



The Solari Report

JUNE 1, 2017

The Bildenberg Group & Russia with Daniel Estulin



Catherine Austin Fitts



Daniel Estulin



The Bilderberg Group & Russia with Daniel Estulin

JUNE 1, 2017

C. Austin Fitts: Ladies and gentlemen, it's a pleasure to welcome back to The Solari Report Daniel Estulin, who is the author and maker of a recent documentary, *The True Story of the Bilderberg Group*, and many other very popular books.

I had the privilege of seeing him in Canada where he lives. He used to live in Spain, and is now in Canada. We had a wonderful time.

Daniel, you walked into our lunch and introduced yourself as a member of the Russian military counterintelligence, which was a big hit. One of our favorite people there, Rob Kirby, gave you a matchbook from the Trump Hotel.

Daniel Estulin: I love Rob; he's a great guy! I didn't know he lived in Toronto, so it was great seeing and finally meeting him after all this time listening to him.

C. Austin Fitts: He's an unbelievable resource, and we've done two Solari Reports – one on the Exchange Stabilization Fund and one on derivatives.



Daniel Estulin: I called him and said, “Congratulations.”

C. Austin Fitts: We’re inspired to talk for two reasons: One is because I’m always trying to help our subscribers understand what is happening between the United States and Russia and why, and I think you are a voice that needs to be heard because your knowledge is very deep. You have Russian roots and you have a very good understanding of Russia because you spend time in Russia. Secondly, the Bilderberg meeting is coming up and it’s going to be in Chantilly, Virginia the night we publish this.

I wanted to start with the Bilderberg meeting. You recently published this documentary, which I’m dying to see. Among other things, you have to tell us how to receive it.

Let’s start with the Bilderberg, and then we’re going to talk a bit about Russia. The Bilderberg Group: For those who don’t know what the Bilderberg Group is and why it’s important, could you please give us a little background?

Daniel Estulin: Can I quickly tell people who I am? Is that okay?

C. Austin Fitts: Absolutely.

Daniel Estulin: I did spend time in Russia because I was born in the Soviet Union. I worked for Russian military counterintelligence. I’ve been doing that for the last 20 years, so I do know something about what is happening, especially from the inside out and I think it’s important.



When we were in Toronto, I talked about how vital it is for the Americans to understand Russia's position and also to understand the dialectic between all these power circles above the government level and what they're trying to do and why they're trying to bring the two countries into a military confrontation.

Bilderberg- in the world of international finance, there are those who steer the events and those who react to the events. While the latter are better known and have greater numbers and are seemingly more powerful because we see them parade themselves on television all the time, the true power rests with the forum at the center of this global financial system of the financial oligarchy today and the Bilderberg group represents one of the centers.

They're called Bilderberg because they were founded in 1954, and the first meeting was held at the Bilderberg Hotel in a little town called Oosterbeek on the outskirts of Amsterdam. The hotel was owned at the time by the Dutch royal family. One of the founders of the group was one of the public faces, until he was kicked off for bad behavior for stealing publicly. Obviously, I'm talking about Lockheed.

It was Prince Bernard who was the cohort to Queen Juliana of the Netherlands. He was also an SS card carrying member. So Bilderberg has Nazi roots as well.

Bilderberg as an organization is a very dynamic group that changes with time. It absorbs and creates new parts while excreting the remains of the decaying parts.



We see that now how the old guards, the Rockefellers, are dying off and the Schmidts and all these other more influential members for companies such as Google are coming into their own and becoming a part of the inner circle of the Bilderberger elite.

Members come and go, but what doesn't change is the system itself. It's a self-perpetuating system – a virtual spider web of the central locked financial-political-economic industry with this Venetian, ultra-Montaigne Fonde model at the center.

It's important when we talk about organizations such as the Bilderbergers with trilateral commission on Council of Foreign Relations or the venin circle, we don't think of them as 'secret society'. It's not some wacky, evil Seeing Eye or Jewish-Masonic conspiracy or some kind of illuminati craziness. There is no conspiracy in this sense. I don't care how powerful they are; there is no group of people who sit around a table in a dark room, holding hands and staring at a crystal ball and planning world domination.

What it is is a meeting of people who represent a certain ideology. I guess it's a medium bringing together financial institutions, which are the world's more powerful and predatory financial interests. At this time, this combination of all these different groups – which are many of the worst enemies of humanity. So I guess that would be what the Bilderbergers are in a nutshell.

C. Austin Fitts: Right, and they've received enough media attention that they now have put up a website which gives an overview.



Daniel Estulin: I had something to do with that.

C. Austin Fitts: Yes, you did.

Daniel Estulin: It's www.BilderbergMeetings.com. My book came out in 2005 in Spain, and we've sold over seven million copies in 68 countries. It's been translated into 44 languages, and in the United States it's published by Trine Day, Kris Millegan.

I think what had happened was everybody talked about, "They did this," and, "They did that," and who 'they' were nobody really knew. We knew coup d'états and financial shenanigans and presidents and prime ministers being wiped off and bumped off. You had some kind of a sexual perversion once in a while parading in front of everybody on television. But we didn't actually know how that information was put together.

The Russians— before Stalin was assassinated in 1953 – had a keen interest in these organizations because, although Bilderberger itself was founded in 1954, their rules and the interest for creating this super-national group that fuses interests of the winners and the losers of World War II, which was the Anglo-American side and the German-Italian-Vatican and the losing side, fused together with Jewish money.

The Russian intelligence was very interested in these powerful organizations. They had much information on the 9th circle. They certainly had a great deal of information on the initial meetings of the Bilderberger that, by the way, were financed by the CIA up until 1960 or 1961.



C. Austin Fitts: One theory that Dr. Joseph Farrell and I have talked much about is the extent to which the Bilderbergers in particular were created to coordinate with all the money seized at the end of World War II. So you had a lot of the Nazi operation and money folded back into what is actually a hidden system of finance and folded back into the different intelligence agencies, including in the United States.

Daniel Estulin: Absolutely. I totally agree with you. In fact, if you look at the people who founded Bilderberg, we could talk about the Rockefellers or Prince Bernard of the Netherlands. Many of these people were directing the Nazis or they were Nazis, as was the case of Prince Bernard.

Walter Hallstein, for example, was one of the founders of the Bilderberg meeting, and is one of the signatories on the Treaty of Rome in 1957. The Rockefellers had dealings with the Nazis who profited from this whole Nazi machinery.

So all that money and the loot was taken to South America right after the war, and then it was brought back to Europe in 1954. One of the objectives was the creation of the Fourth Reich – not through Germany, but via the European Union.

If you actually look at the statues of the community and you compare it to the Third Reich, they are exactly the same; they are carbon copies of each other. So there are a lot of obvious areas where that secret element of finance and the elements, which went into the black budgets, have a big role with the Bilderbergers in the middle.



C. Austin Fitts: What I find is that, if you look at the topics that are being discussed at every meeting, there is a tremendous need to get people from different industries with different operational responsibility together to reach consensus about how they're going to deal with different things. When you don't have that kind of consensus building, the wheels can truly come off the bus.

In a period like the bailouts in 2008, if you don't have the relationships, and you haven't talked through how you're going to deal with things; things can in fact break down and fall apart.

Part of the Bilderberger and groups like this are to create an intellectual intelligence globally so that the establishment produces that kind of community and glue so that they can deal with crises together.

Daniel Estulin: Absolutely. Historically we have this information, and it's in my book, *The True Story of the Bilderberg Group*, which has over 200 pages of documents and photographs so people can actually look at this material and say, "Now we know who these unknown individuals are and what they did."

So we return to the first five Bilderberg conferences. They played a decisive role in the lead-up to the signing of the Treaty of Rome, which was recognized by the European Union itself as the formative event that lies at its very foundation.

During these initial meetings, protection is most successful to liberating the idea of common market.



They leaked some of these documents, and it shows that there was a general recognition of the pressing need to bring the German people together with other people of Europe into the common market amongst the Bilderbergers at their conference in September of 1955.

It was generally recognized that it is their common responsibility to arrive in the shortest possible time the highest degree of integration beginning with the common European market. That's what they discussed at the Bilderberg conference.

If you look at some of the papers which the Bilderberg attendees left behind after they died, such as George McGhee, who was a former US Ambassador to Germany and a Bilderberger, they talked about the Treaty of Rome – which brought the common market into being – were nurtured at the Bilderberger meeting and all this information was revealed.

In 1955, the same year in which the Bilderberg meeting was held, there was a revitalization of European politics that eventually led to the signing of the Treaty of Rome. If you look at all these characters who were involved, they were quintessential Europeans. We can talk about Monnet and any of these other Atlanticists – power elite super structures who have been staunch supporters of the European economic supernationalism, but they are also finance, supported, moved, and protected by American intelligence.

Of course, another famous case in 1973 was the Bilderberger meeting in Saltsjöbaden, Sweden. They discussed the 400% oil price increase from \$3.50 a barrel to somewhere between \$10.50 and \$12.50 a barrel.



Six months later at the end of 1973 and early January 1974 it went up to \$11.65 – right in between the aforementioned \$10.50 and \$12.50 a barrel. That is a 400% increase.

These kinds of things couldn't have been done without the connivings of the Soviet Communist party and the participation of the Soviet Politburo, which played a very big part in the dismantling of progress and development of society in the 1960's and 1970's, but that is a story for another day.

C. Austin Fitts: Needless to say, if you saw what happened in the French election, I'm sure that at the Bilderberg meeting this year many people will be breathing a sigh of relief because if Le Pen had won in France, you would really be seeing a euro and a European Union under threat. Supposedly Macron's victory has given a little breathing room, but I would say that the future of the European Union is still under great pressure. Is that not the case?

Daniel Estulin: Macron is an equivalent of Napoleon III in France after the 19th Century who basically worked for the British. The whole operation – and I don't want to get too much into this because I know you want to talk about Russia – their modus operandi hasn't really changed if you look back to how they nurtured, created, and projected the image of Napoleon III over the centuries, and neither have their attempts to subvert and conquer Russia and Western Europe.

From the point of view of Russia, everything is the same as it was before. From the point of view of France, nothing really has changed. What people don't understand is that those who voted against Le Pen for Macron because they didn't want this extreme nationalist –



which, of course, she's not – played into the hands of the British Empire. Le Pen will have destroyed the European Union and it's Russophobic policies to the national interests of France. Macron will destroy the European Union based on the interests of Britain.

Furthermore, Le Pen will have praised Russia, and Macron scold Russia. The general processes of the collapse of the liberal world will accelerate all the same – Macron even more acutely as Le Pen was going to destroy the EU but would have stopped short of an all-out fight with Germany. The task of Macron is as a representative to Rothschild because that's what he is; he will destroy the integration core of continental Europe. That is, by and large, he will push Germany into the arms of Russia.

Does Russia need this? I don't know. It's a good question but Putin needs it. The liberals – the government and the central bank – do not.

Basically Macron, like Melenchon, doesn't have political history. In this sense, they cannot independently enter into this or that elite group and its political part.

In Macron's work, if you look at who he is, he is a typical careerist who doesn't care too much about who he serves. In this sense, he is fully integrated into the system of financiers – in which France now lies – but there is a problem. Macron is a product of the Rothschilds under the influence of Britain. Today, you cannot be a financier since the Rothschilds play on the side of the isolationists. All you have to do is look at the Brexit.



From the point of view of being this undercover agent who was formerly serving the bureaucracy of financiers, in reality Macron will work for the opposite group. This analysis becomes even more complicated due to the fact that when he began his career with the Rothschilds, they were fully incorporated into the financier elites. So how do you explain Macron's unwavering loyalty to the Rothschilds? He's a faithful vessel of the Rothschilds who stupidly will follow all the instructions from above.

C. Austin Fitts: Right and I believe the European Union is still on weak ground. Anything could happen, but it's going to take longer to see how that rolls out.

If you look at what the Bilderbergers have to deal with this year, it's very different with Macron in charge of France as opposed to Le Pen. So I'm not sure the meeting would have been in Virginia if Le Pen had won the election.

Daniel Estulin: Macron is going to do the following: Macron would pressure Merkel, accusing her of not sufficiently protecting the integrity and interest of the European Union. He would do this quickly so to complicate her position for the autumn election as much as possible.

Investing in the European Union under the current circumstances before the elections is something that Merkel cannot promise. That will inevitably worsen her relationships with Brussels – the center and the seat of power for the European Union.



Macron will turn himself into the saving angel for the European Union, offering various plans that cannot be realized without Germany and will drive the wedge between Brussels and Berlin as much as possible, and also take into account the objective situation. This will be very effective for him.

So even if Le Pen had become President of France, she would have created a core of Western Europe in the form of an association of France and Germany.

To summarize what I said, what Macron will do as his plan or strategy is to first put pressure on Merkel and accuse her of not doing enough to protect the integrity and interests of the European Union. He has to do this quickly because there is a time constraint in the sense that she has elections in the fall in Germany. So he has to put pressure on her as much as possible. And she cannot commit to any investments in Europe simply because she is playing against the interests of what these people are proposing for her to do.

So Macron will turn himself into the ‘saving angel’ – somebody who is the face of the European Union. He will offer various plans which cannot be realized without Germany’s participation, and Germany cannot participate in this plan before the elections. So this will drive the wedge between Brussels and Berlin as much as possible before the elections.

Even if Le Pen had become President of France, she would have created a ‘core’ of Western Europe in the form of an association of France and Germany –



the Paris-Berlin axis – that would have ruled the other countries directly, bypassing the mediation of Brussels.

Macron will destroy the relationship between Germany and France with gradual withdrawal of the latter under the patronage of Britain and under the guise of preserving the common unity of the European Union and protecting the interests of ‘small’ European members.

So it’s quite possible that he will crush the European Union much faster than Le Pen would have done, but if she was prepared to do it openly, Macron will hide his plans with his Eurocentric rhetoric. Unfortunately the people who voted for Macron thinking that they were voting out Le Pen, they placed into the hands of the British Empire the same way that the British Empire played Napoleon III of France in the mid-19th century.

C. Austin Fitts: Let’s turn to the meeting on June 1st – the day that we are going to publish this. We have Trump in the White House and I dare say I don’t expect him to go to the meeting. There is a bow raging in Washington. Essentially what you have is the Administration and what some call the ‘deep state’ fighting about who controls the control files. We’ve seen the President fire the head of the FBI in a manner that says to me that there was a surprise seizure of all his files.

You have a battle royal about who controls the control files and who is really in charge. Right in the middle of this, the Bilderbergers come to Virginia to have a meeting and they have not yet published the topics. What do you think that the real topics are going to be this year?



Daniel Estulin: I think that the number one topic is to get Trump. I think that is very obvious. By your words, we have the ‘central banking warfare model’ which no longer gives them the benefit that they need. That system doesn’t work, so they need a new system.

The Clinton crowd and the people behind her are out, and this other group arrived with Trump as the figurehead and all these other city-states such as the city of London, Singapore, Hong Kong, Venice, Venetian Black Nobility, the Sachs aristocracy, the Hungarian aristocracy, and the Rothschilds. These are the people behind Donald Trump, and they need a new economic model to make it work because the other one doesn’t work anymore.

The problem is that is one of the things that we’re seeing. The Russian intelligence is following this very closely, and they knew in 2016 about who was going to win the elections back in 2012. You and I talked about this when we were in Toronto. They could foresee that the people behind Clinton and the model that she represented were going to be out because you no longer had any kind of an economic/ financial benefit with them and the system no longer worked because capitalists need continuous growth on a finite planet.

They knew that somebody else would have to come in, and they basically narrowed it down to Rand Paul or Donald Trump. It was 50/50 for one or the other, and Trump won.



The problem is that if Trump pushes the interest rates – and this is what I’m hearing from the Russian intelligence analysts who do this on a daily basis as far as the Russian economic model is concerned because the alternative to the current model is based on Adam Smith and Karl Marx. What they’re talking about is that if he pushes interest rates two, three, or four percent, the whole system collapses onto itself. Then he’s going to have to present an alternative model and simply doesn’t have it. So they don’t know what that new model is going to be.

One of the models that they’re looking at is the dismantling of countries. That’s one thing that I talked to the Russian President about the day before his conversation with Trump on the telephone. It’s the dismantling of countries and creating regional blocks. One would be Canada and the United States, Australia and New Zealand, and parts of Mexico. That would give you a regional block of 600 million people, which is what you would need to have a regional block.

Another block would be Latin America and South Africa. Then there would be Paris and Berlin cut out by the straits of La Mancha with French colonies in Africa. Then you would have England, which is the British Empire, and the Arab countries, which they created and control anyway. They would have to offer them something in exchange and they were going to offer the head of Israel on a platter.

When Trump came into the White House they could no longer do that. They needed an alternative plan and that is where Macron comes into the picture.



So you have a lot of things happening right now between Americans and the Saudis and the Russians. Last year the Russians and the Saudis signed amazing high-tech nuclear technology deals. Now Trump comes in and has the potential to put together a \$350 billion deal with the Saudis. One thing that was going to happen if Clinton had won was that Saudi Arabia would have been carved out so the Shiite part would have joined Iran and Turkey and the Western part of Russia (ex-Soviet Turkey-speaking republics) and the Balkans into one economic region. Of course, that's not the case because Saudi Arabia is now getting back what they lost in the Obama Administration.

There is a much happening, but I think it all comes down to the same thing: Get Trump. I think that will be number one.

Number two will be: How do we deal with Russia, and how do we deal with Putin because we have elections in 2019?

C. Austin Fitts: Those are related because there are two theories of how you get Trump. One is that you prove that the election was somehow not legitimate, and cancel the results of the election. That is the more ambitious plan. You prove that the Russians manipulated the election, and then abrogate the election and go back to something – God knows what.

Since they can't find any evidence yet or no one has put forward any evidence of real manipulation of the election, I'm not sure how they intend to do that.



The second one is that you try to create dirt on Donald Trump and use that dirt as a basis for impeachment. So those are the two theories on how you get Trump, neither of which seems to be going anywhere.

Daniel Estulin: I don't think it's going to go anywhere. We're hearing a lot of rhetoric, but there is no proof of Russian shenanigans. This whole thing about Russian hackers story has no legs and there is nothing there.

Kim Dotcom is talking about having the evidence of Russia's non-involvement in the case and the Democratic National Council is allegedly terrified of the information that he might have.

From my position of actually working for the government, I never saw any proof of that now or before. It's not Russia's modus operandi. They were obviously very, very happy when Trump won the election. They were euphoric because when Trump won, Putin and the Kremlin believed that the credit for Trump's victory showed how extremely delusional they are in that sense. They might think what they want about Donald Trump, but he is the leader of the world's strongest economy and the most powerful armed forces in the world.

He is an American patriot who works for the interest of the United States. He is also an alpha male as Putin is. The problem with Putin is that he has no team behind him because as the President, he's not almighty and all-powerful. He's not this world dictator like Hitler who could, with his command, wipe out and kill people anywhere on the face of the earth; he only controls Russia's foreign policy.



That's it and he does not control Russia's economy nor does he control Russia's internal matters. That is the domain of the Prime Minister of Russia, and his name is Medvedev.

Medvedev works for the interests of all the people including the Clinton crowd and the liberal crowd in the United States. In the same way that Trump will not work with the Clinton liberal crowd in the United States, these are the same enemies who are Putin's enemies in Russia.

Up until Trump's election, he was powerless to remove the Prime Minister. He was powerless to remove the General Director of Russia's central bank. He was powerless to remove all of these other characters who are responsible and who orchestrated and engineered Russia's destruction in the 1990's and the famous Rape of Russia period. If he removed any of those people that would have been seen in the United States as a declaration of war against their interests. That would have not only increased the severity of sanctions, but would have seen Russia's exclusion from access to SWIFT.

So Putin only had one option, which was the nuclear option. Because he is a level-headed former colonel in the Soviet armed forces, the KGB, which is Russia's intelligence first division, he would not choose that option. He preferred to keep these people and minimize the damage. There has been tremendous damage in Russia because Russia's economy – aside from what you hear in international media and American media – is a disaster. Half of the country is starving to death.



C. Austin Fitts: Slow down, Daniel. Let me step back.

We have had several Solari Reports with both Anne Williamson and the Saker where we went back and went through the Rape of Russia in the 1990's. I will post the links with this commentary. I really encourage you to look at it.

Needless to say, the Russian people have very powerful, deep reasons to not trust the United States and the West given what happened in the 1990's as a result of American intervention in the Russian economy.

The US and their European allies instituted sanctions on Russia two or three years ago. When did the sanctions occur?

Daniel Estulin: The sanctions occurred in 2013 or 2014, if I'm not mistaken.

C. Austin Fitts: Those sanctions have been very painful for the economy. I honestly expected that to harm Putin's approval ratings. If you look at his current approval ratings among the Russian people, they are said to be very high, which is a real testament to how clearly the people understand what is causing the pain.

Daniel Estulin: In this case, Trump's election is Putin's saving grace in the sense that on the one hand you have someone who you could theoretically talk to and agree on how to deal with the liberal crowd. On the other hand, this is a big problem for Putin because if they remove the sanctions, then he has no one to blame the economic hardships on but himself. That's a big problem because Russia is suffering tremendously.



There is much discontent and there is a tremendous amount of dissatisfaction among the people. The pressure within the system rises and the system itself is getting weaker. There are several evolutionary scenarios.

This mass mob-like manifestation demonstration – even if the government said you could do it –really scared them to death. First, the pressure may be reduced to the system’s embedded machinery through the de facto semi-authoritarian but formerly constitutional elections, for instance.

Is it possible to vent some of the pressure by opening, for example, municipal valves? No! The authorities will not allow it simply for irrational fear of elections because unfortunately for Putin, there is no competition in Russia. They eliminated all probable competition, which is also bad for Putin’s chances in the near future for the 2018 elections.

I guess the question is: What can the system do to lower the pressure inside it and to improve governability? The problem for Putin is that if they get rid of sanctions, he has no one to blame the calamitous situation of the Russian economy on.

As the pressure within the system rises, it gets weaker. There are different scenarios which the government is dealing with and looking at.

The first scenario is that they can reduce the pressure through the system’s embedded machinery. In other words, the elections. But they don’t want the elections because they fear the result of the elections and can’t control the dialectic.



Those who come to power as a result of a certain combination of circumstances will always fear that they may lose it in the event of a similar cleanse because Putin was a miracle as far as Russia's interests are concerned.

If Yeltsin's reign would have lasted another couple of years, Russia would have been turned to dust. Putin is afraid of the same thing that might happen to the next Putin who may come inadvertently into power.

The Soviet authorities fought against a few clandestine anti-Soviet organizations – not the factors that would ultimately lead to its collapse. Why? Because initially they were a clandestine political group themselves.

So the current leaders have come to power through an election that was open, albeit moderated with populist means. This is why the authorities are so scared of elections mounting new limitations, constraints, and obstacles.

Still, elections are real and Putin is a legitimate President. It is possible to represent the interests of the various groups in the vote.

To an extent, the Russian regime resembles the world of antiquity: Everything is based on myths. So how could the Greeks, for example, being so advanced in mathematics, believe in Zeus? Just as we buy reports in Putin's approval ratings which you mentioned a few minutes ago.



Elections and ratings interweave in this mythological fabric, if you wish. You cannot scrap either of them. Elections illustrate lack of options while ratings poised to be perceived as elections between popular votes demonstrate that no option will ever emerge. It has nothing to do with people; it's how the Russian myth machinery works.

It is easy to believe in such myths, but the machinery is sure to fail. Why else would you try to challenge the narrative? Only a madman can claim that there are no candidates for Presidency or that Putin is immortal or invincible.

Does the country really have no ministers or governors or state level managers? They have an abundance of them. You could talk about Sergey Kiriyenko, a former Prime Minister. They could be at least as good as Putin. It's a most limited short list. You could easily come up with 50 candidates for the job, and that is what the Russian government has done. They came up with a list of quite a few candidates who are very, very capable and do a good job. So there is no alternative to Putin; it's just another made-up story for the depoliticization of Russia.

C. Austin Fitts: Let's talk a little about the Russian economy. One thing that Russia is struggling with is a drop in the oil price and changes in energy technology, which are lowering, not just oil, but commodities across the board.

The other thing struggling is demographics. What else is causing trouble to the Russian economy?



Daniel Estulin: I think it's important to understand the operating principle of the Russian's economy. You can call it 'Russian colonial economy'. The operating principal is made up of four phases. Phase one is having an account with an offshore company – call it a legal manufacturer or Russian importer – smuggling or bringing goods in with a customs regulation and a violation to get some undeclared goods inside the country. It's a sale of goods with most of the income concealed to avoid paying taxes. That is phase one.

Phase two is funding the production of drug smuggling and selling it all for cash, which is an option. It's a strategic criminal sector, i.e. arms trade, alcohol production, etc.

Phase three is transferring black money through money laundering schemes to pay the so-called 'envelope wages'. That is one of the big parts of this Russian colonial economy. That is actually Mikhail Gorbachev, former Russian President. He was called 'Mikhail Three Percent Gorbachev' because he was for any kind of an operation giving an envelope of 3% on top of the actual cost of the operation.

Stage four is cashless clearing through the accounts of offshore companies and complete legalization of capital. There is nothing state and controlling agencies can do for phase schemes even if they wanted to. The main reason is not corruption or lack of professionalism within these bodies. It's a characteristic of the colonial economy where officials are warders rather than managers.

Breaking the colonial machinery would mean plunging the country in an economic and social crisis, and even more so, take it back to barter exchanges.



Russia enjoys relative social stability, but only because of fail-proof operation of this corrupt and criminal model. Everyone who criticizes Putin for not cracking down on corruption or cracking down enough on corruption or on abetting it should remember that. The problem is so enormous that people cannot even fathom unless you are inside and you understand how the system works.

These major criminal groups continue to enjoy impunity since they are an inherent part of the financial and industrial system of a colonial economy. To this day, every minister in Russia has financial networks within his ministry alongside banks for money laundering and cash withdrawals, serving his personal interest and those within his inner circle. Tampering with this system will provoke unrest among civil servants and commercial elites and a management crisis. This, in turn, will result in sabotage and total collapse of the country that would sweep away any power.

If you see it this way, Russia's problems are inherent and they go beyond what most people can imagine.

C. Austin Fitts: When I was a child growing up in the United States, the Russians were made out to be the bogeyman. Everything was, "Are we going to have a nuclear war with Russia?"

Then when the Soviet Union collapsed in the early 1990's and the Rape of Russia began, it all went away. The talk became, "We're going to have a peace dividend."

Now we're back to, "Russia is the bogeyman."



If you study what has been going on, there is not a great deal of grounding to the notion that the Russians are the bogeyman, but you see clear interests in Europe and the United States who very much do want war with Russia. The question is: Why? What is the goal of war with Russia? Who wants war with Russia, and why do they want war with Russia?

Daniel Estulin: Because Russia is still the enemy. This entire thing of the Russians and the Chinese working together against the interest of the United States is not the case; the Russians and the Chinese do not work together. In fact, the Chinese and the Americans back in the mid 1970's signed this secret agreement, and the Russian intelligence in 1978 acquired that agreement so we know it's real. It was an agreement that continues until 2019. It's a non-intervention agreement where neither side will ever invade or fight militarily against the other side.

For all this public rhetoric about Donald Trump threatening the Chinese, and the Chinese and the Americans saber-rattling, it's all nonsense. Russian nuclear technology and nuclear weapons are pointing at Beijing just as China's nuclear weapons are pointing at Moscow – more so than they are at the United States. Of course, they are, but the Russians and the Chinese are friends.

So what is the problem? The problem is that China is an economic superpower, but it is not a military superpower – not yet. The Russians, on the other hand, do have superior technology in some areas of very advanced technological innovations. We can talk about that later.

C. Austin Fitts: So they are the only other nation that has a global GIS satellite system?



Daniel Estulin: Exactly, the Chinese never had the intention to project their power globally. They are doing it now with one road: The ‘Silk Road’ globalization initiatives as the United States is temporarily drawing back to their borders to regurgitate everything that they’ve eaten over the past decades. Now the Chinese temporarily are taking over that territory which the Americans are giving up through the globalization, the ‘Silk Road’.

The Russians are the only nuclear superpower in the world that could stop the deep state in the United States or the Bilderbergers or what ever you want to call them. You can call them the supernational elite. This is one of the reasons they are dead-set on trying to convince the West that Russia is the bogeyman and that they want war and they are the end-all and be-all of evil on the planet Earth which, of course, is not the case.

C. Austin Fitts: We saw one leading military official in Russia saying about six weeks ago that the US is preparing a nuclear strike against Russia. Did you see that comment?

Daniel Estulin: Certainly the options are on the table. I was called back to active duty not long ago, so it’s something that the government is concerned about.

The point is that in Russia, historically we have always been very pro-America. Most Soviet citizens love the United States. It was a myth on the one hand because they were told all sorts of stories that turned out to be blatant outright lies.



But still, it was this beautiful story of someone living on the other side of the world that was absolutely free to do whatever they wanted to do to become a millionaire – the rags to riches story.

Then the reality began in the 1990's when our country was completely wiped out. Forty percent of the population lost everything they ever owned or earned. Between 50-60 million former Soviet citizens died as a result of changing from the socialist to the capitalist model. There is very little of that love lost left in the Russian populace and the Russians are not afraid. They are not looking forward to a nuclear confrontation because all of us will be turned to dust.

To get to the point where Russian government pays very close attention to numbers, they do a lot of polling. Almost daily something is done as far as the polling is concerned. Putin always wants to know what is going on and who believes what. He wants to know the country's moods and feelings – especially today when, economically speaking, there is nothing to be proud of.

Internationally speaking, Syria was one big moment in the last couple of years of Russian international participation (not 'intervention' because that was not the case) that turned sour because it's beginning to look more and more like Vietnam or Afghanistan, and we don't want that and remember what happened in Afghanistan in the 1980's.

So there is nothing you can show for all these efforts. Crimea has been digested and taken care of. The Crimea was an agreement between the Russian government and the Rothschilds



It was one of these cold wars between the Rockefellers and the Rothschilds where the Rothschilds got their first point against the Rockefellers with Crimea. Crimea was 2.0, Brexit was 3.0, and Trump was 4.0 against the Rockefellers, but Crimea was long ago forgotten. There is some very serious economic problems there as well – things that were promised which are not being done.

So there is very little that the government can show as far as the initiative for the 2018 elections. The only thing that they are hoping for is that Trump is not going to remove the barrier of sanctions. If he does, then everybody in Russia is going to look at the Russian government and the President himself, and the 86% popularity which he is enjoying right now is going to turn to 36%.

C. Austin Fitts: That is very interesting and it had not occurred to me. I did know that people interested in building a more self-sufficient Russian economy did not want the sanctions to come off because it gives them much more of an excuse to build things internally.

Daniel Estulin: You know all political and social development in Russia is shaped by violent competition of the capital influence – the so-called communist party and the government-related oligarchy.

In 2008 during the first wave of the financial crisis, the second group – the oligarchs – scored a conclusive victory. But since 2010, crises in Russia have been unfolding amidst global changes in the financial sector.



We've seen corrupt bureaucrats investing shadow money in business, criminalizing and extending their influence over strategic sectors, switching from protection racketeering to seizing property and capital of the companies they are interested in.

The administrative leverage is employed as a means of taking control and protecting businesses, and oligarchs place their henchmen at all levels of power. This is the system that Putin inherited. These are the origins and the nature of Russian Soviet elite. This is united Russia. Putin's and Medvedev's political party is the party of power, and these are the liberals and their key figure from Gaidar – who is now thankfully dead. He was the 'loans for shares' originator. Chubais, who was his right-hand man, is still there in key positions of power in the Russian government. Putin is still powerless to remove him.

Kudrin, who was a former minister of the Russian economy, did everything in his power. He basically said, "If 30 million older citizens have to die, it's just part of the market economy."

Gref, who is the President of Sberbank – one of the biggest banks in Russia – was the man who was actually captured, investigated, and imprisoned for theft of \$2 million.

So you look at all of these different characters and you realize how much trouble the country is in. Medvedev is a pathetic figure, and another evidence that this political group can no longer be tolerated amidst widespread changes in the world following Trump's victory.



To conclude, Ulyukayev, the man who was number three in the Russian Economic Council and his arrest for \$2 million bribery around the same time as Trump's success, is highly symbolic. The investigation definitely started a long time before Trump became President, and Putin must have been aware of what was happening.

That means that the arrest of a key liberal was timed to take place in case of Trump's victory. Had Hillary Clinton won, Ulyukayev would not have been arrested because, as I said before, it would have been a declaration of war against the interests of the liberal American circles.

Trump's triumph is the very reason the Russian fifth column faced repressions, proving that the clean-up operation was preparing in advance, and Putin was only waiting for the changes in the United States.

Only to finish it up, the process is in full swing, and it's too late to try to stop it and change anything. United Russia as a party of Putin and the party of Medvedev and the party of power will soon have to convert into a conservative party.

They are used to it because they started as the Communist Party of the Soviet Union, and they evolved and spread and formed without a single hitch. This clownish Prime Minister, Dmitry Medvedev, should consider finding a new job as soon as possible because Putin is going to have to sacrifice someone when people start looking at him as the representative of the maladies that Russia is suffering tremendously at the present time.



C. Austin Fitts: One other thing that I wanted to ask you is: When the money started to be laundered from Russia into the United States in the 1990's, one of my theories was that it appeared that the fixed income division of Goldman Sachs was very much in the thick of things – probably as an agent for the Exchange Stabilization Fund. There were many reports of that money flowing and looking in particular for real estate to buy.

How does Goldman Sachs fit into this picture? We're seeing the Secretary of the Treasury from that group at Goldman Sachs, Steve Mnuchin, who is a member of Skull and Bones, and the National Economic Advisor, Gary Cohn, the number two at Goldman Sachs very much in that group in the fixed income division in the 1990's. We have the Deputy of National Security Advisor, Dina Powell, also from that group as a partner at Goldman Sachs.

So where does Goldman Sachs fit into the Russia story?

Daniel Estulin: In 1993 Goldman Sachs worked very, very closely with Russia's central bank, Sberbank, which is Russia's number one bank, and Volksbank. They advised, but they also used their key operatives. For example, Goldman Sachs had a couple of their operatives in Sberbank.

The Russian central bank, Sberbank and Kapital Bank provided funds to small-scale goldmining firms for several years, so these companies were entitled to use gold they produced to make jewelry, coins, charms, and export them to other countries.



After that, those three banks bought most of the country's gold reserves and declared it as a product of those small-scale miners cleared for export. According to official reports, the gold exported amounted to \$142 million at the exchange rate of that time.

So the FSB, the Presidential Securities Service, the Prosecutor General's office all covered up the affair. It's difficult to believe that Goldman Sachs wasn't in on this also. You can see the success of this operation was secured at a very high level. The rise of people such as Pavel Borodin who opened doors for Putin when he recommended this young and unknown politician to Yeltsin in the late 1990's was propelled, thanks to active exports of precious metals from Yakutia, which is a corporation, and subsequent placement of funds in foreign banks through friendly and friend-like companies.

The climax of Borodin's career was a Mebatex case when he simply laundered hundreds of millions of dollars of money allocated for the Kremlin's renovation. That money disappeared, and obviously no one has ever found it. Many of these assets were placed abroad in possession of individuals and most of them were fraud men.

Part of this money later returned to Russia in the form of foreign investment- some of the biggest hotels and shopping malls, such as the Marriott Hotel in Russia, Radisson Hotel, Sheraton Palace Hotel in Moscow, and the TsUM retail chain. It was all set up with the stolen gazillions of money, and with KGB – which turned into FSB – generals among its founders.



Even Putin failed to send them to prison at that time because he didn't have the resources. When you shop at some of these pristine, swanky shopping malls all across Moscow, you have to remember that it was also founded with the same dirty, filthy money. That means that high-profile thieves are behind these kinds of operations.

There are no miracles. In a poor country – and Russia is a poor country – money doesn't appear out of the blue but comes as a result of some very serious financial fraud.

C. Austin Fitts: Right. After President Trump fired Comey, he announced that they were going to proceed with the meeting with Lavrov, the foreign minister. It was very interesting because Tillerson, who had been in Moscow, first met with Lavrov and the Russian ambassador to the US at the State Department before Lavrov went to the White House.

I don't know if you saw the clips, but Lavrov and Tillerson started to speak briefly with the US press, and Andrew Mitchell asked a question about Comey, and Lavrov and Tillerson looked at her like she was a complete idiot. They just rolled their eyes and walked off with Lavrov saying, "You're kidding, you're kidding."

The entire demeanor on their faces – and I've never seen this in senior diplomats from any country in my life – was basically, "We're adults. We have adult business to do. You children just need to go play without us."

Daniel Estulin: I got the same impression that you did.



C. Austin Fitts: Right. Tillerson is somebody who I have great respect for. Obviously, if he ran Exxon he had plenty of dealings with the Russians. You get the feeling that we have a very unusual crew here in the United States - both in the President and the cabinet – because they all ran very successful businesses responsible for lots of assets. At some point, they are losing tolerance for the different witch hunting and games of Washington. You certainly got the sense that couple of days that they just decided, “We can’t let this witch hunting get in the way of the business of government. We have legitimate government interests that have to be served, and we’re going to go back to being adults.”

I was astonished at Lavrov’s face. It was as though he had had it with the childishness.

Daniel Estulin: Lavrov is someone who I know well, and I have enormous respect for his diplomatic abilities. His knowledge of world geography, history, politics, and policies is beyond anything I’ve ever seen in any politician I’ve ever dealt with. Putin is ice. That would be one way to describe him. “How would you describe Putin?” “Ice.”

He doesn’t need to talk; he understands. You start a phrase, and he knows where you’re going, and he simply says, “Okay. Next. Jump paragraphs.”

He can prepare 20-page dossier, which is going to take you eight hours to go through. You go through a 40-minute meeting and say, “It’s a good thing I have 20 pages because if I had 14, I would have come up short.”



These people are extremely well-prepared. However, I also go back to what you said a few months ago, Trump thought that running the country was like running his company. I think he was realizing that it's not exactly the same thing. You have many people doing everything in their power to make sure that he doesn't succeed. When it's his company, he runs the show. I think that in this particular case, Tillerson is seeing the same thing.

I wouldn't even put it past them that Lavrov and Tillerson actually discussed it beforehand and said, "Look, we're going to have some media asking some really stupid questions. Let's give them this kind of a reply."

C. Austin Fitts: Right. It's a very interesting group. For 20+ years we've had nothing but attorneys who are process people, and now you have a group of people who – like them or not – know how to add and subtract, and how to price things, and are much more knowledgeable about the world. They have plenty of experience on the global stage. They all understand the need to get things done if you want the economy to work.

What they are coming up against is the realization that there are many people who don't want the economy to work, and they don't want America to be great again. They don't want things to work.

For some of them, I think it's very frustrating, which brings us back to the Bilderberg meeting. If their goals are to talk about how to get Trump, how are they going to do it?



Daniel Estulin: I don't know. That's a good question and I don't know. At my film presentation yesterday somebody said to me, "Are they going to kill him the same way they killed Kennedy?"

I don't know. They might, but I just don't know. Somebody said to me, "I think they have the Russian Spetsnaz protecting Trump."

You get into some very wacky conspiracy theories. But then again, the best way to get rid of Trump is to kill him, which is not the easiest thing to do – to kill the sitting President of the United States. I know that they did it before, but 1963 is not 2017. So I don't know how they're going to do this. Needless to say, a war will be a quick way of doing it.

C. Austin Fitts: There is something else that they have to talk about, and it gets back to the control files. We've come perilously close to a major pedophilia scandal in the United States. If you roll out the occult rituals and the pedophilia and have a major scandal, they run the risk of the collaboration and the glue between different establishment groups coming apart. That is something that if you lose those things in the court or popular opinion, the entire system can get into danger and the wheels can come off the bus, including the financial system.

Daniel Estulin: Trump as the President of the United States – and I'm not American, so I don't know the nitty-gritty elements of how the system works – has access to a lot of information that other people don't. So as the President, he can threaten and get this information into the open. My question is: Why doesn't he?



C. Austin Fitts: I think once you start that it becomes very difficult. If you're trying to make America great again, you need people to work on tax reform, you need them to work on infrastructure, you need them to work on healthcare, and if they are witch hunting and stop working on those things, you get nothing done.

It's always tricky when you start a witch hunt because you never know where it goes, and then it becomes much harder to get anything done. All the people witch hunting are needed to cooperate to do these various different things.

The danger is that they spend time on healthcare and now they have the budget out, they won't be able to get the attention they need in Congress and the executive branch to get these other things done.

Let me discuss the 'Seven Countries in Five Years' plan. After 9/11, Wesley Clark gave an interview about how he was at the Pentagon and was presented with a memo from Rumsfeld at the Secretary of Defense office about how they were going to do regime change in seven countries in the Middle East in five years. It didn't include North Korea, but I'm assuming North Korea was on the list.

Rumsfeld produced a memo saying that we're going to engage in a regime change in these seven countries in five years. I call it the 'Seven Countries in Five Years' plan. When President Trump was elected, he was very focused on rebuilding America and rebuilding the military, but dramatically pulling in our military commitments globally. So you expected to see some very significant proposals to re-engineer the military to keep us from being so overextended, but to rebuild.



That's not what we've seen.

Starting in April, we saw a dramatic turnaround. If anything, it looks like there is tremendous pressure to keep going on the 'Seven Countries in Five Years' plan. You see pressure to put more boots on the ground in Syria, more boots on the ground in Afghanistan and more boots on the ground in Iraq.

What is the 'Seven Countries in Five Years' and who is pushing that?

Daniel Estulin: I don't know. I would have thought that the seven countries in five years would be Libya, Syria, Iran, and North Korea. The dialectic behind is that you go after Libya and destroy Libya. You use Libya to push all the refugees into Europe with all the consequences of inner cities and the destruction of European culture. It's the dismantling of the European Union as a cohesive group of nations putting a lot of financial strain on the communities themselves. Then you have the terrorist element in place in case you need to blow something up.

On the other hand, Libya is a gateway for the arms trade and slave trade and drugs and everything else into the rest of Africa. You go back to 2011 when they killed Gaddafi and took over Libya. The next thing you know, they have a coup d'état in Chad.

Before we were talking about Boko Haram, and I was in Africa in the 1990's. During my first operation I was stationed in Africa. That's where I met Viktor Bout.



That's why, when he was in prison in Thailand, I needed to go to Thailand to try to save him because this man saved my life many, many times when we were in Africa fighting with very little means against the local blood diamond mafia, etc.

So Boko Haram and all these other terrorist organizations aren't new. We knew that they were there in the early 1990's, and now that the mainstream media is talking about them, suddenly the West discovered these Boko Haram terrorists.

Libya was the first point, and after Libya, Syria is next. Then you have the gasoduct which is a very important part of the Syria operation. If Qatar takes the gasoduct and takes over Syria, then Russia is going to suffer tremendous economic hardships beyond anything we can imagine. So Russians are obviously interested in keeping Syria stable. If Syria falls, that's the Shiite part of the world. The next one is Iran, and after Iran, is Russia. When you get to that, you're coming to the doors of hell with a nuclear confrontation. Needless to say, it's very, very important that Syria doesn't fall into the hands of the deep state or whatever you want to call these people.

I think it's important for Trump to get together with Putin as quickly as possible and make it happen as fast as possible so that the two of them can sit down and talk to each other instead of through these other people, most of whom do not represent interests of Russia nor the United States.



C. Austin Fitts: We recently saw President Trump in Saudi Arabia and Israel for the first trip outside the United States in the Middle East. He was talking very much about Israel doing a deal to finally bring peace with Palestine, so then everybody will agree to turn and move against Iran. What do you think is happening? What do you see coming out of that trip?

Daniel Estulin: Well, first of all, when I saw the agreement – which is \$350 billion, is it not? Am I wrong?

C. Austin Fitts: It's a major arms deal with Saudi Arabia.

Daniel Estulin: It's a major arms deal with a major terrorist-sponsoring nation. I think as a geopolitical counterintelligence analyst, my position has always been that the next world war may not be a nuclear war because too much is at stake, but it will be entirely an economic war. I still think that it's far more useful for the establishment elite to use the East as a foil to bring down certain parts of the West with economic weapons such as dumping of the US dollar, for example. This chaos would cause global markets to panic, and panic would ensue among the general public. It would provide the perfect cover for the introduction of a globalist calling the great financial reset. I'm seeing more and more of this in the mainstream publications.

Syria in itself is only one layer upon many in this process of deliberate global instability, but I also think it seems to be vitally important to the elite given that they continually make new attempts to draw the American public into support for regime change amongst them in Syria.



When you look at the *New York Times* and the *Washington Post* and CNN, they all were pressing the narrative that the Syrian President Bashar al-Assad has a long history of war crimes, including the use of chemical weapons against civilians. However, none of the American publications or Western European publications or anyone at the government level has produced a piece of compelling, concrete evidence that Assad is guilty of such acts, including the latest chemical attack, which the Trump Administration used as a rationale for the cruise missile strike against Syrian military targets and in rhetoric calling for the ousting of Assad. It wasn't so much of an attack on Assad as it was an attack on President Putin and Russia.

It doesn't mean that the Russians support Assad or that Assad is the good guy, but we saw the same model and modus operandi used by the Obama Administration in 2013 – a chemical attack against civilians which the White House immediately had evidence used to implicate Assad and call for regime change. So this isn't the first time.

There are far more advantages in the deep state than it is to actually reach some kind of an agreement to stabilize the regime because you're looking at different centers on the world stage. You have the new Babylon, which is the United States, the Promised Land, which is Israel, and the new Caliphate, which is the terrorists. You have the Middle Kingdom, the Chinese, and Europe and Eurasia, and then you have the Vatican. The Vatican was eliminated in 2012 with the coup d'état when they put the Jesuit priest in there, and also through Vatican leaks.



Europe is very much dead in the water. Israel was going to be gone as well until Trump came into power. America wasn't doing all that well with Obama. So you had the Chinese and Eurasia and now you have the United States.

Much is being done and said and played behind the scenes. Just look at what George Soros said. He predicted that Trump will fail because he is unpredictable and unprepared, and it will end up bad for the markets. It may become a self-fulfilling prophecy if Trump continues doing what he's been doing over the last few months instead of getting back to what he was doing on the campaign trail and the first two months in the White House.

C. Austin Fitts: It's very hard to say because I think both Russia and the United States are struggling with a lack of integrity and corruption and crime and an economy that is very dependent on crime.

There is one other topic that I wanted to discuss, and that is cyber security. If you look at the plans in the G7 economies you have many companies and many industries very, very dependent on the rollout of 5G and what is called 'the internet of things'. They are planning on using the internet and digital systems for many different industries – telecommunications, distribution, and on and on and on – and yet the systems are less secure.

We recently saw an enormous global hack, and one of the topics at the 2016 Bilderberg meeting was cyber security. They haven't published their agenda yet, but I dare say cyber security is at the top of it.



Daniel Estulin: I think so, too. It would have to be.

C. Austin Fitts: George Freeman, who was the founder of Strathmore, recently said, “Digital systems don’t have any integrity; it’s not going to work. I’m going back to using legal pads.”

Interestingly enough, cyber skills are one place where Russia is particularly strong. What do you think is going to happen? What we’re going to see around the world is more and more effort. As economies become more and more dependent on crime and corruption and these tensions grow, particularly because they are very expensive to the economy, the legitimate parts of the economy get weaker. How does cyber security and cyber warfare fit into all of this?

Daniel Estulin: I think the Russians and the Chinese are developing their own system. When you’re talking about building into space, there is redundancy against the Russians and the North Koreans and the Chinese. They’re building their own systems, and their systems are hack-proof, foolproof, and are based on things, which Americans are having a hard time breaking into.

You said something about Russian cyber security or Russian hackers being at the top. They are, but so are the Israelis and so are the Chinese. There are many countries that are very, very good at what they do.

We saw with WikiLeaks all the information that they brought out with the Americans, and their knowledge and breaking into the systems and covering up their tracks is unparalleled.



C. Austin Fitts: Right.

Daniel Estulin: I don't know. It's not my area of expertise, but I definitely think that cyber security is going to be one of the top three: Get Trump, Russia, and cyber security at the Bilderberg meeting in Chantilly.

C. Austin Fitts: So you think the top three are going to be: Get Trump, cyber security, and what was the third?

Daniel Estulin: I don't actually know because I don't have the list, but I think it's going to be: Get Trump, Russia, and cyber security. These are going to be the top three.

C. Austin Fitts: Right. Ideally they would like to get Russia and Trump with one blow.

Do you think the Bilderbergers are capable of starting a war?

Daniel Estulin: I'm very worried about Syria. There is just so much happening and none of it is good.

One thing that we've learned is that the Syrian government seems to be making headway in destroying ISIS again, and then suddenly you have another chemical attack, which places Assad under suspicion. Every time Assad gets any kind of momentum going, there is some sort of an atrocity. You can compare the rise of ISIS to the Operation Gladio, which is a massive false flag project undertaken by the American and European governments in the 1950's through the 1990's. This is useful as a perpetual bogeyman.



Sadly, the Muslim religion has one foot stuck in the Dark Ages and will remain fertile ground for generating these extremist groups for decades to come. The elites have every intention of protecting certain factions of ISIS and Syria, which means the terrorists will continue to spread from terrorism itself into the European Union and also into the United States. You talk about blowback!

C. Austin Fitts: Daniel, thank you very much for joining us. I know you recently came back from the premiere of your new documentary in New Orleans, and I know you must be exhausted. Tell us how we can acquire your book; tell us about your website; tell us how we get to see your new documentary. I'm dying to see it.

Daniel Estulin: I'm going to send you a link so you can see it privately on a private server. I don't actually have a webpage. The best place to follow me is through my Twitter account, which is @EstulinDaniel.

The film was sold to GAIA in the United States. It will be available beginning in October of this year. We are also planning a few more premieres in the United States. We want to do Texas and California and the Northeastern United States.

What we saw in Louisiana was an enormous amount of interest. We were almost sold out and there were huge crowds of people. We've also received a lot of local media attention, which is unusual considering the topic.



All of these are very encouraging signs because I think that people are looking for answers and are waking up. I'm not sure how much, and I'm not sure to what extent they understand just how dire the situation is, but people are becoming more and more aware. We have social media and have a lot of independent media, such as www.Solari.com, who are doing what they have to do to get information to the people. Also, many people are realizing that it's now or never and it's very important.

As of October, the film will be available on GAIA and it's called *Bilderberg, The Movie*. I will send you the link so that you can see it privately and I hope you enjoy it.

C. Austin Fitts: If anything struck me in what you said, it's that you're right. We have to invent a new model, and I think it's going to take all of us. I think your work really helps us understand the importance of that. There is no place to start like the truth.

With that, Daniel, thank you very much for joining us on The Solari Report. You have a great evening.

Daniel Estulin: Thank you so much, Catherine. Good night.

C. Austin Fitts: Good night.



MODIFICATION

Transcripts are not always verbatim. Modifications are sometimes made to improve clarity, usefulness and readability, while staying true to the original intent.

DISCLAIMER

Nothing on The Solari Report should be taken as individual investment advice. Anyone seeking investment advice for his or her personal financial situation is advised to seek out a qualified advisor or advisors and provide as much information as possible to the advisor in order that such advisor can take into account all relevant circumstances, objectives, and risks before rendering an opinion as to the appropriate investment strategy.