ladies and gentlemen, it is a pleasure to welcome back Dr. Cynthia McKinney. I should also say she is Congresswoman McKinney, who comes to us from Bangladesh. I recently had the privilege of meeting with her there, and I had a smashing good time and a very intellectually rich time.

I was so concerned about what was happening in Congress and the passing of the Sanctions bill and the President saying that he would sign it, I asked her if she would return and update us about what has been happening.

I couldn't be more pleased! She has an incredible teaching schedule, so this is a very busy person. Dr. McKinney, we are very honored that you have graced our presence this evening.

Cynthia McKinney: I'm very honored to have been asked by the one and only Catherine Austin Fitts to address and chat with her and have that available to her devoted followers. So let's go to it!



C. Austin Fitts: It's remarkable; before we started to record I was updating you on our work about the missing money, and that is how you and I met!

Cynthia McKinney: That's right!

C. Austin Fitts: It was 2003, and I was on KPFA radio, trying to warn them about trillions of dollars missing. They thought I was full of nonsense. Then the radio host who had been interviewing me contacted you, and asked you about it. You said, "Oh, yes. It's absolutely true."

From then on, we were off to the races. We're still trying to get that missing money back, and more keeps missing.

Let's turn to the sanctions. Congress just passed a sanctions bill, and the President is saying that he is going to sign it but I haven't checked to see if he has signed it yet.

Tell us about that sanctions bill. What is it, and why is it so important?

Cynthia McKinney: This bill, and I'm trying to find it now, is extremely dangerous. I also believe it is a case study in how the war mongers, the Empire builders, the globalists, the Ziocons – whatever you want to call them –how they operate.

The legislation imposes sanctions against North Korea, Iran, and Russia and the legislation received a number. It was introduced on July 21st without a number.



That means that it was drafted by someone for someone, introduced, and was not given a number for four days until July 25th at 7:50am, which is when the legislation received a number.

Before 6:00 p.m. on the very same date, the 184-page bill had been passed by both the House, sent to the Senate, and the next day it was on its way to the President.

As I said, it was introduced on July 21st without a number. The Congressional record has been amended to reflect that it was introduced on July 24th. Well, it was actually introduced July 21st and it was on the majority leaders' calendar for consideration under suspension the week that it was introduced. This was unheard of! This is absolutely, totally unheard of.

On July 25th at 7:50 a.m. it was assigned a number, which was 3364. The same day, July 25th, even if I go with what was listed on www.Congress.gov for the bill, HR3364 was introduced on July 24th and became law and now it is law. It was subject to two roll call votes, but the bill went through the House at 5:14pm. It was voted on July 25th. That was the first day that it received a number. On July 27th it passed the House and it passed the Senate without amendment, which meant that it immediately went to the President's desk. The President has signed it now, and it is law.

This is a very dangerous bill. First of all, let's talk about the irregular order. You will hear members of Congress say, "Regular order! Regular order!" They will be shouting this.



What that means is that something irregular has happened. The trajectory of this particular legislation is most irregular – that you would have a bill introduced on the 24th according to www.Congress.gov, and it is law on the 27th.

This is amazing! This is absolutely and totally amazing!

C. Austin Fitts: It's also amazing that the vote in the house was, I think, 419 to three. There were only three representatives who voted against it.

Cynthia McKinney: That is correct, three Republicans. In the Senate, two Senators – one Democrat and one Republican – voted against it. The Democrat is Bernie Sanders, and the Republican is Rand Paul.

All in all, you can say that 'we the people' have five members of Congress. The rest of them are shouting the 'Russia interfered in our elections' meme ad nauseam.

C. Austin Fitts: Right, but regardless of who or what interfered with our elections, this sanctions bill is pushing us towards a very serious possibility of war.

Cynthia McKinney: Absolutely, and before the President signed it, the Russians said that there was hope beyond hope that the President wouldn't sign it. Unfortunately, though, the President did sign it, and it passed both the House and the Senate with veto-proof margins.



So the President vetoing it would have been only an act of defiance saying, in essence, “I am not going to allow a war bill to proceed under my name or under my watch,” type of thing.

Unfortunately, the sanctions bill now has passed the House and the Senate, it has been signed by the President, it is the law of the land, and now you have the Russians who are responding.

And what have the Russians said so far? The Russians have said that that bill is a declaration of war. Imagine that! It’s a declaration of a trade war, which is the qualifier that the Russian government has added. The bottom line is that it’s still the same, but it’s a very dangerous legislation. It’s 184 pages that, quite frankly, probably the members of Congress themselves have not read. How are you going to read 184 pages when you’re doing all the rest of the work that you have to do and it was considered under suspension?

When you put legislation under the suspension calendar that means that it’s not controversial. If you look at the margin of the vote, of course it wasn’t controversial. If you look at the margin of the vote without looking at the content of the bill, any bill that is going to raise the hackles on Russia to the point that they say unconditionally that the United States Congress has just passed a declaration of a trade war against Russia, I don’t know what more we can do. It’s very serious.

C. Austin Fitts: There are many related subjects that I want to get into on this.



I don't know if you ever saw purported whistleblower, FBI Anon, who was an alleged whistleblower. No one knows if he is authentic or disinformation, but he provided some information about the 'pay to play' network around the Clinton Foundation and was basically describing a network that involved a tremendous number of people throughout Washington. He implied that it could bring down the government if the truth came out.

Essentially they were selling massive favors globally to many foreign governments, and we're talking about enormous foreign government interference in both the US governance and elections. Somebody asked him during the discussion, "Why does Hillary Clinton want to go to war with Russia?"

He said, "That's what the donations were buying." In other words, you have global interests who are basically buying a war.

If you examine what happened with Libya, that is exactly what occurred. Basically Clinton engineered the approval with Obama of the destruction of Libya and syndicated out who got the gold and who received the oil. It certainly appeared like it was part of the 'pay to play' network where everybody was making money carving up Libya and giving donations to the Clinton Foundation. God knows what else was happening behind the scenes.

You look at the voting in Congress, and see that this is fundamentally going to destroy America. Essentially, is the money pouring into Congress –



to both the representatives and the Senators? Are they being bought by global interests to start a war?

Cynthia McKinney: You know, having been there, what I really believe is happening is that it's a nice life being a member of Congress. You say, "Okay, there are some bills that are money bills. There are some committees that are money committees." The really ambitious members of Congress, who are more ambitious than they are moral, seek those money committees, and they are always on the money side of the money bills.

Maybe I'm giving too much inside game, but I have seen members of Congress actually laugh at how stupid the people are who allow them to get away with voting for money bills. Then they return home, and have this elaborate justification that has been provided with talking points by lobbyists, etc.

It's like a cynical game, "Let me just see how much I can get away with."

That's for the more ambitious ones. Generally those are the ones who have come in knowing how the game is played or they've been there long enough to understand that some things you mess with and some things you don't mess with. Most things you don't mess with. You certainly don't mess with any money bills, and that is the way that they stay in office.



The perks of staying in office are great because people look up to you, you get to go anywhere in the world that you want to, and you are received by everyone. It's not really a matter of providing representation to the citizens who elected you; it's that the citizens now have a representative who is not very good at representing them. That is always forgiven by the citizens.

So if you've been there for ten years, and are going to be there for 15 years or 20 years – as long as you choose to be there – until you get on the wrong side of the money people. As I think I may have mentioned before, you have one set – the Ziocons – that have this figured out. They realize that you have one set of people who play on the House side, and you have an entirely different set of money people and players who play on the Senate side.

How do I know that? Because I was talking to one House member who said that she was going to run for Senate. She eventually ran for the Senate, and was successful and won. She came back and told me that there was an entire different set of players on the Senate side.

I would presume that you get to interact with the millionaires on the House side, and you get to interact with the billionaires on the Senate side. That is probably the way that it is divided.

In the end, though, everybody gets a Senator or everybody gets a Congress member except the voters. It's the ultimate 'pay to play' scam from top to bottom.



C. Austin Fitts: I read an article about 30 days after Scalise was shot – which I believe was a professional hit. I do not think that it was just a wacko anymore than the man on the moon. Apparently 30% of House Republicans had received death threats within 30 days after the Scalise’s shooting.

I would say that it’s not only trying to please the money interests; I think that there is also some real fear if you don’t play ball and things can get bad for you.

Cynthia McKinney: I think that has always been there. It wasn’t always, “We’re going to shoot you,” but, “We can tell your wife what you did on that trip to Vegas.”

Maybe that’s what Anthrax was about as well. “You stay quiet. We know that there are lots of questions on September 11th, but you just stay quiet.”

C. Austin Fitts: The reality is that the people who are controlling from behind the scenes are prepared to play very rough.

Cynthia McKinney: Yes, they are.

C. Austin Fitts: The question is: Who are they?

I would like to point out one thing. I don’t mean to be conspiratorial, but when I was on Wall Street, the most important meeting every year was the Bohemian Grove. This bill went into effect after the Grove came together for their first week.



In my experience, it all went around appropriations and money, but Congress worked out the appropriations bills, and they went on recess sometime in June. Then the Grove met in July and would make the decisions, and they would tell everybody what to do. Then when everybody returned after Labor Day, it would all get implemented. So all the big policy issues and money issues would emerge up, and then the decisions would be made, and then – boom! – it would roll out.

I find the timing of when this bill came out versus when the Grove met to be very interesting.

Cynthia McKinney: There is no doubt at all that this bill had an irregular trajectory. Basically you're talking about one legislation that has resulted in Russia kicking out over 700 US embassy employees who are in Moscow.

C. Austin Fitts: Right, and the Russians have been very patient because we kicked Russian diplomats out in November or December, and they've been very patient waiting to do the same. They are only now matching what we did sometime ago.

Cynthia McKinney: I have adopted the Paul Craig Roberts belief that the Russians have been too accommodating to lunatics, crazies, and unscrupulous people, and in the end, people who want to go to war with Russia.

In international relations theory, the theorists are divided into two camps: the idealists versus the realists.



The realists are the ones who are practitioners of realpolitik and who focus on war, the reasons for war, and they believe that, despite the anarchy of the international system with the unpredictability of states, the states act as rational actors in the international system.

So now what happens when you have a group of irrational people or people who are willing to do anything, including destroying the host that serves them so they can move onto another host after they've prepared it?

I believe that the Russians have thought that the United States is a rational actor because those who are pulling the policy strings are also rational actors. What I maintain – and have maintained for a long time – is that these people are not rational actors and that Russia should be about the business of presuming and acting in the belief that formulating their policy and the belief that these people are willing, not only to play the game of chicken, but they are ready to go all the way not only to the brink but over the brink and over the cliff.

C. Austin Fitts: I've worked with and dealt with some of the neocons, and you've worked and dealt with them as well – certainly for longer than I did. I also believe that they are crazy. The question is: Why?

Leonard Cohen has a great line in which he says, "They were blinded by the beauty of their weapons."



When you look at the trajectory of my guess as to what they have in terms of space weaponry and in terms of weather manipulation and in terms of being able to induce earthquakes or all sorts of other invisible surveillance and intelligence, we have a world of secret black budget technology. Ever since these guys got a hold of it, they've gotten drunk with power. It has always turned them crazy.

My theory is that they were blinded by the beauty of their weapons, but they could just be crazy.

Cynthia McKinney: It's an interesting thought that we need to contemplate, and we really do need to study it. I believe it is a case study. George Herbert Walker Bush called these people, "the crazy relatives that you keep locked down in the basement." The problem now is that, for whatever reason and however they got there, they were smart enough to steal the key to the basement door and to subdue everybody in the house, go upstairs, and completely take over and hold everybody hostage.

I have actually thought about this, and I have slightly written about this with the Samson Option where I've discussed the Samson Option of: These people have written and they have said, "You dare to take us down, and we're going to take the whole world down."

I don't believe that is only idle talk; I think that they are willing to go over the cliff, and that is a calculation that the Russians and everybody else has to make. That is the calculation that 'We the people of the United States' have to make.



I lament because I've been warning about this for 20 years. I look back, and it's been 20 years. So 20 years later, when we wake up and the crazy relatives down in the basement are holding us hostage and they have tape on our lips and they have us strapped to chairs and nobody can move, how do we get ourselves out of this situation?

C. Austin Fitts: Here is the thing: What you're describing, I would be happy if we were at least there. I just got off the phone with a wonderful relative who was telling me how he is obsessed with how horrible Trump is. I said, "Wait a minute. We had the Clintons and George W. Bush and then Obama, and they essentially stole \$50 trillion, and were dropping SWAT teams into poor neighborhoods. The government was bringing in the drugs, dropping in SWAT teams, rounding up innocent kids, and putting them in slave labor camps. That has been going on through Clinton, through Bush, through Obama, and that didn't bother you. But Trump bothers you?"

I think you have a country that is in a trance. I wish that they were looking at the crazy guys who have taken over and realized that this is bad and we have to do something, but they still can't see what the real problem is – many of them.

Cynthia McKinney: Your analysis is so spot-on. The only explanation that I could give is that you have the people of the US in the same kind of trance that neutralizes the efficacy of the effectiveness of black people in the US who are suffering. There is a theorist, now dead, and her name was Frances Cress Welsing. She was a medical doctor, I think, and you have Joy Degruy who is a PhD.



The two of them have come up with some very interesting explanations for why black people, which I am, inexplicably allow us to be so totally, thoroughly dominated and oppressed and depressed. Joy's theory is the post-slavery traumatic syndrome.

I don't know. I can't say why white America has allowed itself to be put into this trance as well, instead of fighting. Maybe it's the ultimate betrayal. Maybe the ultimate betrayal is happening and people can't recognize their gullibility in the betrayal. I have no explanation for why we are now where we are.

C. Austin Fitts: Because I dealt with the physical harassment, I studied how the powers that be, somewhat buy and manipulate everybody. I have to tell you that biggest job program in the country is managing and manipulating the population of playing 'divide and conquer'. It is a very major investment in money, and, of course, they have relational database, technology, and artificial intelligence with the surveillance.

Remember, we are all paying them; we are all funding them to run the surveillance intelligence that is required to manipulate us. So if you can get 325 million Americans to pay you weekly in their payroll check to fund your surveillance and psy-op operation on them, then basically you have an instant amount of money with which to manage them.

Cynthia McKinney: That's right. I'm going back to the lecture I gave today. I talk about Paulo Freire.



Paulo Freire gives us the mechanisms of oppression, which is ‘divide and rule’, and then he also gives us the tools of liberation, which are ways in which we can counter those mechanisms of oppression and liberate ourselves.

The power of unity for liberation, organization, cultural synthesis, and cooperation – those are his four tools for liberation. They are practicing the art of manipulation, mental conquests, ‘divide and rule’, and cultural hegemony so that we operate within a culture of oppression such that we don’t even recognize the hand that oppresses us.

If Freire’s ideas are correct, then we have no choice but to organize, to cooperate, and to unite for the purposes of liberation. Then we understand the way cultural hegemony works. Then there is cultural synthesis so that we can take that hegemonic culture and throw it off and create something new.

Does that mean that all of us have to do that? No. It doesn’t take all of us to be complicit for them to win. What we need is only that critical mass. I don’t know what that critical mass is in this particular case. Generally, it’s 20-25% of the population. Maybe it’s 20-25% of the attentive population. The attentive population is no more than 5%.

C. Austin Fitts: Let me give you a new statistic: In March, Congressional approval in the United States was 35%. Do you know what it is this week?

Cynthia McKinney: No.



C. Austin Fitts: Ten percent.

Cynthia McKinney: Yes, but everybody still loves their member of Congress.

C. Austin Fitts: Right.

Cynthia McKinney: What we have to be able to do – which is what UNRIG is actually doing –we had our first mention on C-SPAN this week, and we had our first member of Congress home for the August recess confronted with the Integrity Pledge. So it was wonderful. It shows that that attentive public, if they respond, can raise the consciousness of everyone else.

C. Austin Fitts: Tell us about the ‘Integrity Pledge’.

Cynthia McKinney: The Integrity Pledge is about election reform and a piece of legislation that has not yet been introduced, but in the mind of the #UNRIG co-founder, I would say that it is similar to the legislation that I introduced very long ago, which was the Voter’s Choice Act. People can go to www.Congress.gov and put my name in, and you can find that bill. It would be something very similar to that.

We all have our idea of what needs to be done, so the position that I put forward in #UNRIG is that we need to come to the table first with no pre-imposed position. For Robert David Steele, election reform is root – as he says it. But maybe there are other issues that are also root that need to be considered, and maybe the collective wisdom of the people after we come together is that there are other issues that are root that need to take priority.



The Integrity Pledge is that whatever this collective wisdom produces, that you're going to be on board with that as opposed to the 'Israel First' pledge.

What we're putting on the table is primarily, "Are you going to do the bidding of the collective wisdom of the people of the US who have come together in unity for liberation, cooperation, to avoid the manipulation of the 'divide and rule' tactics that have been successful throughout time? Are we ready to come together on that and then provide this collective guidance to those who belong to the millionaire class?"

C. Austin Fitts: But how can a Congressman commit to do policies when they don't know what will be proposed?

Cynthia McKinney: That is the work of the people to propose the policies from #UNRIG. They should actually come up with a list of policy proposals as a result of our being on the road and hearing from the people. The goal is to have a diverse set of people.

Then that collective wisdom is put forward as a set of policy proposals. That then becomes the integrity pledge or whatever we want to call it, and because we're doing it now – or trying to do it now – we can actually have this ready for the 2018 election. That is the beauty of doing it now and we can be ready.



Then, just as the Ziocons have the pledge or the paragraph that you have to write if you are a candidate, then we can also present our position from the collective wisdom of the people to the candidate and see if they sign it or not.

C. Austin Fitts: You're not going to ask them to sign it until you have the specifics?

Cynthia McKinney: Right, they can't sign something until then.

C. Austin Fitts: So Mueller has paneled a new grand jury. There was a grand jury for Michael Flynn in Alexandria, but now they have empaneled a new one in Washington.

From everything I've seen, it seems that Mueller is now expanding the scope of the investigation, not just into Russian interference, but to take into account Trump's financials and business. My guess is that he is going to take this into account from the beginning and he has recruited quite a team.

I have to say that I agree with the President; I think that Mueller and Sessions should both be fired. I could appreciate why he doesn't think he can pull that off, but if you look at what the American people are paying for, they are paying for the country to run the government system, not to play politics at great expense to everyone and start a war.

Whoever wants that war, they're not doing it because it's going to make America great again.



Let me ask you this question: Who is going to benefit from the war? Who is going to make money? Who is going to be better off if we try to have a war with Russia?

Cynthia McKinney: If the United States engages in a war with Russia, the possibility exists that Iran, China, Russia, and the United States will all be destroyed. I could see the Libya ‘Libyanization’ of them all. Who benefits from that? Certainly the Ziocons benefit.

C. Austin Fitts: And they benefit because they essentially become the ruling power globally.

Cynthia McKinney: Yes, and in that case, we are all Palestinians.

Who else benefits; maybe it’s those who help them.

If you roll over, you might get overlooked, but generally what has been my experience and what I have said to other members of Congress who have not shown courage when it was needed is that you may think that you can live for another day, but eventually they are going to come for you, too. That has happened so many times.

Maybe it’s these extra days of life, relatively speaking, that are so valuable to them that they would go along with the plan. If that is the case, their day is going to come, too.

I look at Saudi Arabia and The United Emirates, who are going along with the destruction of West Asia now, and I hope that they understand that their days are numbered as well, because these different plans that have been written –



the non-plan, a Clean Break plan – by the authors of the Project for the New American Century (PNAC) plan. They all envision the destruction of Saudi Arabia as well.

So, you cooperate today, and you live today, but you're going to die tomorrow with these people.

You told me the story of the scorpion and the frog, and the frog is nice. Then the scorpion says, "Well, you knew that I was a scorpion."

There are people who are going along with it, and you would think that they would be on the side of protecting the United States because the United States has been very good to them. But it's that 'go along to get along' class.

This is what I was told by a Rabbi, "I can make things all right for you, but you have to agree to come under my stable." That was the exact word that was used.

If the entire world is under somebody's stable, then there is no such thing as liberty; there is no such thing as dignity; there is no such thing as identity.

The question that you asked is: Do we want a human society? This is a very important question. Our very humanity now is at stake. You're right with the technology that they have. But we're already obsolete.



C. Austin Fitts: My experience is that the leadership believes in slavery. The reason I think that they cancelled the slave trade was because they didn't see a way to keep it under control. They thought that they were better off cancelling it, but now that they have the technology to reintroduce it, I think that, whether it's mind control or whether it's chipping or whether it's using chips in your arm to interact with the financial system, they think that they have this.

If you look at what they are prototyping, they are prototyping how human they can make a robot and how robotic they can make a human. I think part of that is deciding which they prefer. I think they are just prototyping, and they will decide as they go.

Cynthia McKinney: Yes, I agree with you.

C. Austin Fitts: It's extremely important that 5-10% of the people who need to act – because we don't need everybody – need to understand that we are dealing with people who see themselves as a different species. Their intention towards us is not human. They understand one thing, and that is power.

Cynthia McKinney: They understand one thing, right.

There is a Muslim imam who believes that the foundation for humanity is going to come from the Christian Orthodox Church and this is very interesting. Despite the fact that I grew up as a Catholic, went to Catholic elementary school, Catholic high school, and almost to a Catholic university (Notre Dame), but his thinking forced me to refine my own thinking on the entire slavery issue and the genocide issue.



The Vatican has been involved in some of these activities.

C. Austin Fitts: I believe the Vatican is the number one stinker in the party.

Cynthia McKinney: But because I don't know the history as well, I don't know that the Christian Orthodox Church has been involved in such heinous practices.

C. Austin Fitts: The Christian Orthodox split, and that represents part of the tension with Russia; they split from the papacy.

Cynthia McKinney: That's right. So there might be an answer to our 5% to 10% by only recognizing who our allies are. Maybe we have allies we don't even know about. Maybe the allies that we have are because they understood that there was some other thing that was inhuman that was operating a long time ago. I don't know.

C. Austin Fitts: I think that the people who are opposed to inhuman haven't found each other yet, and that is where the 'divide and conquer' has been so successful.

It's very odd, because recently at various times there have been three people from Congress who I've been very supportive of. Each time that I've been supportive of them, I get these crazy calls. I think I sent one of them to you.



Somebody said, “Cynthia McKinney is hardly what I would call a Constitutionalist.”

I started to recite all the things that you had done, which are some of the most fearless things that anyone in Congress has ever done to defend the Constitution, and yet they have a picture in their mind.

I’ll give you the example because I’m still trying to calm down from it. I was on the phone with a wonderful relative, and he was blathering about how horrible Trump is and how great Obama was.

Cynthia McKinney: Oh gosh!

C. Austin Fitts: I kept saying that Obama gave \$27 trillion – which is our entire retirement savings – to the banks. “Tell me how that is helping the little people. Tell me how that is so great.”

Cynthia McKinney: Here is the importance again of #UNRIG. Imagine if we could convene, where our initial goal was to convene 435 meet-ups of totally vastly different types of people, but put them all in the same chat room if we couldn’t put them in the same physical room and let’s hash it out. Let’s go at it and end it with moderation so that each of these chats are moderated in a way that is fair for everybody to have their voice heard, and then propose a consensus statement.

Imagine if we can do that. Then all of a sudden we can find those allies that we never thought were our allies because of the efficacy of the ‘divide and rule’ tactic.



So putting me in a room with that gentleman, or putting me in a chat room with that gentleman, I'm not afraid to go there and I'm willing to go there. Is that person willing to meet me halfway? If so, then that is the only way that we can defeat the 'divide and rule'.

C. Austin Fitts: Right, you know me. I have to make my plug for mathematics. I think that this all keeps returning to the budget, and I think that you have to look at how the money works. The reason everybody thinks that there is no money is because, first of all, you're stealing trillions left and right. But the other thing is that when you run the money dirty as opposed to clean, and when you run it unproductive as opposed to productive, then you make life difficult for all the other money.

If I'm operating three gas stations and two restaurants to launder money, I'm putting the honest business people out of business because they can't compete with somebody who doesn't have to turn a profit. So the dirtier the money gets, the worse it makes it for everyone else.

Whether the productivity is poor or negative, and whether the crime is off the charts, all these things have to be dealt with. It comes back down to the budget. Whether through the government money or through the private money, we are financing our enemies. You're going to have to shift the money.

Cynthia McKinney: I'm thinking out loud and this is totally off the top of my head. If we look at the Million Man March, what #UNRIG has the possibility of becoming is a local organizing committee for just what you are talking about –



shifting the money. Now we have a nucleus of people, and the reason they came is because they care. They came and they acted, so these are people who are willing to act. They came and they thought and they reflected, so we have their best wisdom at our disposal as to how we can relocate that economic activity that provides growth for our community.

C. Austin Fitts: Let's talk about practical things. What actually needs to happen is you need to be in a position to lead conversations – whether you are on Skype or whether you are on Facetime or whether you are here in person. You need to be able to lead very many conversations between now and the election.

Cynthia McKinney: That's right.

C. Austin Fitts: So what do you need to be able to make it energizing for you to do that?

Cynthia McKinney: Well I have begun the process of building my website so that we can have an independent means of communication. We were denied access to the millions of people who are already in place on MeetUp.com or MeetUp.org. We were denied that because the legal department at MeetUp said, "We applied your activity to bring people together. That is what MeetUp is for, but we don't like the fact that you are including the alt-right."

Alt-right doesn't even have a definition. It's not clearly defined; it's a self-defined political positioning, but MeetUp denied us access to their community.



C. Austin Fitts: Because they don't believe in democracy.

Cynthia McKinney: Clearly they don't believe in democracy. But it also exposes that MeetUp is a tool that has been used by certain people.

I went on the MeetUp website, and I noticed that the Anti-Defamation League sponsored 1,087 MeetUps, and the Anti-Defamation League is an organization that spied on people, illegally called people anti-Semitic, and had to pay a couple in Colorado \$8 million because they called them anti-Semitic, which they weren't. So the Anti-Defamation League is fine and welcome. Honest and engaged citizens of the United States from the entire political spectrum are not welcome. That exposes who MeetUp, in truth, is.

C. Austin Fitts: I have to let you know that years ago when they first started, I was removed off MeetUp in the first week.

Cynthia McKinney: What?

C. Austin Fitts: Yes, I wanted to do circles.

Cynthia McKinney: So this is something new.

C. Austin Fitts: I wanted to let people do financial action clubs to help them understand how the money worked in their neighborhood. It was little study groups, and we were removed the first week.



Cynthia McKinney: There might be some synergy here. Actually, there is; I'm walking in your footsteps and you know that.

C. Austin Fitts: We've all been trying to figure out the same thing our entire lives. We're trying to be free and help other people be free, and we've been doing it for decades. It didn't start with us. Your ancestors and my ancestors have been trying to do it for a long time; everybody wants to be free.

Cynthia McKinney: Everybody wants to be free, that's right.

Now you have given me renewed vigor in establishing my independent path, not reliant on Facebook, not reliant on any of these mediating technological; very popular tools that are not under my control.

C. Austin Fitts: I refer back to the story of Gideon. You don't need thousands; you only need 300, but they have to be faithful and competent. Facebook and Google and MeetUp are not faithful or competent.

Cynthia McKinney: That's right, we've learned the hard way.

So what I'm doing is building the capacity on my website. I need to be able to mainly live. I have the student loan dragon breathing fire down my neck because of my PhD.

It's really a shame the position that the United States government now puts young people and people who want to advance in their education in.



It's totally unnecessary, but in order to get the PhD from a university in the United States, they're asking me to pay more than my house note.

C. Austin Fitts: What you need is a flow of supporters. You need regular support and we can donate at your website, right?

Cynthia McKinney: You can donate at my website, and I'm building in the capacity for subscriptions.

You're right. Probably if I had 300 people who were regular subscribers, I would be able to devote my time to thinking this through, and then actually being a worthwhile partner to you so that the two of us – with me working the politics and you working the money – could work to free our people.

C. Austin Fitts: Here is my dream: My dream is that you get the base of supporters who are essentially giving you monthly support; it's a diverse group and you're not dependent on anybody; it's coming into your website; you control it and that gives you the ability between now and the end of next year.

Let's say that for two years, at a minimum, to lead a real conversation of nuts and bolts: What are we going to do? How are we going to get the train back on the track? It needs to be a conversation.

Have you ever heard the description the 'third rail'? Do you know what the 'third rail' is?

Cynthia McKinney: The third rail is the electrified rail that you don't touch.



C. Austin Fitts: Right. In a transit system, the train runs on the two tracks, and there is the little piece that goes over to the third rail, and that is the power line. MeetUp is the organization to facilitate conversations that don't touch the third rail. You and I want to have conversations that touch the third rail.

Here is what I believe: I am not a politician. It takes a certain kind of gift to be a really great politician, and great politicians know how to lead complex conversations. I think that you are a great politician and I think that you know how to lead this conversation and that you are really good at it.

I went around Dhaka in Bangladesh for a week with you, and I couldn't keep up.

I want to do whatever I can to help you lead that conversation, and I think that there are many people who want to do that. It's a matter of it coming together, and I love the idea of it coming together through your website, www.AllThingsCynthiaMcKinney.com.

Everybody listening to this please go there and donate. Can we sign up for an email list so that you have our names on our list?

Cynthia McKinney: Yes.

C. Austin Fitts: As soon as you have the subscription set up, you let me know, and let everybody on the email list know, and let's start to build you out. What do we call ourselves? The Cynthia Supporters? I don't know but we'll come up with a name.



Cynthia McKinney: Yes, we will come up with a name that's right.

C. Austin Fitts: We want to be that. This is a conversation, and we need to get into the real nuts and bolts of a conversation. Our 3rd Quarter Wrap Up is called *Local Power: How We Lost It, How We Get it Back*.

Cynthia McKinney: I'm thinking – and this is off the top of my head – maybe what we really need with the drums of war beating so loudly is a circle of peace. Maybe that is what we call ourselves, “A Circle of Peace”. We're going to go everywhere to deliver the message that war is not the answer and inhumane treatment of each other is never the answer.

I believe that we can reach that spiritual level where we understand that it's the future of humanity that is at stake. If we want to live – and that is with every cent of the LIVE – then we have to change everything down to our culture.

C. Austin Fitts: One of the people I most respect in terms of real solutions is a person named Robert Axelrod. He's a professor in Michigan and I talked with you about him. He has a book called *The Evolution of Cooperation*. One thing that he says, in a very academic way, is the same thing that you taught me, and that is that you have to call out names. What he is saying is that if somebody can go around the world and destroy Libya and then come back and be socially acceptable, there are no solutions.



You have to hold people accountable, and the way that you hold them accountable is to shun them. I revert back to the money. If we are going to keep financing our enemies, and we are going to keep financing the people who are trying to kill us and pay for the weapons that they are using to kill us, then there are no solutions. We have to shift the money out of our enemies and into the pockets of people, institutions, and governments who can use the money well and according to the law.

Cynthia McKinney: Yes. It's not rocket science, but it takes a Catherine Austin Fitts to put it together. Those who have the least good intentions in the political sphere are the ones who have the most resources. They have the most money.

People like myself, who have the best intentions and want to do what is right for everybody, just can't put it together. You're teaching me how to build the community and a circle of peace and a circle of supporters who want the same thing as me and who are able to help me work at this full-time so that we can come closer to achieving our common goal.

Thank you so much, Catherine.

C. Austin Fitts: I have to say that whatever the ideology, if you only run the money according to the law, most of the ideological problems go away. It's quite amazing.

Cynthia McKinney: Yes.



C. Austin Fitts: I'm sitting here looking at one of the British cover items on my desktop that says, "Keep calm and do the math."

Cynthia McKinney: That's right.

C. Austin Fitts: So the URL is www.AllThingsCynthiaMcKinney.com.

Cynthia McKinney: And there is a 'Donate' button on every page.

C. Austin Fitts: How do we sign up for the email updates?

Cynthia McKinney: There is a place on every page to sign up as well. I think it says, "Sign up for the newsletter."

C. Austin Fitts: Congresspeople are going back to their districts now and are meeting with their constituents. How do we stop war? What can we say to them?

Cynthia McKinney: I think that we need to say to them that the votes that they cast for 3364 is a vote of shame for them, and that they must now do everything in their power to reverse the steps towards war that that legislation – that is now law – takes. I would put it to them just like that. "You have to walk it back."

C. Austin Fitts: Should we ask them why, when they signed the APAC pledge, it isn't a conflict of interest?



Cynthia McKinney: This is very interesting. Pete DeFazio is someone I know very well. He was the one who was confronted with the APAC pledge. Of course, he said, “I don’t know what that is.”

Now what we have to do is be more specific than that. The reason we need to be more specific is because now what has happened, since I have changed the dynamic of the pledge, is the UK still has a pledge. I have brought attention to the UK pledge because that was very similar to the US pledge – the APAC pledge.

Now what they do is they demand a candidate to write a paragraph, and then that paragraph will contain certain information. So it’s not a ‘pledge’ but it’s a statement.

Now, what I did was walked through the candidates for the most expensive House seat in the country, and that was the race in the 6th District of Georgia to replace Tom Price who was tapped by President Trump to become the Secretary of Health.

I looked at the \$25 million Jon Ossoff candidacy and the \$25 million Karen Handel candidacy. This is \$50 million spent for a House seat. That should give you some indication of the power that the Ziocons place in maintaining their grip and their control over the US Congress – which they have. It’s their playing field now.

C. Austin Fitts: It’s interesting. If you look at who is backing the balanced budget amendment, it appears that the WASPs have decided to throw handcuffs on the Congress so that the Ziocon control can’t hurt them.



Cynthia McKinney: I hadn't thought about that. You are so good at throwing out these little pearls that I have to contemplate and reflect on.

C. Austin Fitts: I'm just looking at who's who, and one group looks like the Pilgrims, and the other group looks like the Ziocons. It looks like the Pilgrims are trying to checkmate the Ziocons out of, "You have control of Congress, but it won't mean anything by the time that we are through with you."

Cynthia McKinney: Well, you are absolutely right in that one of the objectives that I heard floating around during my great ordeal in the 2006 campaign was that ultimately Congress would be irrelevant anyway.

I could see where that could be the goal. So what does that mean for some people? Does that mean an imperial presidency? What exactly does that mean?

Anyway, I need to go back and think about that, and then reanalyze it in light of our Pilgrim/Ziocon paradigm, which I think is exactly the way that things are divided now.

Along those same lines, you have a Ziocon candidate, Jon Ossoff, who studied under Madeline Albright and who touts on his website that he enjoys a close relationship with Michael Oren, the former Israeli Ambassador to the US. Not only that, but he has visited Israel several times, and he expounds on the whole thing.

On his website he obviously set out who he is – hid identity and it is very clearly laid out.



Karen Handel, on the other hand, is a Republican candidate. She is a woman who plays up her Republican roots as well as her gender, but not that so much. The Georgia Congressional Delegation is all male, but now, because Karen Handel is the one who pulled that election off, there is one woman there.

Does the presence of that one woman actually make a difference in terms of war? No it doesn't. Unquestionably Karen Handel voted for 3364 and she voted for the sanctions bill. Why would she do that? She recently was elected there. She literally just arrived, and she is voting for war already because 3364 was one of those bills that you could say, "The train left the track, and the engine is smoking," and any political person who couldn't see that is blind. So you get on board that train.

What Karen Handel and Jon Ossoff both used the language on their website – and this was while they were candidates – is ' Hamas' and 'Iran' and 'Hezbollah' and the military. Remember I told you that the pledge that I was asked to sign, which was actually a pledge on APAC letterhead, stipulated the military superiority of the Israeli military.

The four points that are in common on Ossoff's website and Karen Handel's website are Iran, Hamas, Hezbollah, and the military issue. I'm going to be honest with you: Karen Handel is not exactly the kind of person who has spent a lot of time studying this issue. I doubt if Karen Handel could tell you the countries that surround Iran or could tell you the history of how North Korea exists or the countries that surround North Korea. She is not someone who is noted for any time of erudition at all. She comes in because she has on her website that this is who she is, and at the end of the day, the Ziocons – whether it was Jon Ossoff or Karen Handel – still have their vote.



They're counting their votes, and they still have their vote.

The members of Congress are there as a result of the conspiracy of the pact, the lobbyists, and the entire economic-financial organization of Washington DC is driven by Ziocons. So if you want to get that money for your campaign – whether it's Emily's List, the Sierra Club, or the women's organizations or the garden club, you name it – I went to peace pact and I said, "Please endorse me," and they said, "You have to justify why we need to endorse you."

I just came from speaking against the Iraq war in the Georgia legislature. It boiled down to the fact that I didn't sign the pledge.

C. Austin Fitts: Right. Do you remember the scene in the *Godfather* where Michael says to Tom, "Look, it's not your fault you're not a wartime consiglieri"? Do you remember that scene?

Cynthia McKinney: No.

C. Austin Fitts: Do you know what I mean by 'wartime consiglieri'? When the families would war and they would have to hit the mattresses, then the consiglieri really needed to be a wartime consiglieri.

Cynthia McKinney: I didn't know that.

C. Austin Fitts: The consiglieri is the guy who delivers the Godfather's messages to the team. All messages go from the Godfather through the consiglieri so that the Godfather always has deniability; there is no legal trail because it goes through the consiglieri.



So when you have a wartime consiglieri, you need somebody who can handle war because you're going to have to give orders to kill a lot of people, right?

Cynthia McKinney: Yes.

C. Austin Fitts: So what recently happened with Reince Priebus moving out of Chief of Staff and John Kelly moving in? They moved in a wartime consiglieri.

That war may be what happens when Mueller impanels a grand jury, and that war may be with the Ziocons trying to create a war. Remember, if you look at Mattis and Kelly, they saw the US military destroyed by a partnership of Pilgrims and Ziocons trying to take the US military to war around the world. So they have watched the crazy people almost destroy the US military. I don't think that Mattis and Kelley are so interested in letting it happen again.

Cynthia McKinney: I have to think about that. Honestly, I haven't given that much attention to Mattis and Kelley and who they really are.

C. Austin Fitts: Mattis and Kelley apparently made an agreement at the beginning of the Administration and I believe that this is probably true. They made an agreement that both of them would never be out of the country at the same time; at least one of them would be in the country at all times. That was a national security decision.

Cynthia McKinney: I'm totally unaware of that.



C. Austin Fitts: Here is the problem: What is happening in Washington now is if you are the *Globe* you've been spending a fortune paying the Clintons – who are just the front men – to engage in massive treasonous violations of both US law and international law. Everybody has been in on it, and the foreign interference in US elections has been unbelievable and off the chart. If you made a list of the 20 worst offenders, my guess is that Russia would not be in the top 20.

Cynthia McKinney: Right.

C. Austin Fitts: Israel would be in the top, the Saudis would be in the top, the Chinese would be in the top – it's a very big list. Everybody has been buying somebody, and it's bad. All of Washington is terrified – whether it is the pedophilia, or whether it's the foreign interference in elections in the United States, and US interference in every election in every country around the world, not to mention destroying an entire series of countries.

There is 100% foreign interference everywhere.

Cynthia McKinney: Yes. That's right.

C. Austin Fitts: So I think that they are watching political leadership, which is acting very insecure and acting either psychopathic or scared. They are either psychopathic or scaredy-cats. There are very few adults in the room, and the Presidency is not an entry-level position. He made the mistake of making Sessions AG. There is no doubt about what Sessions did was totally betray him. It's a horrible thing to do.



Cynthia McKinney: Yes. I hadn't even thought about it that way. I was wondering why he didn't just fire Mueller. I think it's horrible that you have this happening, but who are we to stop it if Sessions won't stop it? Sessions could stop it.

C. Austin Fitts: I think it was a terrible mistake to appoint Sessions. The only way that you are going to get rid of him is to fire him. A serious Attorney General would not let Washington descend into Mickey Mouse land, but that is what is happening. I think that Kelley and Mattis and the people who didn't want Clinton to be President and who backed Trump as he emerged are sitting there and saying, "This situation is very dangerous because many powerful people around the world are watching it and are trying to figure out how to take advantage."

We need a national security team right smack in the middle.

Cynthia McKinney: But now Mattis is a pro-Hillary person, isn't he?

C. Austin Fitts: My guess is that Mattis doesn't think much of many politicians in Washington. He's a soldier, and my guess is that politicians are not his cup of tea. That's not his joy, but I think that he looks at the world through a military prism.

You have the United States that's been running around the world beating up everybody for 50+ years. If you show them your jugular, don't think that they're not going to bite; they are.

Cynthia McKinney: That's right.



C. Austin Fitts: I think that there is a group of people who understand how dangerous the situation is.

You have a culture of politicians and people in Congress who don't take responsibility for the whole thing. It's always worked.

I once had a partner who was from Montserrat. I said to him, "How come I always work and get along with people who grew up on islands?"

He said, "When you live on an island, you know that what goes around comes around. America is a very big island, but nobody knows that. They don't see that what goes around comes around, and they think that they can keep living off the fat of the land."

Cynthia McKinney: That's right. I have a sadness that I discussed with my students today, and it's the unreadiness of the general people of the United States to accept responsibility for what the government does.

I posted on my Facebook page in December 2014, "After 19 years, the US government and state department admitted, and the historian wrote it up, that President Eisenhower approved the targeted assassination of the Prime Minister of Congo, Patrice Lumumba. Not only that, but \$100,000 was authorized to be spent to accomplish the deed."

This targeted assassination of Patrice Lumumba was something that I posted on my Facebook page with the question, "What do we the people of the United States owe the Lumumba family, and what do we the people of the United States owe to Congo?"



I was shocked and stunned by the response that I received, which was, “Nothing. We didn’t do it. It’s not our fault.”

I couldn’t believe the irresponsibility of the people of the US to accept this. I don’t even know how to explain that a disaster can happen, and you’re clearly responsible for it, and you don’t have any remorse? You don’t want to say sorry? I don’t get that.

C. Austin Fitts: Maybe we’ll close with this. Did I ever tell you my favorite Carl Jung story?

Cynthia McKinney: No.

C. Austin Fitts: The one about the woman who killed her husband?

Cynthia McKinney: No.

C. Austin Fitts: Okay, here it comes. This is my story to describe everything.

Carl Jung had a patient see him one day who was very poshly dressed, clearly from the upper echelons of society. She came in to see him, and said, “I’m not going to give you my name because I’m only going to come once. I believe if I tell you my story, it will help clear my situation, but I’m only coming once.”

Here was her story: As a young woman, she had gone to medical school. Shortly after graduating from medical school, she had fallen in love with her best friend’s husband.



Ultimately, to reconcile the tension – and because she knew how to do it, being a doctor – she murdered her best friend, got away with it, and proceeded to marry her best friend’s husband.

Shortly after marrying him she got pregnant, and said that from then on everything went wrong. She was an accomplished horsewoman, and her horses would buck and she had to give up riding. She had beautiful wolfhounds, and they died. Her daughter hated her, and as soon as she was old enough to run away, she ran off. She had no idea where her daughter was. She had slowly been excluded from society.

She told Jung that wherever she went, the birds would stop singing. She said, “The birds knew.”

She came to Jung on the theory that confessing her sins would help clear this energetically, and somehow she would be able to reestablish herself in society.

I would say that America has the same problem. We’ve been able to keep this going with force, but what is slowly happening is that the birds have stopped singing for us. The reality is that whether we’ve been a Ziocon or a Pilgrim leading this thing, we’re somebody who is benefitting from the cheap natural resources.

Let’s face it: Most of the land that any of us own in the United States was built from genocide. The big pool of capital was built not only from genocide, but from slavery.



I hear many people complaining about encroaching centralization and control and slavery in the United States, but I don't see anybody offering to give their land back to the Indians.

I think that with this clearing of responsibility and acceptance, there is only one pathway to get power in this world, and that is to say, "There is a problem and it's my problem. I'm going to take responsibility for this problem."

That is the first step towards getting the power that you need to solve the problem. So there is no solution without taking responsibility. If you're going to take responsibility, you have to deal with the fact that, at some level spiritually, there are no secrets; the birds know.

We can pretend all we want, but the birds know. I don't know about you, but I want the birds on my side.

Cynthia McKinney: I want the birds on my side, also. That is such a powerful story. I've been dealing with this because I've been grappling with who the innocent civilians are. When you are so complicit, where is the innocence?

Anyway, once again, you have given me so much to think about.

C. Austin Fitts: I'm going to send you the link to the story, which is on my website.



This has been a great conversation. I really appreciate it because I think that many people do not understand how important and how dangerous, not only the sanctions bill – now law – is, but the speed at which it went through and the extraordinary support in Congress in terms of votes for it. That speaks to the danger of our situation.

Cynthia McKinney: Absolutely. We're about to go over the cliff, so let's stop this situation. Let's stop it because I don't want to go over the cliff.

C. Austin Fitts: Get out and talk to your Congress people. Cynthia McKinney, you have a wonderful day and thank you again for joining us on The Solari Report.

Cynthia McKinney: Thank you, Catherine.



MODIFICATION

Transcripts are not always verbatim. Modifications are sometimes made to improve clarity, usefulness and readability, while staying true to the original intent.

DISCLAIMER

Nothing on The Solari Report should be taken as individual investment advice. Anyone seeking investment advice for his or her personal financial situation is advised to seek out a qualified advisor or advisors and provide as much information as possible to the advisor in order that such advisor can take into account all relevant circumstances, objectives, and risks before rendering an opinion as to the appropriate investment strategy.