




The Solari Report

February 9, 2017

Political Control & Pedophilia With Jon Rappoport





Political Control & Pedophilia

February 9, 2017

C. Austin Fitts: Ladies and gentlemen, it's a pleasure to welcome back to the Solari Report Jon Rappoport who I consult when I want to talk about a topic that takes a lot of deep understanding and history to understand.

I seek out Jon for the most challenging subjects, and I wanted to have a conversation with him about pedophilia because I think the chances of a major pedophilia scandal happening in the United States – and it's happening in Europe and other places – in the next year, or two is real. I want to make sure that if it happens, that you have the insight you need to understand in part what is going on, but also if there are children or grandchildren or nieces or nephews or young people around you, you have a plan to manage the communications with them because it will probably be on the news.

Jon, thank you very much for joining me on The Solari Report, particularly for something that intersects with so many things you know about – secret societies, mind control, corruption, politics, you name it.

Jon Rappoport: Thank you. It's good to be here.



C. Austin Fitts: I want to go back. I think one of the reasons I'm concerned that there could be a major scandal is because I'm of the belief that Trump won the election, not on the day of the election, but approximately a week before when NYPD and FBI got court clearance to look at the Anthony Weiner laptop. The suggestion being that there was a far-reaching pedophilia network occurring in Washington, including in and around the Clinton Foundation. This is referred to as 'Pizzagate' which is the name given to the scandal. It just won't go away because there is so much that came out in the Podesta emails that WikiLeaks dumped out right before the election.

I didn't know if you wanted to mention anything about Pizzagate. We will bring it up again, but do you have any thoughts on the fact that Pizzagate is inspiring this conversation at this time?

Jon Rappoport: Yes. Obviously, if anyone has bothered to read some of those emails – certainly the Podesta emails – you see the tortured sentences with references to pizza, cheese, sausage, and so forth. They make no sense unless they're talking in code and using terms, some of which the FBI and a report already indicate a potential reference to pedophilia and children.

That sparked a tremendous crowd research operation that, if not organized from any top or control, just continues to go on and on and on. I think it would be very foolish to discount it as the ravings of people who are just looking for something that isn't there. There is something going on. I don't pretend to have the final word or anything close to it on exactly who, what, where, and all that. But it just seems obvious that we're talking about something real that has been badly concealed. It involves very high-level figures.



The network, when you use that term, doesn't necessarily mean that there is some iron clad structure; it means that there are overlapping perversions and interest among people who know each other and who share certain information and enable each other.

That has exploded, and I agree with you that it is not going away. I do believe that we could see something more specific happening in the next year or two.

C. Austin Fitts: I worked for a cabinet secretary who I believe was being blackmailed about pedophilia during the Franklin cover-up. I've never seen a human being so afraid. He was more afraid than a person is when they're facing death.

It reminds me tremendously – if you watch Rubio, McCain, and Graham, that you have so many people who are behaving in an absolutely bizarre way – almost like children. They are very much in states of panic and doing what they're told.

The feeling of it is exactly the feeling that I had when I was dealing with it in Washington. If you keep watching the ripples that are developing as we are seeing a new Administration that is trying to turn all the money and the power, and, of course, people who don't want that to happen and politicians who have control files, and you're seeing very ludicrous behavior happening.

I think it helps to understand this on background to help explain why a politician with a control file would be so desperate to behave in ways which clearly appear to be ludicrous.



Let's talk about the history. Pedophilia and the use of pedophilia to manage and control a population is very, very ancient. This goes back generations. This is not something new.

I know you have studied much about secret societies and mind control, both. Maybe you could just dive in and give us a short history of secret societies and mind control and how pedophilia fits in historically.

Jon Rappoport: I will give you a couple of examples. I became aware of Valerie Wolf, a psychologist, in the mid-1990's because she had a couple of patients who were very troubled indeed. In her work with these patients, things began to come out about how they had been part of mind control experiments – US government mind control experiments – as children under some guise, which was not entirely clear. It appeared that their parents had handed them over into these programs, perhaps thinking that they were some type of training: it is unclear.

During the 1995 government hearings about radiation experiments on American citizens, which had gone back decades and now some of which was appearing in the public record. These hearings were set up to bring to light a certain amount of information about these experiments in which people were fed radioactive substances. Terminal cancer patients were given excessive amounts of radiation in hospitals. All manners of terrible things had happened starting in the 1940's.



An apology was going to be attached to all of this. Well, Valerie Wolf, the psychologist, was one of the people who sent a sheaf of testimony from her patients and other materials that she had gathered to the committee. Lo and behold, she was okayed to testify, and she brought her patients with her. This testimony is on the record – the committee on radiation. I cannot remember the proper name of the committee.

The testimony took place in front of this panel where these two women – maybe it was three – said, “Yes, we were subjected to radiation as children,” but this was part of a wider mind control operation. When they got through, the panel just absolutely did not know what to do. It was more or less, “Thank you for your testimony. Now the next witness is going to be...” because it was just staggering.

I was told that in the committee room when these two women finished their testimony and went to recess, other people who were sitting in the room got up and went over to them and said, “Thank God you said that because the same thing happened to me...”

So where pedophilia ties into this is quite obvious because one of the perversions associated with mind control is pedophilia and vice-versa. They tend to go together because, in secret societies, the whole point is absolute control, domination, and all of the typical kinds of intentions and practices. This involves sexual depravity.

This was perhaps my first introduction to something of this magnitude. Of course, we have John DeCamp’s book on the Franklin cover-up about a child prostitution ring in Nebraska and Washington, DC and points in between.



C. Austin Fitts: Right.

Jon Rappoport: If you look back, and I have had conversations about this, so I'm not just talking about reading here but firsthand reports; you return to the most elite prep schools in England in the 1950's, for example, which would certainly mean earlier than that as well, where the cream of the crop are being educated to become future leaders of England. The 'scraps' of the colonial empire were still there, but aside from that, our future leaders were there, and these schools were prisons.

Of course, there were no cell phones, there was no internet, there were no computers, and there were no telephones. The parents dropped off the children, and they were living there from a very early age all the way through high school. These were the elite.

For various reasons, the most cynical and perverse and masochistic and sadistic treatment was applied to these children over years and years and years. You couldn't snitch because the other children who had done horrible things to you, including sexual things like rape, would torture you. When I say 'torture' I don't mean waterboarding or something like that; I mean disfigurement for life, to put it mildly. That would be one of the consequences if the other children learned that you, as a child, had snitched to somebody like your parents or officials – who knows who.

So this was the way the elite were brought up in England for a very long time. Playing dirty tricks on each other was just every day occurrences, as well as beatings and rapes and gang rapes, and on.



It was what you could call a ‘secret society’ of its own, and according to a perverse version of survival of the fittest. “If you could come through this without total destruction of yourself, then you will be able to do anything. We could send you to India, we could send you to any of our other colonies, and you will be ruthless in your treatment of these people and never blink because this is what you’ve been brought up on.”

This is a culture of pedophilia among other things. So it naturally goes on and on generationally.

C. Austin Fitts: Right. There is no doubt it was an intergenerational practice. I’ve gone back and researched the history. It was much more the mind control and the intergenerational imprinting using these techniques. It was very much organized and run by the secret societies.

What is fascinating to me is that the Nazis did an enormous amount of experimentation in the camps with mind control and other techniques of control, including mind control. When you brought the Nazi scientists back to the United States and integrated them into the structure of academia and the think tanks and the various government agencies, and added the black budget and this huge, enormous amount of secret funding that could be used in a variety of ways, you integrated what the secret societies were doing with a governmental infrastructure with virtually an infinite amount of money. You did it under the governance of people who believe in slavery.



Of course, if you believe in slavery, the problem with instituting slavery is that the general population will rebel. But if you can make it an invisible kind of slavery with mind control, it's so much more profitable because, for one, the general population won't rebel, and number two, they won't be able to tell who is a slave and who is not, at which point you will be able to command total obedience.

If you're managing trillions of dollars and one of the reasons I keep bringing this up is financial fraud. If you're managing trillions of dollars, it's much easier to handle them if you have mind control slaves doing everything. First of all, they can't even necessarily remember what they did. Second, it's a lot less expensive ultimately, particularly if you can get the training costs down, which I think is one of the things behind the black budget. They've been working on it for decades.

So this is a society-wide control mechanism, which is essential to running centralized control and making it economical for the people centralizing control. It sounds gruesome until you delve in the way you have and study what has been going on.

I think that the question for a lot of people is: How could this have all been going on and me not know it? That's a question, Jon, that you handle in many areas. I think vaccines are the one I always think of where: How do you get families from many decades to do things that are profoundly dangerous and harmful for their children, and get them to do it gladly?

So that is the question: How can so much of this be going on and people not know?



Jon Rappoport: First of all, as it turns out, I'm afraid, when people think of such-and-such pedophile network as going to be exposed or might be exposed or, "We just heard about this," or, "We read a little bit about it, and it's so shocking," this is not such an exception to the rule as people mentioned. Initially, their thinking is wrong.

This practice has been going on since the dawn of time in power circles all over the planet in many, many, many different cultures – not just the West, for example. So it takes a retuning of understanding to see, "Okay, so I haven't seen this on the front page of the *New York Times* every day, but that doesn't mean that it hasn't been going on in many different places – in religious circles. We know all about the Vatican and so forth."

That re-attunement is necessary. Don't consider this just an unbelievable and shocking exception that it is, "One out of a billion places where this could have happened and it did." It's not that way; it's never been that way. That is the way people keep themselves from being able to understand what is going on.

As far as how knowledge is kept from the people, well, how are you going to make a case based on evidence that clearly indicts a particular group of people who have been engaging in pedophilia and mind control for a long time? How are you going to do that? On what basis?

If you begin to think about that, you see the difficulties. "Am I going to get documents? Films? Photographs? Famous people?"



How is this going to become a convincing case when the whole point is to conceal it and hide it and to blackmail other people who are engaged in pedophilia so they don't reveal it either?"

This would include people, of course, in government and media and so on. There are built-in mechanisms against exposure that would preclude it.

C. Austin Fitts: The other thing is, we've seen many documented cases, and I want to run through some of them. There have been many scandals where this has come out. The reality is that the people who have done a good job of documentation are facing enormous amounts of violence and assassination. People get killed. It's very, very dangerous.

Let me run through some of the cases because I think one way to understand this is to go through one of the heavily-documented cases; the Catholic Church scandals. If you review the litigation that has been documented and won on the pedophilia by the church, it's incredible. I think the number is several billion dollars just for litigation in the 1980's, and in the 1990's is when it really blossomed and exploded.

I've talked a lot about the church scandals because I feel that two things have happened. The church was involved in pedophilia networks, particularly with secret societies. The church has taken the whole fall for the thing. You kind of wonder why. I believe one of the reasons is that the telecommunications network was able to replace the storefront church – the access to local information. So the church had the confessional booth, but now we have the telecom's that can get the same information for much cheaper.



I think the Freemasons outwitted them on that one.

The other thing is, if you study the church litigation and scandals, the cover story is that this was all horny priests and nothing else whereas in my experience, the priests were really the cover story and the pedophilia network was there to provide children and sexual services for much higher-up in society. If anything, the priests were just the handlers. That hasn't come out on the church scandal, which I think is very interesting.

Jon Rappoport: That would be the most difficult part to bring into the open for many different reasons.

Christopher Hitchens used to call the Catholic Church a rape racket. Rape protection is the way he phrased it.

You see a piece of it but you don't see the organization in terms of, "We are the suppliers, and for a price or for a favor or for whatever we want, we can supply you children. Then we're in business together. Of course, it's to our mutual benefit for this never to be disclosed."

Then you have smaller groups – Aleister Crowley-and secret societies. Only the tips of the icebergs crop up like the story that floated for a short while about Grace Kelly in her later years being involved in some sexually perverse secret society in Europe. You get a little hint here, a little hint there, and then the story disappears.



In the case of the Franklin cover-up, you get testimony from a few children as grown-ups who were victims, but then it's easy to say, "They're lying; we can show criminal records on these people. So their testimony is not going to fly in court really. We can't bring a court case here."

But it goes on and it continues to happen. People find each other who are involved in this. They become allies, and people in very high positions of power want more power of any kind. Sexual perversion for them means domination and more power. So they drift into pedophilia as an example of that because they can't deal with life on any other terms except, "I must have more power which I define as domination over other people."

This became the undercurrent – the spiritual and psychological undercurrent – of colonialism itself and of all the colonial empires wherever you find them. "We now have a whole population of people who we can dominate and enslave and control."

So, people who become leaders, so to speak in that operation, that is what they're bent on doing. They see it as, "This is the playground I can operate in with complete impunity."

C. Austin Fitts: This brings me to the DynCorp case because, DynCorp at the time, was the leading contractor at the State Department, and they had many contracts in the enforcement area. We know they had contracts with the US government and the UN and Europe.



There were two instances of litigation brought by former DynCorp employees against their sex slave trafficking operation – including one documented by Army CID of what is called the GTR, the Government Technical Representative – engaging in sex trafficking and owning slaves in association with the local mafias.

What is interesting was that there was a real effort by a variety of reporters to bring these stories out because, with the litigation, you had great documentation of this. It was all absolute truth and, in fact, last week we had *The Whistleblower*, which is a movie made of one of the cases.

It was very interesting because Richard Armitage, as an official at the Department of State, wrote a letter saying, “There is no reason to penalize a corporation just because of the errant behavior of a single employee,” when it was evident.

Jon Rappoport: Oh yes.

C. Austin Fitts: It was interesting because it ended up being a cover story on *Insight Magazine*, hitting the desk of every Congressional member. I personally emailed it to the head of IT contracting at every Federal agency. Sure enough, the next week, DynCorp got two large contracts; one was from the navy, and one might have been the State Department.

In the Iraq War, they acquired a \$500 million sole-source contract to run Courts and Enforcement in Iraq.



Jon Rappoport: Wow.

C. Austin Fitts: I was convinced that the slave trafficking was part of their job. My question is: Are we organizing with these invasions and disaster capitalism rounding up the available kids and shipping them out? Is this one of the natural resources we're drilling for here?

Jon Rappoport: And you could even say it was part of the reason for launching the war to cover over and divert attention from this burgeoning pedophilia scandal. "What we need now is a war."

Let's be frank and brutal and straightforward about it. We need something that is going to unify the entire nation, and we're going to have to make up the reason for it, and it's going to have to last a long time. When it's over, nobody will even remember that any of this happened that was threatening to expose us.

C. Austin Fitts: Right. Two of the examples I used to help people understand this are the Franklin cover-up because you had several very courageous people, including John DeCamp, who was a very respected Nebraska legislator and attorney. He's the person who documented this. Of course, the legislature in Nebraska tried to do something about it. They investigated, and one of their investigators, it appears, was assassinated.

There is a large amount of documentation on this. I've always believed that one of the reasons Bill Colby was assassinated was partly because of his role in the Franklin cover-up.



I assume he told DeCamp or one of the other investigators, “You’ll never be able to bring this out; it’s just too dangerous.”

But still, John DeCamp wrote a book called *The Franklin Cover-up* and it’s one of the books recommended for 2017. I think, by going through one case thoroughly, that you can see how serious this is.

It’s curious, Jon, I’ve spent time from 2000 until very recently in the financial community being a lone voice saying, “It’s going to slow burn,” and all these folks saying, “It’s going to collapse.” I reply, “No, it’s going to slow burn.” They say, “No, it’s going to collapse.”

I think the primary reason is my in-depth knowledge about the control system and the control file network and how it integrates with telecommunications and digital technology. On the litigation, I saw the speed at which they could drop into a place and control everything – both through the secret societies and governmental networks, which are very integrated at this point.

You can’t believe the awesomeness and tightness of the control county by county throughout America unless you’ve lived it. I think it’s much greater.

Every time I tried to talk to the financial people about the real nature of control, including pedophilia, they would say to me, “I just won’t go there; it’s too dark.”

I used to say, “But wait a minute. We can’t break free of this until we can deal with it. If we can’t even talk about it or look at it, how are we going to break free?”



There was this deep, dark double bind in the population. They didn't want to look at what was really going on.

I read something the other day where somebody said they estimated that 40% of the American population had been through this kind of experience. I have no idea what the number is, but could it be 40%? Absolutely.

One thing that I wanted to mention was that the elites who would get questioned about this – whether this was a good idea or not – kept saying, “Look, the fathers and uncles are going to do it to these kids anyway, so we might as well take them. As a result of our appreciation, we will educate them, or they will get all sorts of benefits.”

There is no doubt that they believe in what is called ‘imprinting’. So a farmer, when a new animal is born, will hold it when it's born or do things to be with it to imprint it. So they believe there is an imprinting going on that creates intergenerational obedience, but their feeling about the ‘deplorables’ is such that they figure, “The ‘deplorables’ are going to do it to themselves, so we might as well have the benefit. Then the kids will be imprinted, and we can use them in our companies.”

It's true; a lot of parents would turn their kids over because this is how they got to rise. Of course, one reason no one wants to talk about it is because a significant benefit in society is not money but social prestige. How can you be socially prestigious if you got to where you are by being a sex slave? It's very hard for CEOs or presidents to admit that.



The other thing is I think it's imperative that we understand that we are in a society where the leadership believes in slavery. They believe in slavery, they practice slavery, and slavery is a very profitable business.

Jon Rappoport: No doubt that is true. As far as cover-ups are concerned, study the Jimmy Savile case.

Jon Rappoport: You had somewhere between 400 and 700 – whatever Scotland Yard admitted – accusations of rape, assault, pedophilia, etc. over an extended period of time, none of which were investigated. Prince Charles thought he was a great, great guy. He received all sorts of awards and honors. He gave away a lot of money to charities and so on and he was a broadcaster.

None of this emerged until after he died, or close to when he died and he had a very, very long career.

You have media; you have police; you have politicians; you have corporate chieftains; all committed to keeping this from being exposed, to say nothing of all the pedophile connections that this guy had to other big shots in England.

C. Austin Fitts: Right. What you see with the Savile case is it's clear that this is considered to be a personnel benefit of a particular status. So this is just simply your right when you achieve a certain status. This is one of the benefits you get. It's like having a 401k.



There was one other thing I was going to mention: Our movie this week is a remarkable film called *The Finders*, made by an excellent director who just started a movie company. She was an actress for many years. It's called *The Finders*, and it tells a story of a mayor in a New Jersey town who bumps into this by accident. The Finders try to kidnap her child; they mistake her child for another one and try to kidnap him. She discovers the truth, and is running for the Senate and wins the Senate race during the movie, but is having to deal with the CIA and enforcement, which suddenly are all paranoid about it. Of course, the big question is: Will she play ball or not?

Very interestingly, to deal with the complexity of explaining the pedophilia network and how they work, somebody gives her audios from The Solari Report, and while she jogs through the town, she listens to me explain how this all works.

Jon Rappoport: Wow.

C. Austin Fitts: So it's our first integration into a movie and it's very clever the way she does it. We have it up on the website which was for Subscriber-Only, but made it public this week.

You see the problem of somebody coming up the political ladder and bumping into this, and all the conundrums of how they're going to handle it and how nasty it really is. It does a magnificent job of helping you understand the control file system and its integration with pedophilia.



Anyway, I wanted to mention that. But let's go back to Pizzagate and the Anthony Weiner laptop because the implications, if you read it, are you have a tremendous number of people in the media and Congress and Washington who have control files from this information. So we're talking about a lot of people, not a few. It's interesting as we just saw Trump's inaugural speech, and one thing he said was, "You all have had a negative return on investment to taxpayers." What he really said was, "You've made money while the people suffered."

So he has pointed out that the money system isn't in alignment. Of course, his goal is to get it in alignment. What's his biggest challenge? He has all these people who have huge control files who are scared to death that all of this is going to come out.

If you studied the campaign, a couple of times he zinged people on things that were in their control file, and they suddenly pulled out of the race with magic speed. So clearly you have an Administration who knows how to play with dirty pictures and knows how that game works.

I want to review what happened at Christmas, when Putin gave his Christmas address. I've published it on The Solari Report several times. In it, he talked about the depravity of the West and twice mentioned pedophilia.

Jon Rappoport: Yes.



C. Austin Fitts: So you have Putin now publicly talking about it on the international stage, and Trump saying, “We’re going to reverse how the money works.”

But you have thousands of people literally in Congress and in the media who have these control files and who are scared to death. The combination looks explosive to me, but it helps to explain why.

I watched a CNN presentation the other day where I heard the speech where Trump went to the CIA – what he said, what he did, and how they reacted. They proceeded to describe it in a way that had nothing to do with it. It was a complete contradiction. It was almost like they said, “Well, he went to Zimbabwe,” instead of Virginia, and he said, “The sky is green,” instead of “the sky is blue.”

I thought, “What are you guys doing? Wouldn’t I love to see your control files?”

Maybe they’re just working for \$300,000 a year. It doesn’t have to be dirty; it could just be money. But you’re watching that kind of ludicrous behavior. As I said, I saw a cabinet secretary go through this. You see people do anything, no matter how ridiculous it is.

You saw what Marco Rubio did at Tillerson’s confirmation hearing. It was just stunning. I was floored because here is a guy who is acting like a clown. Then I saw Maxine Waters give an interview, and was clearly reading off a teleprompter, and made absolutely no sense.



Jon Rappoport: Right.

C. Austin Fitts: It's one thing to do something; it's another thing to do it in a way which is wholly and completely ineffective. But there she was. Maxine Waters is not that stupid, but she looked like she had an IQ of 12. You realize that she's been told what to do, reading her script, and is scared to death. So there are many things that go into a control file. I'm not saying it's all pedophilia, but you're watching people behave in ways that are not particularly logical. This is definitely a part of it.

I brought up Putin's speech because I think what he was saying was, "We reserve the right to dish this out." This was a warning.

Jon Rappoport: It could very well be. I'm sure that they are very aware of this. As far as the media is concerned, maybe six weeks or two months ago, I found through somebody's story a link to a *Washington Post* article. It was maybe ten years old and had been removed from the *Post* website about Tony Podesta and his art collection – something that came up in the Podesta emails in one way or another by implication.

It was an art piece about Tony's art collection. Tony Podesta is the brother of John Podesta, and they are both very important political power players in Washington on the Democratic side. They have been for a very long time. Tony and his wife have parties at their house, and important people show up – all of that, the usual.



The article mentioned that in one of the bedrooms in the house there were photographs – art photographs – of naked suburban teenage children taken by an artist/photographer, all very much out in the open. Tony’s wife was quoted in the article as being ‘very amused’ by the guests’ shocked reactions when they would just traipse through the house and saw these photographs.

But, of course, that is all that the story said. It was presented from the point of view of, “Well, this is art. If these people are too provincial to understand that it’s amusing, and I had a good giggle over the fact that they would stop and not want to look at it and would look away.”

So I wrote an article, and I said, “If you want to think about where the media starts and stops, here is a good illustration because what questions would you want to pursue if you were investigating this?”

Who are these children? They are suburban children from at least middle class if not wealthy homes. Who gave the permission for these photographs to be taken? Was it at the children’s request? Highly doubtful. Did the parents consult with the children in some way? I’m talking about 13- and 14-year-olds. They sat down and had a conversation about this? Who are these parents? How did the photographer meet these parents? Do you think a photographer was doing uninvited calls in a suburban neighborhood in Maryland, knocking on doors and asking parents whether their children would pose naked for photographs? No. There is a network.

Somebody said, “So this is what you want to do? I could hook you up with a few parents.



I'm sure they'd be interested. Marge and Bill – talk to them. They know people..." What is that network? How did this all happen? Is this not a prosecutable offense on some level of child pornography displayed openly as art? Is there no investigation possible of how permission was granted and on what grounds for these photographs to be taken?

C. Austin Fitts: One of the advantages of getting everybody deeply in debt is that it's much easier to get your hands on the kids. Some of those parents may have been getting out of a foreclosure.

Jon Rappoport: It could be. Yes. We would like to know about that. But I suspect that other parents are a part themselves of some porn/pedophile network, so they are already clued in, as are their children on some level.

C. Austin Fitts: Right. It wouldn't surprise me, Jon. You see a lot of stings in Washington against government employees caught watching child sex porn. It wouldn't surprise me if you got them over a barrel with one of those. You catch them watching child sex porn on a government computer, and what you've bargained for is access to their kids.

Jon Rappoport: Sure. That would happen, too. But my suspicion, in this case, is that you're talking about willing participants by and large who are already involved. The capper on this, I have to say, is that I wrote an email to an honorable professional – and I'm trying not to identify him. He is very honorable and has been involved in some issues that I would certainly say he was a hero. I said to him, "I'm looking for the legal basis upon which some prosecution could be brought here."



Not that I can bring it, but just to establish that there is some kind of a precedent in law for going ahead with the case if somebody wanted to bring it.”

His answer was, “Why are you wasting your time on this? This makes no sense at all. You’re better than that.”

I was knocked off my chair! I couldn’t believe that response.

C. Austin Fitts: Really?

Jon Rappoport: It just shows that, among people who you would assume are upright and honorable because they are, have evidence and you’ve seen their track record. They do not even recognize this. It’s as if you said nothing at all. It’s as if nothing happened. It’s as if you brought up nothing.

So I go back to the first point that I made: People control their lives to be completely unaware of this – to not see it, not understand it, not realize any of the implications that we’ve been talking about for the last hour.

C. Austin Fitts: It’s always designed for a topic like this. I go back to the subject about social prestige: you lower your social prestige if you raise it. There are 20 different reasons it’s going to be bad for your status and bad for your career to bring this up, and it’s quite phenomenal the way it’s engineered.



I also wanted to mention that I think globalization has, in one sense, exacerbated the problem because sex tourism in the developing nations has become such a big business and has done nothing but satisfy more and more appetites.

One thing I worry about is, as the empire gets more and more ridiculous and engages in these practices of rounding up children for sex tourism all around the world, you can imagine what it's doing to the reputation of Americans and Westerners; we are viewed as rapists.

Jon Rappoport: Right. There is no question about it.

C. Austin Fitts: I will say this: I think slave trafficking is at an all-time historical high that we know of. So the trafficking with globalization, it appears to me, has increased tremendously.

Jon Rappoport: Then there is Hollywood.

C. Austin Fitts: Right.

Jon Rappoport: Hollywood is leading the charge, in a sense, to deflect all of this as if, "Well, you can argue about the age of some of these kids." We're not talking about the legal age of consent. What is the real age of consent where the child is aware enough?

We've seen the scandals – the tip of the iceberg emerge with pedophilia in Hollywood with Corey Feldman and so forth – where it's almost like a social position, as a manifesto.



You can't touch the subject concerning any morality whatsoever because the morality is, "We are raising sexual awareness at a younger and younger age." That would be in heavy quotes so that people could be 'liberated'. And, of course, who knows how many Hollywood celebrities and stars and people in the industry who are in high positions are involved in this themselves. So they are already captive and can't say anything, even if they wanted to.

The culture that is being disseminated is, "Oh, this is not really an issue. The only issue is the liberation of children from their parents and to have an 'independent' point of view about their sexual behavior. This is what we are fostering, and there is no coercion involved at all," and so on.

So there you essentially have staunch defenders of pedophilia. They don't say that, but that is what they're doing; that is the culture that they are disseminating.

C. Austin Fitts: One of the reasons I've made such a fuss about corporate schools where kids are online 24/7 with technology online is because I've said, "Look, you're giving 24/7 access, whether it's being online or in these corporate schools, to your children of the elites. Do you really want to do that? They are perpetually on the lookout for pretty kids and spare organs. Do you really want to do that?"

Jon Rappoport: I absolutely agree.



C. Austin Fitts: I think it's imperative. If you can understand that the people who run the society believe in slavery and view children as an economic resource to be used, whether it's for pedophilia or medical experiments or everything else, you need to understand that you might as well assume they're aliens. If you scrutinize their attitude towards your children, they are as if they are aliens.

Jon Rappoport: I want to interject here with another factor, and I've written about this. There is a bill before the California legislature that is a follow-up on a bill to the mandatory vaccine act that was passed requiring all public and private schoolchildren in California to be vaccinated. Well, now we have something called SB18, which is on the surface, something that looks very harmless because it isn't even legislating anything specific into action; it's somewhat paving the way for later legislation. But it asserts a Children's Bill of Rights which is a very devious thing.

It is the right to an education, the right to optimum development in their lifetime, and all types of things, some of which are not legislatable at all. It is the right to freedom and healthcare and so forth for every child who is a resident of California. It makes no distinction between immigrants or citizens or similar; if you're there, this is your right.

So I tracked this down to some group that is centered in Sacramento in schools in a local department of education. Who are these people? It listed a superintendent and some other minor bureaucrats who had fashioned and are supporting this Children's Bill of Rights.



Then I tracked that back to something called the Silicon Valley Community Foundation. Well, now we're talking about all the large high-tech companies in the Silicon Valley, and this foundation happens to have approximately \$4 to \$7 billion under their stewardship. Now we're talking about something major. What we're really discussing is disassociating and separating children from their parents and their families. If you read with understanding and know something about the United Nations Children's Rights programs and all of this, they are saying that a child is a ward of the state, and the state has absolute control, not the family.

They are couching it in a Children's Bill of Rights which they believe very few people will oppose because it sounds so correct. This all goes along with what we're talking about.

C. Austin Fitts: Right.

Jon Rappoport: It's the removal of any ultimate parental authority in the family and substituting 'the state' for that, which opens Pandora's Box to any number of these perversions and uses of children without consent.

C. Austin Fitts: Right. You never want to get in a situation where you have centralized control of children and circumvent their parents or any of the local people involved in educating or bringing up children because you're giving the world's largest pedophilia network direct access to your kids.



Jon Rappoport: Through foster homes, for example. Now if you violate the Children’s Bill of Rights, Child Protective Services can come in and inspect and say, “Well, no good. We’re taking the kid and putting them in foster care.”

C. Austin Fitts: My guess of what is happening is that you have a whole network of contractors who are working for Child Services, and they are running procurement systems, which is why when you consider delivering kids up into that system, it may be something different from what you think it is. You need to be very, very careful.

I’ve told families, “You’ve got to move to a new state right now because they’re coming after you. You’ve got to get out of there.” It’s that dangerous.

There are a few other things I also wanted to bring up. I’ve seen a lot of false accusations of pedophilia used against the innocent parties. You have to be very careful when the accusations start. I’ve seen the innocent attacked with this more than I’ve seen the guilty attacked for this.

There is no doubt if you discern the number of government employees who have been prosecuted for child pornography, I do believe they are using entrainment and other technology to get people hooked on this material. I think you have to be very, very careful when the accusations start flying.



Jon, I believe that the reason this issue is so important is the question before us. I just finished doing my scenarios for the Annual Wrap Up, and the most important question is: Are we going to be a human or an inhuman society? I think these techniques have been used to indoctrinate people into an inhuman society. We're really at a precipice. I believe that it is one of the reasons that the whole Presidential campaign in the United States got so fractious. You have so many people who have said, "Look, what is going on is inhuman, and we're not going to tolerate it."

There are different people on different sides with different definitions of what is human. There is a great deal of manipulation happening, but I think this is at the heart of having a society where we can trust each other. If you have this much mind control and this much torture and manipulation by elites and the general population tolerates it, it destroys trust. We can't trust each other.

It's happened to way too many people, whether they want to face it or not, or whether they want to realize it or not. It's something that needs to get aired. If anything needs sunshine, it's these issues where we have tolerated inhuman treatment of each other. I think that has to stop.

I, for one, don't know how to do that other than bringing transparency to it because I think it's a symptom of this greater issue: Are we going to be a human or inhuman society?

I think these people want to chip everybody and mind control them through the smart meters and cell towers.



You can see the history of that evolving into that if you understand what has been going on with pedophilia or some of these other things like the radiation experiments.

I think the time has come when we have to face the inhumanity of what we've been living with and say, "No more."

Jon Rappoport: I couldn't agree more. I think you are right. It has to be aired, it has to be made transparent, and individuals and families have to build out from where they are. In other words, who are your friends? Who is your family? Who are your associates that you trust? What are you doing? What do you really want to do? Do it. Build where you are something extremely positive. Expand it as far as you can instead of looking to some remote point and saying, "Well, I'm going to put all of my eggs in that basket."

C. Austin Fitts: Right.

Jon Rappoport: I know many people who have put all of their eggs in the Trump basket, for example. I understand that. I could sit here and talk for a couple of hours on two or three things that he has done that are absolutely revolutionary, maybe the most primary of which is helping destroy major media. Nobody who has ever occupied the oval office has ever tried anything like that.

Anyway, it's the people; it's not the man. It's the people who are still human and who are still alive that are important.



In so many ways, society is being engineered technocratically to create a species on the planet that however it's arranged through technology and mind control techniques etc. to be inhuman and to be impervious, to have no empathy whatsoever, and most importantly, to follow orders and be slaves. That is happening. That continues to happen. It's been happening for a long, long time. It's been a problem for the controllers, especially in the United States and parts of Europe.

How do we do this? We have some old-fashioned rebels all over the place in these few countries. They're important countries, and we need to 'bring them to heel'. How are we going to do this through a variety of means so that they no longer are tough, independent, smart, resistant, and who demand their freedom?

C. Austin Fitts: One of my fantasies has been: How do we create committees in every county in America that can help people get free of their control files? We create a detox for them so they can go and confess everything that is in their control files. We can agree that we are going to protect them, and that is the Good Housekeeping seal of approval. Then when they attack people with the dirt, the way they attacked Trump, whether it was true or not, the committee can say, "Don't worry about this. This guy has the Good Housekeeping seal so don't believe anything you hear."

How can we protect people, whether it is made-up accusations or real accusations? Maybe they really do have dirt in the control file. We have to find a way to help everybody get out of slavery, especially if they are willing to come clean. There are just too many people inside the invisible prison of control files.



Jon Rappoport: That is a tall order. I don't know that I have a ready-made answer for that. The first step is the transparency that we are discussing so that more people become aware of this and understand what is going on, and, in the largest scope, this is not some rare exception. This is the way business has been done for thousands of years.

C. Austin Fitts: Right.

Jon Rappoport: If you view the basis of the American republic in the best possible light, the implication is that this has to stop. Something new has to happen.

C. Austin Fitts: Let's talk about the magnitude. Pizzagate doesn't just involve pedophilia; it involves enormous money laundering networks. But you could see that if Pizzagate blew completely – and I'm not saying it would, but if it did – you could see 25-50% of Congress having to resign.

Jon Rappoport: Yes.

C. Austin Fitts: You're talking about a real revolution. It could be quite extraordinary, and it is going to lead into every county, every town. If you're not implicated, some of your friends or family are going to be implicated. It's that deep. It's going to hit everybody.

Jon Rappoport: If you read the Franklin Cover-up, you see how that is the case. It starts out where you read and think you're looking at something local – very local.



And you are; you are looking at that, but at a great deal more. Slowly you begin to see how all the branches and the networks go out from there, and you understand how widespread it really is. But it begins at home with a few lunatics who have enough control and people who are being blackmailed so that the local legislature is overcome.

I can't remember the name of the documentary on the Franklin Cover-up. A British company that came here and tried to get it filmed. They talked with one of the primary legislators in Nebraska who was attempting to bring all of this into light. They go through, in somewhat excruciating detail, all the attempts and struggles and how the legislature was overcome by the people who had bigger seats of power and bigger connections in the long run, and how it was all sidetracked.

C. Austin Fitts: If you follow the Franklin Cover-up back to where I think it goes, it's all the way to the top. It's not that many steps to get there once you start looking at the flows of money and power around it.

What I would mention is that for all of these different examples of where it's been documented, I am going to put the links up in the Subscriber Links. So if you're listening to this, check the website. There is plenty of information if you want to follow it.

Before we close, Jon, I want to talk about how you protect your children, your grandchildren, your nieces, and your nephews. If there are children in your life who you love, how do you protect them from this?



Jon Rappoport: I think that you can talk to kids without going into the detail that would be extremely upsetting and maybe incomprehensible to them. Let them know what's at stake and what is going on so that they can be vigilant. And, of course, you remain vigilant yourselves without unnecessarily inhibiting their lives to the point where to protect them from slavery you make them into slaves. That is not the solution; that can't be the solution.

It takes more than one person. It takes a family. Who is the family? Who are the children? What is the family going to do?

As I never tire of saying, you invent your future. In the terms that we're talking about, what does that mean pertaining to play, freedom, open space, family activities, friends, etc.? It can be done. You can invent, so to speak, a terrific background for the lives of your children if you do it consciously with help from friends and from other people in the family so that many great things happen. But they're happening within a framework where you're not taking excessive chances and exposing your kids to horrendous possibilities.

C. Austin Fitts: Right.

Jon Rappoport: It used to be when I was a kid, and there were open spaces everywhere, so the parents didn't have to be concerned. I would leave home on a Saturday morning early with a bit of breakfast, and I would come home at night. The rest of the day was playing on playgrounds and fields and in open spaces with my friends. I went everywhere around the city where I grew up because it was safe.



Nobody cared. Insurance companies weren't harassing people and saying, "You can't have kids playing here because if there's an accident, we're not going to pay the claim," and all this kind of insanity. It was all free and open, and everything was great. It didn't require effort on the part of my family or the families of my friends to put into being environments where we could do these kinds of things; we did it ourselves.

Now it's not the same in many places; it just isn't. That has to be created consciously by families working together.

C. Austin Fitts: Right. I would also say that you have to be very careful of who your children associate with. The due diligence back then, you could assume everybody was fine. Maybe you shouldn't have. But I would be very careful with your due diligence of schools and your due diligence if your child is staying over at somebody else's home. Don't just assume because they look perfectly normal that they're not capable of something like this.

I think you have to be very discerning. More than anything, you need your child to feel absolutely comfortable to talk to you about anything. Children are scared to bring these things up and talk about them. Part of it is just creating an environment where a child feels very, very safe to bring anything to you.

We're going to have to deal with our kids seeing the disclosure about this on TV, on the news, or hearing about it at school.



We also have to be very careful because with online systems – with an iPhone or with a computer – you’re creating an avenue for the pedophilia networks to communicate directly with your children and use very powerful technology and techniques to do it. So you need to be careful about how they’re using online access and what they’re doing online. There is a certain amount of communication, entrainment, and poaching going on that you need to be careful of.

Jon Rappoport: That is a tough area that has to be handled. You can’t just assume that everything is going to be okay. I agree with you about knowing who your kids are playing with and what is going on. It’s not the same kind of time that it used to be where nobody cared because almost everybody was okay with few exceptions, but you could see those exceptions. They weren’t that hard to spot in most cases. Now it’s a whole different world so you have to treat it differently.

C. Austin Fitts: Right. One of my favorite quotes from the Buddha is, “I’m not wise; I am awake.” Those who are awake live in a state of constant amazement. I think it’s a matter of paying attention and understanding that this happens, it’s real, and you want to make sure that your child is enjoying the environment that you want and not the environment that is advantaging the pedophilia network or somebody who thinks your child is their economic resource; they’re not.

Jon, this has been very useful. I appreciate you doing this. Of course, if Pizzagate does blow...

Jon Rappoport: We will be around for that one.



C. Austin Fitts: The Rappoport Fu dog will be around attacking.

Jon Rappoport: We will do it together.

C. Austin Fitts: Yes, but nobody does it like you. I have one ally that I visit with him and his wife. I'll get up in the morning, and I'll hear him shrieking with laughter, and I know that he is reading Rappoport's new column.

Anyway, Jon, thank you for joining us on The Solari Report. It's always a pleasure.

Jon Rappoport: Thank you, Catherine.



MODIFICATION

Transcripts are not always verbatim. Modifications are sometimes made to improve clarity, usefulness and readability, while staying true to the original intent.

DISCLAIMER

Nothing on The Solari Report should be taken as individual investment advice. Anyone seeking investment advice for his or her personal financial situation is advised to seek out a qualified advisor or advisors and provide as much information as possible to the advisor in order that such advisor can take into account all relevant circumstances, objectives, and risks before rendering an opinion as to the appropriate investment strategy.